Adopted by Consensus at 3pm, November 14, 2013

	PRO.	JECT NAME:							
PF	ROIFC	T SPONSOR:							
• •	(O)LO	. 3. 3. 3. 3							
		<u>Overa</u>	all Criteria W	eightings	<u>s:</u>				
		Decade of Ne	ed	4	10%				
		Project Feasik	oility	1	.0%				
		Project Viabil	ity	2	25%				
		Project Sustai	=	1	.5%				
		Project Cost E	=		.0%				
		.,			00%				
				flag all that	t may				
	r	potential SWIFT	funding category	apply	<u>'</u>				
			stream						
			ral conservation						
** :] - de e-		tion/reuse		DIA/D/	5 to and an As assu			
** Indic	ates tna	it additional data	a may nave to be	collected b	y KWP	in order to score	e projects	Max	0.04
								_	Actual
1. De	cade c	nt Naad tar D	Project					Cooro	C
		of Need for P	TOJECE					Score	Score
			-			•			
	What is	the decade the R	RWP shows the pr	oject comes	s online	?		10	0
	What is i	the decade the R <u>Year</u>	-	oject comes	s online	?			
	What is a Points	the decade the R <u>Year</u> 2060	-	oject comes	s online	?			
	What is a Points 0 2	the decade the R <u>Year</u> 2060 2050	-	oject comes	s online	?			
	What is a Points 0 2 4	the decade the R <u>Year</u> 2060 2050 2040	-	oject comes	s online	?			
	What is a points of the points	the decade the R <u>Year</u> 2060 2050 2040 2030	-	oject comes	s online	?			
	What is a Points 0 2 4 6 8	the decade the R <u>Year</u> 2060 2050 2040 2030 2020	-	oject comes	s online	?			
	What is a points of the points	the decade the R <u>Year</u> 2060 2050 2040 2030	-	oject comes	s online	?			
	What is a Points 0 2 4 6 8	the decade the R <u>Year</u> 2060 2050 2040 2030 2020	-	oject comes	s online	?			
Α '	What is a Points 0 2 4 6 8 10	the decade the R <u>Year</u> 2060 2050 2040 2030 2020	RWP shows the pr	oject comes	s online	?			
Α '	What is a Points 0 2 4 6 8 10	the decade the R <u>Year</u> 2060 2050 2040 2030 2020 2010	RWP shows the pr	oject comes	s online	?		10	0
Α '	What is a Points 0 2 4 6 8 10	the decade the R Year 2060 2050 2040 2030 2020 2010 decade is initial f	RWP shows the pr	oject comes	s online	?		10	0
Α '	What is a Points O 2 4 6 8 10 In what o	the decade the R Year 2060 2050 2040 2030 2020 2010 decade is initial f	RWP shows the pr	oject come:	s online	?		10	0
Α '	What is a Points 0 2 4 6 8 10 In what 6	the decade the R Year 2060 2050 2040 2030 2020 2010 decade is initial f Year 2060 2050 2040	RWP shows the pr	oject comes	s online	?		10	0
Α '	What is a Points 0 2 4 6 8 10 In what 6 2 4 6 6	the decade the R Year 2060 2050 2040 2030 2020 2010 decade is initial f Year 2060 2050 2040 2030	RWP shows the pr	oject comes	s online	?		10	0
Α '	What is a Points 0 2 4 6 8 10 In what 6 Points 0 2 4 6 8	the decade the R Year 2060 2050 2040 2030 2020 2010 decade is initial f Year 2060 2050 2040 2030 2030 2020	RWP shows the pr	oject comes	s online	?		10	0
Α '	What is a Points 0 2 4 6 8 10 In what 6 2 4 6 6	the decade the R Year 2060 2050 2040 2030 2020 2010 decade is initial f Year 2060 2050 2040 2030	RWP shows the pr	oject comes	s online	.?		10	0
Α '	What is a Points 0 2 4 6 8 10 In what 6 Points 0 2 4 6 8	the decade the R Year 2060 2050 2040 2030 2020 2010 decade is initial f Year 2060 2050 2040 2030 2030 2020	RWP shows the pr	oject comes	s online	?	Criteria Total	10	0

Adopted by Consensus at 3pm, November 14, 2013

			,	• •	,	Max	Actual
2.	Pro	oject F	easibility			Score	Score
	Α	What s	upporting data is available to show that the o	quantity of water	needed is available?	5	0
		<u>Points</u>	<u>Measure</u>				
		0	Models suggest insufficient quantities of w	ater or no modelir	ng has been performed		
		3	Models suggest sufficient quantity of water	r			
		5	Field tests and measurements confirm suffi	icient quantities o	fwater		
**	В	water t <u>Points</u>	·	5	0		
		0	legal rights, water rights and/or contract a application submitted				
		2 3	application submitted application is administratively complete				
		5 5	legal rights, water rights and/or contracts of	obtained or not ne	eded		
**	С		evel of engineering and/or planning has beer ss on scientific data collection, stage of studie	•	this project? (Points based on	10	0
		<u>Points</u>	<u>Measure</u>	<u>Points</u>	Measure		
		1	Project idea is outlined in Regional Plan.	6	Preliminary engineering report ini	tiated.	
		2	Feasibility studies initiated.	7	Preliminary engineering report co	mpleted.	
		3	Feasibility studies completed.	8	Preliminary design initiated.		
		4	Conceptual design initiated.	9	Preliminary design completed.		
		5	Conceptual design completed.	10	Final design complete.		
	D		e project sponsor requested (in writing for the al Water Plan?	e 2016 Plan) that t	he project be included in the	5	0
		<u>Points</u>	<u>Measure</u>				
		0	no				
		5	yes				
					Criteria Total	25	0

Adopted by Consensus at 3pm, November 14, 2013

				Max	Actual
3. Pr	oject \	/iability		Score	Score
or A	and B, th	e calculation is	to be based on the total needs of all WUGs receiving water from the project.		
Α		lecade the proje project?	ect supply comes online, what is the % of the WUG's (or WUGs') needs satisfied	10	0.00
		0.00			
В	In the f		he planning period, what is the % of the WUG's (or WUGs') needs satisfied by	10	0.00
		0.00	%		
С	Is this p	-	economically feasible source of new supply for the WUG, other than	5	0
	<u>Points</u>	<u>Measure</u>			
	0	no			
	5	yes			
D	Does th	e project serve	multiple WUGs?	5	0
	<u>Points</u>	<u>Measure</u>			
	0	no			
	5	yes			
			Criteria Total	30	0
I. Pr	oject S	ustainabilit	<u>:y</u>		
** A	Over w	hat period of tir	me is this project expected to provide water (regardless of the planning period)?	10	0
	Points	Measure			
	5	less than or ed	qual to 20 years		
	10	greater than 2	20 years		
В	Does th	ie volume of wa	ater supplied by the project change over the regional water planning period?	5	0
	<u>Points</u>	<u>Measure</u>			
	0	decreases			
	3	no change			
	5	increases		_	
			Criteria Total	15	0

Adopted by Consensus at 3pm, November 14, 2013

			Max	Actual
Pro	ject C	Cost Effectiveness	Score	Score
	other re	the expected unit cost of water supplied by this project compared to the median unit cost of all ecommended strategies in the region's current RWP? (Project's Unit Cost divided by the median 's unit cost)		0
	<u>Points</u>	Relative to Median unit cost		
	0	200% or greater than median		
	1	150% to 199% of median		
	2	101% to 149% of median		
	3	100% of median		
	4	51% to 99% of median		
	5	0% to 50% of median		
		Criteria Total	5	0

SCORING RESULTS ON SCALE OF 1,000 POINTS MAXIMUM:

sub-score for: Decade of Need
sub-score for: Project Feasibility
sub-score for: Project Viability
sub-score for: Project Sustainability
sub-score for: Project Cost Effectiveness
FINAL SCORE FOR PROJECT
-

0

0