TEXAS WATER DEVELOPMENT BOARD

REPORT 185

GROUND-WATER RESOURCES OF BRAZOS AND BURLESON COUNTIES, TEXAS

By

C. R. Follett United States Geological Survey

This report was prepared by the U.S. Geological Survey under cooperative agreement with the Texas Water Development Board

-

June 1974

이야지 않는 것이야지 않는 것이 있는 것이 같이 많이 많이 했다.

TEXAS WATER DEVELOPMENT BOARD

John H. McCoy, Chairman W. E. Tinsley Carl Illig Robert B. Gilmore, Vice Chairman Milton Potts A. L. Black

Harry P. Burleigh, Executive Director

Aller and the second shift for the

Authorization for use or reproduction of any original material contained in this publication, i.e., not obtained from other sources, is freely granted. The Board would appreciate acknowledgement.

> Published and distributed by the Texas Water Development Board Post Office Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	1
INTRODUCTION	3
Location and Extent of the Area	3
Purpose and Scope of the Investigation	3
Previous Investigations	3
Economic Development	5
Topography and Drainage	5
Climate	5
Well-Numbering System	6
Acknowledgments	6
GEOLOGY AS RELATED TO THE OCCURRENCE OF GROUND WATER	6
General Stratigraphy and Structure	6
Physical Characteristics and Water-Bearing Properties of the Geologic Units	14
Wilcox Group	14
Carrizo Sand	14
Reklaw Formation	17
Queen City Sand	17
Weches Formation	19
Sparta Sand	19
Cook Mountain Formation	19
Yegua Formation	22
Jackson Group	22
Catahoula Sandstone	22
Terrace Deposits	22
Flood-Plain Alluvium	22

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd.)

	Page
GROUND-WATER HYDROLOGY	24
Occurrence of Ground Water	24
Ground-Water Development and Use	24
Aquifer Tests	26
Changes in Water Levels	33
Well Construction and Yield	38
AVAILABILITY OF GROUND WATER	40
QUALITY OF GROUND WATER	49
Suitability of Water for Use	49
Public and Domestic Supply	49
Industrial Use	55
Irrigation	57
Production and Disposal of Oil-Field Brine	58
CONCLUSIONS AND NEEDS FOR FURTHER STUDIES	59
DEFINITIONS OF TERMS	59
REFERENCES CITED	61

TABLES

1.	Well Numbers Used in This Report and Corresponding Numbers Used in Burleson County by Clark (1937) and Brazos County by Turner (1938)	4
2.	Lithology and Water-Bearing Characteristics of the Geologic Units	13
3.	Pumpage of Ground Water, 1958, 1963-64, and 1969	25
4.	Pumpage of Ground Water From Wells in the Flood-Plain Alluvium, of Surface Water From the Brazos River, and Acres Irrigated in 1958, 1964, and 1969	27
5.	Pumpage of Ground Water for Public Supply, 1940-70	28
6.	Summary of Aquifer Tests	29
7.	Water Levels in Bryan City Wells Tapping the Sparta Sand, 1938-60	37
8.	Source and Significance of Dissolved-Mineral Constituents and Properties of Water	50
9.	Comparison of Quality of Ground Water in Brazos and Burleson Counties With Standards Recommended by the U.S. Public Health Service and Others	52
10.	Pesticidal Analyses of Water Samples From Selected Wells	55

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd.)

Page

11.	Water-Quality Tolerances for Industrial Applications	56
12.	Records of Wells and Springs	63
13.	Records of Oil and Gas Wells Used for Data-Control Points	106
14.	Drillers' Logs of Wells	108
15.	Drillers' Logs of Test Holes in the Flood Plain of the Brazos River	156
16.	Water Levels in Wells	160
17.	Chemical Analyses of Water From Wells and Springs	174

FIGURES

1.	Map Showing Location of Brazos and Burleson Counties	3
2.	Graph Showing Annual Precipitation at College Station, 1912-70	5
3.	Graph Showing Average Monthly Precipitation and Temperature at College Station and Average Monthly Gross Lake-Surface Evaporation in Brazos and Burleson Counties	7
4.	Diagram Showing Well-Numbering System	8
5.	Geologic Map	9
6.	Chart Showing Correlation of Geologic Units Along Line A-A', Burleson County	11
7.	Chart Showing Correlation of Geologic Units Along Line B-B', Robertson, Brazos, and Washington Counties	15
8.	Photographs of Outcrops of the Queen City Sand	18
9.	Photographs of Outcrops of the Sparta Sand	20
10.	Section C-C' Through Bryan Well Field Showing Data on City Wells and Correlation of Geologic and Lithologic Units	21
11.	Photographs of Outcrops of the Catahoula Sandstone at Hidalgo Falls on the Brazos River	23
12.	Graph Showing the Relation of Drawdown to Transmissivity and Distance in an Artesian Aquifer	32
13.	Graph Showing Relation of Drawdown to Time and Distance in an Artesian Aquifer	32
14.	Graph Showing Drawdown and Recovery in a Pumped Well and the Drawdown Interference and Recovery in an Observation Well During an Aquifer Test in Caldwell City Wells, Feb. 18, 1971	33
15.	Graph Showing Theoretical Drawdown Caused by a Well in the Flood-Plain Alluvium Pumping Continuously for 30 Days	33
16.	Map Showing Approximate Altitude of Water Levels in Wells Tapping the Sparta Sand, 1969-71	35

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd.)

Page

17.	Hydrographs of Wells Tapping the Flood-Plain Alluvium of the Brazos River in Brazos County, 1957-71	38
18.	Hydrographs of Wells Tapping the Flood-Plain Alluvium and Terrace Deposits of the Brazos River in Burleson County, 1957-71	39
19.	Diagram Showing Typical Construction of Wells	40
20.	Map Showing Approximate Thickness of Sand Containing Fresh to Slightly Saline Water in the Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer and Approximate Altitude of the Top of the Carrizo Sand	41
21.	Map Showing Approximate Thickness of Sand Containing Fresh to Slightly Saline Water in the Queen City Sand and Approximate Altitude of the Top of the Queen City Sand	45
22.	Map Showing Approximate Thickness of Sand Containing Fresh to Slightly Saline Water in the Sparta Sand and Approximate Altitude of the Top of the Sparta Sand	47
23.	Map Showing Depths of Wells Tapping Various Aquifers and Sulfate and Chloride Content of Water From Wells	53
24.	Diagram Showing Temperature Gradient of Water From Wells	57
25.	Diagram of Classification of Irrigation Waters	58
26.	Map Showing Locations of Wells and Springs (Except Irrigation Wells in the Flood-Plain Alluvium of Brazos River)	195
27.	Map Showing Locations of Irrigation Wells in the Flood-Plain Alluvium of the Brazos River	197

GROUND-WATER RESOURCES OF BRAZOS AND BURLESON COUNTIES, TEXAS

By

C. R. Follett United States Geological Survey

ABSTRACT

The geologic formations that yield large quantities of water to wells in Brazos and Burleson Counties are, from oldest to youngest, the Wilcox Group, Carrizo Sand, Queen City Sand, Sparta Sand, terrace deposits, and flood-plain alluvium. The Weches, Cook Mountain, and Yegua Formations, Jackson Group, and Catahoula Sandstone are less prolific aquifers, and yield only small or moderate quantities of water. The Carrizo Sand and the underlying Wilcox Group are in hydraulic continuity and function as a single aquifer.

About 34 mgd (million gallons per day) or 38,000 acre-feet of ground water was used for all purposes in 1969. Of this amount, 66 percent was used for irrigation, 32 percent for public supply, and 2 percent for industrial, rural-domestic, and livestock needs. Use of ground water for public supply increased from a total of 1.3 mgd in 1940 to 11 mgd in 1969.

Bryan and Texas A&M University, which are the principal users of ground water for public supply, pumped 10.19 mgd from the Wilcox Group and Sparta Sand in 1969. Use of water from the flood-plain alluvium of the Brazos River for irrigation began in 1948 or 1949. By 1969, about 24,000 acres were being irrigated with about 25,000 acre-feet of ground water.

Large quantities of ground water are available for development. About 290 million acre-feet of fresh to slightly saline water is in transient storage in the principal upland aquifers and flood-plain alluvium. About 220 million acre-feet is stored in the Carrizo-Wilcox aquifer, 40 million acre-feet in the Queen City Sand, and 28 million acre-feet in the Sparta Sand; however, only about half of this water is recoverable by wells. The quantity of water in storage in the flood-plain alluvium varies annually, but in 1969 about 450,000 acre-feet of fresh to slightly saline water was recoverable from storage.

The total quantity of fresh to slightly saline water available from the principal aquifers on a long-term basis without depleting the supply is about 64,000 acre-feet per year or 57 mgd. Of this quantity, 25,000 acre-feet per year or 22 mgd is available from the Carrizo-Wilcox aquifer, 4,400 acre-feet per year or 3.9 mgd from the Queen City Sand, 5,000 acre-feet per year or 4.5 mgd from the Sparta Sand, and 30,000 acre-feet per year or 27 mgd from the flood-plain alluvium.

The yields of wells in Brazos and Burleson Counties ranged from a few gallons per minute to about 2,500 gpm (gallons per minute). From properly constructed wells in areas of thick water-bearing sands, yields of at least 4,500 gpm are possible from the Carrizo-Wilcox aquifer, 300 gpm from the Queen City Sand, 500 gpm from the Sparta Sand, and about 1,500 gpm from the flood-plain alluvium.

Ground water of good chemical quality is available in many of the principal aquifers. The Carrizo-Wilcox aquifer, Queen City Sand, and Sparta Sand contain water that is generally suitable for public supply, many industrial uses, and supplemental irrigation. The flood-plain alluvium contains water that is suitable for irrigation and some industrial uses, but because of the hardness and high iron content, the water is not generally acceptable for public supply. The Weches, Cook Mountain, and Yegua Formations, Jackson Group, Catahoula Sandstone, and terrace deposits generally contain water of poorer quality.

GROUND-WATER RESOURCES OF BRAZOS AND BURLESON COUNTIES, TEXAS

INTRODUCTION

Location and Extent of the Area

Brazos and Burleson Counties are in the West Gulf Coastal Plain of south-central Texas (Figure 1). The report area is bounded on the north by Robertson and Milam Counties, on the east by Madison and Grimes Counties, on the south by Washington County, and on the west by Lee County. The Brazos River divides the report area. Bryan is the county seat of Brazos County, and Caldwell is the county seat of Burleson County. Brazos and Burleson Counties have areas of 583 and 679 square miles, respectively.



Figure 1.-Location of Brazos and Burleson Counties

Purpose and Scope of the Investigation

The purpose of the investigation, which was made by the U.S. Geological Survey in cooperation with the Texas Water Development Board, was to evaluate the ground-water resources of Brazos and Burleson Counties and to make the results of the study available to the public. Emphasis was placed on determination of the source, occurrence, quantity, and quality of the ground water. This report is based on the records of 1,254 water wells, 11 springs, 64 oil wells, numerous electrical logs of wells, 172 drillers' logs, 549 chemical analyses of water samples, 26 aquifer tests in 16 wells, other hydrologic data, climatological data, and geologic mapping. During the course of the investigation, an inventory was made of all municipal, industrial, and irrigation wells, and of selected livestock wells, domestic wells, springs, and oil tests to provide ground-water data throughout the report area.

Electrical logs of water wells and oil tests and drillers' logs of water wells and test holes were used in conjunction with other data to determine: (1) the sand thickness of many of the principal aquifers containing fresh to slightly saline water; (2) the approximate altitude of the base of the fresh to slightly saline water at places in the county; and (3) the approximate altitude of the top of many of the principal aquifers. An inventory of the municipal, industrial, and irrigation pumpage was used to determine the quantities of water being used, and water samples were collected to provide representative data on the quality of the available water.

Previous Investigations

Previous investigations of the ground-water resources of the area have resulted in reports on: Well inventory of Burleson County (Clark, 1937); ground water in the vicinity of Bryan and College Station (Turner, 1938); a series of aquifer tests in the Bryan city wells (Barnes, Follett, and Sundstrom, 1944); the public water supplies in eastern Texas, which included Bryan, Caldwell, and Somerville (Sundstrom, Hastings, and Broadhurst, 1948); a reconnaissance of the Brazos River basin (Cronin and others, 1963); a reconnaissance of the flood-plain alluvium of the Brazos River (Cronin and Wilson, 1967); and several other reports covering large areas that included all or parts of the report area.

Table 1 lists the well numbers used in this report and the corresponding numbers used in Brazos County

NEW NUMBER	OLD NUMBER	NEW NUMBER	OLD NUMBER	NEW NUMBER	OLD NUMBER							
		BRAZOS C	OUNTY									
BJ-59-06-301	9	BJ-59-20-703	27	BJ-59-30-101	121							
20-564	21	402	85	102	136							
565	22	403	89	104	137							
BURLESON COUNTY												
BS-59-18-901	71	BS-59-27-601	37	BS-59-35- 303	179							
19- 401	35	602	38	304	176							
601	18	702	114	401	167							
603	15	708	113	502	159							
701	26	801	42	604	174							
802	27	802	43	803	156							
803	24	806	115	902	303							
806	28	807	211	36-301	347							
20-120	2	28-210	210	706	304							
122	5	617	207	902	309							
401	9	618	208	903	308							
402	10	620	200	37-101	344							
26-203	81	801	191	102	343							
302	75	903	198	108	345							
303	74	904	197	110	337							
304	78	905	195	111	341							
305	76	906	194	608	331							
306	70	908	196	610	333							
501	98	29-730	348	38-413	327							
502	105	34-103	135	414	330							
604	99	104	136	707	323							
605	102	403	137	711	324							
606	100	501	147	713	326							
701	89	505	146	43-101	153							
801	90	506	143	202	301							
902	111	510	148	204	302							
27-202	65	511	141	44-101	311							
203	66	602	161	104	312							
205	67	603	162	201	313							
305	30	606	163	301	316							
401	48	35-104	168	303	315							
501	56	207	126	601	317							
504	60	302	178	45-204	321							

Table 1.—Well Numbers Used in This Report and Corresponding Numbers Used in Burleson County by Clark (1937) and Brazos County by Turner (1938)

by Turner (1938) and in Burleson County by Clark (1937).

Economic Development

The first permanent settlements bv English-speaking settlers in Brazos and Burleson Counties were made in the 1820's under the leadership of Stephen F. Austin. The 1850 census (the first in Texas by the U.S. Census Bureau) listed county populations of 614 and 1,713 in Brazos and Burleson Counties, respectively; the populations increased to recorded maximums of 57,978 in 1970 for Brazos County and 19,848 in 1930 for Burleson County. The population of Burleson County decreased from the maximum in 1930 to 9,999 in 1970. Populations of the four incorporated towns in Brazos and Burleson Counties in 1970 were: Bryan, 33,719; College Station, 17,676; Caldwell, 2,308; and Somerville, 1,250. There are several unincorporated communities in each of the counties.

Diversified livestock- and irrigated-crop production are the principal sources of income in the report area. In Brazos County, Texas A&M University and its associated enterprises are very important to the economy of the area. Other sources of income in Brazos County are sand and gravel production, feed and oil mills, and oil and gas production. The Texas International Speedway—car racing—also brings in much outside revenue to the area. In Burleson County, oil and gas production, manufacturing (aluminum products and creosoted railroad ties and poles), and tourism are important additional sources of income.

Oil was discovered in Brazos County in 1942 and in Burleson County in 1938. According to the Railroad Commission of Texas (1968), Brazos County produced 44,799,000 cubic feet of gas and 1,755 barrels of oil and Burleson County produced 521,000 cubic feet of gas and 6,028 barrels of oil in 1967. Additional discoveries of oil, which have increased the annual production, have been made in each county since 1967.

Topography and Drainage

Altitudes in Brazos County range from a maximum of slightly more than 440 feet above mean sea level about 12 miles northeast of Bryan (about 1 mile northwest of well BJ-59-14-604) to slightly less than 180 feet where the Brazos River leaves the county. Altitudes in Burleson County range from about 557 feet about 12 miles west of Caldwell (about 1 mile north of oil test BS-59-25-601) to slightly less than 180 feet where the Brazos River leaves the county. Regionally, the land surface in the report area rises from southeast to northwest.

Both Brazos and Burleson Counties are drained by the Brazos River and its tributaries. Some of the larger tributaries are the Little Brazos River, Old River, Navasota River, Yegua Creek, and Davidson Creek. A series of dams on the Brazos River upstream from the report area help to maintain the flow of the river during droughts; they also help to prevent or reduce damaging floods which were common prior to the construction of the major dams—Morris Sheppard and Whitney.

Climate

Brazos and Burleson Counties have a warm and humid climate. The summers are long, hot, and dry; temperatures of 100° F (49° C), or more, are common. The winters are short and mild; occasional cold spells may last as long as a week, but these are usually followed by periods of pleasant cool weather. Only 2 months, January and February, have much freezing weather. The average of the January minimum temperatures from 1894-1970 was 42° F (5.5° C), and the average of the July maximum temperatures was 95.5° F (35.2° C). The average frost free period is 274-275 days.

Average annual precipitation at College Station for the period 1912-70 was 39.00 inches and ranged from 16.66 inches in 1917 to 61.04 inches in 1968 (Figure 2). The average monthly precipitation for the same period ranged from 2.37 inches in August to 4.55 inches in May and averaged 3.25 inches (Figure 3). Actual monthly precipitation ranged from zero or a trace on two occasions to 14.70 inches in May 1929.



Figure 2.—Annual Precipitation at College Station, 1912-70

The average monthly gross lake-surface evaporation in Brazos and Burleson Counties for 1940-65 (Kane, 1967, p. 87) ranged from 2.3 inches in February to 7.5 inches in August (Figure 3). Average monthly evaporation was 4.6 inches and the average annual was 54.6 inches. Actual monthly evaporation ranged from 1.3 inches in February 1948 to a maximum of 10.6 inches in July 1956.

The average monthly temperature at College Station ranged from 50.6° F (10.3° C) in January to 84.2° F (29.1° C) in August during 1894-1970; the average annual temperature was 68.2° F (20.1° C) (Figure 3). As the temperature increases, gross lake-surface evaporation also increases as indicated by Figure 3. Humidity and wind velocity are other factors affecting evaporation.

Well-Numbering System

The well-numbering system used in this report is the one adopted by the Texas Water Development Board for use throughout the State (Figure 4). Under this system, each 1-degree quadrangle is given a number consisting of two digits from 01 to 89. These are the first two digits appearing in the well number. Each 1-degree quadrangle is divided into 71/2-minute quadrangles which are given two-digit numbers from 01 to 64. These are the third and fourth digits of the well number. Each 71/2-minute guadrangle is subdivided into 2½-minute quadrangles which are given a single digit number from 1 to 9. This is the fifth digit of the well number. Finally, each well within a 21/2-minute quadrangle is given a two-digit number in the order in which it is inventoried, starting with 01. These are the last two digits of the well number. In addition to the seven-digit well number, a two-letter prefix is used to identify the county. The prefix for Brazos County is BJ and for Burleson County it is BS. Thus, well BJ-59-21-604 is in Brazos County, (BJ), in the 1-degree guadrangle 59 (the numbers of all the wells in Brazos and Burleson Counties begin with BJ-59 or BS-59), in the 7½-minute quadrangle 21, in the 2½-minute quadrangle 6, and was the fourth well (04) inventoried in the 2½-minute guadrangle.

On the well-location maps in this report (Figures 26 and 27), the numbers of the 7½-minute quadrangles are shown in their northwest corners where possible. The three-digit number shown with the well symbol contains the number of the 2½-minute quadrangle in which the well is located and the number of the well within that quadrangle.

Acknowledgments

The writer expresses his appreciation for the information and assistance furnished by various city officials, Texas A&M University, farmers, ranchers, and

personnel of the U.S. Department of Agriculture. Special acknowledgment is made to water well drillers, particularly Layne Texas Co., Inc.

GEOLOGY AS RELATED TO THE OCCURRENCE OF GROUND WATER

General Stratigraphy and Structure

The geologic formations tapped by water wells in Brazos and Burleson Counties range in age from Tertiary to Quaternary. The units, from oldest to youngest, are: Wilcox Group, Carrizo Sand, Reklaw Formation, Queen City Sand, Weches Formation, Sparta Sand, Cook Mountain Formation, Yegua Formation, Jackson Group, and Catahoula Sandstone of Tertiary age and terrace deposits and flood-plain alluvium of Quaternary age. The principal water-bearing units or aquifers are the Wilcox Group; Carrizo, Queen City, and Sparta Sands; and flood-plain alluvium. The others are less prolific, but are important because some of them provide water for rural water systems and for domestic and livestock use on many farms and ranches. Table 2 shows the lithology and water-bearing characteristics of the geologic units in Brazos and Burleson Counties.

Except for the flood-plain alluvium and terrace deposits, the outcrops of the geologic formations in Brazos and Burleson Counties lie in more or less parallel bands that trend roughly northeastward (Figure 5). Part of the oldest exposed unit, the Queen City Sand, crops out along the northwest Burleson County line. Except where disturbed by faulting, the Tertiary formations dip southeastward toward the Gulf Coast at a maximum rate of about 110 feet per mile. The formations generally thicken southeastward, causing the dip of each younger formation to be slightly less steep.

The Tertiary formations are displaced by several faults or fault systems that trend northeast across Brazos and Burleson Counties. The faults shown on Figure 5 are in northwestern Burleson County and in the Millican area. Other faults are known to exist but have not been mapped. Formations on the south side of the faults are upthrown relative to those on the north side. The faults in the report area probably do not significantly affect the occurrence of ground water except in small areas, although the electrical log of well BJ-59-39-109 indicates that about 630 feet of the section above the Wilcox Group is missing due to two faults having throws of 380 and 250 feet.

Figures 6 and 7, which are charts based on electrical logs of oil tests and water wells, show correlations of the geologic units. These charts indicate the top, base, and thickness of the various units and the approximate base of fresh to slightly saline water.









120

10

÷.

.

15

12

X.

R

SYSTEM	SERIES	GEOLOGIC FORMATIONS	MAXIMUM THICKNESS (FT)	LITHOLOGY	WATER-BEARING PROPERTIES				
	Holocene	Flood-plain alluvium	82	Fine to coarse sand, gravel, silt, and clay.	Yields small to large quantities of fresh to slightly saline water, mostly to irrigation wells along the Brazos River.				
Quaternary	Pleistocene	Terrace deposits	70	Fine to coarse sand, gravel, silt, and clay.	Yields small to large quantities of fresh to slightly saline water to wells for rural-domestic and livestock use and to a few irrigation.				
	Miocene	Catahoula Sandstone	460	Clay, tuff, sand, and sandstone.	Yields small quantities of fresh to slightly saline water to a few wells in southern Brazos County.				
		Jackson Group	1,480	Lignitic shale, volcanic ash, sand, sandstone, and clay.	Yields small quantities of fresh to moderately saline water to wells in the outcrop for rural-domestic and livestock use.				
	Eocene	Yegua Formation	1,150	Fine to medium sand, silt, clay, gypsum, fossilized wood, and lignite.	Yields small to moderate quantities of fresh to moderately saline water to many wells for public- supply, rural-domestic, livestock, and irrigation use.				
		Cook Mountain Formation	550	Carbonaceous clay and a small amount of sand, sandstone, lime- stone, glauconite, and gypsum. The Spiller Sand Member of Stenzel (1940) ¹ is near the middle of the formation.	Yields small quantities of fresh to slightly saline water to wells that tap the Spiller Sand Member.				
Tertiary		Eocene	Sparta Sand	290	Fine to medium sand with some clay, and sandy clay.	Yields small to large quantities of fresh to slightly saline water to wells in and downdip from the out- crop.			
			Eocene	Weches Formation	130	Iron-bearing glauconitic clay and sand.	Yields small quantities of fresh to moderately saline water to a few wells in or near the outcrop.		
		Queen City Sand	540	Massive to thin-bedded, fine to medium sand, clay, and some lenses of conglomerate containing iron.	Yields small to large quantities of fresh to slightly saline water to wells in and several miles downdip from the outcrop.				
		Reklaw Formation	430	Glauconitic sand and silt in the lower part of formation; clay and thin beds of sandstone in the upper part.	Capable of yielding small quantities of fresh to slightly saline water to wells in some places. Not known to yield water to wells in the report area.				
		Carrízo Sand	250	Fine to coarse, crossbedded sand and some thin beds of sandstone and clay.	Yields small to large quantities of fresh to slightly saline water, mostly to public-supply wells.				
		Wilcox Group	3,900	Fine to coarse sand and sandstone, sandy clay, clay, and shale, with some lenses of limestone and lignite. Simsboro Sand member ² is in the middle part of the group.	Yields small to large quantities of fresh to moderately saline water to public-supply, irrigation rural-domestic, and livestock wells. Most water pro- duced from the Simsboro Sand Member.				

Table 2.-Lithology and Water-Bearing Characteristics of the Geologic Units

1)Spiller Sand Member of Cook Mountain not adopted by U.S. Geological Survey. 2)Simsboro Sand Member of Rockdale Formation of Plummer (1933) not adopted by U.S. Geological Survey.

Physical Characteristics and Water-Bearing Properties of the Geologic Units

Wilcox Group

The Wilcox Group crops out across Milam and Robertson Counties and underlies Brazos and Burleson Counties. It consists chiefly of fine to coarse sand and sandstone, sandy clay, clay, and shale, with some lenses of limestone and lignite. The sand, which constitutes about 40 percent of the Wilcox, is mostly quartz; however, some organic matter and dark-colored minerals give the sand a "salt and pepper" appearance.

In many places the Wilcox has an upper, middle, and lower sandy zone. The middle zone is equivalent to the Simsboro Sand Member of the Rockdale Formation of Plummer (1932), and is hereafter identified in this report as the "Simsboro Sand Member". 1 This unit contains a greater percentage of sand and coarse sand than the other two zones. The Simsboro Sand Member ranges in thickness from about 415 to 850 feet and in places is almost all sand. Except for Simsboro Sand Member, individual sand beds in the Wilcox Group are not continuous over long distances, and although some beds are 100 feet or more in thickness, correlation between wells is difficult even for short distances. The lenticularity of the sand beds is due mainly to their continental and shallow marine origin as channel, deltaic, and lagoonal deposits.

The Wilcox Group dips southeastward at about 110 feet per mile. Locally, the dip may be increased or decreased by faults. The altitudes of the top and base of the Wilcox range from about 100 and 2,000 feet below sea level, respectively, in northwestern Burleson County to about 4,400 and 7,000 feet below sea level, respectively, in the southern part of Brazos County.

The Wilcox ranges in thickness from about 1,950 to 3,900 feet in unfaulted areas. The maximum thickness observed on electrical logs was in well BJ-59-23-704, about 8 miles east of Bryan. Electrical log of well BJ-59-39-109 near Millican (Figure 7) indicates that only 1,390 feet of the group is present; about 1,500 feet of Wilcox is estimated to be missing. Faulting or nondeposition associated with the Millican Salt Dome encountered at 4,880 feet below land surface accounts for the missing Wilcox section.

The Wilcox contains fresh to slightly saline water in the northwestern part of the report area. At about the southeasternmost 1,500-foot sand-thickness contour in Figure 20, the lower part of the Wilcox contains moderately saline water. Southeastward from this line, the Wilcox contains progressively more highly mineralized water. The Wilcox contains no fresh or slightly saline water southeast of a line crossing the report area about 2 to 3 miles north of Somerville and Wellborn. At well BS-59-37-403 (Figure 26), fresh to slightly saline water in the Wilcox reaches a depth below land surface of at least 4,320 feet (4,010 feet below sea level).

The Wilcox Group yields small to large quantities of fresh to moderately saline water to public supply, irrigation, rural-domestic, and livestock wells. It yields the needed small quantities of water to a few rural-domestic and livestock wells, and moderate to large quantities to several public-supply wells. The Wilcox furnishes part of the water used by Bryan and Texas A&M University; Caldwell pumps water from dual-completion wells in the upper part of the Wilcox and Carrizo Sand. The Bryan and Texas A&M University wells are pumped at 2,000 to 2,500 gpm (gallons per minute), whereas the wells at Caldwell are pumped at 300 to 1,100 gpm; however, all of these wells are capable of greater yields. Generally, much greater yields from the Wilcox could be obtained from large-diameter wells that are properly constructed, underreamed, gravel-packed, and screened in all available sands.

Carrizo Sand

The Carrizo Sand unconformably overlies the Wilcox Group and crops out in a belt 1 to 2 miles wide extending across Milam and Robertson Counties. The outcrop nearest the report area is just across the northwestern Burleson County line in Milam County. In general, the outcrop is covered by a thick growth of blackjack oak and brush, but the land is gradually being cleared for pasture improvement and grazing.

The Carrizo is almost all sand, consisting chiefly of fine to coarse crossbedded sand and some thin beds of sandstone and clay. Generally, the sand is white and consists of rounded to subangular coarse quartz grains. The strata are massive and in places slightly cemented. In many pits and roadcuts, the Carrizo is sufficiently indurated to form steep faces. Iron staining is indicated by reddish zones in places on the natural outcrop and after exposure in pits and roadcuts. Because of the lithologic similarity of the Carrizo and Wilcox, the two formations, in most places, are in hydraulic continuity. The maximum known thickness of the Carrizo is 250 feet in well BS-59-27-714.

The top of the Carrizo dips southeastward at an average rate of about 110 feet per mile (Figure 20). The altitude of the top of the Carrizo, where it contains fresh-to slightly saline water, ranges from about 260 feet above sea level to about 2,200 feet below sea level in Burleson County and from less than 625 to more than 2,130 feet below sea level in Brazos County. The southeastern extent of the fresh to slightly saline water in the Carrizo coincides with the line showing the approximate downdip limit of fresh to slightly saline

 $[\]underline{J}$ The name was first used by F. B. Plummer, 1932, Texas Univ. Bull. 3232, p. 530. The U.S. Geological Survey has not adopted the name.



water on Figure 20. Southeast of this line the water in the Carrizo is moderately saline.

The Carrizo Sand yields small to large quantities of fresh to slightly saline water, mostly to public-supply wells. However, very few wells in the report area' produce from the Carrizo. The principal factor limiting development of the Carrizo is the availability of suitable water in the overlying Queen City Sand and younger formations, which because of their shallower depth are more economical sources of water.

In some places the Carrizo Sand is a clayey sand capable of yielding only small quantities of water to wells. Well BJ-59-21-206, drilled by Bryan in 1938 as a test of the Carrizo, found little or no water-bearing sand in the Carrizo—probably a very localized condition—but did find that the Sparta Sand would produce moderate to large yields of water of excellent quality. The electrical log of nearby well BJ-59-21-205 indicates that the Carrizo Sand is 100 feet thick, almost all sand, and would be capable of yielding moderate to large quantities of water to wells. Neither Bryan nor Texas A&M University, however, utilizes the Carrizo for their water requirements.

Reklaw Formation

The Reklaw Formation conformably overlies the Carrizo Sand and crops out across Milam and Robertson Counties in a belt 1 to 3 miles wide. The lower part of the formation, which is equivalent to the Newby Glauconitic Sand Member of Stenzel (1938, p. 65-71)2/, consists principally of glauconitic sand and silt about 100 feet thick. The sand is finer grained than the underlying Carrizo and is buff colored instead of white. In some places, the Newby may be in hydraulic continuity with the Carrizo.

The upper part of the Reklaw, equivalent to the Marquez Shale Member of Sténzel (1938, p. 71-78)2, consists chiefly of clay and a few thin beds of sandstone. The Reklaw has a maximum thickness of 430 feet and generally increases in thickness southeastward in the direction of the dip.

In some places in the report area, the basal sand of the Reklaw is capable of yielding small quantities of fresh to slightly saline water. Few, if any wells in the report area utilize water from the Reklaw, but in the Smithville area in Bastrop County, 35 miles southwest of Burleson County, yields of 200 to 300 gpm are possible from the Reklaw (Follett, 1970, p. 20). Available data do not indicate that similar yields from the Reklaw are likely in the report area.

2/ Not adopted by U.S. Geological Survey.

Queen City Sand

The Queen City Sand conformably overlies the Reklaw Formation and crops out in a northeastward trending belt about 4 to 8 miles wide across Burleson, Milam, and Robertson Counties. It is composed of massive to thin-bedded fine to medium sand, clay, and some lenses of conglomerate containing iron. Most of the exposures observed in northwestern Burleson County were thin bedded. At the outcrop, the formation generally weathers to various shades of red, tan, and brown; however, in some places the sand is light-colored or almost white, and might at first glance be mistaken for Carrizo. The Queen City, which is composed of about 40 percent sand, has a maximum thickness of 540 feet.

Figure 8 shows two views of the upper part of the Queen City in a roadcut 0.4 mile west of well BS-59-26-702 on State Highway 908. The upper view (Figure 8A), from across the highway, shows uneven bedding and lenses of sand and clay. The lower view (Figure 8B) is a closeup of the middle part of the upper view. The lower part of the Queen City Sand is massive crossbedded sand with almost no lenses. Correlation of individual beds is difficult or impossible even in short distances, but sand or clay zones usually can be correlated.

The Queen City dips southeastward at an average rate of about 105 feet per mile. The top of the formation is more than 2,400 feet below sea level near the southeastern corner of Burleson County where the deepest sand containing fresh to slightly saline water occurs (Figure 21).

The Queen City yields small to large quantities of fresh to slightly saline water to wells in and several miles downdip from the outcrop and is capable of yielding fresh to slightly saline water as far downdip as the approximate downdip limit of fresh to slightly saline water as shown in Figure 21.

In Burleson County, many wells in and near the outcrop of the Queen City produce water from the aquifer for domestic and livestock use. Deanville Water Supply Corporation obtains the water for its rural water system from a Queen City well. Well BS-59-27-701, formerly used for irrigation, pumped an estimated 400 gpm from the Queen City. A factor limiting development of the Queen City downdip from the outcrop is the availability of suitable water in the overlying Sparta Sand and younger formations, which are more economical sources of water.



A. Roadcut 0.4 Mile West of Well BS-59-26-702 on F. M. Road 908.



B. Roadcut 0.4 Mile West of Well BS-59-26-702 on F. M. Road 908. Shows Close-Up View of Part of Cut in A.

Figure 8.-Outcrops of the Queen City Sand.

4.

Weches Formation

The Weches Formation crops out in a band 1 to 2.5 miles wide across the northwestern part of Burleson County (Figure 5) and across Robertson County. The Weches is composed of a maximum of 130 feet of brown, iron-bearing, glauconitic clay and sand.

The Weches yields only small quantities of fresh to moderately saline water to wells in or near the outcrop. Only a few wells tap the formation because the water generally is of poor quality, mainly being high in the content of iron. Water from some of the Weches wells is called "alum water" by the local people.

Sparta Sand

The Sparta Sand overlies the Weches Formation and crops out in a belt 2 to 5 miles wide extending across Burleson County and into Robertson County (Figure 5); it is not exposed in Brazos County. Most of the Sparta consists of fine to medium, stratified, unconsolidated to lightly cemented sand that is crossbedded and interbedded with thin layers of mostly clay and sandy clay.

Figure 9 shows two views of the Sparta Sand in a sand pit about 3 miles northwest of Caldwell. Figure 9A shows the strata over a large area; Figure 9B is a closeup view of part of Figure 9A. The views show the bedding characteristics of the Sparta and the dip (which is to the left, or southeast). In the foreground is the railroad spur used when cars were being loaded with sand. On the surface the Sparta weathers to a deep, unconsolidated white sand that resembles the Carrizo.

Figure 10, which is a section through the original five wells in the Bryan well field, shows that the Sparta at that location consists of as many as three separate sand beds separated by 25 to 100 feet of clay and sandy clay.

The middle or principal sand bed in well BJ-59-21-501 was screened in all five wells; only well BJ-59-21-305 was screened in the uppermost sand; and only well BJ-59-21-501 was screened in the lowermost sand shown in the section. Whether this lowermost sand is present below the other wells in the section is not known because drilling was stopped just below the bottom of the second sand.

The electrical log of well BJ-59-21-205 (Figure 7), which is about 1.5 miles north of the section shown in Figure 10, shows that the Sparta at this location is mostly all sand. Even though in some places the sand beds may be separated by clay or sandy clay, all sand beds in the Sparta are in regional hydraulic continuity.

The Sparta Sand dips southeastward at an average rate of about 100 feet per mile. The top of the Sparta

÷

ranges from at least 280 and 330 feet above sea level in Brazos and Burleson Counties, respectively, to more than 2,000 feet below sea level at the approximate downdip limit of fresh to slightly saline water in the southeastern part of the report area (Figure 22).

The Sparta yields small to large quantities of fresh to slightly saline water to wells in and downdip from the outcrop. The aquifer is capable of yielding fresh to slightly saline water as far southeast as the general vicinity of Clay and Millican. Although there are only a few wells in the report area that are pumped at more than 500 gpm, several Sparta wells operated by Bryan and Texas A&M University are pumped at 200 to 400 gpm. The rural water systems of Lyons, Snook, and Tunis have wells pumping small quantities of water from the Sparta.

Cook Mountain Formation

The Cook Mountain Formation, which overlies the Sparta Sand, crops out in a belt 1 to 4 miles across the north-central part of Burleson County, continues across the northwestern corner of Brazos County, and then follows along the county line to the Navasota River (Figure 5).

It is interesting to note that the Old Spanish Road (known also as the King's Highway or El Camino Real), which was laid out in 1691, crossed the report area on the outcrop of the Cook Mountain. This location of the road was not accidental; the outcrop provided a relatively level route with very little sand to impede the oxcarts and horses used by travelers between the San Antonio and Nacogdoches missions.

The Cook Mountain is a marine deposit consisting of a maximum of 550 feet of carbonaceous clay and a small amount of sand, sandstone, limestone, glauconite, gypsum, and fossilized wood. Generally, a 20- to 50-foot section of sand occurs near the middle of the formation. This sand is the Spiller Sand Member of Stenzel (1940). Stenzel (1938, p. 150) describes the Spiller Sand Member in nearby Leon County as being 105 feet thick. Electrical logs of some wells in the report area indicate that the Spiller Sand Member is 20 to 50 feet thick, but electrical logs of other wells indicate that the Spiller Sand Member is either absent or not distinguishable.

The Cook Mountain Formation yields small quantities of fresh to slightly saline water to several wells. All of these wells tap the Spiller Sand Member on or a short distance downdip from the outcrop. No usable supplies of water are available in the Cook Mountain above or below the Spiller Sand Member.



A. Sand Pit Near Spring BS-59-27-402.



B. Sand Pit Near Spring BS-59-27-402. Shows Close-Up View of Part of Cut in A.

Figure 9.-Outcrops of the Sparta Sand.



Yegua Formation

The Yegua Formation, which overlies the Cook Mountain Formation, crops out across the report area in a northeasterly trending belt from 6 to 12 miles wide (Figure 5). The Yegua outcrop which decreases in width from east to west across the two counties, covers about one-third of the report area.

The Yegua, which is a continental deposit, consists of layers of fine to medium sand, silt, sandy and carbonaceous clay, gypsum, fossilized wood, and lignite. These layers can be correlated for only very short distances, but they are generally in hydraulic continuity. The Yegua, which contains more sand, carbonaceous matter, and gypsum than the Cook Mountain, has a thickness, where uneroded, that ranges from 670 to 1,150 feet. The thickness decreases from east to west.

The Yegua Formation yields small to moderate quantities of fresh to moderately saline water to many wells for public-supply, rural-domestic, livestock, and irrigation use. The Clay and Wellborn Water Supply Corporations obtain water from the Yegua. Several trailer parks in the vicinity of Texas A&M University obtain water from the Yegua, and most of the farm and ranch wells drilled on the outcrop of the Yegua, and for a short distance downdip, obtain their water supply from this aquifer. Generally, the Yegua contains fresh to slightly saline water to a depth of about 1,200 feet below the land surface.

Jackson Group

The Jackson Group, which overlies the Yegua Formation, crops out in the southern part of Brazos and Burleson Counties (Figure 5). Faults in the Millican area have displaced the Jackson so that the overlying Catahoula Sandstone is exposed in places as crescent outcrops completely surrounded by the exposures of the Jackson. The Jackson consists of a maximum of about 1,480 feet of lignitic shale, volcanic ash, sand, sandstone, and clay.

The Jackson yields small quantities of fresh to moderately saline water to wells in the outcrop for rural-domestic and livestock use. In the Millican area, faults probably restrict the movement of water locally and thus contribute to the higher mineralization of the water obtained by some of the wells.

Catahoula Sandstone

The Catahoula Sandstone, which overlies the Jackson Group, crops out across northern Washington County and in the most southern part of Brazos County. The exposures in Brazos County are mostly downfaulted blocks in the Millican area. The Catahoula, which consists of clay, tuff, sand, and sandstone, reaches a maximum thickness of about 460 feet. A series of rapids known as Hidalgo Falls (near well BJ-59-39-807) occur where the Brazos River crosses a resistant sandstone section in the middle part of the Catahoula. Figure 11 shows two views of Hidalgo Falls taken from the northeast bank of the Brazos River. The upper view (Figure 11A), looking upstream, shows the upstream end of the rapids. The lower view (Figure 11B), looking downstream, shows the downstream end of the rapids and rock ledges protruding as much as 6 feet above the water. These rocks have withstood centuries of erosion.

The Catahoula Sandstone yields small quantities of fresh to slightly saline water to a few wells in southern Brazos County. Yields of 200 gpm or more from properly constructed wells may be possible in the southern extremity of Brazos County.

Terrace Deposits

The sediments deposited by the Brazos River and its tributaries at various levels above the present flood plain are referred to as terrace deposits in this report. The terrace deposits rest unconformably on the bedrock of older formations and consist of fine to coarse sand, gravel, silt, and clay. The maximum thickness may reach 70 feet in places. The terrace deposits have been dissected more than the flood-plain alluvium, and in some areas, much of the terrace deposits have been removed by erosion.

The terrace deposits yield small to large quantities of fresh to slightly saline water to wells. Most of the wells supply water for the rural-domestic and livestock use. A total of eight wells in Brazos and Burleson Counties (four in each county) are or have been used for irrigation; their reported yields range from 200 to 600 gpm. Extensive development of the water in the terrace deposits is doubtful, as these deposits probably can support only small-scale irrigation.

Flood-Plain Alluvium

The flood-plain alluvium rests unconformably on the eroded bedrock surfaces of older formations and represents deposits laid down by the Brazos River and its tributaries in comparatively recent geologic time. In most places in the report area, the alluvium along the Brazos River is considerably more extensive on one side of the river than on the opposite side. Only in the area between Clay and Millican do extensive deposits occur on both sides of the Brazos. Deposition of the flood-plain alluvium resulted from meandering stream channels and overbank flows. The alluvium probably was eroded and redeposited several times, with the coarser material generally being left in place.

The alluvium is composed of fine to coarse, red to tan sand, gravel, silt, and red to brown clay. Much of the clay is almost black on fresh exposure. The composition



A. Upstream End of Outcrop Creating Hidalgo Falls.



B. Downstream End of Outcrop Creating Hidalgo Falls. Bedrock Protrudes as Much as 6 Feet Above the Water.

Figure 11.—Outcrops of the Catahoula Sandstone at Hidalgo Falls on the Brazos River.

of the flood-plain alluvium differs from place to place, usually within a few feet. The individual beds or lenses of sand and gravel pinch out laterally and vertically. In general, the fine-grained material is above the coarse material. According to Cronin and Wilson (1967, p. 21), clay varying from red to reddish brown and ranging in thickness from 5 to 30 feet commonly occurs in the upper part of the flood-plain alluvium. Gravel, whether mixed with sand or clean and well sorted, occurs mostly in the lower part of the alluvium. Gravel pits show that the material ranges from pea size or less to cobbles about 5 inches in diameter and from clean and well sorted to poorly sorted.

The thickness of the flood-plain alluvium, as indicated by many test holes and irrigation wells, reaches a probable maximum of 82 feet and averages about 50 to 55 feet. The probable maximum thickness of 82 feet is based on the assumption that the 82-foot irrigation well BJ-59-38-612 was drilled to the base of the alluvium and not into bedrock.

Large quantities of sand and gravel in the flood-plain alluvium are obtained in the report area. The only observed pit in operation in 1969 was near the Little Brazos River 8 miles east-northeast of Bryan. The total thickness of the flood-plain alluvium in this pit was 40 to 55 feet. Formerly, a sand and gravel pit was operated near well BJ-59-29-536 (Figure 26), which furnished water for washing the sand and gravel.

The flood-plain deposits yield small to large quantities of fresh to slightly saline water, mostly to irrigation wells along the Brazos River. Yields of the irrigation wells range from less than 250 gpm to more than 1,000 gpm. About half of the wells probably yield between 250 and 500 gpm.

GROUND-WATER HYDROLOGY

Occurrence of Ground Water

The general principles of the occurrence and movement of ground water in all types of rocks have been described in detail by many writers including Meinzer (1923 and 1942) and Tolman (1937).

The source of ground water in Brazos and Burleson Counties is precipitation on the outcrops of the geologic formations. A large part of the precipitation runs off, is consumed by evaporation, or is stored in the soil to be evaporated or transpired later. A small part of the water infiltrates the soil and subsoil, moves downward to the water table, and becomes part of the ground water in storage.

The factors affecting ground-water recharge include the intensity and amount of rainfall, the slope of the land surface, the type of soil, the type of material between the land surface and the water table, the hydraulic conductivity of the aquifer, the quantity of water in the aquifer, and the rate of evapotranspiration.

In sandy outcrop areas, ground water is unconfined and is under water-table conditions. Downdip from the outcrop, where an aquifer is overlain by less permeable material, the water becomes confined and is under artesian conditions.

Water under artesian conditions, if not affected by heavy pumping, will rise in wells to an altitude equal to its altitude in the recharge area minus the loss in pressure due to friction. Where the elevation of the land surface at a well is considerably below the general level of the area of the outcrops, the pressure may be sufficient to cause the water to rise above the land surface. There are many wells in Brazos and Burleson Counties that flow, and flowing wells could be obtained over much larger areas by deeper drilling. Flowing wells could be drilled in the valleys of the larger streams over much of the report area.

The first wells completed in the Simsboro Sand Member by Bryan and Texas A&M University flowed more than 1,000 gpm. Well BS-59-43-501, in southwestern Burleson County, flowed 1,100 gpm on July 30, 1965; the pressure head was sufficient to raise water 87.8 feet above the land surface.

Ground water in the report area moves slowly (tens to hundreds of feet per year) under the influence of gravity from areas of recharge to areas of discharge. Generally, as the water moves downdip it dissolves some of the rock material so that the water becomes progressively more mineralized the longer and farther it travels.

The ground water is discharged naturally through seeps and springs in the outcrop area of the aquifers, by evaporation and transpiration where the water table is close enough to the surface to be reached by the roots of plants or trees, and by seepage through semiconfining beds or along faults into another aquifer having a lower pressure. Ground water is discharged artificially through wells.

Ground-Water Development and Use

The inventory of 1,254 water wells, nine springs, and 64 oil wells (Tables 12 and 13) includes only a part of the total number of wells in Brazos and Burleson Counties; however, records of all municipal, industrial, and irrigation wells are included in this report. The locations of irrigation wells in the flood-plain alluvium of the Brazos River are shown on Figure 27. The locations of all other wells and springs are shown on Figure 26. Records of the pumpage of ground water for all purposes for the years 1958, 1963-64, and 1969 are given in Table 3.

Table 3.--Pumpage of Ground Water, 1958, 1963-64, and 1969

(Figures are approximate because some of the pumpage is estimated. Public-supply pumpage is shown to the nearest 0.001 mgd and to the nearest acre-foot. Industrial, irrigation, rural-domestic, and livestock pumpage is shown to two significant figures. Totals are rounded to two significant figures.)

			IRRI	GATION		
	PUBLIC SUPPLY	INDUSTRIAL	FLOOD-PLAIN ALLUVIUM	TERRACE DEPOSITS AND OLDER FORMATIONS	RURAL-DOMESTIC AND LIVESTOCK	TOTALS
YEAR	MGD AC-FT/YR	MGD AC-FT/Y	R MGD AC-FT/YR	MGD AC-FT/YR	MGD AC-FT/YR	MGD AC-FT/YR
1958	5.487 6,151		18 20,000	0.50 560	0.71 800	25 28,000
1963	7.998 8,996	0.063 71	14 16,000	.43 480	. 75 840	23 26,000
1964	7.551 8,465	.066 75	29 32,000	.31 350	.75 840	38 42,000
1969	10.737 12,037	.057 65	22 25,000	.20 230	.78 870	34 38,000

.

The use of ground water increased from 25 mgd (million gallons per day) or 28,000 acre-feet per year in 1958 to 34 mgd or 38,000 acre-feet per year in 1969. During 1969, 66 percent of the total ground water used was for irrigation, 32 percent for public supply, and about 2 percent for industrial, rural-domestic, and livestock supply. Large differences in annual ground-water pumpage are common, as a large part of the ground-water pumpage for all purposes is used for supplemental irrigation, and the quantity used mainly depends upon the amount of rainfall during the crop-growing season.

The use of water from the flood-plain alluvium of the Brazos River for irrigation began in 1948 in Robertson County (Hughes and Magee, 1962, p. 1). The first irrigation well in the flood-plain alluvium in Brazos and Burleson Counties was drilled in 1948 or 1949 after the pioneer well was completed in Robertson County. Thereafter, the number of irrigation wells drilled and put into operation in the report area increased at a rapid rate, especially during the drought of 1950-57. In 1969, 99 percent of the ground water used for irrigation in Brazos and Burleson Counties was pumped from the flood-plain alluvium of the Brazos River.

Table 4 shows the pumpage of ground water from wells in the flood-plain alluvium, the pumpage of surface water from the Brazos River, and the acres irrigated in 1958, 1964, and 1969. From 1958 to 1964, pumpage of ground water and surface water increased significantly as did the irrigated acreage. A decline in the pumpage of ground water and surface water and in the irrigated acreage occurred from 1964 to 1969. Whereas Burleson County used slightly more ground water for irrigation than Brazos County during these three years, Brazos County used considerably more surface water. The rate of usage of water for irrigation, commonly called the "duty of water", was approximately 1 acre-foot per acre.

Table 5 shows the pumpage of water for public supply for 1940-70. This table includes data for commercial systems that furnish water for unincorporated communities and rural areas. From 1940 to 1970, the amount of ground water used for public supply increased slightly more than 8 times, from 1.3 to 11 mgd. The largest users of water for public supply are the city of Bryan, which in 1970 used about three-fourths of the total amount for public supply pumped that year, and Texas A&M University.

Bryan's first municipal water system used water from wells BJ-59-22-401 to -403 (and others not included in this report) until 1940 when the present well field was put into operation. At that time, the well field began using wells BJ-59-21-206, -302, -304, and -305, which produce water from the Sparta Sand. In 1954, Bryan drilled its first well into the Simsboro Sand Member. Additional Sparta and Wilcox wells were added to the well field as additional supplies were needed. Texas A&M University at College Station obtained water from wells in the Yegua Formation from the time of the institution's establishment in 1886 until the 1940's, when the university and the city of College Station began buying their water supply from Bryan. The wells and other facilities of the old Bryan Air Force Base near College Station were acquired by the university in 1946. In 1951, Texas A&M University developed their present well field and started pumping from the Sparta Sand and Wilcox Group. Since 1951, the university has pumped all of the water for its own needs and most of the water used by the city of College Station. When necessary, College Station buys additional supplies from the city of Bryan.

Aquifer Tests

Aquifer tests have been made in 16 wells in Brazos and Burleson Counties. The results of the tests are given in Table 6, which shows the well number, aquifer, transmissivity, storage coefficient, specific capacity, and other information. The aquifers tested were the Carrizo Sand, the upper part of the Wilcox Group, Sparta Sand, Yegua Formation, and flood-plain alluvium. The test data were analyzed by the Theis nonequilibrium method (Theis, 1935) and the Theis recovery method (Wenzel, 1942, p. 95).

The transmissivities, which should be considered as representative of the intervals of sand screened in the well and not of the entire formation, ranged from 23 to 9,620 feet squared per day. The range in transmissivities, in feet squared per day, of each aquifer tested was: Carrizo Sand and upper part of the Wilcox Group, 1,100 to 2,690; Sparta Sand, 330 to 5,350; and flood-plain alluvium, 6,950 to 9,620.

One test of a well tapping the Yegua Formation indicated that the formation had a transmissivity of 23 feet squared per day. Aquifer tests of the middle zone of the Wilcox Group were not made by the Geological Survey, but the transmissivity of this unit in well BJ-59-21-303, as reported to the city of Bryan in 1954, was about 11,700 feet squared per day. The test, however, was made under difficult conditions because the recovery of the water level in the well, when pumping was stopped, was so rapid that a recovery period sufficiently long to meet the requirements of a good aquifer test was impossible. The same problem was encountered by the Geological Survey in attempting to conduct aquifer tests in wells BJ-59-21-202 and BS-59-43-501.

Storage coefficients were obtained for certain aquifers under artesian and water-table conditions. The storage coefficients, as determined from the field tests for those aquifers under artesian conditions, ranged from 0.000017 to 0.00028. The storage coefficient of the flood-plain alluvium, which is under water-table conditions, is essentially the specific yield. Cronin and Wilson (1967, p. 27) reported laboratory determinations

			1958				1964		1969				
	GROUND	WATER	SURFACE	WATER	GROUND	WATER	SURFACE	WATER	GRO UND	WATER	SURFACE	WATER	
	ACRE ~FEET	ACRES	ACRE~FEET	ACRES	ACRE-FEET	ACRES	ACRE-FEET	ACRES	ACRE-FEET	ACRES	ACRE-FEET	ACRES	
Brazos County	10,000	12,000	4,600	5,500	16,000	16,000	10,000	9,2 0 0	10,000	12,000	7,700	8,800	
Burleson County	9,800	9,800	640	640	16,000	16,000	3,300	2,500	15,000	12,000	2,200	2,100	
Total	20,000	22,000	5,200	6,100	32,000	32,000	13,000	12,000	25,000	24,000	9,900	11,000	

Table 4.--Pumpage of Ground Water From Wells in the Flood-Plain Alluvium, of Surface Water From the Brazos River, and Acres Irrigated in 1958, 1964, and 1969. $\underline{1}$

(Figures are rounded to two significant figures)

1/ 1958 and 1964 data from Gillett and Janca (1965) and 1969

data from Texas Water Development Board.

Table 5.--Pumpage of Ground Water for Public Supply, 1940-70

(Figures are approximate because some of the pumpage is estimated. Pumpage is shown to the nearest 0.001 mgd and to nearest acre-foot. Totals are rounded to two significant figures.)

	BRAZOS COUNTY										BURLESON COUNTY															
Year	BRU WATER	SHY CREEK SUPPLY CORP	, c	ITY OF BRYAN	JONE COM	S WATER PANY J	TEX/ UNIVE	AS A&M RSITY <u>2</u>	WELLB SUPP	ORN WATER LY CORP.	CIC	TY OF WELL <u>B</u>	CLA SUPP	Y WATER LY CORP.	DEANVI SUPP	LLE WATER LY CORP.	LYO SUPI	NS WATER PLY CORP.	SNO SUPP	OK WATER LY CORP.	ATER CITY OF CORP. SOMERVILLE 3			TUNIS WATER SUPPLY CORP.		OTALS
	MGD	AC-FT/YR	MGD	AC-FT/YR	MGD	AC-FT/YR	MGD	AC-FT/YR	MGD	AC-FT/YR	MGD	AC-FT/YR	MGD	AC-FT/YR	MGD	AC-FT/YR	MGD	AC-FT/YR	MGD	AC-FT/YR	MGD	AC-FT/YR	mgd	AC-FT/YR	MGD	AC-FT/YR
1940			1.067	1,196							0.182	204				~ -					0.050	56			1.3	1,500
41			1.402	1,572							.186	208									. 054	61			1.6	1,800
42			1.613	1,808							.190	213									.061	68			1.9	2,100
43			1.592	1,785			0.144	161			.194	217									.066	74			2.0	2,200
44			1.971	2,210			.286	321			.198	222									.071	80			2.5	2,800
45			1.615	1,810			.267	299			. 202	226	~-		~-						.077	86			2.2	2,400
46			1.958	2,195			.072	81			.206	231									.082	92			2.3	2,600
47			2.400	2,691			.131	147			.211	236									.087	98			2.8	3,200
48			2,589	2,903			.131	147			.214	240									.093	104			3.0	3,400
49	~ -		2.396	2,686			.131	147			.219	245									.098	110			2.8	3,200
50	~~		2.766	3,101			.054	61			.222	249									. 104	117			3.1	3,500
51			2.663	2,985			.0901	1,010			. 227	254									.110	123			3.9	4,400
52			2.585	2,898			1.431	1,604			.230	258									.115	129			4.4	4,900
53			2.350	2,634			1.275	1,429			.235	263				··		***			.120	135			4.0	4,500
54			2.828	3,170	~-		1.426	1,599			.237	266									.126	141			4.6	5,200
55			3.121	3,499			1.601	1,795			.202	226							0.007	8	.128	143			5.1	5,700
56			3.814	4,276			1.606	1,800			.272	305							.007	8	.150	168			5.8	6,600
57			3.305	3,705			1.740	1,951			.226	253							.007	8	.150	168			5.4	6,100
58			3.326	3,729			1.806	2,025			. 195	219							. 009	10	.150	168			5.5	6,200
59			3.284	3,682		~ ~	1.513	1,696		~~	.212	238							.010	11	.128	144			5.1	5,800
60			3.669	4,113			1.598	1,791			. 207	232	~ *						. 009	10	.118	132			5.6	6,300
61			3.733	4,185	0.004	5	1.600	1,794			.211	236							.005	6	.054	61			5.6	6,300
62			4.533	5,082	.004	5	1.878	2,105			.227	255							.005	6	.058	65			6.7	7,500
63		~ *	5.480	6,144	.004	5	2.114	2,370			.251	281							.005	6	.143	160			8.0	9,000
64			5.249	5,884	.004	5	1.834	2,056			.255	286	0.006	7			0.003	3	.006	7	.194	217			7.6	8,500
65			5.480	6,144	.004	5	2.138	2,397			.219	245	.007	8	~-		.009	10	.012	13	.163	183			8.0	9,000
66	0.005	6	5.655	6,340	.004	5	2.314	2,594	0.004	5	.250	280	. 008	9			. 009	10	.008	9	.184	206			8.4	9,500
67	.004	5	6.214	6,966	.004	5	2,478	2,778	.016	18	.283	317	.006	7	0.004	4	.015	17	.008	9	.138	155			9.2	10,000
68	.005	6	6.359	7,129	.004	5	2.267	2,542	.016	18	. 268	300	. 004	5	.006	7	.016	18	.013	15	.140	157	0.001	1	9.1	10,000
69	.008	9	7.842	8,791	.004	5	2.353	2,638	.016	18	.317	355	.005	6	.013	15	.015	17	.017	19	. 140	157	.006	7	11	12,000
70	.014	16	7.850	8,801	.004	4	2.524	2,830	.016	18	.314	- 338	.005	6	.009	10	.011	12	.023	26	.140	157	.006	7	11	12,000

J. Pumpage estimated 1961-68. 2/ Figures include pumpage from 1943 to 1946 by Bryan Air Force Base (acquired by Texas A&M in 1946) and pumpage for city of College Station. Texas A&M University pumpage from their new well field began in 1951. 3/ Pumpage estimated 1940-54.

. 28 -

Table 6.--Summary of Aquifer Tests

WELL	AQUIFER a	DATE TESTED	INTERVALS SCREENED (FEET BELOW LAND SURFACE)	PUMPING RATE (GPM)	TRANSMISSIVITY (SQUARE FEET PER DAY)	STORAGE COEFFICIENT	SPECIFI (GPM/FT)	CC CAPACITY TIME PUMPED (HOURS)	SAND THICKNESS (FT)	HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY (FEET PER DAY)	REMARKS
BJ-59-21-206 City of Bryan	Ts	June 21-23, 1944	462-475 and 494-543		1,460	0.00028	3.7 у	24 ју	69 bj	21 ју	Recovery after well BJ-59-21-501 pumping 582 gpm was shut down.
well 1		June 23-24, 1944			1,930	.00022	3.6 ју	24 by	69 ју	28 у	Drawdown interference from pumping well BJ-59-21-302 at 350 gpm.
BJ-59-21-302 City of Bryan	Ts	June 21-23, 1944	435-523 (un- derreamed		1,960	.00023	4.6 у	24 у	85 ју	23 ју	Recovery after well BJ-59-21-501 pumping 582 gpm was shut down.
well 2		June 30- July 3, 1944	and gravel- packed)		1,910	.00025	5.2 jy	24 bj	85 ју	22 ју	Drawdown interference from pumping well BJ-59-21-206 at 261 gpm.
BJ-59-21-304 City of Bryan well 3	Ts	July 2-4, 1944	442-492 (un- derreamed and grave1- packed)		1,470	.00015	4.2 ју	24 ју	72 ју	20 ју	Drawdown interference from pumping well BJ-59-21-302 at 323 gpm.
BJ-59-21-305 City of Bryan well 4	Ts	June 15-16, 1944	391-422 and 549-600 (un- derreamed and gravel- packed)	424	1,600		6.0 ы	24 by	99 by	26 br	Drawdown in pumped well.
BJ-59-21-501 City of Bryan well 5	Ts	June 21-24, 1944	430-485 and 534-573 (un- derreamed and gravel- packed)	582	1,250		6.6 у	24 jy	116 ју	11 ју	do.

BRAZOS COUNTY

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 6.--Summary of Aquifer Tests--Continued

1			TNTERVALS		1					1	
			SCREENED	PUMPTNG	TRANSMISSIVITY		SPECIFIC CAPACITY		SAND	HYDRAULIC	
			(FEET BELOW)	RATE	(SOUARE FEET	STORAGE		TIME PUMPED	THICKNESS	CONDUCTIVITY	
WELL	AQUIFER a	DATE TESTED	LAND SURFACE)	(GPM)	PER DAY)	COEFFICIENT	(GPM/FT)	(HOURS)	(FT)	(FEET PER DAY)	REMARKS
BJ-59 21 715 Texas A&M University (formerly U.S. A.F.B. well 2)	Ts	Sept. 3-5, 1947	498-588 (un- derreamed and gravel- packed)		1,680	0.00022					Drawdown interference from pumping well BJ-59-21-718 at 303 gpm.
		Sept. 7-9, 1947			1,780	.00023					Drawdown interference from pumping well BJ-59-21-717 at 432 gpm.
		Sept. 9-11, 1947			1,790	.00023					Recovery after well BJ-59-21-717 pumping 432 gpm was shut down.
BJ-59-21-717 Texas A&M	Ts	Sept. 3-5, 1947	401-487 (un- derreamed and		1,450	.00015					Drawdown interference from pumping well BJ-59-21-718 at 303 gpm.
(formerly U.S. A.F.B. well 4)		Sept. 5-7, 1947	graver-packed)		1,590	.00016					Recovery after well BJ-59-21-718 pumping 303 gpm was shut down.
		Sept. 9-11,		432	1,920		7.0	24			Recovery in pumped well.
BJ-59-21-718 Texas A&M	Ts	1947 Sept. 9~11, 1947	411-433 and 443-482 (un-	303	1,780		48	24			Recovery in pumped well.
(formerly U.S. A.F.B. well 5)		Sept. 9-11, 1947	gravel-packed)		1,750	.00017					Recovery after well BJ-59-21-717 pumping 432 gpm was shut down.
BJ-59-30-807 Wellborn Water Supply Corp. well 3	Ту	June 28, 1966	1115-1155	50	23		. 65	12			Drawdown in pumped well.
BJ-59-39-606 T. J. Moore	Qfpa	July 23, 1964	20-61 (15-in. slotted casing in 42-in. hole with annular space filled with gravel)	740	6,950		46	10			Recovery in pumped well.

See footnotes at end of table.
Table 6.--Summary of Aquifer Tests--Continued

BURLESON COUNTY

WELT.	AOUT FER al	DATE TESTED	INTERVALS SCREENED (FEET BELOW LAND SURFACE)	PUMPING RATE (GPM)	TRANSMISSIVITY (SQUARE FEET PER DAY)	STORAGE	SPECIF	IC CAPACITY TIME PUMPED (HOURS)	SAND THICKNESS (FT)	HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY (FEET PER DAY)	FFMARKS
BS-59-27-714	Twi, Tc	Feb. 19,	1070-1160,1200-	1,105	2,590		9.5	3	184	14	Drawdown in pumped well.
City of Caldwell well 3		do.	1228, and 1238- 1304 (under- reamed and gravel-packed)	1,105	2,690					15	Recovery in pumped well.
BS-59-27-803 City of	Twi, Tc	Feb. 18, 1971	1048-1206 (un- derreamed and	391	1,100	0.000024			158	7	Drawdown interference from pumping well BS-59-27-804 at 391 gpm.
well 2		do.	graver-packed)		1,200	.000017				8	Recovery after well BS-59-27-804 pumping 391 gpm was shut down.
BS-59-27-804 City of	Twi, Tc	do.	1036-1134 (un- derreamed and	391	1,140		9.4	2	98	12	Drawdown in pumped well.
well 1		do.	graver-packed)	391	1,300					13	Recovery in pumped well.
BS-59-28-619 Tunis Water Supply Corp.	Ts	June 28, 1967	685-700 and 719-765 (un- derreamed and gravel-packed)	82	5,350		1.5	12			Drawdown in pumped well.
BS-59-29-431 Marion Malazzo	Qfpa	July 6, 1964	15-19	329	9,620		37.6	9			do.
BS-59-36-802 Lyons Water Supply Corp.	Ts	Sept. 25-26 1963	1513-1573 (un- derreamed and gravel-packed)	150	330		1.4	24			do.

g/ Twi, Wilcox Group; Tc, Carrizo Sand; Ts, Sparta Sand; Ty, Yegua Formation; Qfpa, Flood-plain alluvium. By Barnes, Follett, Sundstrom (1944, p. 19).

of specific yields for the flood-plain alluvium that averaged 23.6 percent. However, they indicated that this value might be too high because the method of laboratory determination (centrifuge-moisture equivalent method) tends to expel more water than would drain by gravity. Cronin and others (1963, p. 119) assumed a specific yield of 15 percent in estimating the availability of water in the flood-plain alluvium. This assumption, which probably is a reasonable, possibly conservative, estimate of the specific yield, is used in calculations in this report.

The transmissivities and storage coefficients determined from aquifer tests may be used to predict the drawdown of water levels caused by pumping a well or by a general increase in pumping in an area. Figure 12 shows the relation of drawdown to tramsmissivity and distance. The calculations of drawdown were based on a well or group of wells pumping 500 gpm continuously for one year from an extensive artesian aquifer having a storage coefficient of 0.00025 and transmissivities as shown on the different curves. For example, as a result of pumping 500 gpm continuously for 1 year, in an aquifer having assumed transmissivity of 1,340 feet squared per day, the water level would decline about 30 feet at a distance of 5,000 feet from the center of pumping; it would decline about 22 feet at 10,000 feet and about 14 feet at 20,000 feet. Because drawdown is directly proportional to the pumping rate, the drawdown for rates other than 500 gpm can be determined by multiplying the drawdown values shown on Figure 12 by the proper multiple or fraction of 500.



Figure 12.-Relation of Drawdown to Transmissivity and Distance in an Artesian Aquifer

Figure 13 shows the relation of drawdown to time and distance. The calculations of drawdown are based on a well or group of wells pumping 100 gpm from an infinite aquifer having a storage coefficient of 0.00025 and a transmissivity of 1,340 feet squared per day. Figure 13 shows that the rate of drawdown decreases with time, but the water level continues to decline until a source of recharge or a point of discharge is intercepted to offset the pumping and reestablish equilibrium in the aquifer. Because the drawdown is directly proportional to the pumping rate, the drawdown for rates other than 100 gpm can be determined by multiplying the drawdown shown on Figure 13 by the proper multiple or fraction of 100.



Figure 13.- Relation of Drawdown to Time and Distance in an Artesian Aquifer

Figures 12 and 13 show that the drawdown caused by a pumping well is greatest near the pumping well and that the drawdown decreases as the distance from the pumping well increases. This relationship is the practical reason for properly spacing wells to reduce their mutual interference and thus reduce the pumping cost.

Figure 14 shows the results of an aquifer test in two Caldwell city wells on Feb. 18, 1971. Both wells were shut down overnight to permit nearly full recovery of the water levels prior to the start of the test. The upper graph (well BS-59-27-804) shows the static water levels in the pumped well prior to starting the pump, the pumping levels during the 128 minutes the well was pumped at an average discharge of 391 gpm, and the recovery of the water levels after the pump was shut down. The drawdown in the pumped well was about 41 feet.

The lower graph (well BS-59-27-803) shows the static water levels, the drawdown interference, and the recovery in an observation well that is 216.5 feet south of the pumped well. The drawdown interference was about 30 feet. After the pumped well was shut down, the water level in the observation well recovered about 27 feet during the 4 hours that the recovery was observed. When both wells are pumping at the same time—and they usually are—the pumping level is at least about 30 feet lower in each well, thus increasing the cost of



Figure 14.—Drawdown and Recovery in a Pumped Well and the Drawdown Interference and Recovery in an Observation Well During an Aquifer Test in Caldwell City Wells, February 18, 1971

pumping by about 60 percent. A substantially wider spacing between wells would have eliminated much of this interference and additional cost.

Where irrigation wells in the flood-plain alluvium are closely spaced, pumping lifts may be significantly increased by interference between wells. The yields of the wells thus affected may also be reduced. The magnitude of interference can be determined from Figure 15, which shows the theoretical extent of the cone of depression of a well in the flood-plain alluvium that has been pumping continuously for 30 days at rates of 250, 500, 750, and 1,000 gpm. Three assumptions are inherent in the graphs: the transmissivity is 2,670 and 5,350 feet squared per day; the storage coefficient is 15 percent; and all the water being pumped is coming from storage in the aquifer.

Where the transmissivity is 2,670 feet squared per day, a well pumping 500 gpm for 30 days would cause a drawdown of about 6 feet in another well 400 feet from the pumped well and a drawdown of about 2 feet in a well at a distance of 1,000 feet. However, if the transmissivity is 5,350 feet squared per day, the drawdown would be almost 4 feet at a distance of 400 feet from a well pumping 500 gpm for 30 days. Drawdown interferences can be determined from the graphs for other pumping rates and distances that are applicable to specific wells.

Specific capacities were determined for several wells tapping various aquifers in the report area. The specific capacities of 14 wells are listed in Table 6. The specific capacities ranged from 0.65 gpm per foot of drawdown in a well tapping the Yegua Formation to 48 gpm per foot of drawdown for a well tapping the Sparta Sand. Additional specific capacities for many wells are



Figure 15.—Theoretical Drawdown Caused by a Well in the Flood-Plain Alluvium Pumping Continuously for 30 Days

available from the well-performance data given in Table 12. From these data, the specific capacity can be calculated by dividing the yield in gpm by the drawdown in feet.

The largest value of specific capacity was 75 gpm per foot of drawdown in well BS-59-38-704 tapping the flood-plain alluvium of the Brazos River. Specific capacities of wells tapping the same formation may differ widely because of the differences in the amount of sand screened, the differences in well construction, the degree of well development, and the differences in pumping time.

Changes in Water Levels

Water-level measurements were made in wells during previous studies of parts of the report area in 1936-37, 1944, 1947, 1959-60, and 1963-64, and as a part of this study in 1969-71. Unfortunately, most of these measurements, except for those in wells tapping the flood-plain alluvium, were not made in the same wells during each succeeding study. However, measurements were made in a few selected observation wells in Brazos and Burleson Counties during 1937-41 as part of the statewide observation-well program of the U.S. Geological Survey and the Texas Water Development Board.

An observation-well program in the report area was reestablished in 1957 (and expanded during ensuing years) with emphasis on wells in the flood-plain alluvium of the Brazos River. Although some of the observation-well measurements have been published previously, all are included in this report in Tables 12 and 16.

Pumping for public supply by Bryan Air Force Base and Bryan since 1940 and by Texas A&M University since 1943 has affected the water levels in the Sparta Sand in some areas. As a result of the pumping, the water levels have declined within a radius of several miles of the centers of pumping. The lack of a network of observation wells in the vicinity of the well fields makes it impossible to determine accurately the rate and areal extent of the water-level decline, but one indication of the effects of the pumping is the decline of the water level in well BJ-59-23-403, which taps the Sparta Sand. Mr. Travis Weedon, the owner of this well, reports that the water level in the well was about 45 feet below land surface when drilled in 1954 and was about 80 feet below land surface in 1969. These measurements indicate a decline of about 35 feet at a distance of 12 and 15 miles east of the Bryan and Texas A&M University well fields, respectively.

Figure 16 shows the approximate altitude of water levels in wells tapping the Sparta Sand in 1969-71. Altitudes of water levels in the Bryan and Texas A&M University well fields were not determined during this investigation; but on the basis of water levels determined mostly during aquifer tests at various times prior to 1969-71, the water levels in the general areas of the fields were less than about 200 feet above mean sea level in 1969-71.

Water-level measurements in well fields are nearly always affected by the time since the well was pumped and by the drawdown interference caused by the pumping of nearby wells. Figure 16 shows, in a general way, that pumping from the Sparta in the Bryan and Texas A&M University well fields has affected a relatively large area, even including a part of the Sparta outcrop.

Table 7 shows water-level measurements at various times from 1938 to 1960 in Bryan's Sparta wells. Some of the measurements were made when the wells were drilled or during aquifer tests, and most of the wells had water-level declines of about 100 feet or more for the period of record. The measurements for any one well may not closely approximate those of another well on a particular date because the time intervals since the wells had been pumped, the number of wells being pumped, and the length of pumping time varied. Figure 17 shows the changes in water levels in selected wells tapping the flood-plain alluvium in Brazos County from 1957 to 1971. All of the wells, except BJ-59-38-901, and BJ-59-38-904, are in a heavily pumped area northwest of Bryan; the latter two wells are a few miles southwest of Millican. In 1969, about 150 irrigation wells were in this heavily pumped area of about 20 square miles. Cronin and others (1963, pl. 3) reported that 125 wells were in this area in 1959.

Except for well BJ-59-20-603, the hydrographs indicate that by 1961 the water levels in the alluvium probably had recovered from the effects of heavy withdrawals during the drought of 1950-57. For several years in the 10-year period from 1961 to 1971, pumpage exceeded the recharge and the quantity of water in storage was greatly reduced.

Cronin and Wilson (1967, p. 34) indicated that the declining water level in the flood-plain alluvium northwest of Bryan may be attributed to the lower and declining water levels in the underlying Sparta Sand (Figure 5), which is in direct hydraulic continuity with part of the alluvium in the heavily pumped area. Under these hydrologic conditions, water is free to move downward into the Sparta, which seems to be a reasonable explanation for at least a part of the large reduction in the quantity of water in storage in the alluvium.

Pumpage from the additional wells (about 20 percent) that were drilled from 1959 to 1969 probably was not sufficient in itself to cause all of the large reduction. The declining water levels after 1961 in wells BJ-59-38-901 and BJ-59-38-904, southwest of Millican, are probably due to the large increase in well development in this area between 1960 and 1970.

Figure 18 shows the changes in water levels in 10 irrigation wells in the flood-plain alluvium and terrace deposits of the Brazos River in Burleson County. The irrigation wells in the flood-plain alluvium in the county are scattered over the Brazos River flood-plain from State Highway 21 to just north of Clay, a distance of about 19 miles. In this area the Yegua Formation, which consists of sand, silt, clay, and lignite and probably has a low hydraulic conductivity, lies beneath most of the flood-plain.

In 1959-60, approximately 210 irrigation wells tapped the flood-plain alluvium in Burleson County (Cronin and others, 1963, pl. 3), and by 1969-70 about 300 wells tapped the alluvium. The six wells (Figure 18) that have 1957 measurements, show that the water levels in 1971 were higher than in 1957, indicating a net increase in the quantity of water in storage over the 1957-71 period.

A comparison of the amount of precipitation (Figure 2) with the water levels shows that most of the



Table 7.--Water Levels in Bryan City Wells Tapping the Sparta Sand, 1938-60

(Water levels given in feet as depth below land surface and as altitude above mean sea level)

	BJ-59-2	1-206	BJ-59-21	-302	BJ-59-21	-304	BJ-59-21	BJ-59-21-305		-501	BJ-59-2	1-201	BJ-59-2	1-306	
DATE	(DEPTH) (ALTITUDE)	(DEPTH) (A	L Z LTITUDE)	(DEPTH) (A	L J	(DEPTH) (A	LTITUDE)	(DEPTH) (A	LTITUDE)	(DEPTH) (ALTITUDE)	(DEPTH) (A	ALTITUDE)	REMARKS
July 30, 1938	66	243													
May 4, 1939			106	225											Well off 10 min.
May 15				~-	94	237									
July 14							88	241							
Dec. 11, 1940			165	166	190	141									Well off 10 min.
Apr. 7, 1941	119	190													Well off. Wells 2, 3, and 4 on.
Oct 1942							128	201							Well off 10 min.
Aug. 21, 1943									125	170					
June 14, 1944							151.6	177							Well off 3 days. Wells 1, 2, and 3 on.
June 23			167.1	164											Well off 6 days. Wells 3 and 4 on.
June 23	133.1	176													Well off 7 days. Wells 2, 3, and 4 on.
June 23									113.1	182					Well off 5 days. Wells 2, 3, and 4 on.
June 30	141.1	168	164.0	167											Well off 3 days. Wells 4 and 5 on.
July 2			165.2	166	160.6	170									Welloff 5 days. Wells 1, and 4, and 5 on.
July 8					171.5	159									Well off 19 hours. Wells 1, 2, and 4 on.
Apr. 9, 1946									121.2	174					
Apr. 10, 1947											155	152			
July 8											154	153			
Sept. 11	165	144					173.2	156			149.4	158			Wells 2, 3, and 5 on.
Feb. 19, 1960			240.0	91	231,1	100	202.6	126					266.6	105	



Figure 17.--Hydrographs of Wells Tapping the Flood-Plain Alluvium of the Brazos River in Brazos County, 1957-71

time the water levels vary with precipitation; that is, rising water levels follow high precipitation; and declining water levels follow low precipitation. There are some inconsistencies in this relationship, however, because of the variation in irrigation demands with regard to seasonal precipitation.

Figure 18 also shows, for 1960-71, the changes in water levels in well BS-59-37-201, an irrigation well tapping the terrace deposits that are topographically higher than and have little or no continuity with the flood-plain alluvium. This well is one of three irrigation wells that tap the terrace deposits in the vicinity of Snook. The hydrograph of well BS-59-37-201 shows that the water level in 1971 was higher than in 1960 when

the well was not pumped and indicates that more water was stored in the terrace deposits in 1971 than in 1960. The water level and amount of water in storage probably were much lower in 1957 at the end of the drought.

Well Construction and Yield

During pioneer days, water used for domestic supplies was obtained mostly from dug wells or shallow hand-bored wells; only a few fortunate people had springs or streams available. The dug, hand-bored, and early drilled wells usually penetrated on a few feet of the saturated zone and yielded small quantities of water. Most of the wells completed since 1930 have been drilled wells.



Figure 19 shows the three most common types of modern construction of wells in the report area: The straight-walled well, the underreamed and gravel-packed well, and the special construction used for wells in the flood-plain alluvium.



Figure 19.-Diagram Showing Typical Construction of Wells

The straight-walled type of construction generally is used for rural-domestic or livestock, and small irrigation, industrial, and public-supply wells if a relatively small yield is adequate or if a relatively low-cost well is desired. The typical straight-walled well has a 4-inch casing to a depth below the expected pumping level and 2-inch casing for the rest of the depth. The 2-inch casing is slotted in part or all of the producing sand, although a few wells use a commercial well screen instead of slotted casing. Probably more than 90 percent of the rural-domestic and livestock wells are constructed in this fashion. Most of the wells that flow are cased with 2-inch pipe from top to bottom.

The rural-domestic and livestock wells are equipped with windmills, pump jacks, jet pumps, or submersible pumps. The submersible pump was the type most frequently installed during the 1960's.

The underreamed and gravel-packed type of construction generally is used where a large yield is desired. Most of the public-supply wells used by municipalities in the area are underreamed, screened, and gravel-packed. The gravel pack in these wells increases the effective diameter of the well, thereby allowing more water to enter at a reduced velocity and with less head-loss. This reduces the drawdown (and pumping costs) and aids in retarding the entrance of sand into the well. The annular space between the borehole and the casing is filled with cement, which increases the life of the well and reduces the chance of contamination from the surface.

When an irrigation well is to be drilled in the flood-plain alluvium, the area is usually explored by several test holes to find the most favorable location. The thickness and grain size of the water-bearing material are the most important hydrologic factors to be considered in selecting the well site. A reverse-circulation rotary drilling rig commonly is used to drill the hole, which is usually 36-42 inches in diameter. The hole usually is drilled 2 to 5 feet below the base of the alluvium into the bedrock. The entire depth of the hole is cased. The casing used in many of the older wells consisted of corrugated galvanized culvert pipe 18 inches in diameter, with a ½-inch mesh, woven-wire screen emplaced in the coarser sand and gravel. Many of the old wells having this type of casing have been reworked, and torch-slotted steel liners have been placed inside the old casing.

Currently, most of the wells being drilled are cased with torch-slotted steel casing 14-18 inches in diameter. The annular space between the casing and the wall of the hole is filled with gravel, and the well is then developed with a test pump; gravel is added, if necessary, to replace sand pumped out during the well development. Following development, a short aquifer test is run to determine the capacity of the well and the size of the pump and power needed.

A typical irrigation well is equipped with a 6- or 8-inch turbine pump, set about 2 feet from the bottom of the well and operated with power supplied by an internal-combustion engine. A few wells are equipped with 4-, 5-, or 10-inch pumps, and a few are powered by electric motors.

Signs of caving around some irrigation wells were observed during the field work. Such caving is an indication that when these wells are pumped, sand is withdrawn along with the water. At some well sites, the well casing and pump have settled as much as 2 to 3 feet.

AVAILABILITY OF GROUND WATER

The geologic units containing significant quantities of fresh to slightly saline water in Brazos and Burleson Counties are the Wilcox Group, Carrizo Sand, Queen City Sand, Sparta Sand, and flood-plain alluvium. The Carrizo Sand and the Wilcox Group are in hydraulic continuity and function as a single aquifer.

The quantity of water available on a long-term basis without depleting the supply from the Carrizo-Wilcox aquifer depends chiefly on the rate of recharge and the ability of the sand to transmit water from the outcrop to the points of withdrawal. To estimate the probable rate of recharge, a calculation was made of the quantity of water that moves across a vertical section of the aquifer 50 miles long, roughly the distance across the report area along the southernmost 1,500-foot sand-thickness contour in Figure 20. The composite transmissivity of this vertical section of the aquifer, which was computed from the sand thickness and the hydraulic conductivity, is about 20,000 feet



.

squared per day; the average hydraulic gradient is about 3 feet per mile—a rate that probably closely approximates the original gradient prior to well development.

On the basis of the preceding assumptions, the quantity of fresh to slightly saline water that moves across this vertical section is about 25,000 acre-feet per year (22 mgd); this quantity is the present transmission rate of the aquifer and represents a part of the total rate of recharge. An additional but unknown quantity of recharge is rejected to the streams as spring flow and seepage at the outcrop, and some is consumed by evapotranspiration. Therefore, the 25,000 acre-feet per year of recharge, which is effectively replenishing the aquifer, represents a conservative quantity of fresh to slightly saline water that is available for development on a long-term basis without depleting the aquifer.

In addition to the 25,000 acre-feet per year that is available on a long-term basis, about 220 million acre-feet of fresh to slightly saline water is in transient storage in the Carrizo-Wilcox aquifer in the report area. About half of this water is not available to wells because it cannot be drained from the sand by wells. Economic factors related to drilling depths would also development of these water supplies. restrict Nevertheless, a part of this vast quantity of water in storage is available for intensive development perennial the calculated vield. in excess of development, however, ultimately would Such dewater the sand and would be equivalent to mining the water.

The most favorable areas for the development of large supplies of fresh to slightly saline water from the Carrizo-Wilcox aquifer largely depend upon the thickness of available sand. Figure 20 shows that the thickness of sand containing fresh to slightly saline water ranges from more than 1,500 feet in places across the northwestern part of the report area, where the top of the aquifer is less than 1,000 feet below mean sea level, to zero at the downdip limit of fresh to slightly saline water in the southeastern part of the report area, where the top of the aquifer is more than 2,000 feet below mean sea level.

The estimated potential yields of wells tapping the Carrizo-Wilcox decrease from about 4,500 gpm, or more, in the northwestern part of the report area to zero in the southeastern part of the area. Estimated yields in specific areas are: 4,500 gpm, or more, northwest of the southernmost 1,500-foot sand-thickness line (Figure 20); from 2,000 to 4,500 gpm between the 1,000-foot line and the southernmost 1,500-foot line; from 750 to 2,000 gpm between the 500- and 1,000-foot lines; and from zero to 750 gpm between the downdip limit of fresh to slightly saline water and the 500-foot line.

These estimated yields are based on an estimated composite transmissivity of the water-bearing section

and the specific capacities and yields of wells in the report area. Furthermore, the estimates are based on the assumption that the wells would be properly constructed for maximum yield and screened in all sands containing fresh to slightly saline water.

The quantity of water available on a long-term basis without depleting the supply from the Queen City aguifer depends chiefly on the rate of recharge and the ability of the sand to transmit water from the outcrop to the points of withdrawal. To estimate the probable rate of recharge, a calculation was made of the quantity of water which moves across a vertical section of the aquifer 51 miles long, roughly along the 200-foot sand-thickness contour (Figure 21). In this vertical section the composite transmissivity of the aquifer, which was computed from the sand thickness and an assumed hydraulic conductivity of 6.7 feet per day (Follett, 1970, p. 35), is about 1,340 feet squared per day; the hydraulic gradient is 7.5 feet per mile-a rate that probably closely approximates the original gradient prior to well development.

On the basis of these assumptions, the quantity of fresh to slightly saline water that moves across this vertical section is about 4,400 acre-feet per year (3.9 mgd); this quantity is the present transmission rate of the aquifer and represents a part of the total rate of recharge. An additional but unknown quantity of recharge is rejected to the streams as spring flow and seepage on the outcrop, and some is consumed by evapotranspiration. Therefore, the 4,400 acre-feet per year of recharge, which is effectively replenishing the aquifer, represents a conservative quantity of fresh to slightly saline water that is available for development on a long-term basis without depleting the aquifer.

In addition to the 4,400 acre-feet per year of fresh to slightly saline water that is available on a long-term basis, about 40 million acre-feet of fresh to slightly saline water is in transient storage in the Queen City in the report area. Only about half of this water is available to wells, and attempts to develop it at a rate exceeding the long-term yield ultimately would cause the aquifer to be dewatered.

The most favorable areas for the development of large supplies of fresh to slightly saline water from the Queen City depend upon the thickness of available sand in the aquifer. Figure 21 shows that the thickness of sand containing fresh to slightly saline water ranges from more than 225 feet south of the Queen City outcrop in northwestern Burleson County to zero feet at the downdip limit of fresh to slightly saline water in the southeastern part of the report area, where the top of the aquifer is from about 1,600 to slightly more than 2,400 feet below mean sea level. Favorability thus decreases from northwest to southeast across the report area. Probably 300 gpm or more could be pumped from properly constructed wells tapping all the sands in the Queen City in the areas where the total sand thickness is more than 200 feet, or in most places northwest of the 200-foot sand-thickness contour in Figure 21. Maximum yields of less than about 300 gpm would be available where the total sand thickness is less than 200 feet. The yields from place to place may be highly variable, however, due to the lenticular character of the sand in the Queen City as contrasted with the more massive sands in the Carrizo-Wilcox aquifer and Sparta Sand.

The quantity of water available for development on a long-term basis without depleting the supply from the Sparta Sand depends chiefly on the rate of recharge and on the ability of the sand to transmit water from the outcrop to the points of withdrawal. To estimate the probable rate of recharge, a calculation was made of the quantity of water which moves across a vertical section of the Sparta 52 miles long, roughly along the 200-foot (above mean sea level) structure contour on Figure 22. In this vertical section the composite transmissivity of the Sparta, which was estimated from the sand thickness and the hydraulic conductivity, is about 1,940 feet squared per day (Barnes, Follett, and Sundstrom, 1944, Fig. 2). The average hydraulic gradient prior to significant well development in the Sparta is estimated to have been about 6 feet per mile. On this basis, the quantity of water that was transmitted as recharge across this vertical section was about 5,000 acre-feet per year (4.5 mgd).

In 1960, the last year that separate pumpage from the Sparta Sand and Carrizo-Wilcox aquifer by Bryan and Texas A&M University was recorded, 4.6 mgd was pumped from the Sparta. This quantity is only slightly more than the 4.5 mgd that is estimated to be perennially available. This implies that at least by 1960, pumpage from the Sparta was slightly exceeding the rate of replenishment. Although the water level or artesian head in the Sparta has been lowered considerably for several miles surrounding the Bryan and Texas A&M University well fields, the only area where the Sparta Sand probably is being dewatered is in the outcrop of the Sparta updip from the well fields.

In addition to the 5,000 acre-feet per year of fresh to slightly saline water that is available on a long-term basis, about 28 million acre-feet of fresh to slightly saline water is in transient storage in the Sparta. About half of this water is not available to wells because it cannot be drained from the sand by wells. Nevertheless, a part of this water in storage is available for intensive development in excess of the calculated perennial yield. Such a development, however, would cause water levels to decline rapidly, and the aquifer ultimately would be dewatered.

The most favorable areas for the development of large supplies of fresh to slightly saline water from the Sparta Sand largely depend upon the thickness of the sand and the proximity to areas of heavy pumping from the aquifer. Figure 22 shows that the thickness of sand containing fresh to slightly saline water ranges from more than 200 feet in much of the northwestern part of the report area to zero feet at the downdip limit of fresh to slightly saline water in the southeastern part, where the top of the aquifer is from 1,400 to more than 2,000 feet below mean sea level.

On the basis of sand thickness alone, favorability generally decreased from northwest to southeast across the report area. However, development of additional supplies of water from the Sparta in the vicinity of the Bryan and Texas A&M University well fields (areas of relatively thick sand in the Sparta) should be avoided because of existing large cones of depression in these areas.

Yields of 500 gpm or more can be expected from wells properly constructed and screened opposite all sand in the Sparta where the sand is more than 175 feet thick or in most areas north of the 175-foot sand-thickness line in Figure 22. South of this line, where the sand containing fresh to slightly saline water decreases from 175 to 0 feet, maximum expected yields of wells are less than 500 gpm.

In any discussion of the availability of ground water in the flood-plain alluvium, one of the most important elements to consider is the recharge to the aquifer. At the end of the 1950-57 drought, in April 1957, the water table in the alluvium was at a low level. According to Cronin and Wilson (1967, p. 73), during the period 1957 to 1961 (a period of mostly above-normal precipitation), the water levels rose to levels equal to those previous to the development of ground water for irrigation. This indicates that the aquifer in the flood-plain alluvium is readily recharged by rainfall.

The quantity of water in storage in the flood-plain alluvium varies considerably from year to year because of the heavy seasonal pumping of irrigation wells and because of the rapid recharge from varying amounts of annual rainfall. The following table shows the approximate quantities of recoverable water in storage in the flood-plain alluvium of Brazos and Burleson Counties for various years:

	VOLUN IN	E OF RECOVERA	BLE WATER -FEET)
	SPRING	SPRING	SPRING
COUNTY	OF 1957	OF 1963 ∐	OF 1969
Brazos	173,000	199,000	153,000
Burleson	284,000	344,000	298,000
Total	457,000	543,000	451,000

1/ Cronin and Wilson, 1967, p. 73





The maximum quantity of recoverable water in transient storage in the flood-plain alluvium probably is slightly more than the 543,000 acre-feet that was estimated by Cronin and Wilson (1967, p. 73) to be in storage in the spring of 1963. On the basis of a comparison of hydrographs of water levels in 1961 and 1963, about 600,000 acre-feet of water was recoverable from storage in the spring of 1961. Of this vast quantity of water, for example, 30,000 acre-feet of water could be pumped annually for 10 years during a theoretical drought without exhausting the groundwater supply. The original supply in storage would be reduced by one-half (assuming no recharge or natural discharge during the dry period), but the aquifer would be readily replenished during a following wet period.

Although a larger quantity of water would be available annually under normal rainfall conditions, the 30,000 acre-feet per year represents a conservative amount of water that would be available for pumping under severe and prolonged drought conditions. For purposes of this report, the 30,000 acre-feet per year or 27 mgd may be considered to be a conservative quantity that is available on a long-term basis without depleting the supply.

The maximum yield that may be expected from a well tapping the flood-plain alluvium probably is about 1,500 gpm. This estimate is based on the largest known yield of 1,460 gpm from a well in the alluvium in the southern tip of Burleson County, where the alluvium is relatively thick. Maximum yields would be less in the northern part of the report area where the alluvium is thinner.

QUALITY OF GROUND WATER

The chemical constituents in ground water are dissolved from the soil and rock through which the water has passed; consequently, the amounts and kinds of minerals in solution depend on the composition and solubility of the rocks. Other factors that influence the mineralization of the water are the length of time the water has been in contact with the rocks and the effects of temperature and pressure. Table 8 gives the source and significance of the dissolved-mineral constituents and properties of water.

Analyses of 549 samples of water from 423 wells and eight springs are given in Table 17. The principal geologic or hydrologic sources of the water samples are indicated in the table. Most of the samples were collected during investigations made in 1936-37, 1944, 1959-60, 1963-64, and 1969-70.

Suitability of Water for Use

The suitability of a water supply depends upon the chemical quality of the water and the limitations imposed by the contemplated use of the water. Various criteria have been developed for most categories of water including bacterial quality, content, physical characteristics, and chemical constituents. Usually, water-quality problems of the first two categories can be economically, alleviated but the removal or neutralization of undesirable chemical constituents may be difficult and expensive.

For many purposes, the dissolved-solids content is a major limitation on the use of water. A general classification of water based on dissolved-solids content (Winslow and Kister, 1956, p. 5) is as follows:

DESCRIPTION	DISSOLVED-SOLIDS CONTENT (MILLIGRAMS PER LITER)1
Fresh	Less than 1,000
Slightly saline	1,000 to 3,000
Moderately saline	3,000 to 10,000
Very saline	10,000 to 35,000
Brine	More than 35,000

 \underline{J} Milligrams per liter (mg/l) is considered equivalent to parts per million (ppm) for water containing less than 7,000 mg/l dissolved solids.

Public and Domestic Supply

The U.S. Public Health Service has established, and periodically revises, standards to control the quality of the drinking water to be used on common carriers engaged in interstate commerce. The standards are designed to protect the traveling public and are commonly used to evaluate public supplies. According to these standards, the concentrations of chemical constituents should not exceed the listed concentrations except where other more suitable supplies are not available. Some of the standards adopted by the U.S. Public Health Service (1962, p. 7-8) are as follows:

SUBSTANCE	CONCENTRATION (MILLIGRAMS PER LITER)
Chloride (Cl)	250
Fluoride (F)	.8*
lron (Fe)	.3
Nitrate (NO ₃)	45
Sulfate (SO ₄)	250
Dissolved solids	500

• Upper limit for Brazos and Burleson Counties based on 48-year annual average of maximum daily air temperature of 80.0 °F (26.5 °C) at College Station.

Table 8.-Source and Significance of Dissolved-Mineral Constituents and Properties of Water

CONSTITUENT		SIGNIEIOANOE
OR PROPERTY	SOURCE OR CAUSE	SIGNIFICANCE
Silica (SiO ₂)	Dissolved from practically all rocks and soils, commonly less than 30 mg/l. High concentra- tions, as much as 100 mg/l, gener- ally occur in highly alkaline waters.	Forms hard scale in pipes and bollers. Carried over in steam of high pressure bollars to form deposits on blades of turbines. Inhibits deterioration of zeolite-type water softeners.
Iron (Fe)	Dissolved from practically all rocks and soils. May also be derived from iron pipes, pumps, and other equipment. More than 1 or 2 mg/i of iron in surface waters generally indicates acid wastes from mine drainage or other sources.	On exposure to air, iron in ground water oxidizes to reddish- brown precipitate. More than about 0.3 mg/lstains laundry and utensils reddish-brown. Objectionable for food processing, tex- tile processing, beverages, ice manufacture, brewing, and other processes. U.S. Public Health Service (1962) drinking-water standards state thet iron should not exceed 0.3 mg/l. Larger quantities cause unpleasant taste and favor growth of iron bacteria.
Calcium (Ca) and magnesium (Mg)	Dissolved from practically all soils and rocks, but especially from limestone, dolomite, and gypsum. Calcium and magnesium are found in large quantities in some brines. Magnesium is present in large quantities in sea water.	Cause most of the hardness and scale-forming properties of water; soap consuming (see hardness). Waters low in calcium and magnesium desired in electroplating, tanning, dyeing, and in textile manufacturing.
Sodium (Na) and potassium (K)	Dissolved from practically all rocks and soils. Found also in ancient brines, sea water, indus- trial brines, and sewage.	Large amounts, in combination with chloride, give a salty taste. Moderate quantities have little effect on the usefulness of water for most purposes. Sodium salts may cause foaming in steam boilers and a high sodium content may limit the use of water for irrigation.
Bicarbonate (HCO ₃) and carbonate (CO ₃)	Action of carbon dloxide in water on carbonate rocks such as lime- stone and dolomite.	Bicarbonate and carbonate produce alkalinity. Bicarbonates of calcium and magnesium decompose in steam boilers and hot water facilities to form scale and release corrosive carbon dioxide gas. In combination with calcium and magnesium, cause carbon- ate hardness.
Sulfate (SO ₄)	Dissolved from rocks and soils containing gypsum, iron sulfides, and other sulfur compounds. Commonly present in mine waters and in some industrial wastes.	Sulfate in water containing calcium forms hard scale in steam boilers. In large amounts, sulfate in combination with other ions gives bitter taste to water. Some calcium sulfate is considered beneficial in the brewing process. U.S. Public Health Service (1962) drinking-water standards recommend that the sulfate content should not exceed 250 mg/l.
Chloride (Cl)	Dissolved from rocks and solls. Present in sewage and found in large amounts in ancient brines, sea water, and industrial brines.	In large amounts in combination with sodium, gives salty taste to drinking water. In large quantities, increases the corrosiveness of water. U.S. Public Health Service (1962) drinking-water stan- dards recommend that the chloride content should not exceed 250 mg/l.
Fluoride (F)	Dissolved in small to minute quantities from most rocks and soils. Added to many waters by fluoridation of municipal sup- plies.	Fluoride in drinking water reduces the incidence of tooth decay when the water is consumed during the period of enamei calcification. However, it may cause mottling of the teeth, depending on the concentration of fluoride, the age of the child, amount of drinking water consumed, and susceptiblity of the individual. (Maier, 1950)
Nitrate (NO ₃)	Decaying organic matter, sewage, fertilizers, and nitrates in soil.	Concentration much greater than the local average may suggest pollution. U.S. Public Health Service (1962) drinking-water standards suggest a limit of 45 mg/l. Waters of high nitrate content have been reported to be the cause of methemoglo- binemia (an often fatal disease in infants) and therefore should not be used in infant feeding. Nitrate has been shown to be helpful in reducing inter-crystalline cracking of boiler steel. It encourages growth of algae and other organisms which produce undesirable tastes and odors.
Dissolved solids	Chiefly mineral constituents dis- solved from rocks and soils, Includes some water of crystalli- zation.	U.S. Public Health Service (1962) drinking-water standards recommend that waters containing more than 500 mg/l dissolved solids not be used if other less mineralized supplies are available. Waters containing more than 1000 mg/l dissolved solids are unsuitable for many purposes.
Hardness as CaCO ₃	In most waters nearly all the hardness is due to calcium and magnesium. All the rnetallic cations other than the alkali metals also cause hardness.	Consumes soap before a lather will form. Deposits soap curd on bathtubs. Hard water forms scale in boilers, water heaters, and pipes. Hardness equivalent to the bicarbonate and carbonate is called carbonate hardness. Any hardness in excess of this is called non-carbonate hardness. Waters of hardness as much as 60 ppm are considered soft; 61 to 120 mg/l, moderately hard; 121 to 180 mg/l, hard; more than 180 mg/l, very hard.
Specific conductance (micromhos at 25°C)	Mineral content of the water.	Indicates degree of mineralization. Specific conductance is a measure of the capacity of the water to conduct an electric current. Varies with concentration and degree of ionization of the constituents.
Hγdrogen ion concentration (pH)	Acids, acid-generating salts, and free carbon dioxide lower the pH. Carbonates, bicarbonates, hydrox- ides, and phosphates, silicates, and borates raise the pH.	A pH of 7.0 indicates neutrality of a solution. Values higher than 7.0 denote increasing alkalinity; values lower than 7.0 indicate increasing acidity. pH is a measure of the activity of the hydrogen ions. Corrosiveness of water generally increases with decreasing pH. However, excessively alkaline waters may also attack metals.

Table 9 compares the chemical quality of ground water in Brazos and Burleson Counties with standards recommended by the U.S. Public Health Service and by others. The table shows the principal water-bearing units, the number of samples analyzed, and the number of samples which exceeded the recommended limits.

The concentrations of dissolved solids in 386 samples ranged from 42 to 5,090 mg/l (milligrams per liter). Dissolved solids were less than 500 mg/l in 162 samples (42 percent). Concentrations were between 500 and 1,000 mg/l in 101 samples (26 percent) and exceeded 1,000 mg/l in 123 samples (32 percent).

Although water having a chloride content exceeding 250 mg/l may have a salty taste, individuals may become conditioned to the water in a short time if the concentration is not too excessive. Of the 549 samples analyzed for chloride, 114 samples (21 percent) exceeded 250 mg/l; 435 samples (79 percent) contained less than 250 mg/l, and 303 samples (55 percent) contained less than 100 mg/l. The chloride content ranged from 4.5 to 3,100 mg/l.

Where fluoride is present in drinking water in the report area, the concentration should not average more than 0.8 mg/l. The presence of fluoride in average concentrations greater than 1.4 mg/l (twice the optimum value of 0.7 mg/l) would constitute grounds for rejection of the supply (U.S. Public Health Service, 1962, p. 8). The fluoride content of 256 samples exceeded 0.8 mg/l in 33 samples (13 percent) and 1.4 mg/l in 19 samples (7 percent). The fluoride content ranged from 0.0 to 4.4 mg/l. The high fluoride content in the small number of samples that exceeded 0.8 mg/l was not confined to any particular formation.

Iron in excess of 0.3 mg/l contributes a metallic taste to water in addition to staining fixtures and laundry. The total iron in 328 samples ranged from 0.00 to 62 mg/l and exceeded 0.3 mg/l in 142 samples (43 percent). Excessive iron in much of the ground water in Brazos and Burleson Counties is a problem of some concern. Water in the flood-plain alluvium is characteristically high in iron.

Nitrate concentrations in excess of 45 mg/l in water used for infant feeding have been related to the incidence of infant cyanosis (methemoglobinemia or "blue-baby" disease)—a reduction of oxygen content in the blood constituting a form of asphyxia (Maxcy, 1950, p. 271). The nitrate in 251 samples ranged from 0.0 to 103 mg/l and exceeded 45 mg/l in only four samples (2 percent). The concentrations of nitrate may be an indication of pollution from organic matter, commonly sewage (Lohr and Love, 1954, p. 10). The four samples containing excessive nitrate were from shallow bored or dug wells that were subject to contamination. The nitrate contamination may have been from stock excrement.

Water containing sulfate in excess of 250 mg/l may produce a laxative effect, and large concentrations of sulfate in combination with other ions impart a bitter taste to water, commonly referred to as an alum taste. The sulfate content in 548 samples ranged from 0.0 to 1,750 mg/l; only 77 samples (14 percent) contained more than 250 mg/l. The high sulfate was not confined to any particular formation or depth.

The sulfate and chloride content of water from wells in various aquifers in Brazos and Burleson Counties are shown on Figure 23. The map is useful in indicating areas and depths of wells which yield water of good or poor quality. The quality of water from the Wilcox Group, Carrizo Sand, Queen City Sand, and Sparta Sand is predictable within reasonable limits, with very little variation in short distances unless a fault is present. The quality of water from the other aquifers, especially the Yegua Formation, is not predictable and considerable changes in quality usually occur in short distances laterally and vertically. Good quality water, if not found at a particular depth, may be available at a shallower or deeper depth. Analysis should be made of a water sample from a well before the driller moves his drilling rig as the cost of drilling deeper or plugging back and testing another sand would be relatively small at this time.

Of the 495 samples of water analyzed for hardness (Table 8), about half were soft; 56 were moderately hard; 46 were hard; and 149 were very hard. Of the very hard samples, 90 were from the flood-plain alluvium. Generally, water from the Wilcox Group (including Simsboro Sand Member), Carrizo Sand, and Sparta Sand is soft. Commercial water softeners may be used if soft water is needed. If used, the softeners will have to be recharged frequently and probably will not be recommended where the hardness is more than 500 mg/l. High hardness generally is not considered detrimental to health except to the small percentage of people susceptible to kidney ailments.

To provide information on the presence and extent of pesticides in ground water, pesticide analyses were made on nine samples of ground water in the report area. The water was analyzed for 15 insecticides (aldrin, DDD, DDE, DDT, dieldrin, endrin, heptachlor, heptachlor epoxide, lindane, chlordane, methoxychlor, parathion, methyl parathion, malathion, and diazinon), and three herbicides (2, 4-D; silvex; and 2, 4, 5-T). Very small amounts of some pesticides were present in six of the nine samples collected. However, concentrations of pesticides were below the limits established by the National Technical Advisory Committee to the Secretary of the Interior (1968). Table 10 shows the wells sampled, depth of the wells, date of sample collection, and pesticides found in the samples.

		IRON (Fe)	SULFATE CHLOR (SO ₄) (C1			(C1)	FLUO (F	RIDE)	NITI (N	rate 0 ₃)	1	DISSOLV	ED SOL	HARDNESS AS CaCO ₃		
			Numbe	Number of determinations (total and the number exceeding the recommended limits)												
WATER-BEARING UNITS	TOT	AL OVER 0.3 MG/L	TOTAI	OVER 250 MG/L	TOTA	L OVER 250 MG/L MG/L	TOTA	L OVER 0.8 MG/L	TOTA	L OVER 45 MG/L	LESS 500 THAN TO 500 1,000 TOTAL MG/L MG/L			OVER 1,000 MG/L	TOTAL	OVER 60 MG/L <u>1</u> /
Flood-plain alluvium	64	56	96	16	96	24	58	1	66	0.	74	1	38	35	94	93
Terrace deposits	5	1	12	1	11	3	4	0	3	1	6	3	2	1	10	10
Catahoula Sandstone	4	3	5	0	5	1	2	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	5	4
Jackson Group	18	6	32	9	32	17	14	3	13	0	20	4	3	13	27	19
Yegua Formation	52	11	93	23	93	43	36	10	33	0	58	13	15	30	82	40
Cook Mountain Formation	7	2	24	13	24	11	5	3	5	2	16	2	5	9	21	14
Sparta Sand	85	24	141	2	141	2	80	3	74	1 ·	106	91	10	5	130	30
Weches Formation	0	0	5	4	5	3	0	0	I	0	5	0	3	2	4	4
Queen City Sand	48	23	88	9	89	7	27	8	29	0 -	60	32	11	17	72	30
Carrizo Sand	9	5	10	0	11	0	5	0	4	0	6	4	1	1	9	3
Carrizo Sand and Wilcox Group (tapped by dually-completed wells)	14	3	17	0	17	1	12	3	10	0 -	16	10	3	3	17	4
Simsboro Sand Member of Rockdale Fromation of Plummer (1932)	19	5	21	0	21	1	12	2	11	0	14	0	9	5	20	0
Wilcox Group (excluding Simsboro Sand)	3	3	4	0	4	1	1	0	1	0	4	1	1	2	4	0
Totals	328	142	548	77	549	114	256	33	251	4	386	1.62	101	123	495	251

Table 9.--Comparison of Quality of Ground Water in Brazos and Burleson Counties with Standards Recommended by the U.S. Public Health Service and Others

 $\underline{1}$ / Upper limit of soft water.



Table 10.-Pesticidal Analyses of Water Samples From Selected Wells

WELL	DEPTH (FT)	DATE	PESTICIDE FOUND AND AMOUNT (MICROGRAMS/LITER)
BJ-59-13-903	34	July 15, 1970	DDT, 0.05; Methoxychlor, 0.64; 2,4-D, 4.3; 2,4,5-T, 1.7
BJ-59-20-530	70	July 17, 1970	Methyl Parathion, 0.02
BJ-59-20-926	70	do.	DDT, 0.02; Methyl Parathion, 0.05
BJ-59-38-903	73	July 16, 1970	None
BS-59-18-904	635	July 10, 1970	DDE, 0.01; DDT, 0.01
BS-59-26-306	17	July 13, 1970	None
BS-59-28-309	72	July 9, 1970	DDE, 0.02; DDT, 0.01
BS-59-29-509	55	do.	None
BS-59-29-803	51	do.	DDT, 0.01

Industrial Use

The quality of water for industry does not necessarily depend on its acceptability for human consumption, but varies according to the individual requirements for each process. A few of the limits for chemical constituents in water to be used for industry are given in Table 11; for more detailed information on the requirements for specific industries, the reader is referred to Nordell (1961).

Corrosion is the most widespread and probably the most costly water-related difficulty with which industry must cope. Large concentrations of dissolved solids, chloride, and sulfate, low or high pH, and small concentrations of calcium usually are conducive to corrosion. In Brazos and Burleson Counties, the pH varies considerably but generally is between 7 and 8. The concentrations of dissolved solids, chloride, sulfate, and calcium in ground water in Brazos and Burleson Counties generally are relatively low in the Wilcox Group and Carrizo, Queen City, and Sparta Sands. These constituents are quite variable in concentration in the other aguifers except for calcium, which generally has a higher concentration. The corrosive potential of ground water in the report area thus varies among some of the aquifers.

Although some calcium hardness is desirable for the prevention of corrosion, excessive hardness is objectionable for most industrial applications because it contributes to the formation of scale in boilers, pipes, water heaters, radiators, and various other equipment where water is heated or evaporated. Generally, much of the water from the Wilcox Group and Carrizo, Queen City, and Sparta Sands will require little or no softening for many industrial applications. Boiler-feed water for the production of steam must meet rigid chemical-quality requirements because the problems of corrosion and scale are intensified. Treatment of boiler water generally is needed, and therefore its suitability for treatment must be considered because in closed systems the boiler water is reused many times. Excessive silica in boiler water is undesirable because it forms a hard scale, the scale-forming tendency increasing with pressure in the boiler. The following table shows maximum suggested concentrations of silica for water used in boilers (Moore, 1940, p. 263).

BOILER PRESSURE (POUNDS PER SQUARE INCH)
Less than 150
150-250
251-400
More than 400

The table shows that the upper limit of silica in boiler-feed water is 20 mg/l if boiler pressures are between 150 and 250 psi (pounds per square inch). Of 262 determinations of silica, the concentration of silica ranged from 2.9 to 95 mg/l; 145 samples (39 percent) exceeded 20 mg/l. Most of the samples from the Wilcox Group and Carrizo, Queen City, and Sparta Sands were in the 20 mg/l or less group. Thus, if boiler pressure is less than 250 psi, silica is not a problem when pumping from these aquifers.

Table 11.–Water-Quality Tolerances for Industrial Applications ${\cal V}$

[Allowable Limits in Milligrams Per Liter Except as Indicated]

INDUSTRY	TUR - BID - ITY	COLOR	COLOR +02 CON- SUMED	DIS- SOLVED OXYGEN (m1/1)	OD OR.	HARD - NESS	ALKA - LINITY (AS CaCO ₃)	рН	TOTAL SOLIDS	Са	Fe	Mn	Fe+ Mn	A1203	\$i0 ₂	Cu	F	co3	HC03	ОН	CaS04	Na2 ⁵⁰ 4 TO Na2 ⁵⁰ 3 RATIO	GEN- ERAL/
Air Conditioning ³ / Baking	10	 10				 (4)					0.5	0.5	0.5										A,B C
Boiler feed:	20	80	100	2		75		8.0+	3 000-					E	4.0			200	5.0	50		1	
150-250 pci	10	40	50	2		/0		8 5+	1,000					ر د	40			200	50	50		I to I	
250 psi and up	5	5	10	0		40		9.0+	2,500- 500 1,500-					. 05	20			40	3U 5	30		2 to 1	
-						-			100						2			40	2	50		5 10 1	
Brewing: Light Dark	10 10				Low Low		75 150	6.5-7.0 7.0→	500 1,000	100-200 200-500	.1 .1	.1 .1	$^{.1}_{.1}$				1	•••			100-200 200-500		C,D C,D
Canning: Legumes General	10 10				Low Low	25-75					. 2 . 2	. 2	.2				1						C C
Carbonated bev- erages Confectionary Cooling J Food, general	2 50	10	10		0 Low	250 50	50 	(7)	850 100 		.2 .2 .5	. 2 . 2 . 5	.3 .2 .5				.2						с А,В
Ice (raw water) ⁹ Laundering Plastics. clear.	1-5 	5				 50	30-50		300		.2	.2	- 2 - 2		10								с
undercolored	2	2							200		.02	.02	.02										
Paper and pulp: 19 Groundwood Kraft pulp Soda and sulfite Light paper, HL-Grade	50 25 15 5	20 15 10 5				180 100 100 50			300 200 200		1.0 .2 .1	.5 .1 .05	1.0 .2 .1 .1	 						 			A B
Rayon (viscose) pulp: Production Manufacture Tanning	5 .3 20	5 10-100				8 55 50 - 135	50 135	 7.8-8.3 8.0	100	、	.05 .0 .2	.03 .0 .2	.05 .0 .2	<8.0	<25	<5							
Textiles: General Dyeing 1 <u>3</u> Wool scouring1 <u>3</u> Cotton band- age1 <u>3</u>	5 5 5	20 5-20 70 5			 Low	20 20 20 20					.25 .25 1.0 .2	.25 .25 1.0 .2	.25 1.0 .2										

1/ American Water Works Association, 1950.

2) A-No corrosiveness; B-No slime formation; C-Conformance to Federal drinking water standards necessary; D-NaCl, 275 mg/l. 2) Waters with algae and hydrogen sulfide odors are most unsuitable for air conditioning.

4 Some hardness desirable.

🖇 Water for distilling must meet the same general requirements as for brewing (gin and spirits mashing water of light-beer quality; whiskey mashing water of dark-beer quality).

g water for distributing water for same general requirements as for orienting (general mathing water for inguestic during), which water for satisfactory for beverages. J Hard candy requires pH of 7.0 or greater, as low value favors inversion of sucrose, causing sticky product.

8 Control of corrosiveness is necessary as is also control of organisms, such as sulfur and iron bacteria, which tend to form slimes.

g Ca (HCO3)2 particularly troublesome. Mg(HCO3)2 tends to greenish color. CO2 assists to prevent cracking. Sulfates and chlorides of Ca, Mg, Na should each be less than 300 mg/1 (white butts).

1Q Uniformity of composition and temperature desirable. Iron objectionable as cellulose adsorbs iron from dilute solutions. Manganese very objectionable, clogs pipelines and is oxidized to permanganates by chlorine, causing reddish color.

11 Excessive iron, manganese, or turbidity creates spots and discoloration in tanning of hides and leather goods.

12/ Constant composition; residual alumina 0.5 mg/l.

13 Calcium, magnesium, iron, manganese, suspended matter, and soluable organic matter may be objectionable.

The temperature of water is important to industries using water for cooling purposes. Therefore, a geothermal gradient for water temperatures is useful to determine the expected temperature of water from specific depths below the land surface. Figure 24 is a graph of the geothermal gradient showing temperatures of water from wells in Brazos and Burleson Counties. The temperatures are of water from wells ranging in depth below land surface from 23 to 2,975 feet.



Figure 24,-Temperature Gradient of Water From Wells

The temperatures for water wells in Brazos and Burleson Counties indicate an average gradient of about 1° F increase for every 55 feet in depth, or an average of about 1.82° F increase per 100 feet.

The temperature of a water sample when collected generally is less than the temperature of the aquifer unless the water has been discharging for a sufficient length of time. Flowing or pumping wells having a small discharge lose some of the heat of the water to the rocks on its slow travel upward.

In summary, ground water in Brazos and Burleson Counties is suitable or can be made suitable for many industrial applications. Although the corrosive potential of the water varies among some of the aquifers and should be carefully considered, much of the water from the Wilcox Group, and Carrizo, Queen City, and Sparta Sands will not require softening for certain industrial uses.

Irrigation

Suitability of water for irrigation depends on the chemical quality of water and other factors such as soil texture and composition, subsoil texture, type of crop, irrigation practices, and amount of rainfall. Many classifications of irrigation water express suitability in terms of one or more variables and offer criteria for evaluating the relative overall suitability rather than placing rigid limits on certain chemical constituents. The more important characteristics pertinent to such evaluation of water for irrigation are the proportion of sodium to total ions, an index of the sodium or alkali hazard; total concentration of soluble salts, an index of the salinity hazard; amount of boron; and RSC (residual sodium carbonate).

A system of classification commonly used for judging suitability of the quality of water for irrigation was proposed by the U.S. Salinity Laboratory Staff (1954, p. 69-82). It is based primarily on the salinity hazard as measured by the electrical conductivity of the water and on the sodium hazard as measured by the SAR (sodium adsorption ratio). Wilcox (1955, p. 15) stated that this system of classification ... "is not directly applicable to supplemental waters used in areas of relatively high rainfall". Because the precipitation in the report area averages 39.00 inches, most irrigation would be supplemental; the classification therefore is not directly applicable, but nevertheless is useful as a guide.

The salinity and sodium hazards of ground water from various aquifers and at a representative number of sites in the report area, are shown in Figure 25. Figure 25 indicates that the water ranges from low to very high in sodium and salinity hazards. Most of the water samples represented on the diagram have low to very high sodium hazards and medium to high salinity hazards. The medium, high, and very high sodium and salinity hazards, however, do not necessarily preclude the use of such water for irrigation as the water-quality requirements for supplemental irrigation are not stringent.

Another factor used in assessing suitability of water for irrigation is RSC. Excessive RSC will cause the water to be alkaline, and the organic content of the soil on which it is used may become grayish black (most of the topsoil of the flood-plain alluvium is naturally grayish black). The soil thus affected is referred to as "black alkali". Wilcox (1955, p. 11) states that laboratory and field studies have resulted in the conclusion that water containing more than 2.5 me/l (milliequivalents per liter) RSC is unsuitable for irrigation; water containing from 1.25 to 2.5 me/l is marginal, and water containing less than 1.25 me/l probably is safe.

The RSC as determined in 347 samples ranged from 0.00 to 23.6 me/l. Of these 347 samples, 187 (54 percent) had less than 1.25 me/l RSC, 118 of which had 0.00 RSC; 36 samples (10 percent) were in the 1.25 to 2.5 me/l range; and 124 (36 percent) had more than 2.5 me/l. Very few of the samples containing more than 2.5 me/l were from the terrace deposits or flood-plain alluvium.

Even though RSC was more than the suggested 2.5 me/I limit in 36 percent of the samples, it should not be a problem in the report area if good irrigation practices and proper use of soil amendments are carried out. Presently very little water containing more than 1.25 me/I RSC is used for irrigation in the report area. Furthermore, the degree of leaching will modify the limit of RSC to some extent (Wilcox, Blair, and Bower, 1954, p. 265). Most of the upland soils in Brazos and Burleson Counties are classed as sandy loams, and this would be conducive to a high degree of leaching. The combination of sandy soil and leaching from considerable rainfall should tend to reduce any harmful effects of high RSC waters when used for supplemental irrigation.

An excessive concentration of boron renders water unsuitable for irrigation. Scofield (1936, p. 286) indicated that boron concentrations of as much as 1 mg/l are permissible for irrigating most boron-sensitive crops, and concentrations as much as 3 mg/l are permissible for the more boron-tolerant crops. Of 139 samples analyzed for boron, only 18 contained boron in excess of 1.0 mg/l, and half of these were from the Yegua Formation. Only two of 71 samples from the flood-plain alluvium contained boron in excess of 1.0 mg/l. Probably only a very small number of wells produce water containing boron above limits of tolerant plants. Therefore, boron is not considered to be a problem in the report area.

Because irrigation in the report area is practiced only during periods of deficient rainfall, and because the ground water sampled meets most of the various irrigation standards, use of ground water for supplemental irrigation in the report area is considered safe, especially in view of the fact that the average annual precipitation is substantial and the soils are generally sandy. Also, stock feed is the principal crop irrigated on the upland and is relatively tolerant to sodium and salinity hazards. The sprinkler system of application is used by all upland irrigators in the report area, and this method may permit the use of poor quality water because small, uniform applications are possible and prudent with the relatively small-capacity wells.

Production and Disposal of Oil-Field Brine

Oil and gas are produced in Brazos and Burleson Counties, but relatively little oil-field brine has been produced or was being produced in 1969-70, in conjunction with oil and gas production. Only four oil and gas fields were in operation in 1969-70: Millican and East Millican Fields, both near Millican in Brazos County, and Burmil and Milbur Fields in northwestern Burleson County. The Ferguson Crossing and Chambers-Navarro Fields in Brazos and Burleson Counties, respectively, were not in operation in 1969-70. The approximate locations of the fields in the report area are shown on Figure 23. According to Texas Water Commission and Texas Water Pollution Control Board (1963, p. 14), no oil-field brine was produced in the report area in 1961. A survey by the Railroad Commission of Texas in 1967 shows oil-field brine production from only two wells that year. In 1967 one well in the Ferguson Crossing Field produced 7,020 barrels of brine which was stored in an unlined pit. On December 11, 1969, the writer observed that this well was no longer producing oil or brine.

Well BS-59-26-201 in the Chambers-Navarro Field in 1967 produced 365 barrels of brine which was stored in an unlined pit. On March 31, 1970, the writer observed that this well was no longer producing oil or brine. During field work in 1969-70, the writer observed oil-field brine production at only one location; about 5 gpm was being produced in the Burmil Field in northwestern Burleson County. Many of the oil and gas wells in the report area in 1969-70 were recently drilled and were not in existence at the time of the 1967 oil-field brine survey.



Figure 25.-Classification of Irrigation Waters

CONCLUSIONS AND NEEDS FOR FURTHER STUDIES

Large quantities of ground water are available for development in Brazos and Burleson Counties. A total of about 290 million acre-feet of fresh to slightly saline water is stored in principal aquifers—Carrizo-Wilcox aquifer, Queen City Sand, Sparta Sand, and flood-plain alluvium—but only about half of this quantity is available to wells. About 64,000 acre-feet per year or 57 mgd can be pumped from these aquifers on a long-term basis without depleting the supply. Thus the pumpage of 38,000 acre-feet or 34 mgd in 1969 could be increased about two-thirds without depleting the supply.

Available records of the pumpage of ground water for public supply for the period 1940-70 indicate an 800 percent increase in pumpage while the two-county population increased 50 percent for the same period. The quantity of water pumped for public supply in 1970 (12,000 acre-feet) from the principal upland aquifers—Carrizo-Wilcox aquifer, Queen City and Sparta Sands—is small compared with the quantity available in these aquifers (at least 34,400 acre-feet per year).

In Brazos County, the rate of withdrawal of ground water from the flood-plain alluvium for irrigation apparently has about reached the limit of available supply in the flood plain northwest of Bryan. This is the result of concentrated pumping from about 150 irrigation wells plus the probable leakage of water from the flood-plain alluvium into the underlying Sparta.

In Burleson County, the rate of withdrawal from the alluvium probably has not reached the limit of availability because the wells in the alluvium are more widely dispersed than in Brazos County and because leakage from the alluvium into the underlying Yegua is not significant.

Ground water of good chemical quality is available from many of the aquifers. The quality of the water from the Carrizo-Wilcox aquifer, Queen City Sand, and Sparta Sand generally is acceptable for public supply, many industrial uses, and supplemental irrigation. Water from the flood-plain alluvium is suitable for irrigation and some industrial uses, but mainly because of the hardness and high iron content, the water is not generally acceptable for public supply. The Weches, Cook Mountain, and Yegua Formations, Jackson Group, Catahoula Sandstone, and terrace deposits mostly contain water of poorer quality than that in the aquifers discussed above.

Although the water resources of the upland area are for the most part undeveloped except by the wells at the Bryan and Texas A&M University well fields, a program of periodic collection of hydrologic data should be established to refine the estimates of availability that have been made. This program should include expansion of the present network of observation wells (the observation-well program covering the flood-plain alluvium probably is adequate) to include the Wilcox Group and Carrizo, Queen City, and Sparta Sands, plus a generalized coverage of the Yegua Formation, Jackson Group, and Catahoula Sandstone.

Most of the observation wells in the Sparta Sand should be concentrated in the vicinity of the Bryan and Texas A&M University well fields. If existing wells are not properly located and are not sufficient in number, Bryan and Texas A&M University should consider drilling the observation wells. The wells should be distributed on the Sparta outcrop updip from the well fields, downdip from the fields, and along a line through the two fields. Also, observation wells in the Sparta outside the area of influence of the pumping from the well fields should be included to obtain data on fluctuations due to variations water-level in precipitation. The addition of observation wells in the Sparta Sand in the flood-plain area northwest of Bryan is recommended to determine the reason for the decline of the water levels in the flood-plain alluvium.

A network of observation wells in the Simsboro Sand Member should be established around the Bryan and Texas A&M University well fields. The wells would have to be drilled because privately-owned wells in the Simsboro Sand Member are not known to be available as observation wells.

The present program of an annual inventory of the ground-water pumpage from the upland aquifers, by municipalities and industries, and of the five-year inventory of irrigation pumpage, probably is adequate. Wells should be selected for resampling purposes to keep abreast of possible changes in quality of the water as a result of heavy pumpage or pollution from oil-field brine disposal.

DEFINITIONS OF TERMS

In this report, certain technical terms or terms subject to different interpretations are used. For convenience and clarification these terms are defined as follows:

Aquifer.-A geologic formation, group of formations, or part of a formation that is water-bearing.

Artesian water.-Ground water that is under sufficient pressure to rise above the level at which it is encountered in a well; it does not necessarily rise to or above the surface of the ground.

Brine.-Water containing more than 35,000 mg/l (milligrams per liter) dissolved solids (Winslow and Kister, 1956, p. 5).

Fresh water.--Water containing less than 1,000 mg/l dissolved solids (Winslow and Kister, 1956, p. 5).

Hydraulic conductivity.—The rate of flow of a unit volume of water in unit time at the prevailing kinematic viscosity through a cross section of unit area, measured at right angles to the direction of flow, under a hydraulic gradient of unit change in head over unit length of flow path. Formerly called field coefficient of permeability.

Moderately saline water.—Water containing 3,000 to 10,000 mg/I dissolved solids (Winslow and Kister, 1956, p. 5).

Potentiometric surface.—The imaginary surface to which water will rise in artesian wells or the surface formed by the water table in the outcrop areas. The terms "water table" and "potentiometric surface" are synonymous in the outcrop area, but potentiometric surface alone is applicable in artesian areas.

Resistivity.—That property of a material that characterizes its opposition to the flow of electricity. The resistivity of a water-saturated material is a function of both the texture of the material and the contained fluid and is recorded in ohms per square meter per meter (ohms m^2/m) in electrical logs of wells.

Slightly saline water.-Water containing 1,000 to 3,000 mg/l dissolved solids (Winslow and Kister, 1956, p. 5).

Specific capacity.—The discharge of a well expressed as the rate of yield per unit of drawdown, generally in gallons per minute per foot of drawdown.

Specific conductance (conductivity).-A measure of the ability of a solution to conduct electricity, expressed in micromhos per centimeter at 25° C. It is approximately proportional to the content of dissolved solids.

Storage coefficient.—The volume of water an aquifer releases from or takes into storage per unit of surface area of the aquifer per unit change in the component of head normal to that surface.

Transmission capacity.—The quantity of water that can be transmitted through a given width of an aquifer at a given hydraulic gradient.

Transmissivity.—The rate at which water of the prevailing kinematic viscosity is transmitted through a unit width of the aquifer under a unit hydraulic gradient. It is the product of the hydraulic conductivity and the saturated thickness of the aquifer. Formerly called coefficient of transmissibility,

Very saline water.-Water containing 10,000 to 35,000 mg/l dissolved solids (Winslow and Kister, 1956, p. 5).

Water level; static level; or hydrostatic level.—In an unconfined aquifer, the distance from the land surface to the water table. In a confined (artesian) aquifer, the level to which the water will rise either above or below land surface.

Water table.—The upper surface of a saturated zone except where that surface is formed by impermeable material.

Yield.—The following ratings apply for general discussion of yields of wells in Brazos and Burleson Counties.

DESCRIPTION	YIELD GALLONS PER MINUTE (GPM)
Small	Less than 50
Moderate	50 to 500
Large	More than 500

- American Water Works Association, 1950, Water quality and treatment: Am. Water Works Assoc. Manual, 2d ed., tables 3-4, p. 66-67.
- Barnes, B. A., Follett, C. R., and Sundstrom, R. W., 1944, Ground-water supply of Bryan, Texas: Texas Board Water Engineers dupl. rept., 31 p., 8 figs., 3 pls.
- Clark, W. I., 1937, Records of wells in Burleson County, Texas: Texas Board Water Engineers dupl. rept., 46 p., 1 pl.
- Cronin, J. G., and others, 1963, Reconnaissance investigation of the ground-water resources of the Brazos River basin, Texas: Texas Water Commission Bull. 6310, 152 p., 24 figs., 11 pls.
- Cronin, J. G., and Wilson, C. A., 1967, Ground water in the flood-plain alluvium of the Brazos River, Whitney Dam to vicinity of Richmond, Texas: Texas Water Devel. Board Rept. 41, 206 p., 28 figs.
- Follett, C. R., 1970, Ground-water resources of Bastrop County, Texas: Texas Water Devel. Board Rept. 109, 138 p., 26 figs.
- Gillett, P. T., and Janca, I. G., 1965, Inventory of Texas irrigation, 1958 and 1964: Texas Water Comm. Bull. 6515, 317 p., 6 pls.
- Hughes, W. F., and Magee, A. C., 1962, An economic analysis of irrigated cotton production, middle Brazos River valley, 1955-58: Texas Agr. and Mech. University, MP-580, 12 p.
- Kane, J. W., 1967, Monthly reservoir evaporation rates for Texas, 1940 through 1965: Texas Water Devel. Board Rept. 64, 111 p., 7 pls.
- Lohr, E. W., and Love, S. K., 1954, The industrial utility of public water supplies in the United States, 1952, pt. 2: U.S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper 1300, 462 p.
- Maier, F. J., 1950, Fluoridation of public water supplies: Am. Water Works Assoc. Jour., vol. 42, pt. 1, p. 1120-1132.
- Maxcy, K. F., 1950, Report on the relation of nitrate concentrations in well waters to the occurrence of methemoglobinemia in infants: Natl. Research Council Bull. Sanitary Eng. and Environment, app. D, p. 265-271.
- Meinzer, O. E., 1923, the occurrence of ground water in the United States, with a discussion of principles: U.S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper 489, 321 p., 110 figs., 31 pls.

- Meinzer, O. E., 1942, Ground water, *in* Hydrology, vol. 9 of Physics of the earth: New York, McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., p. 385-477.
- Moore, E. W., 1940, Progress report of the committee on quality tolerances of water for industrial uses: New England Water Works Assoc. Jour., vol. 54, p. 263.
- National Technical Advisory Committee to the Secretary of the Interior, 1968, Water quality criteria: Fed. Water Pollution Control Admin., Wash., D. C., p. 20-83.
- Nordell, Eskel, 1961, Water treatment for industrial and other uses, 2d ed.: New York, Reinhold Publishing Corp., 598 p.
- Plummer, F. B., 1932, Cenozoic systems in Texas, *in* The geology of Texas, vol. 1, Stratigraphy: Univ. Texas Bull. 3232 [1933], p. 519-818.
- Railroad Commission of Texas, 1968, Annual report of the Oil and Gas Division, 1967: Railroad Comm. of Texas, 627 p.
- Scofield, C. S., 1936, The salinity of irrigation water: Smithsonian Inst. Ann. Rept. 1934-35, p. 275-287.
- Stenzel, H. B., 1938, The geology of Leon County, Texas: Univ. Texas Bull. 3818, 295 p.
- _____1940, New zone in Cook Mountain Formation, *Crassatella texalta* Harris - *Turritella cortezi* Bowles zone: Am. Assoc. Petroleum Geologists Bull., vol. 24, no. 9, p. 1663-1675.
- Sundstrom, R. W., Hastings, W. W., and Broadhurst, W. L., 1948, Public water supplies in eastern Texas: U.S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper 1047, 285 p., 1 fig.
- Texas Water Commission and Texas Water Pollution Control Board, 1963, A statistical analysis of data on oil-field brine production and disposal in Texas for the year 1961 from an inventory conducted by the Texas Railroad Commission: Texas Railroad Comm. Dist. 3, vol. 1,14 p.
- Theis, C. V., 1935, The relation between the lowering of the piezometric surface and the rate and duration of discharge of a well using ground-water storage: Am. Geophys. Union Trans., pt. 2, p. 519-524.
- Tolman, C. F., 1937, Ground water: New York, McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., 593 p.
- Turner, S. F., 1938, Ground water in the vicinity of Bryan and College Station, Texas: Texas Board Water Engineers dupl. rept., 39 p., 3 figs.

- U.S. Public Health Service, 1962, Public Health Service drinking water standards: U.S. Public Health Service Pub. 956, 61 p.
- U.S. Salinity Laboratory Staff, 1954, Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkaline soils: U.S. Dept. Agriculture Handb. 60, 160 p.
- University of Texas, Bureau of Economic Geology, 1971, Geologic Atlas of Texas, Austin sheet: Bur. Eco. Geol. map (in press).
- Wenzel, L. K., 1942, Methods of determining permeability of water-bearing materials, with special reference to discharging-well methods, with a section on Direct laboratory methods and a bibliography on permeability and laminar flow, by V. C. Fishel: U.S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper 887,192 p., 17 figs., 6 pls.

- Wilcox, L. V., 1955, Classification and use of irrigation waters: U.S. Dept. Agriculture Cir. 969, 19 p., 4 figs.
- Wilcox, L. V., Blair, G. Y., and Bower, C. A., 1954, Effect of bicarbonate on suitability of water for irrigation: Soil Science, vol. 77, no. 4, p. 259-266.
- Winslow, A. G., and Kister, L. R., 1956, Saline-water resources of Texas: U.S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper 1365, 105 p.

Table 12--Records of Wells and Springs

All wells are drilled unless otherwise noted in remarks column. Water levels : Reported water levels given in feet; measured water levels given in feet and tenths. Method of lift and type of power : A, afrifit B, bucket; E, electric; G, gasoline, oil, butane, or diesel engine; H, hand; N, none; P, piston; S, submersible; T, turbine; W, windmill. Number indicates horsepower. Use of water : D, domestic, Ind, industrial; Irr, irrigation; N, none; P, public supply; S, livestock. Water-bearing unit : Twi, Willcox Group excluding Simsboro Sand Hember of Rockdale Formation of Plummer (1932); Tc, Carrizo Sand; Tqe, Queen City Sand; Tw, Weches Formation; Ts, Sparta Sand; Tcm, Cook Mountain Formation; Ty, Yegua Formation; Tj, Jackson Group; Tcs, Catahoula Sandstone; Qt, Terrace deposits; Qfpa, Flood-plain alluvium.

									WATER LEVE					WELL PE	RFORMANCE D	ATA		
WELL	NUMBER	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEASUREMEN	METH T OF LIF	T W	USE OF ATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	DA	TE	REMARKS
									BRAZ OS	COUNTY								
* BJ-	59-06-301	Grant McDonald	1926	33	8		Tem	340	21.7	Jan. 12, 1	938 N		N					у
11	302	Bruce Weaver	1967	430	4,2	415-430	Ts	280	+	Nov. 11, 1	969 F1o	ws 1	D,S					Measured flow 7 gpm on Nov. 11, 1969. 2
	303	do.		16	30		Tem	270	9.8	do.	N		N					Dug well, shored with concrete rings.
	501	Willie Skubol	1966	113	113?	81-113	Tem	363	20	June 2, 1	966 S,E	1/2 1	D,S					2
*	502			350±	5		Ts	351	+ 5.6	July 23, 1	970 Flo	ws	s					Measured flow 0.4 gpm on July 23, 1970.
	601	Vick Robinson	1954	220±	3		Tcm	305	6	1	954 J,E	1	D,S					Cased to 80 ft.
*	602	do.	1954	210±	2		Ts	280	+	Nov. 11, 1	969 Flo	ws	s					Measured flow 0.5 gpm on Nov. 11, 1969.
*	603	M. T. Raincy		185	4		Ts	303			S,E		α					
	604	H. B. Poteet	1968	328	4,2	286-328	Ts	285	+	Aug. 19, 1	968 Flo	ws 1	D,S	73		Aug.	19, 1968	21
*	701	W. H. Hanover	1915	34	36		Tem	360	23.5	Jan. 12, 1	938 N		N					Dug well. Abandoned. y
*	702	Joe R. Nash	1964	255	3,2		Ts	373	90	July 31, 1	964 P,E	3/4 1	D,S					21
	801	Albert Rychlik	1969	326	4		Ts	380	70	1	969 J,E		D,S					
*	901	Jack Irick	1969	697	4		Tqc	352			S,E	1	D,S					
*	902	do.	1961	300±	4		Ts	343			J,E		D,S					
	07-101	Bruce Weaver	1962	500±	10		Ts	270	+	Nov. 11, 1	969 Flow	s,T	Irr					Estimated flow 100 gpm on Nov. 11, 1969.
*	401	H. B. McCuistion					Ťs	272			S,E		D,S					
*	13-302	Triangle Z Dairy	1969	458	4,2	443-458	Tqc	362	82	Feb. 12, 1	969 S,E 1	1/2	D,S	35 3		Feb.	12, 1969	2
ŵ	601	W. R. Springhall	1965	216	2		Ts	378	65	Feb. 1, 1	965 J,E		D,S					
	602	R. C. Castenson & Spns	1969	266			Ts	400			S,E		D,S					
de .	603	Clyde Wilson	1955	286	4,2 1/2	256-286	Ts	373	115	1	955 S,E 1	1/2	D,S					
*	604	R. C. Castenson & Sons	1965	240±			Ts	398			S,E		D,S					
	605	A. Kapetsky	1966	307	4,2	290-307	Ts	365			S,E,	3/4	D,S					24
	901	Cullen Mancuso	1969	150	4,3,2	129-150	Ts	365	60	Apr. 22, 1	969 S,E	1/2	D	15 ³		Apr.	22, 1969	24
1	902	do.		146	3		Ts	365	55.2	Nov. 12, 1	969 N		N					
	0.02	.Pattwolls		3/	36		Tu	353	28.9	Dec. 15, 1	969 J.E		s					Dug well, shored with brick.
	905	ratticita		2.4	1		*7		25.0	July 15, 1	970							
ļ	14-l01	James Millberger	1968	133	4,2	108-133	Ts	352	63	Nov. 12, 1	968 S,E	1/2	D,S	15 ³⁹		Nov.	12, 1968	2
	102	Jim Nichols		37	30		Ту	368	32.9	Dec. 16, 1	969 N		N					Dug well, shored with concrete rings.
ŵ	103	W. C. Scasta, Sr.	1932	96	2		Ts	330	+	do.	Flo	ws	S			ł		Cased to 20 ft. Measured flow 0.6 gpm on Dec. 16, 1969.
	104	Tim Nichols	1967	313	4.2		Ts	360	85	July 28, 1	967 S.E	3/4	D,S	153		July	28, 1967	24
*	201	Edd Chytil	1963	307	4,2	262-307	Ts	351	90	July 19, 1	963 F,E	3/4	D,S					
	202	Knox Kelley	1968	287	4	191-287	Ts	328	38	Nov. 6, 1	.968 J,E		D,S					2
	301			400±	3		Ts	268	+	Nov. 14, 1	.969 Flo	พร	s					
*	401	Wallace Stevener	1968	322	4,2	307-322	Ťs	365	158	Apr. 16, 1	968 S,E	3/4	D,S	30 ³		Apr.	16, 1968	
	402	Bill Presnal	1,966	341	4,2		Ts		90	Sept. 9, 1	.966 S,E	1	D,S	15- ³		Sept.	9, 1966	<i>в</i> .
	403	do,	1966	284	4,2		Ts	350	90	Sept. 13, 1	966 S,E	3/4	D,S	155		Sept.	13, 1966	

Sec footnotes at end of table.

Table 12. -- Records of Wells and Springs -- Continued

				1			WATER LEVEL		L			WELL PERFORMANCE DATA		E DATA	
WELL NUMBER	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) CR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEASUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
BRAZOS COUNTY															
* BJ-59-14-5	J. H. Harris	1968	594	4,2	579-594	Ts	360	80	Apr. 2, 1968	S, E, 3/4	D, S	30 ^{3/}		Apr. 2, 1968	2/
5	2 George Kristynik		462	4,2	447 - 462	Ts	364	45		S, E, 1/2	D, S	45 ^{3/}		**	Sile ang
6	l Will Klintworth	1965	289	4,2	274-289	Ту	357	90	Jan. 19, 1968	S, E, 1/2	D,S	30 ^{3/}		Jan. 19, 1968	
* 6	2 Henry Odom well 1	1965	225			Ту	335			S,E	S, Irr				Irrigates about 40 acres with Well BJ-59-15-402.
б	3 Henry Odom	1969	212	4,2	143-212	Ту	330	73.4	Nov. 13, 1969	N	N				Did not afford sufficient water. 21
6	4 Buddy Lindeman	1964	512	4,2		Ts	340	90	May 16, 1964	S, E, 1	D, S				
7	1 Roy Barnes	1958	125±	7		Ту	308	4.7 4.7	Dec. 15, 1969 July 15, 1970	S, E, 5	Irr	75 ^{3/}			Has irrigated 20-30 acres. Rarely used.
7	2 do.	1967	500±	4		Ts	320			S,E	D, S				
7	3 do.		290	4		Ts	320			S,E	D,S				
* 7	4 P. A. Linerode	1963	431	4,2	418-431	Ts	342	105	Feb. 25, 1963	P, E	D,S	3 ³			2/
* 7	5 Marshal Peters		100±	2		Ty	295	+	Dec. 16, 1969	Flows	s				
8	1 M. Bowman	1964	471	3,2		Tcm	300	2	Dec. 1964		D,S				
8	2 Aubrey Morre	1968	471	4,2	456-471	Ts	330	100	Feb. 24, 1968	S,E,3/4	s	40 ^{3/}		Feb. 4, 1968	2/
* 8	3 Frank Metzer	1968	512	4,2		Tem	290	30	1968	S,E	D, S				
9	1 Raymond Buchanan	1965	246	4,2	163-246	Тy	358	80	July 31, 1965	S, E, 1	D,S				
9	2 Howard Horn	1968	553	4,2	533-553	Ts	295	115	Aug. 11, 1968	S, E, 1	D, S	40 ^{3/}		Aug. 11, 1968	2/
* 9	3 B. J. Kehlenbrink	1968	323	4,2	308-323	Tcm	332	70	Nov. 26, 1968	S,E,3/4	D, S	50 ^{3/}		Nov. 26, 1968	
9	4 George Dockery	1968	246	4,2	226-246	Ту	332	+107	Nov. 25, 1968	S,E,1/2	D, S	20 ^{3/}		Nov. 25, 1968	
15-1	1 Raymond Murphy	1968	460±	4,2		Ts	320			S,E	D, S				
* 2	J. Herbita		600±	2		Тсш	240	+	Nov. 19, 1969	Flows	D				Measured flow 2.6 gpm on Nov. 19, 1969.
2	02 W. J. Hargett	1964	500±			Tcm	290			S,E	D				
# 4	1 Feather Crest Farms, Inc.	1954	875		835-875	Ts	338	60	1954	T, E	D, S, Irr	2003/		1954	Irrigates about 20 acres.
* 4	2 Henry Odom well 2	1965	141	4,2	126-141	Ty	340	80	July 26, 1965	S,E,3	D, S, Irr				3/ 2/
4	3 Ben Metzer	1955	260±	4,2	240-260	Тy	32.0	75	1955	J,E	D, S				
5	Lee Huffman		34	6		Ту	280	24.8	Nov. 19, 1969	в,н	N				dir tek
7	1 Bert Weeks	1968	328	4,2	313-328	Ту	285	50	July 1968	S,E,3/4	D, S				2/
7	2 Fritz Kehlenbrink	1963	411	4,2		Ту	303	60	Feb. 5, 1963	P, E, 1/2	D, S				
* 7	3 Kazmeier Hatchery, Inc.	1954	900±	4		Ts	298			S,E	D, S		·		
* 7	4 Ray Sanders	1963	195	4,2	173-195	Ту	298	50	Nov. 22, 1963	S, E, 3	S, Irr				Irrigates 7 acres.
* 7	05 do.	1968	461	4,2	445-461	Tcm	298	72	Apr. 29, 1965	S, E, 1	D, S	45 ^{3/}		Apr. 29, 1965	
7	06 Earl Ryan	1965	307	4,2		Ту	310	80	May 5, 1965	S,E,1	P				Furnishes water for trailer park.
* 8	01 H. W. Humphries	1957	250	8	208-250	Тy	220	+ 9	May 22, 1961	Flows, S, E	Irr	300		1957	Reported flow 80 gpm in 1957. Estimated flow 10 gpm on Oct. 10, 1960.
* 8)2 do.		90	4		Ту	220	+	1960	Flows	s				Cased to 42 ft. Reported flow 10 gpm in 1960.
* 8	03 Martin Riley	1969	492	4,2	477-492	Ту	275	30	Mar. 21, 1969	S, É, 1/2	D,S	50 ^{3/}		Mar. 21, 1969	Water sand at 215-240 ft tested, was high in iron. $\frac{2}{2}$
20-3	.5		42	18		Qfpa	244	24.4	July 15, 1960	N	N				

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 12. -- Records of Wells and Springs -- Continued

4

		T	[1		WATER LEVEL			1		WELL PERFORMANC		DATA		
WELL NUMBER	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEASUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
BRAZOS COUNTY															
BJ-59-20-306			44	18		Qfpa	245	30.7 31.4 35.8	Aug. 3, 1960 Feb. 4, 1963 Oct. 8, 1969	N N	N N				
307	Varisco		55	18		Qfpa	243	35.0 37.9	July 13, 1960 Jan. 13, 1963	N	N				Abandoned,
310	U.S. Plywood - Champion Papers					Qfpa	244	31.5 32.7	June 3, 1964 Oct. 8, 1969	T,G	Irr	613 1,192	 16.42	July 18, 1963 Feb. 28, 1964	
501	do.		64	14		Qfpa	255	40.3 40.9 42.6	Aug. 2, 1960 Feb. 5, 1963 Oct. 15, 1969	Τ, G	Irr				·
502	L. Nigliazzo	1955	64	18		Qfpa	255	35.6 38.0 41.0	May 30, 1961 Feb. 26, 1963 June 3, 1964	N	N	546 555		1956 1957	Abandoned.
* 503	Vince Court	1952	70	18		Qfpa	253	38,9	July 15, 1960	T, G	Irr	520 696	21.92 21.24	July 17, 1963 June 20, 1964	<u>}</u>
504	dø.			18		Qfpa	254	33.9 36.3 40.1	May 30, 1961 Feb. 26, 1963 Oct. 14, 1969	T,G	Irr				
505	Varísco Estate	1956		18		Qfpa	251	37.1	Apr. 11, 1957	T,G	Irr	384 506	14.29 14.89	July 17, 1963 July 16, 1964	<u>1</u>
506	Wilson Estate	1956	80?	15		Qfpa	2.52	36.0	do.	T,G	Irr				1/
508	L. Nigliazzo	1.955	71	18		Qfpa	2 50	36.2 36.3 39.3 44.0	July 15, 1960 Feb. 26, 1963 June 3, 1964 Oct. 9, 1969	N	N	756 545		1956 1957	
× 509	do.	1955	67	18		Qfpa	249	35.6	July 15, 1960	N	N	460 422	20.01 17.20	July 17, 1963 July 8, 1964	Ŋ
510	M. Fazzino	1957	80	18		Qfpa	252			N	N	526		1957	
511	do.	1957	80	18		Qfpa	252	35.3	Feb. 4, 1963	N	N	534		1956	
512	Don Angonia	1955	53	14		Qfpa	250	31.6 38.3	Feb. 20, 1963 Oct. 9, 1969	Т, G	Irr	456 565		1956 1957	
514	M. Morello	1955	62	16		Qfpa	247	31.3 34.3 38.4	Jan. 29, 1963 June 3, 1964 Oct. 9, 1969	Т, С	Irr	342	24.44	July 16, 1963	
515	Lazarone	1955	60	20		Qfpa	248	31.7 38.7	Jan. 30, 1963 Oct. 9, 1969	T,G	Irr				
516	do.		57	14		Qfpa	245	33.5 39.2	Jan. 30, 1963 Oct. 9, 1969	Т, G	Irr				
517	do.		64	14		Qfpa	244	32.7 38.3	Jan. 30, 1963 Oct. 9, 1969	T, G	Irr				
518			76	18		Qfpa	248	36.4 42.5	Jan. 30, 1963 Oct. 9, 1969	Т, G	Irr				
519			70	20		Qfpa	253	35.9 42.6	Jan. 30, 1963 Oct. 13, 1969	T,G	Irr				
* 520	J. Fazzino	1961	80	16		Qfpa	2.52	35.2 39.0 41.7	Jan. 30, 1963 June 3, 1964 Oct. 14, 1969	T,G	Irr	375 62 1	14.96 17.64	July 17, 1963 July 16, 1964	
* 521	do.		70	18		Qfpa	250	36.5 39.4 42.9	Jan. 30, 1963 June 3, 1964 Oct. 14, 1969	T,G	Irr	763 442	26.98 19.18	July 17, 1963 July 16, 1964	
			1												

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 12.--Records of Wells and Springs--Continued

		WATER LEVEL					WELL	PERFORMANCI	E DATA	1					
WELL NUMBER	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEASUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
								BR	AZOS COUNTY						
* BJ-59-20-522	J. Fazzino					Qfpa	247	40.9 41.6 42.4	Jan. 30, 1963 June 3, 1964 Oct. 14, 1969	T,G	Irr	510	5,49	July 16, 1964	
523			67			Qfpa	252	35.6 39.3 41.4	Jan. 31, 1963 June 3, 1964 Oct. 14, 1969	T, G	Irr	530 470	20.67 22.99	July 17, 1963 July 16, 1964	
* 524				18		Qfpa	249	38.6 44.3	Feb. 4, 1963 Oct. 8, 1969	Т, G	N				
* 527	John Angonia		70±	16		Qfpa	247	37.I	Oct. 9, 1969	T,G	Irr				
* 528						Qfpa	250	35.6 38.4	June 3, 1964 Oct. 9, 1969	T,G	Irr	416 450	25.40	July 16, 1963 June 25, 1964	
* 529	Milton Lazarone		68			Qfpa	251	39.2 41.2	June 3, 1964 Oct. 14, 1969	T,G	Irr	440 786	21.11	July 17, 1963 June 26, 1964	
530	John Fazzino		70	18		Qfpa	252	39.4 43.3	June 3, 1964 Oct. 10, 1969	т, с	Irr				
531				18		Qfpa	249	41.1	June 3, 1964	N	N	131		July 16, 1963	P 76
532			60			Qfpa	245	36.4	do.	T,G	Irr	515		July 17, 1963	
533						Qfpa	249	38.8 42.6	June 3, 1964 Oct. 9, 1969	Τ, G	Irr	370 432		July 17, 1963 June 22, 1964	
534						Qfpa	249	39.3 42.8	June 3, 1964 Oct. 8, 1969	T,G	Irr	446 379	15.66	July 18, 1963 July 8, 1964	
535	U.S. Plywood-Champion Papers					Qfpa	246	40.1 43.3	June 3, 1964 Oct. 8, 1969	I,G	Irr	424		July 18, 1963	
536	Menning		67			Qfpa	249	38.9 44.5	June 3, 1964 Oct. 8, 1969	T,G	Irr	420	17.56	July 8, 1964	
541	U.S. Geological Survey	1963	80				250	32.0	Dec. 2, 1963	N	N				Test hole. 2
542	do.	1963	67				248	38.0	do.	N	Ň				Do.
543	Sam Morello			16		Qfpa	253	38.9 42.8	June 3, 1964 Oct, 14, 1969	T,G	Irr				
544			61	20		Qfpa	245	36.9 38.5 42.3	Jan. 31, 1963 June 3, 1964 Oct. 9, 1969	T,G	ĺrr	464 600	14.83 12.32	July 18, 1963 June 22, 1964	
546	U.S. Plywood-Champion Papers			16		Qfpa	**-			T,G	Irr				
547	do.		65	16		Qfpa		44.3	Oct. 8, 1969	T, G	Irr				
548			66	18		Qfpa		42.9	do.	т, с	Irr				
549	L. Nigliazzo		67	16		Qfpa		42.1	Oct. 9, 1969	N	N				
* 550	do.		67	16		Qfpa		42.1	do.	T,G	Irr				
551	do.		81	16		Qfpa		43.2	do.	N	N				
552	do,		60	18		Qfpa		41.1	do.	T , G	Irr				
553	Lazarone		58	16		Qfpa		35.8	do.	T,G	Irr				
554						Qfpa	251	39.7	Oct. 14, 1969	Τ,G	Irr				
555	Sam Morello					Qfpa				T,G	Irr				~~
557	John Fazzino		61	18		Qfpa		41.7	Oct. 14, 1969	Τ, G	Irr				

See footnotes at end of table.
Table 12 .-- Records of Wells and Springs -- Continued

· · · · ·		-1	1					WATER LE	VEL	<u> </u>		WELL	PERFORMANCI	DATA]]
WELL NUMBEI	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEASUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
	<u> </u>			*				BR	AZOS COUNTY	,					<u></u>
BJ-59-20-	58 John Fazzino	1964	68	16		Qfpa		40.1	Oct. 14, 1969	T,G	Irr				
*	59 do.		900≞	2		Tc	250	+	do.	Flows	D, S				
	60			18		Qźpa	252			T,G	Irr				
	61 Astin		71	16		Qfpa		45.0	Oct. 14, 1969	T,G	Irr				
	62 Brazos River Chemical Company	1968	60	4		Qfpa	254	40	Oct. 14, 1968	S, E, 5	Ind	60 ^{3/}		Oct. 14, 1968	2
*	63 Tony Varisco		350	3		Tqc	252	+	Dec. 19, 1969	Flows	D				Measured flow 13.6 gpm on Dec. 19, 1969.
dr	64 Steele's Store	1920?	1,500		1,383-1,500	Tc,Twi	250	+ 8	Apr. 3, 1956	Flows	D				Estimated flow 200 gpm on Nov. 13, 1937. Converted oil test drilled to 4,135 ft and plugged back to 1,500 ft. $\frac{2}{3}$
*	65 do.		400±	2		Tqc	250	+	Nov. 13, 1937	Flows	D				Estimated flow 5 gpm on Nov. 13, 1937. Formerly bottled and sold.
	01 U.S. Plywood.~Champion Papers		58	18		Qfpa	243	35.5 38.0 44.2	July 15, 1960 June 3, 1964 July 22, 1970	N	N	500		July 18, 1963	
	02 Don Angonia	1954	70	18		Qfpa	242	35.5 37.1 42.3	Feb. 26, 1963 June 3, 1964 Dec. 1, 1969	T,G	Irr	440 300	7.34	1957 July 17, 1963	
*	03 C. Porterfield	1955	60	18		Qfpa	241	30.7	Mar. 15, 1957	T,G	Irr	317	18.08	July 17, 1963	<u>1</u> /
	04 Don Angonia	1956	69	18		Qfpa	245	36.5	July 15, 1960	N	N	588		1956	Abandoned.
	05 do.			18		Qfpa	246	41.0	June 3, 1964	T,G	Irr	240		July 18, 1963	
	06 do.	1955	63	18		Qfpa	245	35.5 36.8	July 15, 1960 Sept. 28, 1960	N	N	567		1956	Abandoned.
	07 M. Fazzino	1954	66	18		QÉpa	246	32.7	Feb. 26, 1963	N	N	810		do.	Do. <u>¹</u>
	ob 80.	1955	64	18		Qfpa	245	33.1 38.7	Feb. 26, 1963 Oct. 10, 1969	T, G	Irr	822		do.	
		1960	51	16		Qfpa	244	35.2 33.7 33.6 39.2	Sept. 28, 1960 Feb. 15, 1961 May 30, 1961 Oct. 10, 1969	N	N				
	10		57	18		Qfpa	243	32.3 33.1 34.1	Aug. 2, 1960 Feb. 26, 1963 June 3, 1964	T,G	Irr	565	19.14	July 16, 1963	
			71	18		Qfpa	244	33.2 34.4 35.0	Aug. 2, 1960 Feb. 26, 1963 June 3, 1964	T,G	Irr	470	31.94	do.	
				18		Qfpa	242	32.9 33.9 37.6	Feb. 4, 1963 June 3, 1964 Oct. 9, 1969	T,G	Irr	520	22.20	do.	
				18		Qfpa	243	32.2 32.9 37.6	Aug. 2, 1960 Feb. 26, 1963 Oct. 9, 1969	T,G	Irr				
		1956	57	18		Qfpa	245	35.7 36.9 38.5 41.4	July 15, 1960 Feb. 4, 1963 June 3, 1964 Oct. 8, 1969	T, G	Irr	344 303	14.64 15.32	July 18, 1963 July 28, 1964	
			55	18		Qfpa	244	39.1 40.5 44.0	Jan. 30, 1963 June 3, 1964 Oct. 9, 1969	T,G	Irr	279 385	5.90 4.07	July 17, 1963 June 22, 1964	
							L								

		1	[[WATER LEVEL				WELL	PERFORMANCE	DATA	
WELL NUMBER	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER OF CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEASUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
								BR	AZOS COUNTY						
* BJ-59~20-616			49	18		Qfpa	243	37.9 39.1 43.0	Jan. 30, 1963 June 3, 1964 Oct. 8, 1969	T,G	Irr	280	8.56	July 17, 1963	
617			54	16		Qfpa	244	36.5 35.9 39.1	Jan. 30, 1963 June 3, 1964 Oct. 10, 1969	T,G	Ĩrr			-	
618			51	18		Qípa	244	35.5 42.6	Jan. 30, 1963 Oct. 9, 1969	T,G	Irr				
619			60	15		Qfpa	243	33.9 37.9	Jan. 30, 1963 Oct. 10, 1969	T,G	Irr				
620			47	18		Qfpa	241	25.2 26.7	Jan. 30, 1963 Oct. 9, 1969	N	N				
* 621			75	16		Qfpa	241	30.9 31.4 34.2	Jan. 30, 1963 June 3, 1964 Oct. 9, 1969	т, б	Irr	655 754	21.40 14.52	July 16, 1963 July 8, 1964	
622	L. Nigliazzo	1955	64	18		Qfpa	247	37.0	July 15, 1960	T,G	Irr	566		1956	
623	Jaresco		52			Qfpa	244	37.2	Jan. 31, 1963	T,G	Irr				
624						Qfpa	243	33.1 36.6	June 3, 1964 Oct. 10, 1969	T, G	Irr	170		Aug. 7, 1963	
625						Qfpa	244	34.3 37.1	June 3, 1964 Oct. 9, 1969	T,G	Irr	590		July 17, 1963	
626	U.S. Plywood-Champion Papers					Qfpa	244			N	N	261		July 18, 1963	
* 627	M. Fazzino		714	4		Tqc	246	+	Dec. 19, 1963	Flows	D, S			~~	
628	U.S. Geological Survey	1963	52				240	32.0	Nov. 27, 1963						Test hole. 2/
629	do.	1963	60				243	38.0	do.						Do.
630	M. Fazzino	1963	68	16		Qfpa	246	34.4	Oct. 3, 1963	T,G	Irr	715	17.18	July 17, 1963	
631			87	18		Qfpa	245	35.3	Oct. 8, 1969	N	N				
637	U.S. Plywood - Champion Papers		49	18		Qfpa	244	41.6	do.	N	N				
638	do.			16		Qfpa	245			T,G	Irr				
639	Don Angonia			18		Qfpa	243	43.4	Oct. 8, 1969	T,G	Irr				
640						Qfpa	243	~~		т, с	Irr				
641				18		Qfpa	242	41.2	Oct. 9, 1968	т, с	Irr				
642	Angonia			18		Qfpa	245	44.1	do.	T,G	Irr				
643	do.		39+	18		Qfpa	245			N	N				Hole filled to 39 ft.
644			58	18		Qfpa	244	37.2	Oct. 9, 1969	N	N				~-
* 645			400±	2		Τqc	243	+ 8.1	July 27, 1970	Flows	σ				Rarely used. Measured flow 10 gpm on July 22, 1970.
646				16		Qfpa	243			Τ, G	Irr				
647			60	18		Qfpa	245	38.3	Oct. 10, 1969	T,G	Irr			*****	
648						Qfpa	244			N	N				
649	Don Angonia		300±	2		Ts	241	+ 3.6 + 3.7 + 3.7	Dec. 1, 1969 July 22, 1969 Nov. 3, 1970	Flows	D	-~			

Table 12.--Records of Wells and Springs--Continued

Г	~			1					WATER LEVE	L			WELL	PERFORMANC	DATA	
	ELL NUMBER	Ø₩NER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEASUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
									BR	AZOS COUNTY						
7	BJ=59-20-702	A. J. Wallin		400±	4		Tqc	243	+	Dec. 19, 1969	Flows	D, S				Measured flow 3 gpm on Dec. 19, 1969. Oil test.
5	703	do.	1933	450≟	10		Tqc	245	+	do.	Flows	D, S				Oil test drilled to 1,910 ft., cased to 80 ft., probably plugged back.
	801	John Fazzino		61	18		Qfpa	247	37.6 38.4	Aug. 2, 1960 Feb. 4, 1963	T,G	Irr				~~
21	802	W. Wallin	1955	62	18		Qfpa	242	35.3	Apr. 11, 1957	Т, С	Irr	686	14.2	July 16, 1964	<u>1</u> /
	803	Jerry Smith		61	18		Qfpa	243	33.5	Nov. 5, 1959	T,G	Irr				<u>n</u>
	804	Jack Demetary	1954	67	18		Qfpa	248	35.8	Apr. 11, 1957	T,G	Irr	790	24.76	July 16, 1964	<u>1</u> /
	805	Philip Noto, Jr.		58	18		Qfpa	244	29.8	Mar. 15, 1957	T,G	Irr				2
	806	Lee Fazzino	1954	72	18		Qfpa	246	37.9 33.6 40.0	Aug. 2, 1960 Feb. 26, 1963 Oct. 10, 1969	Т, С	Irr	925 995		1956 1957	
*	807	Antonio Varisco	1942	1,035	3,2	951-1,035	Tc,Twi	248	+ 33	May 1942	Flows	D,S				
	808	Fazzino	1962		14		Qfpa	248	36.2 39.2 39.3	Jan. 24, 1963 June 3, 1964 Oct. 10, 1969	Τ,G	Irr	496 315	20,67	July 16, 1963 July 16, 1964	
	809			63	18		Qfpa	245	36.6 38.9 43.4	Jan. 24, 1963 June 3, 1964 Oct. 10, 1969	T,G	Irr	558 423	15.70 9.47	July 16, 1963 June 25, 1964	- ###
*	810						Qfpa	248	36.7 39.2 43.9	Jan. 24, 1963 June 3, 1964 Oct. 10, 1969	T,G	Irr	867 664	15.37 11.45	July 16, 1963 June 25, 1964	
	811			64	14		Qfpa	244	34.1 36.2 40.0	Jan. 24, 1963 June 3, 1964 Oct. 10, 1969	T,G	Irr	890 600	26.96 11.50	July 16, 1963 July 16, 1964	
	812	Lee Fazzino		62	18		Qfpa	247	34.1 40.5	Jan. 24, 1963 Oct. 10, 1969	T,G	Irr				
	813	Jack Wallin		69	18		Qfpa	243	37.2 38.6 39.0 38.7	Aug. 2, 1960 Sept. 28, 1960 June 2, 1964 Oct. 10, 1969	T, G	Irr	267 309	21.84 23.87	July 16, 1963 July 16, 1964	
	814			69			Qfpa	250	40.1 44.0	Jan. 24, 1963 Oct. 10, 1969	T,G	Irr				
4	815			63	16		Q£pa	248	33.8 36.7 39.7	Jan. 24, 1963 June 3, 1964 Oct. 15, 1969	Τ, G	Irr	500 425	26.69 24.83	July 16, 1963 Aug. 4, 1964	
	816			63	14		Qfpa	251	38.7 40.9 43.0	Jan. 24, 1963 June 3, 1964 Oct. 15, 1969	T,G	Irr	725 801 866	19.83 13.21 8.08	July 16, 1963 Aug. 7, 1963 June 19, 1964	
	817	Matt Morello		62	14		Qfpa	252	37.1 40.0 43.5	Jan. 25, 1963 June 3, 1964 Oct. 14, 1969	Т, G	Irr	509	21.46	July 17, 1963	
	818	Síms		65			Qfpa	250	36.3 41.8	Jan. 25, 1963 Oct. 14, 1969	N	N				
	819	Matt Morello		68			Qfpa	249	32.1 35.7 38.4	Jan. 25, 1963 June 3, 1964 Oct. 9, 1969	т, G	Irr	300 296 231	31.76 23.02 19.14	July 16, 1963 July 8, 1964 Aug. 6, 1964	
	820			64			Qfpa	247	30,7	Jan. 25, 1963	T,G	lrr	329 339	29.81 24.96	July 15, 1963 June 26, 1964	<u>1</u>
			1													

		- <u></u>						WATER LE	VEL			WELL	PERFORMANCE	DATA	
WELL NUMBER	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET-	DEPTH OF WELL	DIAMETER IN CASING	PRODUCING	WATER - BEAR - ING	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM	DATE OF MEASUREMENT	METHOD OF	USE OF	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
		50	(FI)	(10.)	(FI.)	UNII	(F1)	(F1)		51F1	WAISK				
								BR	AZOS COUNTY						
BJ-59-20-821			69	14		Qfpa	244	35.9 37.5 39.1	Feb. 4, 1963 June 3, 1964 Oct. 10, 1969	T,G	Irr	244	5.6	July 17, 1963	
822				18		Qfpa	244	30.9 35.0	June 3, 1964 Oct. 9, 1969	T,G	Irr	204	28.21	July 15, 1963	
823				18		Qfpa	244	39.1 39.8	June 3, 1964 Oct. 10, 1969	т, с	Irr	442	12.25	Aug. 6, 1964	
824			63	16		Qfpa	245	39.5 43.8	June 3, 1964 Oct. 10, 1969	T,G	Irr	264 326	23.29	July 16, 1963 July 6, 1964	
825				16		Qfpa	248	37.0	June 3, 1964	Т, С	Irr	385		July 16, 1963	
826	~-			14		Qfpa	251	40.9 43.0	June 3, 1964 Oct. 15, 1969	T,G	Irr	525 960		July 16, 1963 June 25, 1964	
827	Sam Fazzino			14		Qfpa	251	39.3 42.5	June 3, 1964 Oct. 14, 1969	T,G	Irr	250 442	26.05	July 17, 1963 July 16, 1964	
828	U.S. Geological Survey	1963	67				243	32.0	Nov. 26, 1963	N	N				Test hole. ²
82.9	Fazzino	1964	68	18		Qfpa	245	42.8	Oct. 10, 1969	Τ, G	Irr				
830	Wallin		64	16		Qfpa	244	38.4	do.	T,G	Irr				
* 831			70±	16		Qfpa	251	43.4	do.	T, G	Irr				
832	Tony Fazzino		68	18		Qfpa	248	41.0	Oct. 14, 1969	Τ, G	Irr				
833				16		Qfpa				N	N				Dry at 25 ft.
834						Qfpa	251	41.9	Oct. 15, 1969	T,G	Irr				
835			68	1.8		Qfpa	245	40.7	do.	T,G	Irr				
901				16		Qfpa	243	29.7 29.3 30.3 34.6	Aug. 2, 1960 Feb. 26, 1963 June 3, 1964 Oct. 9, 1969	T,G	Irr	437 409	14.07 20.82	July 15, 1963 July 8, 1964	
* 902	Vince Court		56	18		Qfpa	242	30.9	Apr. 10, 1957	T,G	Irr	462	20,73	July 8, 1964	Ц
* 903	do.		61	18		Qfpa	242	26.3 26.9 27.5 30.8	Aug. 2, 1960 Jan. 29, 1963 June 24, 1964 Oct. 10, 1969	Τ, G	Irr	870 1,003	8.83 7.36	July 15, 1963 June 25, 1964	
904	Lee Fazzino	1956	50	18		Qfpa	242	29.0	Aug. 2, 1960	T,G	Irr	570		1956	у
905	do.	1957	63	14		Qfpa	242	29.3 26.0 29.2 35.2	Aug. 2, 1960 Feb. 4, 1963 June 3, 1964 Oct. 10, 1969	T,G	Irr	595 1,048	10.25	1957 June 25, 1964	
906	do.	1955	63	18		Qfpa	240	30.7 25.5	Aug. 2, 1960 Feb. 26, 1963	N	N	126		1956	
* 907	Vince Court		64	18		Qfpa	244	33.5	Mar. 15, 1957	Τ, G	Irr	450	22.37	July 8, 1964	У
* 908			68	14		Qfpa	250	38.1	Jan. 21, 1960	Τ, G	Irr	563	14.63	July 16, 1964	<u>у</u>
909			62	18		Qfpa	246	34.2 37.2 38.8	Jan. 24, 1963 June 3, 1964 Oct. 10, 1969	T, G	Irr	300	22,94	do.	
910			47	18		Qfpa	241	25.9 27.6	Jan. 29, 1963 Oct. 10, 1969	T,G	Irr				
911			46	18		Qfpa	241	25.5 26.3 27.6	Jan. 29, 1963 June 3, 1964 Oct. 10, 1969	T,G	Irr	500	7.90	July 15, 1963	

		1	r					WATER LE	VEL			WELL	PERFORMANCI	DATA	
WELL NUMBER	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEASUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
<u> </u>	and and an and the state of the		L					BR	AZOS COUNTY			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
BJ-59-20-912			60	18		Qfpa	246	30.8 37.2	Jan. 29, 1963 Oct. 9, 1969	T, G	Irr				
91:				18		Qfpa	243	34.0 36.1	June 3, 1964 Oct. 10, 1969	T, G	Irr	543		July 15, 1963	
914			65	18		Qfpa	246	40.1 40.8	May 28, 1963 Oct. 15, 1969	T, G	Irr				24 mg
91.	5					Qfpa	246	32.6 36.7	June 3, 1964 Oct. 9, 1969	T,G	Irr				
910			60±	16		Qfpa	244	33.5 36.7	June 3, 1964 Oct. 10, 1969	N	N	520 1,112	17.46	Aug. 7, 1963 June 25, 1964	
91	U.S. Geological Survey	1963	45				233	19,5	Nov. 26, 1963	N	N				Test hole.2/
914	do.	1963	67				244	32.5	do.	N	N				Do •
919	do.	1963	47				241	19.6	Nov. 27, 1963	N	N				Do •
* 921) Texas A&M University well 4	1950	424	424	292-412	Ts	246	154	Feb. 25, 1950	T, E	P	402	154	Feb. 23, 1950	Drilled to 438 ft, plugged back to 424 ft. Underreamed and gravel-packed from 280 to 422 ft. $\overset{f}{=} \overset{f}{=} \overset{f}{=}$
92			57	16		Qfpa	243	37.3	Oct. 9, 1969	N	N				
922			58	16		Qfpa	241	34.5	do.	T,G	Irr				
92:						Qfpa	240			T,G	Irr				
924						Qfpa	240			T,G	Irr				
92			65	18		Qfpa	244	33.3	Oct. 10, 1969	т, с	Irr				
* 920			70±	16		Qfpa	244	34.4	do.	T, G	Irr				
92			59	16		Qfpa	249	38.0	Oct. 13, 1969	T,G	Irr				
92			55±	16		Qfpa	241	31.4	Oct. 10, 1969	T,G	Irr				
92	Red Barn Chemical, Inc.	1968	72	4		Qfpa	245	30	Jan. 31, 1968	S, E, 1	Ind	34 ^{<u>3</u>/}		Jan. 31, 1968	2/
930	Vince Court			16		Qfpa	244	33.4	Oct. 10, 1969	T,G	Irr				
93	Texas A&M University	1949	493				246			N	N				Test hole. 2/ 4/
* 933	Anthony Salvaggio	1969	470	4,2		Tqc	245	+	July 22, 1970	Flows	D				2/
21-10	City of Bryan	1953	1,529				344			N	N				Test hole. 4/
10	Leroy Hale	1969	450	4,2		Tqc	330			S,E	D,S				
10	5 Willie Shulz	1967	800±			Tc	350								
* 20	City of Bryan well 6	1947	499	16,8	389-479	Ts	307	149.4	Sept. 11, 1947	т, е, 75	P	503	119	July 8, 1947	Underreamed and gravel-packed from 380 to 499 ft.2/ 4/
* 20	City of Bryan well 11	1957	2,950	20,13	2,514- 2,904	Twis	315	+45	Feb. 19, 1960	T,E	Р	2,500		Apr. 17, 1957	Flow 102 gpm on Apr. 19, 1957.4/
* 20	City of Bryan well 8	1948	554	10	401-542	Ts	334			T, E	P				Underreamed and gravel-packed from 382 to 542 ft.2/ 4/
* 20	Gity of Bryan well 7	1948	539	10,8	423-533	Ts	298			τ, ε	P				Underreamed and gravel-packed from 423 to 533 ft. $\frac{24}{44}$
* 20	Gity of Bryan well 12t	1964	2,880	20,13,9	2,480- 2,860	Twis	330	+15	June 11, 1964	T, E	P	2,500	85	1964	2/ 4/
* 20	City of Bryan well 1	1938	569	8,6	462-543	Τs	309	66 133.1	July 30, 1938 June 23, 1944	N	N	354	112	July 30, 1938	Test hole drilled to 1,755 ft and plugged back to 569 ft. $\frac{24}{54}$

See footnotes at end of table.

N

						TTA mere		WATER LEVE	EL.		· · · · · ·		WELL	PERFORMANCE	DATA	
WELL NUMBER	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	D MEA	ATE OF SUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
								BR	AZOS C	OUNTY						I
* BJ-59-21-207	City of Bryan well 14	1968	2,730	20,13,9	2,225- 2,709	Twis	300	+	May	1968	T, E	Р			~~	Test hole to 2,870 ft. Underreamed and gravel-packed from 2,220 to 2,730 ft. Estimated flow about 800 gpm on Nov. 18, 1969, 2/ 4/
* 208	City of Bryan well 13	1964	2,834	20,13,9	2,320- 2,814	Twis	360				T,E	Р				Test hole to 2,860 ft. Underreamed and gravel-packed 2,320 to 2,814 ft. 21 44
* 302	City of Bryan well 2	1939	523	16,8	435-523	Ts	331	164.0 240.0 305.5	June Feb. Aug.	30, 1944 19, 1960 13, 1963	T, E	P	231	60.0	June 16, 1944	Underreamed and gravel-packed from 435 to 523 ft. $\frac{2}{2}$
* 303	City of Bryan well 10	1954	3,150	20,13,9	2,670- 2,950	Twis	350	+	Mar.	1954	T, E	Р	2,200		1955	Underreamed and gravel-packed from 2,655 to 2,953 ft. Test pumped at 2,513 gpm.2/ 4/
* 304	Cíty of Bryan well 3	1939	498	16,8	422-492	Ts	331	94 160.6 231.1	May July Feb.	15, 1939 2, 1944 19, 1960	T, E, 50	P	500 540	107 132	May 15, 1939 May 23, 1939	Underreamed and gravel-packed, ²
* 305	City of Bryan well 4	1939	677	16,8	391-600	Ts	329	88 128 151.6	July Oct. June	14, 1939 1942 14, 1944	T, E, 50	Р	605	117	July 14, 1939	Do.
* 306	City of Bryan 'well 9	1952	710			Ts	372	266.6	Feb.	19, 1960	т, Е	Ρ				Underreamed and gravel-packed. 2/ 4/
307	Mrs. C. W. Fisher	1966	410	4,2		Tem	390	135	Sept.	20, 1966	P, E, 1	D, S				
309	Emil Haisler	1964	435	4,2		Tem	355	80	Aug.	26, 1964	S, E, 1	D,S				
* 401	Texas A&M University test well 8	1952	1,335				258				N	N				Test hole prior to well BJ-59-21-402, 2 4
* 402	Texas A&M University well 5	1953	1,345	16,8	1,120- 1,330	Tc, Twi	258	32	Apr.	1953	т, е	Р	556 ^{2j} 654 ^{2j}	117 165	Apr. 1953 Apr. 1953	Underreamed and gravel-packed from 1,115 to 1,341 ft. 2/ 4/
403	Texas A&M University test well 1	1949	574				281	64		1949	N	N				Test hole Siegert 1. 4
404	Texas A&M University test well 4	1949	581				291				N	N				4
405	Texas A&M University test well	1949	595				290				N	N				<u>4</u> y
406	Fritz Severa	1915?	350	3		Ts	340	100		1962	P,W	D, S				
407			39	6		Ту	298	21.8 17.3	May Dec.	13, 1964 1, 1969	N	N				
408	Clyde Porterfield	1965	348	4,2		Ts	325	170	Oct.	8, 1965	S,E,3/4	D,S				2/
* 501	City of Bryan well 5	1943	584	16,8	430~573	Ts	301	125 113.1 121.2	Aug. June Apr.	21, 1943 24, 1944 9, 1947	T, E	P	640 ^{3/} 623	77 81.8	Aug. 21, 1943 June 18, 1944	Underreamed and gravel-packed from 423 to 573 ft.
* 502	City of Bryan test well 2	1952	600				278				N	N				Test hole. ^{2/ 4/}
503	B. Arnold		24	8		Ту	286	12.2 10.9	May Dec.	13, 1964 1, 1969	N	N				
504	do,		350	4		Tcm	287	100			P, E .	D, S				
505	Clyde Porterfield	1965	328	4,2		Tcm	292	100	Oct.	28, 1965	S,E,3/4	D, S				2/
. 506	H. J. Hogan	1962	226	4,2	215-226	Ту	360	70	Sept.	4, 1962	S,E,3/4	D, S				
* 507	Ruble Smith	1963	471	4,2	451-471	Tem	288	51.3	Nov.	17, 1969	S,E	D,S				2/
* 508	do.	1966	246	4,2		Ту	288	34.0		do.	S,E,3/4	D, S				

See footnotes at end of table.

*

~

ELL NUMBER BJ-59-21-509 J. 601 C 602 C 603 L 604 R 605 R 7 701 A 7 704 T 7 705 T 7 705 T	OWNER James Chambers City of Bryan test well 4 City of Bryan test well 3 Lulac Park R. D. Simpson Rudolph Matejka A. J. Wallin Joe Fazzino Texas A&M University well 2	DATE COM- PLET- ED 1965 1952 1952 1966 1963 1962 1947 1947	DEPTH OF WELL (FT) 339 700 721 328 210 480 710 697	DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.) 4,2 4,2 4,2 4,2 3,2 2	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.) 200-210	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT Tom Ty	ALTTUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT) 295 350 320	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT) 100 	AZOS CO	ATE OF SUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT S, E, 3/4 N	USE OF WATER D, S	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	DA1		
BJ-59-21-509 J. 601 C 602 C 603 L 604 R 605 R 701 A 702 J. 703 T 704 T 705 T 706 T	James Chambers City of Bryan test well 4 City of Bryan test well 3 Lulac Park R. D. Simpson Rudolph Matejka A. J. Wallin Joe Fazzino Texas A&M University well 2	1965 1952 1952 1966 1963 1962 1947 1947 1950	339 700 721 328 210 480 710 697	4,2 4,2 4,2 3,2 2	 200-210	Tem Ty	295 350 320	100 	Oct.	DUNTY 10, 196:	S, E, 3/4 N	D, S					
BJ-59-21-509 JJ 601 C 602 C 603 L 604 R 605 R 701 A 707 J 707 J 707 T 707 T 707 T 707 T	James Chambers City of Bryan test well 4 City of Bryan test well 3 Lulac Park R. D. Simpson Rudolph Matejka A. J. Wallin Joe Fazzino Texas A&M University well 2	1965 1952 1952 1966 1963 1962 1947 1947 1950	339 700 721 328 210 480 710 697	4,2 4,2 4,2 3,2 2	 200-210	Tem Ty	295 350 320	100 	Oct.	10, 196. 	S, E, 3/4 N	D, S					
601 C 602 C 603 L 604 R 605 R 701 A 702 J 704 T 704 T 705 T 705 T	City of Bryan test well 4 City of Bryan test well 3 Lulac Park R. D. Simpson Rudolph Matejka A. J. Wallin Joe Fazzino Texas A&M University well 3 Texas A&M University well 2	1952 1952 1966 1963 1962 1947 1947 1950	700 721 328 210 480 710 697	 4, 2 4, 2 3, 2 2		 Ty	350 320				N						
602 C 603 L 604 R 605 R 701 A 702 J 704 T 705 T 705 T 706 T	City of Bryan test well 3 Lulac Park R. D. Simpson Rudolph Matejka A. J. Wallin Joe Fazzino Texas AAM University well 3 Texas A&M University well 2	1952 1966 1963 1962 1947 1947 1950	721 328 210 480 710 697	 4,2 4,2 3,2	 200-210	Ty	320					N					2/ 4/
603 Ju 604 R 605 R 701 A 702 Ju 703 Tu 703 Tu 703 Tu 703 Tu	Lulac Park R. D. Simpson Rudolph Matejka A. J. Wallin Joe Fazzino Texas A&M University well 3 Texas A&M University well 2	1966 1963 1962 1947 1947 1950	328 210 480 710 697	4, 2 4, 2 3, 2 2	200-210	Ty	325				N	N					21 49
604 R 605 R 701 A 702 J 704 T 705 T 705 T	R. D. Simpson Rudolph Matejka A. J. Wallin Joe Fazzino Texas A&M University well 3 Texas A&M University well 2	1963 1962 1947 1947 1950	210 480 710 697	4,2 3,2 2	200-210		325	90	Aug,	20, 1966	S, E, 3/4	D	153/		Aug.	20, 1966	
605 R 701 A 702 J 704 T 704 T 705 T 706 T	Rudolph Matejka A. J. Wallin Joe Fazzino Texas A&M University well 3 Texas A&M University well 2	1962 1947 1947 1950	480 710 697	3,2		Ту	322	70	July	27, 196	S,E,1/2	D, S	10 ^{3/}		July	27, 1963	2/
701 A. 702 J. 704 T. 705 T. 706 T.	A. J. Wallin Joe Fazzino Texas AAM University well 3 Texas A&M University well 2	1947 1947 1950	710 697	2		Tem	325	100	Sept.	15, 1963	P,E,1/2	D					
 702 J. 704 T. 705 T. 706 T. 	Joe Fazzino Texas A&M University well 3 Texas A&M University well 2	1947 1950	697	1 *		Tqc	257	+	Dec.	1, 196	Flows	D,S					Measured flow 3.5 gpm on Sept. 5, 1947.
7 704 T 705 T 706 T	Texas A&M University well 3 Texas A&M University well 2	1950		3		Tqc	258	+	Sept.	5, 194	Flows	D,S					Measured flow 5 gpm on Sept. 5, 1947.
705 T 706 T	Texas A&M University well 2		482	18,10	366-473	Ťs	2.54	112	Jan.	24, 1950	T,E	Р	530	54	Jan,	24, 1950	Underreamed and gravel-packed. 2 4
706 T		1950	487	18,10	373-473	Ts	258	110	Apr.	17, 1950	T, E	Ρ.	748	54	Apr.	17, 1950	Do.
	Texas A&M University well l	1950	533	18,10	400-503	Ts	263	117	Apr.	1950	Τ, Ε	Р	754	68	Apr.	1950	Do.
707 J	Joe Fazzino	1937?	700±	3		Tqc	255	+	Sept.	5, 1947	J,E	D, S					No longer flowing on Dec. 1, 1969.
708 V:	Vince J. Luza	1.956	64	18		Qt	273	29.3	May	30, 1963	N	N	600			1956	Used for irrigation 1956-57.
709	do.	1956	59	18		Qt	273	29.6 29.5 28.3	May May Dec.	30, 1963 27, 1963 1, 1969	T,G	Irr	800			do.	
710 J:	Jim Abbate	1955	1,040	4	840-1,040	Tqc	270	3.5	May	1955	S,E	Д		:			
711	do.	1955	190	4		Tem	270				J,E	D					
712	do.	1955	24			Qt	270				J,E	D,S					Dug well.
713 T	Texas A&M University	1942	612	12,6	394-602	Ts	258	45	Dec.	1942	T,E,7 1/2	Р	85	53	Sept.	1947	Underreamed and gravel-packed. Formerly U.S.A.F.B. well 1. Now a standby well.
714	do.	1954	3,060	13,8	2,741-2,989	Twis	263	+70 +83	July May	31, 1956 13, 1964	Flows	р					Underreamed and gravel-packed. Formerly U.S.A.F.B.well 7. Flow 1,420 gpm on Sept. 7, 1955. 2 4
715	do.	1943	592	13,6	498-588	Ts	258	43.0 58.0	Nov. Aug.	11, 1942 27, 1947	N	N					Underreamed and gravel-packed. Formerly U.S.A.F.B. well 2. Abandoned, filled. 2
716	do.	1954	505	10	400-500	Ts	260				T, E	Ρ					Underreamed and gravel-packed. Formerly U.S.A.F.B. well 6. Now a standby well. 2/
717	do.	1943	487	12,6	401-487	Ts	254	52.3	Aug.	26, 1947	т, е	Ρ	432	64.6	Sept.	1947	Underreamed and gravel-packed. Formerly U.S.A.F.B. well 4. Now on standby, 🗹
718	do.	1943	492	12,6	411-482	Ts	251	42 49.8 188.7	June Sept. May	1942 2, 1947 13, 1964	Τ, Ε	Р	250	69		1943	Underreamed and gravel-packed. Formerly U.S.A.F.B. well 5. Now on standby. 21 44
719 U.	J.S. Air Force Base	1951	543				245				N	N					Test hole. 2/ 4
720	do.	1951	522				240				N	N					Do.
721 Pa	Pat Dooley	1958	70	18		Qt	248	37.4	Jan.	26, 1960	T, G	Irr	650 ^{3/}			1958	<u>1</u> /
722 Ji	Jim Abbate		30			Qc	270				N	N					Dug well. No longer used.

See footnotes at end of table.

- 73 -

 \mathbf{x}

					1			WATER LEVE	SL.		· ·····		WELL	PERFORMANC	E DATA	1
WELL NUMBER	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER OF CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	D. MEA:	NTE OF SUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	AIETD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
	<u>.</u>			.	·			BR/	AZOS CO	UNTY			·		I	لي
*BJ-59-21-723	Texas A&M University well 6	1960	2,974	20,13,9	2,600- 2,974	Twis	263	+ 69	Oct,	1960	Flows	P				Underreamed and gravel-packed. Test pumped from 1,560 to 3,160 gpm. Flow 1,336 gpm on Oct. 11, 1960, Drilled to 3,160 ft. Plugged back to 2,974 ft. 2^{-4}
724	Texas A&M University test hole 5	1949	545								N	N				Test hole. 4/
726	U.S. Air Force Base	1942	1,504				270				N	N				Do. 4
727	J. Luza		46	16		Qt	272	31.4	May	28, 1963	N	N				
* 728	Joe Penicka	1944	47	3		Qt	263	38		1944	P,W	D,S				
729	Willie Hicks	1968	266	4,2		Tcm	265	40	Nov.	29, 1968	P, E, 1/2	D,S				
730	W. T. McDonald	1969	375	4,2	318-375	Ts	290	81	Feb.	10, 1969	S,E,3/4	D, S	30 ^{3/}		Feb. 10, 1969	
* 801	Frank Nemec		34	30		Qt	264	30.6 27.7	May July	13, 1964 22, 1970	J, E	D, S				Dug well, shored with tile.
802	Fred Hall	1963	533	3,2		Ts	280	90	Aug,	5, 1963	P,E,3/4	D,S				2/
803	Jessie Netall	1962	513	3,2		Ts	264	80	Nov.	30, 1962	P,E,1/2	D, 5				
* 901			62	4		Ту	304	51.3	May	13, 1964	в, н	D				
902	Sanitary Farms Dairy		200			Ту	310				т, е	N				Formerly furnished water for dairy,
903	do.	1963	143	4		Ту	310	37.5 36.7	Dec. July	8, 1969 22, 1970	N	N				
904	do.		47	8		Ту	309	22.0 21.1	Dec. July	8, 1969 22, 1970	N	N				
905	J. F. Konechny	1969	284	4,2	269-284	Ту	338	108	Feb.	7, 1969	S,E,3/4	D,5				
* 906	Dalbert Orr	1968	322	4,2	297-322	Ту	320	100	Aug.	5, 1968	S, E, 2	P	65 ^{3/}		Aug. 5, 1968	Furnishes water for mobile homes. 2^{j}
907	Ervin Lenz	1969	323	4,2	308-322	Ty	335	147	Feb.	5, 1969	S, E, 3/4	D,S	25 ³		Feb. 5, 1969	2/
* 22-101	Marden Lab	1964	544	4	530-544	Tcm	345	70	Nov.	21, 1964	S,E,1 1/2	D, S				2/
102	J. J. Huddleston	1968	263	4,2	230-263	Ту	340	80	Aug.	30, 1968	S,E,3/4	D,S	2.5 ^{3/}	40	Aug. 30, 1968	
103	Luke Ponzio	1969	382	4,2	368-382	Ту	340	80	Jan,	22, 1969	S,E,3/4	D,S	25 ^{3/}		Jan. 22, 1969	
104	Don Triolo	1964	408	4,2		Tcm	340	60	Aug.	12, 1964	s, £, 1/2	D,S	10 ^{3/}		Aug. 12, 1964	
105	Roy Barnes	1963	540	4,2		Ts	360				S,E	D,S				
106	Herman Cheatham	1968	463	4,2	448-463	Ts	360	100	Mar.	23, 1968	S, E, 1	D	35 ^{3/}		Mar. 23, 1968	
107	J. M. Goodman	1969	140	4	130-140	Ту	322	45	Jan.	3, 1969	S, E, 1/3	D,S	15 ^{3/}	60	Jan. 3, 1969	
108	Jim LeNoir	1969	430	3,2	400-430	Ts	32.8	55	May	30, 1969		υ,s				
109	Marion Jones	1968	497	4,2,1/2	472-497	Ts	378	128	Aug.	21, 1968	S,E	D,S	403/		Aug. 21, 1968	
* 110	P. C. Patranella	1965	410	4,2		Tem	355	80	Apr.	27, 1965	S,E,1	D	23 ^{3/}		Apr. 27, 1965	
111	Nick Phillipello	1966	427	4,2		Tem	355	80	Dec.	19, 1966	S, E, 1	D,S	15 ^{3/}		Dec. 19, 1966	
201	R. H. Tonai	1966	223	4,2	188-223	Ту	352	75	Mar.	18, 1966	S, E, 1	D,S	25 <u>3/</u>		Mar. 18, 1966	
2.02	Don Triolo	1966	512	4,2		Ts	315	60	June	1, 1966	S , E, 3/4	D,S	20 ^{3/}			
* 301	Altus Garner	1956	78	6	70-78	Ту	300	33.3 33.6	Oct. Dec.	10, 1960 17, 1969	S,E	Irr	96 ³ /		1956	Irrigates 25 acres feedstuff.
302	H. W. Humphries	1956	265	3,2	244-265	Ту	250	+	May	22, 1961	Flows	s	3 ^{3y}		1961	
303	do.	1956	265	3,2	244~265	Ту	248	+		do.	Flows	s	1/23		do.	

See footnotes at end of table.

- 74 -

1

`

																,
							Tabl	e 12Reco	ords of Wells an	nd SpringsConti	nued					ſ
			1					1	WATER LE	SVEL			WELI	PERFORMANC	CE DATA	······································
WELL	NUMBER	0#NER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER OF CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEASUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
		L		1	+		1	1	BF	RAZOS COUNTY	1	J			·	
BJ-	59-22-304	George Blivens	1965	246	4,2		Ty	295	55	Apr. 15, 1965	S, E, 1	D, S	253		Apr. 15, 1965	
	305	Howard Horn	1960	100±	6	10-100	Ty	275	7.4	Dec. 17, 1969	S, E, 2	Irr	35 ^{3/}		1960	Irrigates 6 acres of grass.
*	¥÷ 401	City of Bryan	1915	2,053	8,6	1,563-1,95	Tqc,Tc Twi	350	46.0	Dec. 6, 1937	N	N				Abandoned. Formerly furnished part of city supply. 2
*	402	do.	1925	303		174-303	lу	350			N	N	85 ^{3/}		1.925	Do.
*	403	do.	1933	873	12	232-870	Ty, Ts	350			N	N	8303	262	June 1933	Do.
	404	Eddie Johnson	1962	144	3		Ту	352	80	Oct. 27, 1962	J, E, 3/4	D,S	5 ^{3/}	46-	Oct. 27, 1962	
	501	E. C. Martin	1968	276	4,2	261-276	Ty	362	123	Sept. 12, 1968	s, E, 3/4	D, S	35 ^{3/}		Sept. 12, 1968	``
	502	Elsie Jackson	1966	285	2		Ту	300	25	July 20, 1968	J, E, 1/2	D	5.3		July 20, 1968	2/
	503	James L. Jones	1967	215	2		Ty	290	35	Oct. 24, 1967	J, E, 3/4	D	3.3/		Oct. 24, 1967	
ŵ	504	George Carter	1967	348	4,2	275-348	Ty	320	60	Oct. 30, 1967	S, E, 1	D,S	203/		Oct. 30, 1967	
	505	Lynn Weedon	1968	287	4.2	272-287	Ty	350	86	Sept. 4, 1968	S,E.2	D,S	3134		Sept: 4, 1968	
	506	Roy Foster	1965	287	4,2		Ty	335	105	June 3, 1965	S, E, 1	D,S	253		June 3, 1965	
÷.	601	Harry Stitler	1954	300	7		Ty	302	51.6	Oct. 10, 1960	S, E, 5	Irr	1.803/		1954	Irrigates 60 acres of grass.
	001								54.6	Nov. 20, 1969						
	602	do.	1966	205	4,2	179,205	Ту	315	60	June 17, 1966	S, E, 3/4	D,S				
	603	do.	1966	246	4,2		Ту	315	75	June 22, 1966	S, E, 1	D,S	22 ^{3/}		June 22, 1966	
	604	J. L. Stanfield	1968	575	4,2	536~575	Ty	325	75	May 3, 1968	S,E,3/4	D, S	203/	95	May 3, 1968	
	605	Melvin Ordrasek	1969	323	4,2		Ту	320	85	Jan. 14, 1969	S,E,1 1/2	D, S	30 ^{3/}		Jan. 14, 1969	
	606	Mike Ruffino	1965	266	4,2		Ту	320	60	June 24, 1965	S,E,3/4	D,S	203/		June 24, 1965	2
h.	607	Jimmie Weedon	1968	410	4,2		Ту	300	80	Nov. 29, 1968	S, E, 3/4	D,S				
	901	Herman Homeyer	1968	389	4,2		Ty	320	66	June 25, 1968	S, E, 1	D,S	20 ³ /		June 25, 1968	
	902	Dr. J. J. Hall	1968	266	4,2	245-266	Ту	275	68	July 22, 1968	S, E, 1	D,S	60 ³		July 22, 1968	
	903	Mount Enterprize Church		40	36		Ту	285	37.2	Nov. 21, 1969	N	N				Dug well, shored with brick.
	904	Bethel Baptist Church	1963	390	3,2		Тy	330	70	Mar. 3, 1963	P,E,3/4	D				2/
	905	Frank Hudson		32.8	4,2		Ty	330	100		S, E, 1	D,S	19 ^{3y}			
	906	Glen Hyden	1966	346	4,2		Ту	312	80	June 26, 1966	P, E, 3/4	D, S				
	907	J. E. Pate	1968	308	4,2		Ту	290	70	June 19, 1968	S,E	D, S	163/		June 19, 1968	
	23-101	Kazmeier Hatchery, Inc.	1965	32.8	4,2		Ty	290	70	Sept. 20, 1965	S, E, 3/4	D,S				2/
	102	W. W. Gilpin	1968	246	4.2	231-246	Ty	303	48	Mar. 26, 1968	P, E, 1/2	D,S	75 ^{3/}		Mar. 26, 1968	·
	103	do.		135	4		Ty	303	34.3	Dec. 17, 1969	N	N				
	104	Tom Saville	1968	390	4.2		Tv	303	90	Aug. 1, 1968	S, E, 1	D,S	203/		Aug. 1, 1968	
	201			72	3		Tv	212	7.4	Dec. 12. 1969	P,H	D				
	201	Cobbs		270			Tv	270				D,S				
	202	C. R. Saxon		186	3		TV	270			J.E	D, S				
	203	do		160	1		J Tw	220	+	Dec. 12 1969	Flows	s				Temp. 72°F (22,0° C).
	204	UU.	104.0		4		1.y m.	210	120	Apr. 2 1960	S.F.1	D.S	203/			
	401	J. P. Smith	1 1013	451	4,2		17	312	200	Apr. 2, 1908	0,E,I	D, 5	20-7			2/
	402	Dave Shaw	1967	210	4,2		1 Iy		80	UCE. 12, 1967	5,E,I	υ, S	20-7			

See footnotes at end of table.

. .

Table 12.--Records of Wells and Springs--Continued

						1			WATER LE	/EL			WELL	PERFORMANCE	DATA	1
WEI	L NUMBER	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEASUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
									BRA	ZOS COUNTY						
* В.	J-59-23-403	Travis Weedon	1954	1,210	4	1,180-1,210	Ts	288	45 80	1954	S, E, 2	D,S				
*	404	James Amundson	1969		4		Ту	240	22.7 23.3	Dec. 11, 1969 July 15, 1970	J, E	D, S				
	405	James Gray	1969	50±			Ту	255			J, E, 3/4	D				
	701	Barker Allen	1969	328	4,2	305-328	Ту	312	81,2	Nov. 21, 1969	S,E	D,S				2/
	702	W. R. McCullough	1947	200±	4		Ту	255			P,E	D,S				
*	703	Travis Weedon		550	3		Ту	220	÷	Dec. 11, 1969	Flows	s				Casing gun-perforated at about 550 ft. Measured flow 5 gpm on Dec. 11, 1969.
	801				3 1/2		Ту	255			P,W	S				
	29-203	Clyde Berger	1968	287	4,2	251-287	Ту	260	38	Sept. 9, 1968	s, E, 3/4	D,S	60 ³ /		Sept. 9, 1968	2/
	204			50	6		Qt	242	41.4	Dec. 8, 1969	N	N				
	205	Guy W. Foster	1962	385	4	271-385	Ту	265	60	1962	P,E	D, S				
*	206	do.	1968	63	4	53-63	Qfpa	228			P,E	s				
	207	do.		48	4		Qfpa	228	40.0	July 20, 1970	N	N				
	208	Bennie Bomnskie	1966	205	4,2	178-205	Ту	275	65	June 1, 1966	S, E, 1	D,S	20 ^{3/}		June 1, 1966	
	209	Clyde Porterfield	1967	246	4,2		Ту	290	50	Dec. 8, 1967	S, E, 5	D	60 ^{3/}		Dec. 8, 1967	
	301	Country Kitchen		256	4		Ty	315			N	N				Abandoned.
	302	Mrs. C. P. Foster	1968	98	4	88-98	Ту	290	79	Jan. 17, 1968	J, E, 1/2	D,S				~
	303	Dr. R. S. Titus	1968	262	4,2		Ту	2.55	32	Feb. 12, 1968	S, E, 1/2	s	75 ³ /		Feb. 12, 1968	~~
*	304	B. C. Jones Water Co.	1961	300≞			Ту	320			S,E,1	Р				Furnishes water for subdivision.
*	305	Gainer B. Jones	1968	315	4,2	274-305	Ту	32.9	100	Aug. 6, 1968	S, E, 2	D, S	303/	75	Aug. 6, 1968	2
	306	Camp Howdy	1965	266			Ty	290	60	Mar. 5, 1965	S, E, 1	D	25,3/		Mar. 5, 1965	Furnishes water for girl scout camp.
*	307	Country Kitchen	1966	462			Ту	315			S,E	D				*** 2/ 0/
	528	U.S. Geological Survey test hole	1963	37			Qfpa	238	23	Nov. 21, 1963						<u> </u>
	529	do.	1963	70			Qfpa	1.84								Test in edge of Brazos River. 3 2
	536	Gifford Hill & Co., Inc.		50	16		Qfpa	224	30.4	July 20, 1970	т, с	Ind				Furnished water for washing sand and gravel.
	602	B. J. Varisco		50±	30		Qt	272	47.2	May 15, 1964	N	N				Dug well, Abandoned.
	603	Brushy water Supply Corp.	1966	1,110		1,022-1,100	Ts	292	80	Aug. 31, 1966	S, E, 10	2	1139	86	Aug. 31, 1966	Furnishes water for rural area. Drilled to 1,502 ft. and plugged back. 4
	604	Dr. L. C. Grumbles	1962	535	4,2	460-535	Ту	300	65	Dec. 16, 1962	S,E,3/4	D,S				5
	606	N. J. Rowan B. B. Holland	1963	190	4,2	159-190	Ty Ty	282	64	Apr. 15, 1969	S, E, I S, E, I	D,S	113/		Apr. 15, 1969	
nte	30-101	Texas A&M University	1937	771	8		Ty	340	152	1937	N	N	1003/	90	1937	Formerly furnished part of water for
*	102	do.		495			Ту	340	152	do.	N	N	26 ^{3/}	95	do.	Do.
*	103	do.	1914	960			Ту	340			N	N				Formerly furnished part of University system.
*	104	do.	1922	451			Ту				N	N				Do. ^{2/}
	2 02	do.	1920	674	12.8	117-674	Ту	310	135	1937	N	N	1563/		1937	Do.
	204	Henry Kapchinskie	1965	465	4,2		Ту	268			S,E	D, S				Deepened from 235 to 465 ft. in 1965.

1

>

[WATER LEVE	сц				WELL	PERFORMANCE	DATA		
WELL	NUMBER	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER OF CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATI MEAS UN	E OF REMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	Di	ATE	REMARKS
									BR	AZOS COUN	ATY							
BJ-5	9-30-205	Joe Cemino	1969	614	4,2		Ту	265	25	Feb. 20), 1969	S, E, 5	Р	65 ^{3/}		Feb.	20, 19	9 Furnishes water for trailer park.
	206	Charles Cemino	1967	618	4,2	565-618	Ту	270	60	Nov. 8	3, 1967	P, E, 5	р	60 ^{3/}		Nov.	8, 19	7 Do. ^{2/}
*	301	Buddy Williams	1966	445	4,2	360-445	Ту	268	1.00	June 18	8, 1968	s, E, 3	D,S	403/		June	18, 19	8 Irrigated some in 1966; ceased as pumped
																		sand.
*	302	Mrs. Victoria Kapchinskie	1969	200	4,2		Тy	265			-	S,E	D,S				10	
	303	Jessie Henton	1963	451	4,2	400-451	Ty	255	60	June 30	0, 1963	S, E, 1	D	135		June	30, 19	
	304	Frank Arriens	1963	447	4,2	408-447	Ту	250	10	July IC	5, 1963	S,E	D	18-27		July	10, 19	.5
	305	L. L. Carroll	1901	290	3,2	230-290	ту	307				P, E	D,S					
	306	Roy Kelly	1962	318	4,2	287-318	Ту	318	140	Sept. 22	2, 1962	S, E, 3/4	D, S					
	307	do.	1962	283	3,2	220-283	Ty	298	70	Sept. 25	5, 1962	J. E, 1	D, S					
	401	W. J. Sustaire	1967	382	4		Ту	320	115	May	1967	S, E, 1	D, S					
\$7	402	L. S. Pope	1965	410	4,2		Ty	322	80	July 5	5, 1965	S,E,3/4	D.S	3/				
	403	Mrs. A. L. Parson		641	4,2		Ty	333	80		•	S, E, 1	D, S	20-				
	404	.T. C. Hill	1963	430	4,2		Ty	321	100	Jan. 29	9, 1963	P, E, 1/2	D					
	405	R. R. Janac	1967	543	4,2		Ту	292	90	June 15	5, 1967	S, E, 3/4	D, S	15-		June	15, 19	
	406	W. H. Walker	1963	369	3,2	349-369	Ту	285	115	Jan. 13	3, 1963	P, E, 1/2	D,S					
	407	E. A. Holick	1956	567	4,2	(Ty	302	80		1956	P, E	D, S	1.03/				2/
	501	Don Cain	1966	574	4,2		Ту	302	80	Feb,	9, 1966	S, E, I	P	182				Standby Well for trailer park'
	502	Fletcher German	1963	410	4,2		Ту	295	80	Sept. 16	5, 1963	S, E, 1/2	D,S	10-				
	503	Eddie Marshall	1963	439	4,2		Ту	290	80	Aug. 15	5, 1963	P, E, 1/2	D, S					
	504	Abner White	1963	274	3,2		Ту	3 02	105	Apr. 25	9, 1963	P, E, 3/4	D, S					
	506	Donald Carroll	1963	500	4,2		Ty	335	150		1963	J, E	D,S					2/
	601	Dr. A. W. Blising	1963	533	4,2		Ту	295	60	June 10	0, 1963	J, E	D,S					~
*	704	Dilford Carter	1969	700	4		Ty	275			-	S,E	D					
	801	Minter Spring		Spring			Tj	265	+	Dec. 2	2, 1969	Flows	н	~~				2/
	802	Bill Henry	1963	553	4,2		Ту	330	90	Dec.	7, 1963	Р, Е, 3/4	D, S					
	803	Thomas Arhopulos		125	4		Tj	310	16		1957	J, E	D, S					
	804	R. A. Nolan	1942	314	3 1/2		Тj	283	65		1942	Р,Е	D,S	3/				
	805	Wellborn Water Supply Corp. well 1	1966	1,177	8,4	I,147-1,177	Ту	325	124.7	Dec.	9, 1969	S, E, 15	Р	45			19	bit Test hole drilled to 2,258 ft and plugged back. In conjunction with wells BJ-59-30-805 and BJ-69-30-806, furnishes water for rural system.4/
*	806	Wellborn Water Supply Corp. well 2	1966	1, 155	8,4	1,125-1,155	Ту	340	105		1966	S,E,7 1/2	Р	35 ^{3/}			19	Drilled to 1,217 ft.and plugged back.49
ŵ	807	Wellborn Water Supply Corp. well 3	1966	1,155	8,4	1,115-1,155	Ту	322	85	June 28	8, 1966	S,E,7 1/2	Р	45			19	66 Drilled to 1,211 ft. and plugged back.49
	901	J. C. Wade		400 <u>-</u>			тј	309			-	J,É	D, S					
	31-101	Tarance Bazy	1935	40	8		Tj	245	30,6	Dec. 11	1, 1969	В,Н	D					
ŵ	201	W. P. Smith	1969	344	4,2	324-344	Тy	252	60	Mar. 26	6, 1969	P,E,1/2	D,S	35 ²⁹		Mar.	26, 19	59 2/
	202	do.	1965	220=	3,2		Ту	252	39.4	Dec. 11	1, 1969	N	N					

<u> </u>			1					WATER LEVE	EL				WELL	PERFORMANC	5 DATA	
WELL NUMBE	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR~ ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	D/ MEA	ATE OF SUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
	······		-h	-L				BR	AZOS CO	DUNTY			L	1		<u>لد.</u>
* BJ-59-31-	01 Jim Carll	1964	674	4,2		Ty	273	50	Oct.	9, 1964	S, E, 1	D, S	20-3/		Oct. 9, 1964	24
	02 Fred Albricht		100±	4		Tj	215				J,E	D, S				
	03 E. E. Allen					Tj	220				S,E	s				
#	04 do.		500±	2		Ту	195	+	Dec.	4, 1969	Flows	s				Measured flow 1 gpm on Dec. 4, 1969.
	05 A. F. Sharp	1967	118	4,2		Tj	212					D, S				
*	06 A. W. McCullough		700±	3		Ty	197	+	Dec.	11, 1969	Flows	s				Measured flow 2 gpm on Dec. 11, 1969.
1:	01 Sulphur Spring		Spring			Tj	185	+	July	16, 1970	Flows	N				In bed Navasota River. Has H ₂ S odor,
*	01 Russel Mahaffey	1963	287	4,2		Tj	312	90	Dec.	4, 1963	P,E,3/4	D, S				2/
	02 Texas International Speedway	1969	1,200+	6		Ту	255				N	N	403'		1969	Test hole, Principal water encountered at 150 ft. Inadequate so piped water from Texas A&M University.
	01 Spruiell Bosley, Jr.	1963	165	3,2		Tj	237	25	May	19, 1963	J, E, 1/2	D, S				
*	02 W. E. Crenshaw	1962	82	4	70-82	Tj	242	25	Sept.	18, 1962	J, E, 3/4	D,S				
	03 B. S. Bradley	1963	111	4,2		Tj	212	5	Feb.	28, 1963	J, E, 1/2	D, S				
	04 Mrs. Lloyd Lunsford	1965	209	4,2		Tj	240	15	May	1, 1965	S, E, 1/2	D, S	17 ^{3/}		May 1, 1965	
*	05 A. C. Smith		25			Tj	204	17.0	Dec.	17, 1969	J,E	D, S				Dug well.
* 38-	01 Thomas Arhopulos	1938	900±	4		Ty	250				P, E, 1	s				
	02 T. W. Stousland		20	30		Tj	235	3.9	Dec.	9, 1969	в, н	D, S				Dug well.
	01 M. I. Cooner		250±	3		Ту	280	100				N				· · ·
	01 H. H. Moore		65	18		Qfpa	203	23.3 25.0 22.9	Aug. June Oct.	9, 1960 3, 1963 15, 1969	T,G	Irr				
	02 do.	1963	72?	16		Qfpa	205	22.3	Apr.	30, 1964	T,G	Irr				
	03 do.	1963	72?	16		Qfpa	206	21.5 24.2	Apr. Oct.	30, 1964 15, 1969	T,G	Irr				
	04 do.	1964	72?	16		Qfpa	203	20.3 23.0	Apr. Oct.	30, 1964 15, 1969	T,G	Irr				
	05 do.	1963	72?	16		Qfpa	205	29.8 30.7	Apr. Oct.	30, 1964 15, 1969	T,G	Irr				
rk	06 do.	1963	72?	16		Qfpa	205	26.4 28.2	Apr. Oct.	30, 1964 15, 1969	T,G	Irr	837	33.54	July 24, 1964	
	07 do.		60	16		Qfpa	203	24.6		do.	T, G	Irr				
	08 do.		65	16		Qfpa	206	21.1		do.	T,G	Irr				
	09 do.		68	16		Qfpa	205	25.4		do.	T,G	Irr				
	10 do.		68	16		Qfpa	202	29.1	Oct.	15, 1969	T,G	Irr				
	11 do.		60	16		Qfpa	206	29.1		do.	T,G	Irr				
	12 do.		82	16		Qfpa	205	29.3		do.	T,G	Irr				
	13 do.		67	16		Qfpa	205	31.2		do.	T,G	lrr				
	14 Snields Crenshaw	1966		4		Tj	220				P,E	s				
*	UI J. P. Terrell & Son	1957	70	18		Qfpa	200	24.6	Aug.	9, 1960	T,G	Irr	380	35.04	July 2, 1964	

[1		1				WATER LE	VEL			WELL	PERFORMANCI	DATA	
WE	LL NUMBER	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEASUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		L.,					BR	AZOS COUNTY	_1			·		
*в	J=59-38-902	J. P. Terrell & Son	1956	73	18		Qfpa	204	12.7 15.5 23.9	Aug. 9, 1960 June 6, 1963 Oct. 13, 1969	T, G	Irr				
*	903	do.	1956	72	18		Qfpa	204	12.1 14.6 23.1	Aug. 9, 1960 June 6, 1963	T , G	Irr				
*	904	da.	1956	66	18		Ofpa	203	12.5	Aug. 9, 1960	T.G	Irr				У
	906	H. H. Moore	1964	69	16		Qfpa	203	17.9	Apr. 30, 1964 Oct. 15, 1969	т, G	Irr				
	907	do.	1963	64	16		Qfpa	200	18.3	Apr. 30, 1963	T,G	Irr				
	908	do.	1963	66	16		Qfpa	203	13.8	do,	т, с	Irr				
ŧ	909	do.	1964	65	15		Qfpa	203	24.3	Oct. 15, 1969	T, G	Irr	503		July 24, 1964	
	910	do.	1964	72?	16		Qfpa	205	24.4	do.	т, с	Irr	832		do.	
	911	do.	1963	72?	16		Qfpa	203	16.6 23.8	Apr. 30, 1964 Oct. 15, 1969	т, с	Irr				
1	912	Moore		66	16		Qfpa	198	23.6	Oct. 13, 1969	Т, С	Irr				
	913	do.		65	16		Qfpa	198	22.9	do.	T,G	Irr				
	914	J. P. Terrell & Son		77	16		Qfpa	203	23.6	Oct. 15, 1969	Τ, G	Irr				
	915	do.			16		Qfpa	199	19.3	do.	T,G	Irr				
	916	Moore			14		Qfpa	203			T,G	Irr				
	917	H. H. Moore					Qfpa	200			Т, G	Irr				
	918	do.		59	16		Qfpa	200	23.9	Oct. 16, 1969	T,G	Irr				
	919	do.		67	16		Qfpa	195	24.6	Dec. 4, 1969	T,G	Irr				
	920	do.			16		Qfpa	195	26.1	do.	T,G	Irr				
	92 1	do.		66	16		Qfpa	195	25.6	do.	Τ, G	Irr				
	922	do.		60	16		Qfpa	195	24.9	do.	T,G	Irr				
	923	do.		68	16		Qfpa	1.95	24.6	do.	T,G	Irr				
*	924	do.		66	16		Qfpa	195	26.7	Jan. 20, 1970	T, G	Irr				
*	925	J. P. Terrell & Son		480	3,2		Ту	2,02	3.7	July 16, 1970	P,E	s				
	926	H. H. Moore		66	16		Qfpa		34.3	Nov. 4, 1970	Τ,Ε	Irr				
\$7	39-101	Elsie Hill	1942	528	4	508-528	Ту	289			N	N	100		1942	Furnished water for oil well drilling rigs.
1	103	Mrs. H. P. Crosby	1969	177	4,2	151-177	Tcs	295	60	Apr. 21, 1969	S, E, 3/4	D,S				2
	104	Jerry Shelton	1968	185	4,2	151-185	Tes	295	60	Sept. 7, 1968	S, E, 3/4	D,S	18-9		Sept. 7, 1968	2
	110	P. P. Prescott	1967	279	4,2 1/2	246-279	Ту	310	45	Apr. 1967	P, E	D, S				~
*	201	A. C. Smith	1961	120			Тј	255			S,E	D,S				
*	401	Calvín Ross	1969	125	4,2		Tj	280	71	Apr. 22, 1969	S, E, 1/2	D,S	8="		·	2/
	402	Lewis Loftin	1968	235	4		Tj	265	50	Apr. 1, 1968	S,E,3/4	D,S				
	403	W, L, Jericho	1969	348	4,2		Tj	315	15	Nov. 1969	S,E	D, S				
sir	404	J. P. Terrell & Son	1956	280±	4,2		Тj	235	24.0	Jan. 20, 1970	J,E	D,S				
1																

								WATER LEV	EL.			WEL	L PERFORMAN	ICE DATA	
WELL NUMBER	GWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEASUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YIELD	DRAWD OWN	DATE	REMARKS
								BRA	ZOS COUNTY						
BJ-59-39-405	J. P. Terrell & Son		135	4		Tj	247	35.1	Jan. 20, 1970	P,H	N				
406	do,	1958	280	4,2		Tj	225	41.2	do.	J,E	D,S				
501	T. J. Moore	1963	70	15		Qfpa	191	10.9 16.9	June 6, 1963 Oct. 16, 1969	Ŧ,G	Irr	600 ^{3/}			
502	do.		61	16		Qfpa	195	11.6	do.	T,G	Irr				
503	do.		62	16		Qfpa	214	15.4	Dec. 4, 1969	T,G	Irr				
504	do.			16		Qfpa	190	15.3	do.	T,G	Irr				
505	Embrick	1966	276	4,2		Tj	265	70	Oct. 14, 1966	s,E,3/4	D,S	14 ^{3/}		Oct. 14, 1966	2/
* 506	Tom C. Moore		300±	4		Tj	205	+	Dec. 4, 1969	Flows	D, S	** +=			Measured flow 3.7 gpm on July 21, 1970.
* 507	Prince Holiday	1965	292	4,2		Tj	272	60	Aug. 13, 1965	S, E, 1/2	D, S	15 ^{3/}			2/
601	Mrs. Tony Salvaggio	1959	70	18		Qfpa	190	14.0 12.0 16.5	Aug. 10, 1960 June 7, 1963 Oct. 16, 1969	T, G	Irr	750 ^{3/}			
602	H. M. Elliott	1955	73	18		Qfpa	188	8.3 7.3 11.7	Aug. 10, 1960 June 7, 1963 Oct. 16, 1969	T,G	Irr				
603	Mrs. Tony Salvaggio	1956	60	18		Qfpa	192	12.6 12.0 16.1	Aug. 10, 1960 June 7, 1963 Oct. 16, 1969	T, G	Irr	750 ^{3/}			
604	do.	1956	70	18		Qfpa	192	13.3 12.5 16.8	Aug. 10, 1960 June 7, 1963 Oct. 16, 1969	T, G	Irr	750 <u>3</u> /			
605	T. J. Moore		56	18		Qfpa	191	12.1 14.4 17.1	June 6, 1963 Apr. 30, 1964 Oct. 16, 1969	T,G	Irr	367 865	31.73 36.10	July 22, 1963 July 24, 1964	
* 606	do.	1963	61	15		Qfpa	192	13.9 15.6 17.2	June 6, 1963 Apr. 30, 1964 Oct. 16, 1969	T,G	Irr	740	28,84	July 23, 1964	
607	do.	1963	62	15		Qfpa	192	12.0 15.0 18.2	June 6, 1963 Apr. 30, 1964 Oct. 16, 1969	T,G	Irr	526	31.25	do.	
608	do.	1963	71	15		Qfpa	191	12.0 14.0 17.8	June 6, 1963 Apr. 30, 1964 Oct. 16, 1969	T,G	Irr	781 743	30,88 31,30	July 22, 1963 July 23, 1964	
609	do.	1963	57	15		Qfpa	187	7.0 9.8	June 6, 1963 Apr. 30, 1964	T,G	Irr	302	38.61	July 22, 1963	
610	da.	1963	64	15		Qfpa	190	12.5 17.4	June 6, 1963 Oct. 16, 1969	T,G	Irr	600 ^{3/}		1963	
* 611	do.	1963	60	15		Qfpa	185	6,5	June 6, 1963	T, G	Irr	423 375	37.39 31.30	July 22, 1963 July 23, 1964	<i>y</i>
612	do.		56	18		Qfpa	192	12.5 18.5	June 6, 1963 Oct. 16, 1969	T, G	Irr	600 ^{3/}			
* 613	0. J. Fuchs	1963	258	4		Tj	270			S,E	D,S				
# 614	Tom C, Moore		400±	4		Tj	192	+ 10	Jan. 20, 1970	Flows	D				Measured flow 7.5 gpm on Nov. 5, 1970.
* 701	T. J. Moore	1963	60	15		Qfpa	201	10.0 12.5 18.6	June 6, 1963 Apr. 30, 1964 Oct. 16, 1969	T,G	Irr	1,0003/		1963	
702	do.	1963	59	15		Qfpa	200	5.6 12.6	June 5, 1963 Oct. 16, 1969	T,G	Irr	600 ^{3/}		đo.	

		1	1	1	[WATER LE	/EL			WELL	PERFORMANC	E DATA	
WELL NUMBER	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER OF CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEASUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
·								BR	AZOS COUNTY						
ВЈ-59-39-70	3 T. J. Moore	1963	78	15		Qfpa	200	12.7 14.6 23.2	June 6, 1963 Apr. 30, 1964 Oct. 16, 1969	T,G	Irr	913 650	46.15 43.09	July 22, 1963 July 24, 1964	
70	4 do.	1963	69	15		Qfpa	200	12.2 14.8 19.5	June 6, 1963 Apr. 30, 1964 Oct. 16, 1969	T,G	Irr	1, 000 ³ /		1963	
* 70	5 do.	1963	73	15		Qfpa	200	13.5 16.0 19.0 16.2	June 6, 1963 Apr. 30, 1964 Oct. 16, 1969 Apr. 30, 1964	T,G	Irr	488 588	31.91 49.86	July 22, 1963 July 24, 1964	
70	6 H. H. Moore	1963	66	16		Qfpa	202	22.8	Oct. 16, 1969	T,G	Irr				
70	J. P. Terrell & Son			16		Qfpa	202	21.5	Oct. 13, 1969	T,G	Irr				
70	3 Moore			16		Qfpa	2.02			T,G	Irr				
70	H. H. Moore			16		Qfpa	202	21.8	Oct. 16, 1969	T,G	Irr				
71) Moore		17	36		Qfpa	196	10.3	Dec. 4, 1969	N	N				Dug well, shored with tile.
71	L Tom C. Moore		200±	2		Тј	194	+ + .8	do. Nov. 4, 1970	N	м				
* 71	J. P. Terrell & Son		600±	4		Ту	202	11.5	Jan. 20, 1970	C,E	D, S				
80	L McDonald		57	18		Qfpa	196	13.8 17.5 18.9	Aug. 9, 1960 June 6, 1963 Oct. 16, 1969	T,G	Irr				
80	e Bosse	1963	64	1.5		Qfpa	190	10.0	June 6, 1963	T,G	Írr				У
80	do.	1960	66	18		Qfpa	190	14.5 17.2	June 6, 1963 Dec. 4, 1969	T,G	Irr				
80	U.S. Geological Survey	1963	80				193	24.0	Dec. 9, 1963	N	м				Test hole,2/
* 80	5 	~~		14		Qfpa	193			T,G	Irr				
* 80			1,000±	5		Ту	192	+	Dec. 18, 1969	Flows	N				Measured flow 10 gpm on Dec. 18, 1969.
80	3		60	16		Qfpa	200	25.0	Oct. 16, 1969	Τ, G	Irr				
90	L M. H. Elliott	1956	66	18		Qfpa	190	16.9	Oct. 27, 1959	T, G	Irr	·			<u>y</u>
90	2 Tom Rotello	1955	74	18		Qfpa	190	16.2 14.8	Sept. 22, 1960 June 6, 1963	Τ, G	Irr				
* 90	3 do.	1955	62	18		Qfpa	185	14.3 8.6 12.6 16.3	Sept. 22, 1960 May 19, 1961 June 7, 1963 Oct. 16, 1969	Τ, G	Irr				Three wells have total yield of 1,300 gpm.
90	do.	1955	52	14		Qfpa	185	13.1 11.4 15.6	Sept. 22, 1960 June 7, 1963 Oct. 16, 1969	T,G	Îrr				
90	5 do.	1955	62	14		Qfpa	185	11.2 9.7 14.0	Sept. 22, 1960 June 7, 1963 Oct. 16, 1969	т, с	Irr				
90	5 M. H. Elliot	1955	72	18		Qfpa	188	9.6 8.9 12.6	Aug. 10, 1960 June 7, 1963 Oct. 16, 1969	T,G	Irr				
* 90	7 H. H. Moore	1963	70	16		Qfpa	187	36.1 33.5	Apr. 30, 1964 Oct. 16, 1969	T,G	Irr	194	20.34	July 23, 1964	
90	do.		60	16		Qfpa	194	37.2	do.	N	N				Abandoned in 1969.

Table 12, -- Records of Wells and Springs -- Continued

			1	1					WATER LEV	EL	1		WELL	PERFORMANCE	DATA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
WEI	L NUMBER	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER OF CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEASUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YTELD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
					<u> </u>				BRA	ZOS COUNTY			•	L		
BJ-	59-39-909	Roy W. Moore		61	16		Qfpa	183	26.6	Oct. 16, 1969	T,G	Irr				
*	910	do.	1965	70	16		Qfpa	190	18.7	Dec. 18, 1969	T, G	Irr				
	911	M. H. Elliott			16		Qfpa	190			T,G	Irr				
	912	do.			14		Qfpa	190			T,G	Irr				
	913	Roy W. Moore	1965	65	16		Qfpa	187	24.7	Dec. 18, 1969	T,G	Irr				
	914	Moore		62	16		Qfpa	1.87	37.7	do.	T, G	Irr				
*	915	T. B. Rotello		1,050±	4		Ту	192	+	do.	Flows	D, S				
	916	Roy W. Moore		70	16		Qfpa	185	37.7	Jan. 20, 1970	T,G	Irr				
*	917	do.		250±	3		Tcs	186	30.5	do.	J,E	D, S				
	40-401	Rotello-Farms Cattle Co.		53	18		Qfpa	192	23.1	Aug. 10, 1960	N	N				Yield insufficient,
	402			34	30		Qfpa	185	23.0	Dec. 3, 1969	N	N				Dug well, shored with rock,
*	403	Rotello-Farms Cattle Co,	1969	73	4	64-73	Tcs	195	16.4	Dec. 18, 1969	3, E, 3/4	D, S				
	46-308	J. P. Terrell & Son		59	16		Qfpa	195	28.4	Jan. 20, 1970	T,G	Irr				20 TH
	309	H. H. Moore		60	16		Qfpa	200	37.8	Nov. 4, 1970	T,G	Irr				rei far
*	47-104	Tom C. Moore		114	4		Tcs	200	2.7 4.3	Dec. 4, 1969 Nov. 4, 1970	Р,Н	D				
	304	G. W. Lott	1942	137	6	82-137	Tcs	185	+ 6.6 + 7.2 6.0	Oct. 15, 1942 Oct. 19, 1942 Dec. 17, 1969	N	N				Drilled as formation test to 2,107 ft., plugged back to 137 ft. Uncased below 82 ft. Flowed when drilled. $\frac{44}{3}$
*	305	Joe Olander Estate		245	2		Tes	182	+	July 1, 1942	D,E	D, S				Measured flow 2 gpm on July 1, 1942.
	306	U.S. Geological Survey	1963	68				185	37.5	Dec. 9, 1963	N	N				Test hole, 2/
*	308	G. W. Lott		146	4		Tes	187	17.7	Dec. 17, 1969	J,E	D, S				
									17.0	JAR. 20, 1970					J	<u> </u>
BS	-59-18-801	Jesse Whited		38	36		Tac	455	18.7	Mar. 23, 1970	J.E	D,S				Dug well.
100	802	do do		235	3		Tac	455			P.W	D. S				
	907	A R Richardson	1926	58	10		Tac	510	54.2	Sept. 2, 1936	N	N				Abandoned. 1
	902	M A Sprull		70	42	~~	Tac	485	48.9	Mar. 23, 1970	B. H	D. S				Dug well, shored with brick.
*	903	H. L. Tabor	1967	357	4.2	315-357	Tric	515	90	Nov. 1967	J.E	s	103/		Nov. 1967	2/
*	904	Gilbert Weichert	1965	635	4,2		Tc,Twi	488	151,5	Mar, 24, 1970	S,E	s				2/
	905	do.		60	30		Tqc	495	22.2	Mar. 24, 1970	N	N				Dug well, shored with tile.
								105	29.2	July 10, 1970	37	N				Abandonad
*	906	do.		200			Tqc	495			N	21	1.03/			Abandoned,
	907	Mrs. O. H. Roskey	1962	211	4,2	237-277	Idc	495	/5	Fay 12, 1962	14		222		May 12, 1902	50, 5
*	19-401	J. F. Keller	1930	66	30		Tqc	360	62.7	Sept. 2, 1936	N	N				Dug well. Abandoned.
	501	Dr. Clarence Kemp	1966	280	4,2		Tqc	460	90	Sept. 27, 1966	S,E	D, S	25-9		Sept. 27, 1966	3
*	601	Lawson Mini Ranch	1917	15	30		Tqc	450	8.5	Sept. 1, 1936	N	N				Dug well. Abandoned.
	602	do.		38	30		Tqc	450	28.8	Mar. 25, 1970	J,E	D,S				Dag well, shored with concrete rings.
*	603	Annie N. Jennings	1875	58	30		Tqc	430	15.8	Sept. 1, 1936	J,E	D,S				Dug well, shored with rock.
*	604	Claude McFarland	1967	334	4,2	301-334	Tqc	370	105	Feb. 3, 1967	S,E,1/3	D,S	12-3		Feb. 3, 1967	24
								1								
								I								

								WATER LEVE	L	1	Γ	WELL	PERFORMANC	E DATA	
WELL NUMBER	0₩NER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTI. OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEASUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	DATL	REMARKS
ļ	1		L					BU	RLESON COUNTY		â	1	1	I	
BS-59-19-605	Claude McFarland	1966	126	4	105-126	Tqc	370	45	Oct. 21, 1961	N	N	1.3 ^{3/}		Oct. 21, 1966	Abandoned.
606	L. D. Stewart	1.968	164	4,2	122-164	Tqc	435	90	July 1968	S,E,1/3	D				
* 701	R. M. Moorman	1933	42	30		Tqc	430	35.0	Sept. 2, 1936	N	N				Dug well, abandoned.
* 702	Denton Valley Farm	1965	240	4	210-240	Tqc	415	110	Sept. 2, 1965	S, E, 3/4	D, S	20 ^{3/}		Sept. 2, 1965	2/
801	Lee Fazzino		200±	2		Tqc	298	+	Mar. 13, 1970	Flows	s				Measured flow 8 gpm on March 13, 1970. Temp. 70°F (21.0°C).
* 802	do.	1935	37	30		Tqc	307	17.3 9.4	Sept. 2, 1936 Mar. 24, 1970	N	N				Dug well, shored with concrete rings.
* 803	C. A. Baines		65	30		Tqc	410	46.9 58.0	Nov. 2, 1936 Mar. 24, 1970	N	N				Do.
* 804	Tony Salvaggio		300±	3		Tqc	273	+	Mar. 26, 1970	Flows	s				Measured flow 6.5 gpm on March 26, 1970.
* 805	Ben Green	1968	230	4,2		Tqc	355	43.7	Mar. 31, 1970	S, E, 1/4	D, S	20 ^{3/}		Apr. 1968	
* 806	Ed Williams Estate	1934	62	36		Tw	332	38.6	Oct. 21, 1936	N	N				Dug well, Abandoned.
901	Hovorak Bros.	1949	230	3		Ts	260	+	Mar. 25, 1970	Flows	s				
* 902	Philip Conerway	1965	295	4,2	90-132	Ts	295	25	Oct. 6, 1965	S, E, 1/3	D,S	123/		Oct. 6, 1965	
* 903	W. E. Garner	1965	205	4,2	163-205	Ts	320	40	July 9, 1965	S, E, 1/3	D, S	1339		July 9, 1965	2/
904	Loehr Bros.		100±	4		Ts	257	+	Mar. 26, 1970	Flows	s				Measured flow 4.6 gpm on March 26, 1970. Temp. 69°F (20.5°C).
905	do.		8	27		T5	260	1,5	do.	ท	N				Dug well, shored with concrete rings.
906	Alford		400±			Tqc	245	+	do.	Flows	D, S				Measured flow 20 gpm on March 26, 1970. Temp. 73°F (23.0° C).
907	do.		100±	4		Τs	245	+	do.	Flows	S				Measured flow 1.5 gpm on March 26, 1970. Temp. 69°F (20,5°C).
☆ 20+116	W. F. Tonn		22	30		Qt	316	20.1 17.4 16.4	May 14, 1964 Mar. 12, 1970 Nov. 6, 1970	P,E	D, S			00 M	Dug well, shored with concrete rings.
* 120	Cavitt Sisters	1931	950?	4		Tc, Twi	280	+ 2.0	Nov. 6, 1970	Flows	s	00 mi			Converted oil test. Measured flow 5 gpm on March 25, 1970.
* 121	R. A. Alford	1959	1,250	4,2		Tc, Twi	275	+	Mar. 25, 1970	Flows	D,S				
* 122	Alford Bros.	1910	700?	4		Tqc	282	+	do.	Flows	s				
* 401	Oscar Weeber		40	10		Tw	290	38,3	Sept. 21, 1936	N	N				Dug well. Abandoned.
* 402	Adolph Hajousky		500?	3		Tqc	295	+	do.		D				Flow 1 gpm on Sept. 21, 1936.
403			34	30		Qt	323	31.8 29.0	May 14, 1964 Mar. 12, 1970	N	N				Dug well, shored with concrete rings.
404	Barney Catron	1956	71	16		Qt,Ts	299	42.7 42.6 42.7	Mar. 25, 1970 Nov. 6, 1970 Feb. 1, 1971	r	Irr				Irrigated about 100 acres in 1958. Not used several years.
405	AGM Poultry Co.	1968	315	4,2	273-315	Tqc	303	70	Mar. 1968	s, E, 1/3	D,S	20 ^{3/}		Mar. 1968	2/
406	John Morris	1967	120	2	99-120	Tqc	295	60	Nov. 1967	P,E	D, S	7 ^{3/}		Nov. 1967	
407	Oscar Weeber	1967	320	4,2	278-320	Tqc	290	42	June 12, 1967	J,E	s	20 ^{3/}		June 12, 1967	
408	Adolph Hajousky	1967	210	4,2	168-210	Tqc	297	40	Мау 1967	S,E,1/3	D, S	12.3/		May 1967	
409	L. C. Hall, Sr.	1969	230	4,2	188-230	Tqc	298	40	Dec. 1969	S,E,1/3	D, S	30 ^{3/}		Dec. 1969	
	[

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Γ	[· · · · · ·]	<u> </u>				WATER LEVE	L			Well	PERFORMANC	e data		
W	ell number	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER OF CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER - BEAR - ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEASUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	D₽	лте	REMARKS
									BU	RLESON COUNTY							
*	BS-59-20-545	L. C. Hall, Sr.		Spring			Ts	220	+	May 1964	Flows						Spring in bank of Brazos River.
*	704	Cordus Jackson		40	27		Ts	313	35.8	Mar. 26, 1970	J,E	D,S					Dug well, shored with concrete rings.
*	25-501	M. D. Shedenhelm		362	4		Tc	392	19,5	July 16, 1964	S, E, 1	D,S					
	502	John R. Praesel	1968	614	4,2	593-614	Tc, Twi	425	53	May 8, 1968	S,E,1	D,S	6 ^{_3/}		May	8, 1968	2!
*	503	A. G. Fraesel	1965	211	4	171-211	Tqc	440	67	Oct. 13, 1965	S, E, 1	s					
	504	do.		15	30		Tqc	440	3.6	Mar. 30, 1970	J,E	s					Dug well, shored with concrete rings.
ħ	505	R. E. Brown	1968	231	4	210-231	Tqc	435	61	July 26, 1968	S, E, 1	s	30 ^{3/}		July	26, 1968	2/
	507	C. C. Willard	1966	66	4	45-66	Tqc	388	29	May 11, 1966	S,E	N				~-	~~
	603	Lloyd Morton	1962	114	4	94-114	Tqc	430	5	Aug. 6, 1962	S,E	D, S					
	604			53	27		Tqc	485	47.1	Mar. 27, 1970	19	N					Dug well, shored with concrete rings.
	605	Frank Burrough, Jr.	1965	132	4	108-132	Tqc	450	59	Nov. 20, 1965	S,E	s	33 ^{<u>3</u>/}		Nov.	20, 1965	2/
*	901	S. Tarwater	1957	315	2		Tqc	337	+	Mar. 20, 1970	Flows	s					
	902	do.	1954	290	4		Tqc	387	28	1954	S,E	D,S					
*	903	M. E. Willard	1961	310	2	270-310	Tqc	340	+	Mar. 30, 1970	Flows	s					2
	26-101	I C Ranch						465			P,E	D,S					
*	2 02	J. T. Segler	1947	350	4		Tc	430	43	1947	S,E	D, S					
ŵ	203	do.	1934	23	4		Tqc	430	19.8	Sept. 4, 1936	N	N					Abandoned.
	204	Boyd-Eanes	1955		4			430			A	s					Formerly furnished water for drilling oil test. Rarely used.
*	302	Ray Hill	1910	90	10		Tqc	457	44.0	Sept. 4, 1936	J,E	D,S					<u>1</u>
*	303	John King		27	30		Tqc	325	23.0 18.2	Sept. 4, 1936 Mar. 23, 1970	J,E	D,S					Dug well, shored with brick.
*	304	G. I. Perkins		Spring			Tqc	470	+	Sept. 16, 1936	Flows	s					Flow estimated 3 gpm.
*	305	Henry Adamek		48	30		Tqc	438	19	Oct. 1, 1936	Р,₩	D,S					Dug well, curbed with brick.
*	306	J. P. Winkler	1917	17	30		Tqc	464	13.6 11.7 11.6	Sept. 11, 1936 Mar. 24, 1970 July 10, 1970	В,Н	D,S					Dug well, curbed with tile.
*	501	J. Janicek		36	36		Tqc	412	24.5 26.5	Sept. 15, 1936 Mar. 23, 1970	J,E	s					Dug well, shored with brick.
*	502	Ethel Hensley	1928	39	36		Ts	515	31.7 30.3	Sept. 12, 1936 Mar. 23, 1970	N	N					Do.
	503			71	8		Tqc	500	60,7	Mar. 31, 1970	P,E	N					
	504	Camp Wagon Wheel	1966	480	4,2	417-480	Tqc	505	140	Mar. 31, 1966	S,E	D, S	10 ^{3/}		Mar.	31, 1966	2/
*	601	E. J. Schweda	~-	42	30		Tw					D					Dug well.
	602	Steve Neal	1964	172	2		Tqc	336	1,2	Mar. 27, 1970	N	N	10	15	Aug.	4, 1964	2/
	603	James		40	27		Tw	400	19.8	do.	в,н	D, S					Dug well, shored with concrete rings.
. *	604	Mrs. A. B. James	1911	30	8		Tqc	380	8.4	Oct. 9, 1936	N	N					Abandoned.
*	605	J. R. Bent		85	30		Ts	465	55.0 55.6	Sept. 12, 1936 Mar. 27, 1970	J,E	D					Dug well, shored with concrete rings.
*	606	Spring Lake Spring		Spring			Ts	350	+	Oct. 8, 1936	Spring						Flow 20 gpm on Oct. 8, 1936. Covered by lake in 1970.

Table 12.--Records of Wells and Springs--Continued

				[WATER LEV	ÆL,			WELL 1	PERFORMANCE	DATA	
	ELL NUMBER	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER OF CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEASUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
					-				BU	RLESON COUNTY						
*	BS-59-26-701	Webb Price	1916	42	30		Tqc	469	29.0 26.4	Sept. 22, 1936 May 8, 1970	J, E	D, S			ter be	Dug well, shored with brick.
*	702	F. C. Anderson	1964	583	4,2	497-583	Tc	464	120	Sept. 1969	S,E	D, S	80 ^{3/}	32	Sept. 1969	Recased and cemented in 1969 after casing failure allowed entrance of mineralized water.2
*	703	do.	1969	563	4,2	542-563	Tc	490	122	Oct. 14, 1969	S,E	D,S	35 ³	20	Oct. 14, 1969	Casing cemented from 0 to 500 ft.2/
	704			24	36		Ts	475	22.6	Mar. 30, 1970	N	N				Dug well, shored with brick.
*	801	R. C. Ryan		48	30		Τw	508	32.6 8.7	Sept. 3, 1936 Mar. 23, 1970	в, н	D,S				Do.
*	802	Fred W. Newcomb	1968	648	4,2	606-648	Tc	450	80	Mar. 1968	S,E	D, S	20 ^{3/}		Mar. 1968	
	901	John Oggero	1964	105	4,2	63-105	Ts	430			J,E	D,S	12 ³ /		Oct. 31, 1964	
*	902	C. C. Nelm		47	30		Ts		41.3	Oct. 10, 1936	N	N				Dug well. Abandoned.
*	27-201	Denton Valley Farm	1957	167±	2		Tqc	310	+	Mar. 13, 1970	Flows	s				Measured flow 2 gpm on March 13, 1970.
*	202	Caldwell Fishing Club	1924	227	2		Ts	315	+	Oct. 21, 1936	P,E	D				Measured flow 2 gpm on Oct. 21, 1936. Not flowing on March 26, 1970.
*	203	do.		27	30		Ts	325	17.4	Oct. 21, 1936 Mar. 26, 1970	Р, Е	D				Dug well, shored with tile rings.
*	204	Jack Manuel	1965	205	4,2	163~205	Ts	312	22	Aug. 24, 1965	J, E, 1/2	D, S	13 ^{3/}		Aug. 24, 1965	3/
*	205	do.	1934	9	30		Ts	312	7.9	Oct. 21, 1936	N	N				Dug well, shored with concrete rings.
	206	L. B. Moers	1965	380	4,2	338-380	Tąc	350	35	Nov. 13, 1966	S,E,1/3	D	50 ^{3/}		Nov. 13, 1966	
	301	Hovorak Bros.	1952	440	3,2		Tqc	390	90	1952	J,E	D, S				87 M
	302	Joe Surovik	1967	543	4,2	498-543	Tqc	365	80	Sept. 1967	S, E, 1/3	D, S				2/
*	303			350±	4		Tqc	260	+	Apr. 1, 1970	Flows	s				
	304	Phil Alford	1962	238	4,2	196-238	Ts	403	80	Aug. 15, 1962	S,E,1/3	s	153		Aug. 15, 1962	1
*	305	Mary Teal	1920	24	8		Tc.m	375	14.2	Nov. 2, 1936	R	N				
*	401	Otto Berndt	1936	45	8		Тэ	381	29.5	Nov. 13, 1936	~~					4
	402	Dean Lake Ranch		Spring			Ts	390	+	Mar. 24, 1970	Flows	s				Line of seeps.
*	501	Frank Hckalopka	1933	32	8		Tcm	368	10.5 9.7	Sept. 19, 1936 Mar. 31, 1970	N	N				
*	502	F. A. Surovik	1964	1,070	4,2	1,030-	Tc	405			S,E	D, S	50 ^{3/}		1964	2/
	503	do,		90	5		Tcm	405	33.7	Apr. 3, 1970	N	N				
*	504	Henry Jackson	1935	19	30		Ts	375	14.8 12.5	Sept. 2, 1936 Apr. 14, 1970	J,Ē	D, S				Dug well, shored with brick.
*	601	Mrs. Frank Kubin	1913	124	10		Tem	391	29.0 19.3	Sept. 21, 1936 Apr. 3, 1970	J, E	D,S				
*	602	-~ Hanacik	1930	315	6		Ts	382	29.0	Sept. 19, 1936	J, E	D,S				
*	603	Franklin Steck	1965	560	4,2	518-560	Tqc	388	84	Apr. 16, 1965	S, E, l	D, S	25 ^{3/}		Apr. 16, 1965	2/
	604	do.		340	4	320-340	Tqc	386	89.4	Apr. 1, 1970	Р	N				
4	605	H. A. Rosenbaum	1968	330	412		Tqc	420	102	Nov. 1968	S,E,1/3	D,S	13 ^{3/}		Nov. 1968	
*	606	Rudy Steck	1966	600	4,2	558-600	Tqc	393	94	Oct. 10, 1966	S,E,1/3	D,S	23 ^{3/}		Oct. 10, 1966	
L				· · · · ·				L				L				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

—									WATER LEY	ÆL			WELL	PERFORMANC	E DATA	
	WELL NUMBER	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER OF CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEAS UREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
-		······		1		I		1	BU	RLESON COUNTY	-!			1	L	
	BS-59-27-607	John Kubin	1962	696	4,2	654-696	Tqc	390	90	July 7, 1962	S.E.1	D,S	5.3/	40	July 7, 1962	2/
	608	CSA and SPJST Lodge	1969	270	4,2	228-270	Tcm	400	103	May 12, 1969	S.E. 1/2	 D	20 ^{3/}		May 12 1969	2/
*	609	W. E. Steck	1966	540	4,2	498-540	Tqc	378	78	Oct. 1, 1966	S.E.1/3	D.S	27 ^{3/}		Oct. 1, 1966	
	701	Mrs. Viola Davis	1956	750±	12		Tqc	413	51.9	May 8, 1970	N	N				Formerly irrigated 40 acres of feederuff
*	702	Joe Veiss	1935	20	8		Tcm	405	6.0	Oct. 8, 1936						y
*	703	Coopers Hollow Country	1965	190	4,2		Ts	355	19	Jan. 27, 1965	S, E, 1	D	503/		Jan, 27, 1965	
	704	Club Ray Marek	1965	320	4,2	278-320	Tqc	435	70	Mar. 23, 1965	N	N	13 ^{3/}		Mar. 23, 1965	~-
	705	do.		100±	2		Tcm	435	43.9	Apr. 14, 1970	N	N				
*	706	R. B. Wilkens	1957	900±			Tc	450			S,E	D,S				
	707	do.		100±	3		Ts	460	~**		P,W	s				
*	708	R. H. Poehl	1933	92	8		Tcm	422	57.0	Sept. 25, 1936	N	N				
									20.6	Apr. 24, 1970	1					
	709	R. O. Hoffman	1968	441	4,2		Ts	415	101	Oct. 5, 1968	S, E, 3/4	D,S				
	710	do.	1964	451	4,2		Τs	415	80	Nov. 11, 1964	S, E, 3/4	D,S	10-2		Nov. 11, 1964	<u>~</u>
	/11	R. W. Slovacek	1968		4		Ts	432			J,E	D,S				
	712	Mrs. Viola Davis		700±	4		Tqc	422			S,E	D,S				
*	713	Ray Marek	1965	421	4,2	381-421	Ts	435	102	Nov. 8, 1965	S, E, 1/2	D,S	25=9		Nov. 8, 1965	
*	/14	City of Caldwell new well 3	1964	1,314	16,12,8	1,070-1,304	Tc, Twi	430	107.1	Feb. 19, 1971	S, E, 100	Р	1,105	.213.5	Feb. 19, 1971	Underreamed and gravel wall from 1,028 to 1,210 ft. 2 4
*	715	Clint Lewis	1962	547	4,2		Tqc	425	60	Oct. 26, 1962	S, E, 1	D,S	13 ^{3/}	66	Oct. 26, 1962	2/
*	801	City of Caldwell old well 1	1935	160	10	140-160	Ts	330	+ .7	Sept. 25, 1936 Apr. 1, 1940		N	2003/	14	1935	Abandoned. Flowed 40 gpm in 1935.
*	802	City of Caldwell old well 2	1936	271	10		Ts	330	+ 1.5 .7	Sept. 25, 1936 Jan. 10, 1938 Apr. 1, 1940		N	200 ^{3/}		1936	Abandoned. Flowed 40 gpm in 1936.
*	803	City of Caldwell new well 2	1942	1,236	10, 5 _i	1,048-1,206	Tc,Twi	330	+ 7 6.6	Mar. 20, 1942 Feb. 18, 1971	T, E, 25	Р	310 ^{3/}	24	1942	Underreamed and gravel wall from 1,048 to 1,206 ft. $\frac{2}{}$
*	804	City of Caldwell new well 1	1942	1,210	10,6,5	1,028-1,210	Tc, Twi	330	+ 4.3	1942 Feb. 18, 1971	T, E, 25	P	391	41.8.	Feb. 18, 1971	Underreamed and gravel wall from 1,028 to 1,210 ft.
*	805	D, L, Alford		275±	6		Ts	320	.9 +	Oct. 30, 1970 Feb. 2, 1971	Flows	S,I				Measured flow 50 gpm on Feb. 2, 1971.
*	806	Santa Fe Industries	1936	351	10,5	280-351	Ts	405	87.4 78.2	May 3, 1938 Dec. 15, 1939	N	N	2003/		1936	Abandoned. Formerly furnished water for locomotives.
*	807	do.	1933	353			Ts	405	82.4 81.5 80.3 81.1	Oct. 19, 1938 May 2, 1939 Dec. 6, 1939 Nov. 21, 1940	N	N				Do.
	808	Tom F. Vajdak	1963	172	4,2	99-172	Ts	325	+	Oct. 23, 1963	Flows	D,S	253		Oct. 23, 1963	
	809	City of Caldwell	1900?		10		Ts	405	71.5	Oct. 30, 1970	A	N				Formerly furnished water for city.
*	901	John Grace	1963	570	4,2	528-570	Ts	425	100	Oct. 29, 1963	S, E, 3/4	D,S	1739	89	Oct. 29, 1963	2/
	28-101	Joe B. Drgac	1967	380	4,2		Tqc	365	97	Oct. 1967	S, E, 1/3	D, S	1439		Oct. 1967	2/
	102	Franklin Steck		100±	4		Tcm	315	20.9	Apr. 1, 1970	P,W	s				
*	201	Black Lake Rod & Gun Club		51	18		Qfpa	239	9.6	Jan. 27, 1960	т, с	Irr				Pumped to fill lake. 🦉

Table 12. -- Records of Wells and Springs -- Continued

Matrix Matrix<					1	[WATER LE	VEL]		WELL	PERFORMANCE	E DATA	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	WELL	NUMBER	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEASUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	AIETD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$											RLESON COUNTY						L
1 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0	* BS-	59-28-202	Morello Estate	1957	1,200±	4		Tqc	256	+	June 17, 1963	Flows	D, S				
1 20 Incl. Iser and A conc. 1 1.100 2 1 100		203			1.6	30		Qt	312	14	May 13, 1964	J, E	D, S				Dug well, shored with concrete rings.
1 1	ĸ	204	Black Lake Rod & Gun Club		1,100±	2		Tqc	239	+	Mar. 13, 1970	Flows	ם				Measured flow 30 gpm on March 13, 1970. Flows into swimming pool, then overflows into lake.
1 1		205	J. J. Skrivanek, Jr.	1967	325	4,2		Ts	330	60	Aug. 1967	S, E, 1/3	S				
n 100 6.0 n 220 $4,2$ $1,4$ $1,6$ <td>*</td> <td>206</td> <td>do.</td> <td>1963</td> <td>1,475</td> <td>4,2</td> <td></td> <td>Tc, Twi</td> <td>342</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>S,E</td> <td>D, S</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	*	206	do.	1963	1,475	4,2		Tc, Twi	342			S,E	D, S				
n norma 190 2.00 4.2 191-20 Tag 3.00 1.0100 1.1000 1.	*	207	do.		228	4,2		Tqc	305			Ρ,Ε	D, S				
\circ	*	208	Rufus Johnson	1965	240	4,2	198-240	Tqc	335	56	June 1, 1965	S,E,1/3	D, S	20 ^{3/}		June 1, 1965	2/
1 1	*	209	Austin Williams	1968	200	2	158-200	Ts	32.5	70	Oct. 1968	S, E, 1/3	D, S	15 ^{3/}		Oct. 1968	
11 1. J. J. Skrivande, 2r. a . 7 <th< td=""><td>*</td><td>210</td><td>Webb Howell</td><td></td><td>800±</td><td>2</td><td>94 ma</td><td>Tqc</td><td>255</td><td>+</td><td>Nov. 20, 1936</td><td>Flows</td><td>D, S</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	*	210	Webb Howell		800±	2	94 ma	Tqc	255	+	Nov. 20, 1936	Flows	D, S				
901 Pere 8, Secreardo 196 25 18 $$ 0 fp 241 400 5 8 9 300 $$ Main Meeted. 302 0_0 197 53 18 $$ 0 fp 242 18,3 0_{27}		211	J. J. Skrivanek, Jr.		75	8		Tcm	330	38.6	Apr. 1, 1970	N	N				
302 6e, 1037 3 18 6fa 2.2 13.3 8ex 2.2, 188 7.6 1r 288 Mg. 7.1 964 303 San Sazrardo 60 18 6fa 2.6 12.5 16.7 16.7 17.6 17.7 17.7 17.6 17.7 17.7 17.6 17.7 17		301	Pete R. Scarmardo	1956	53	18		Qfpa	241	40	1956	N	N	350		1956	Abandoned.
3 03 5 an Gearmardo 0 0 1.9 0		302	do.	1957	53	18		Qfpa	242	19.3 18.7	May 28, 1963 Oct. 20, 1969	Τ, G	Irr	285		Aug. 7, 1964	al par
304 0n Paratano 196 62 18 0fm 24 33.7 No. 15, 159 N N 0 20		303	Sam Scarmardo		60	18		Qfpa	246	19.6 22.5	May 28, 1963 Oct. 20, 1969	T, G	Irr				
$ \begin{bmatrix} 306 \\ 0.5. $		304	Don Fazzino	1956	62	18		Qfpa	244	33.7	Mar. 15, 1957	N	N				Abandoned in 1964. Well BS-59-28-329 located 20 ft. south. y
306 c. F. S. Sarwardo 58 18 0fpa 24.3 27.0 do. Tr 0.09 15.88 June 25.985 2 307 Pete Scarwardo 1955 65 16 0fpa 240 16.7 0.00 4.9 1955 7.6 1r 325 1956 1956 1957 186 1.99 1957 187 1303 1.91 <t< td=""><td>1</td><td>305</td><td>do.</td><td>1956</td><td>60</td><td>18</td><td></td><td>Qfpa</td><td>242</td><td>28.6</td><td>Apr. 10, 1957</td><td>T,G</td><td>Irr</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Ъ.</td></t<>	1	305	do.	1956	60	18		Qfpa	242	28.6	Apr. 10, 1957	T,G	Irr				Ъ.
1000 Pere Searwardo 1950 65 16 Qfpa 240 16,7 1000 0cc. 20,0 17.6 17.6 17.7 12.5 1355 1355 1355 1355 1355 1355 1355 1355 1355 1355 1355 1355 1355 1355 1355 1355 1355 1357 3.6 17.7 3.7 17.7 3.7 3.7 3.7 7.7 17.7 3.7 3.7 3.7 7.7 17.7 3.7 3.7 3.7 7.7 3.7 <	ĺ	306	C. E. Scarmardo		58	18		Qfpa	243	27.0	do.	τ, σ	Irr	309	15,88	June 25, 1965	<u>y</u>
100 Luke Sarmardo 60 18 $0fpa$ 240 20.0 Apr. 10, 1957 T, 6 Irr 584 July 14, 1964 y 309 Frank DeStefano 72 18 $0fpa$ 235 37.8 Feb. 27, 1960 T, 6 Irr 878 12.51 July 10, 1963 310 do. 18 $0fpa$ 235 30.9 Aug. 1, 1960 T, 6 Irr 12.23 July 10, 1963 Jul		307	Pete Scarmardo	1955	65	16		Qfpa	240	16.7 18.8	June 4, 1964 Oct. 20, 1969	Τ, G	Irr	525 426 435		1956 1957 July 11, 1963	
309 Frank DeStefano $$ 72 18 $$ $Qfpa$ 237 37.8 Feb. $27, 190$ T,E Irr 868 10.30 $July$ $10, 1963$ $$ 310 $do.$ $$ 18 $$ $Qfpa$ 235 30.9 $Aug.$ 1.960 T,G Irr 12.50 $July$ $n_1 n_2 n_3$ $July$ $n_1 n_3 n_3$ $n_1 n_3 n_3$ $n_1 n_3 n_3$ $n_1 n_3 n_3$ $n_1 n_3 n_3 n_3 n_3 n_3 n_3 n_3 n_3 n_3 $		308	Luke Scarmardo		60	18		Qfpa	240	20.0	Apr. 10, 1957	T,G	Irr	584		July 14, 1964	<u>1</u>
$\begin{bmatrix} 310 & do. & & & 18 & & 0fp & 235 & 30.9 & Aug. 1, 1960 & T, 6 & Irr & 1, 235 & 15.37 & Aug. 8, 1963 & J \\ 311 & W111 & Scarnardo & 1954 & 65 & 14 & & 0fp & 232 & 18.9 & Yay 31, 1963 & T, 6 & Irr & 1, 134 & & & & & & & & $		309	Frank DeStefano		72	18		Qfpa	237	37.8	Feb. 27, 1960	т, е	Irr	878 668	12.51 10,30	July 10, 1963 July 7, 1964	
311 Will Scarmardo 1954 65 14 Qfpa 232 23.0 18.9 xay 31, 1963 T, G Irr 1, 134 1956 1957 * 312 do. 1957 79 18 Qfpa 232 21.0 Aug. 1, 1960 T, G Irr 1, 134 14.76 July 10, 1963 1957 195		310	do.			18		Qfpa	235	30.9	Aug. 1, 1960	T,G	Irr	1,235 749	15.37 19.76	Aug. 8, 1963 July 7, 1964	Э
* 312 do. 1957 79 18 Qfpa 232 210 17.6 Aug. 1, 1960 Nay 31, 1963 21, 1963 Tr 1,002 1957 313 sam C. Scarmardo 78 18 Qfpa 234 29.6 Har. 15, 1957 T,G Irr		311	Will Scarmardo	1954	65	14		Qípa	232	18.9 23.5 24.0	May 31, 1963 June 4, 1964 Oct. 21, 1969	T,G	Irr	1,134 504 800 1,205	 14.76 25.99	1956 1957 July 10, 1963 July 7, 1964	
313 Sam G. Scarmardo 76 18 Qfpa 234 29.6 Mar. 15, 1957 T,G Irr <t< td=""><td>*</td><td>312</td><td>do.</td><td>1957</td><td>79</td><td>18</td><td></td><td>Qfpa</td><td>232</td><td>21.0 17.6 23.0</td><td>Aug. 1, 1960 May 31, 1963 Oct. 21, 1969</td><td>T,G</td><td>Irr</td><td>1,002</td><td></td><td>1957</td><td></td></t<>	*	312	do.	1957	79	18		Qfpa	232	21.0 17.6 23.0	Aug. 1, 1960 May 31, 1963 Oct. 21, 1969	T,G	Irr	1,002		1957	
314 Scarmardo 1956 61 18 Qfpa 235 10.0 Nay 15, 1963 Oct. 20, 1969 T,G Irr 670 1956 1957 * 315 Korello 1955 55 18 Qfpa 245 29.0 33.2 Nay 29, 1961 Nay 29, 1963 T,G Irr		313	Sam C. Scarmardo		78	18		Qfpa	234	29.6	Mar. 15, 1957	T,G	Irr				У
* 315 Morello 1955 55 18 Qfpa 245 29.0 May 29, 1961 May 29, 1963 T,C Irr		314	Scarmardo	1956	61	18		Qfpa	235	10.0 17.0	May 15, 1963 Oct. 20, 1969	T,G	Irr	870 951		1956 1957	
* 316 Scarmardo 195 61 18 Qfpa 242 22.9 May 28, 1963 Oct. 20, 1969 T,G Irr	*	315	Morello	1955	55	18		Qfpa	245	29.0 33.2	May 29, 1961 May 29, 1963	т, с	Irr				
317 J. Scarmardo 52 Qfpa 232 15.0 May 15, 1963 T,G Irr 460 16.23 July 10, 1963 20.2 June 4, 1964 549 17.98 July 7, 1964	*	316	Scarmardo	1955	61	18		Qfpa	242	22.9 23.3	May 28, 1963 Oct. 20, 1969	T,G	Irr				
		317	J. Scarmardo		52			Qfpa	232	15.0 20.2 21.9	May 15, 1963 June 4, 1964 Oct. 20, 1969	T,G	Irr	460 549	16.23 17.98	July 10, 1963 July 7, 1964	

٠

								WATER LEVE	L			WELL	PERFORMANCE	DATA	
		DATE COM-	DEPTH OF	DIAMETER	PRODUCING	WATER- BEAR-	ALTITUDE OF LAND	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND	DATE OF	METHOD	USE				
WELL NUMBER	OWNER	PLET- ED	WELL (FT)	IN CASING (IN.)	INTERVAL (FT.)	ING UNIT	SURFACE (FT)	SURFACE DATUM (FT)	MEASUREMENT	OF LIFT	OF WATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
								BU	RLESON COUNTY						
BS-59-28-318	L. Patranella		68	18		Qfpa	230	11.2	May 16, 1963	T,G	Irr				
319	J. Scarmardo		52	18		Qfpa	240	9.6 11.1	May 17, 1963 Oct. 20, 1969	T,G	Irr	42.9		July 7, 1964	
320	N. Malazzo		53	18		Qfpa	240	17.2	May 28, 1963	N	N				
321	do.		46	18		Qfpa	240	14.5	May 28, 1963	т, Е	Irr				
								13.9	Oct. 20, 1969						
322	S. Scarmardo		58	16		Qfpa	244	22.1 23.6	May 28, 1963 Oct. 20, 1969	T,G	Irr				
323	Altimore	1955	58			Qfpa	235			т, с	Irr				
324			59			Qfpa	243	16.1	May 31, 1963	т, с	Irr	575	27.24	July 11, 1963	a
								20.9	Oct. 20, 1969			500	24.00	July 14, 1964	
* 325	L. M. Scarmardo	1957	980	4		Tqc	241	+	June 17, 1963	Flows	D				
	1-	1020	707			Tee	244	. +	Tune 17, 1963	Flows	D				
320	uo.	1939	101			rde	244	+	Mar. 13, 1970	1 1010					
* 327	do.					Qfpa	242	17.8 22.0	June 4, 1964 Oct. 20, 1969	T,G	Írr	695 605	19.51	July 11, 1963 July 7, 1964	
328	do.					Qfpa	242	18.9 22.7	June 4, 1964 Oct. 20, 1969	T, G	Irr	597	23,84	July 7, 1964	
329	Don Fazzino	1964	65±	16		Qfpa	244	28.3	Mar. 20, 1964	T, G	Irr	173		do.	у
330	L. Scarmardo					Qfpa	242	20.8	June 4, 1964	T, G	Irr	384		July 9, 1963	ar 19
331	M. Melazzo					Qfpa	240	14.9	do.	т, е	Irr				
332	J. Scarmardo		57	1.8		Qfpa	243			T,G	Irr				
333	Scarmardo		55÷	18		Qfpa	243	18.3	Oct. 29, 1969	T,G	Irr				
334	Morello			16		Qfpa	245	31.9	Oct. 20, 1969	T,G	Irr				
335	Scarmardo			16		Qfpa	242	21.2	do.	T,G	Irr				
336			52	16		Qfpa	241	16.4	do.	T, G	Irr				
337	DeStefano			16		Qfpa	235	26.9	do.	T,G	Irr				
338				16		Qfpa	240	20.1	Oct. 29, 1969	T,G	Irr				
339	Scarmardo					Qfpa	240	22.1	do.	T,G	Irr				
340	do.					Qfpa	235			т, с	Irr				
401	Walter Lightsey		500	3		Ts	260	+	Mar. 13, 1970	Flows	s				Measured flow 10 gpm on March 13, 1970. Temp. 74°F (23.5°C)
402	Loehr		300±	3		Tcm	260	+	do.	Flows	s				Measured flow 1.6 gpm on March 13, 1970. Temp. 72°F (22.0°C)
403	F. J. Sebesta	1967	415	4,2		Ts	312	35	Sept. 1967	S, E, 3/4	D,S	25 ³		Sept. 1967	2
404	Edd Loehr	1967	187	4,2	167-187	Ts	308	60	May 24, 1967	P, G	D, S	12 ^{3/}		May 24, 1967	
405	Walter Engleman	1966	569	4,2	527-569	Tqc	342	60	Dec. 2, 1966	J, E, 3/4	D,S	20 ^{3/}		Dec. 2, 1966	2
406	Rudy Lochr	1967	325	4,2	283~325	Ts	320	40	June 19, 1967	S, E, 2	s	60 ^{3/}		June 19, 1967	
v 501	E. G. Sebesta		57	18		Qfpa	238	12,4	Jan, 27, 1960	N	N				Abandoned. H
* 502	P. G. Haines		50	18		Qfpa	236	9.5	do.	N	N				<u>у</u>

		1					1	WATER LEV	EL	r		WELL	PERFORMANCE	DATA	
WELL NUMBER	OWNER.	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEASUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
								Bi	RLESON COUNTY			·			
* BS=59=28=503	E. G. Sebesta		1,000±	2		Tqc	236	+	Aug. 8, 1963	Flows	N				
504	do.	1963	57			Qfpa	238	12.3	June 4, 1964	T,G	Irr				
505	de							13.8	Oct. 20, 1969		_				
505	do.			14		Qipa	241	10.4	do,	T,G	Irr				
507	do		56	16		Qfpa	240	1/.2	40.	1,6	ITT				
508	Edd Mikeska	1966	123	4.2	08-123	Q1 pa	305	80	u0.	- 1,G				 Inla 11 1066	# *
500	Franklin Steck	1968	332	4,2	302=332	Te	250	+	Apr 1 1970	Flowe	s			July 21, 1906	
510			300±	2		Ts	250	+	Apr. 2, 1970	Flows	s				Measured flow 0.5 cpm Temp. 71°F (22.0°C)
* 601	P. G. Haines?	1,956	58	18		Ofpa	241	18.2	Apr. 10, 1947	T.G	Irr	670	20.69	June 25, 1964	14 14
602	Scarmardo	1956	58	18		Ofpa	236	7.6	Aug. 1, 1960	N	N	536		1956	
												365		1957	14
603			61	18		Qfpa	237	16.0	Jan. 27, 1960	T,G	Irr				<u> </u>
604	Scarmardo	1955	69	18		Qfpa	239	15.4	do.	T,G	Irr	858		1956	4
606	J. Scarmardo		51			Qfpa	235	10.3 17.1	May 15, 1963 Oct. 20, 1969	T,G	Irr				
607	L. Patranella		62	18		Qfpa	240	10.5	May 16, 1963	T,G	Irr				
608	do.					Qfpa	241	11.9	do.	N	N				
609	do.		64			Qfpa	233	10.2	do.	T,G	Irr				
* 610	do.		65	16		Qfpa	237	10.7	May 15, 1963	T, G	Irr				
611	do.					Qfpa	237	13.9	Oct. 20, 1969	T,G	Irr				
612	Scarmardo ?					Qfpa	236			T,G	Irr				
613	do,			16		Qfpa	236	18.4	Oct. 20, 1969	T,G	Irr				
614	do.		51	18		Qfpa	239	23.2	Oct. 21, 1969	T,G	Irr				
615						Qfpa	237		** #*	T,G	Irr				
616	Haines			16		Qfpa	240	14.1	Oct. 20, 1969	T,G	Irr				
* 61/	Mrs. R. L. Knight		500?	2		TS	240	+	Dec. 17, 1936	N	N				Flowed 2 gpm in 1936. Abandoned prior to 1970.
* 618	do.		500?	2		Ts	240	+	do.	N	N				Do,
* 619	Tunis Water Supply Corp.	1967	780	8,4	685-765	Ts	265	55	June 28, 1967	S,E	Р	82 ^{_3/}	56	June 29, 1967	Drilled to 1,508 ft. and plugged back. Underreamed and gravel-packed from 675 to 780 ft. 2 4
* 620	W. H. Oliver		800?	2		Ts	235	÷ +	Nov. 20, 1936 Apr. 2, 1970	Flows	S			and may	Measured flow 1.5 gpm on April 2, 1970.
* 701	T. L. Calvin	1964	553	4,2		Ts	365	80	Aug. 19, 1964	S, E, 1	D, S				2j
* 702	James Engleman	1964	498	4,2	456-498	Ts	342	50	Mar. 26, 1964	J,E,3/4	D,S	25 ^{3/}		Mar. 26, 1964	2/
* 703	Kenneth Kovar	1963	478	4,2	436-478	Ts	383	93	Dec. 9, 1963	S,E,3/4	D, S	8 ^{3/}		Dec. 9, 1963	
* 801	Vince Hejl	1922?	58	8		Ту	328	50.5	Dec. 17, 1936	N	N				
802	do.	1952	490	4		Tcm	32.8			P,E	D				
901	E. Porter		56			Qźpa	232	11,5	May 31, 1963	T,G	Irr				
L	L					L		13.9	000. 22, 1969				1	l	

	1		1	1				WATER LE	VEL	1		WELL	PERFORMANCE	E DATA	
WELL NUMBER	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEASUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
								_BU	RLESON COUNTY						
BS-59-28-90	Merced Rioz	1965	174	4,2	132-174	Ту	328	32	Sept. 30, 1965	S, E, 1/3	D,S	30 ^{3/}		Sept. 30, 1965	2
90	J. Marek	1931	2,286				270			N	N				0il test. Sparta Sand at 2,070-2,233 ft. 2/
* 90	do.	1920	115	8	115	Ту	301	90	1920	N	N				
* 90	do.	1922	1,560	4		Tqc?	270	+ +	Jan. 8, 1937 Oct. 29, 1970	Flows	N	30 1		Jan. 8, 1937 Oct. 29, 1970	Converted oil test. Unknown how much hole open.
* 904	j do,	1927	1,920	8,4		Ts?	265	+ +	Jan. 8, 1937 Oct. 29, 1970	Flows	S				Converted oil test. Sparta Sand at 1,200 ft. Measured flow 237 gpm on Feb. 2, 1971.
* 90	do.	1945	239	4,2		Ту	275	20	1945	J,E	D, S				
90	do,	1932	2,300							N	N				Oil test. Top Sparta Sand at 1,230 ft.
29-10	W. Scarmardo	1955	60	14		Qfpa	233	22.4 20.6	Aug. 1, 1960 May 9, 1963	T, G	Irr	750		1956	
10	do.	1956	73	18		Ofna	231	24.0	May 0 1063		Tww	540		1957	
1.0.		1,50	1.5	10		diba	251	22.1	ray 5, 1505	1,6	LIL	840		1956	
10.	Scarmardo	1955	77	18		Qfpa	235	26.0 25.4 27.9	July 27, 1960 May 9, 1963 Oct. 21, 1969	T, G	Irr	180		1956	
10	do.	1956	71	18		Qfpa	229	20.1	July 27, 1960	Τ, G	Irr	795 935	 19.66	1957 July 15, 1964	Ц
10	do.	1955	58	18		Qfpa	231	17.9 16.0 21.9 23.3	June 27, 1960 May 9, 1963 June 4, 1964 Oct. 21, 1969	T, G	Irr	237 385 521	25.77	1956 1957 July 15, 1964	
10	Pete & Sam Scarmardo	1955	54	18		Qfpa	230	16.7	July 27, 1960	T, G	Irr	720		1956	<u>у</u>
* 10	/ Scarmardo	1956	57	18		Qfpa	231	19.9 19.2 21.8 25.5	Feb. 15, 1961 May 29, 1961 May 9, 1963 Oct. 21, 1969	T,G	Irr	462 553		1956 1957	Temp. 69°F (20.5°C)
10	do.	1957	69	18		Qfpa	229	21.5	Aug. 1, 1960	Т, G	Irr	505		1957	
* 10	Bush	1955	56	18		Qfpa	229	15.8 15.9 21.6	July 27, 1960 May 8, 1963 Oct. 21, 1969	T,G	Irr	333		1956	Temp. 70°F (21.0°C)
11:	do,		68	14		Qfpa	229	21.2 25.6 23.7	May 8, 1963 June 4, 1964 Oct. 21, 1969	T, G	Irr	636 417	18,95 14,32	Aug. 1, 1963 July 15, 1964	
* 11:	Joe S. Campise			14		Qfpa	229	31.9 33.3 33.2	May 9, 1963 June 4, 1964 Oct. 21, 1969	T,G	Irr	324 512	7.79 12.34	July 10, 1963 July 7, 1964	Temp. 71°F (21.5°C),
11:	Scarmardo		63	18		Qfpa	231	16.2 23.0	May 6, 1963 Oct. 21, 1969	T,G	Irr	-			
114	Altimore		58			Qfpa	234	32,6	June 3, 1963	T,G	Irr				
115	Scarmardo			16		Qfpa	230	22.5	Oct. 21, 1969	T,G	Irr				
20	Joe S. Campise		67	18		Qfpa	229	32.6 33.5	May 9, 1963 Oct. 21, 1969	Τ, G	Irr				
2.03				18		Qfpa	228	32.6	May 9, 1963						
40	Scarmardo	1955	61	18		Qfpa	236			T,G	Irr	220 214		1956 1957	
402	Mrs Haswell		67±	18		Q£pa	236	22.6	Mar. 15, 1957	T, G	Irr	1,200	17.58	July 15, 1964	<u>В</u>

		1					1	WATER LE	VEL			WELL	FERFORMANCE	DATA	
WELL NUMBER	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEASUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE CF WATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
								BU	RLESON COUNTY						
BS-59-29-403	Carl Scarmardo	1955	62	18		Qfpa	233	17.8 15.7 25.6	July 27, 1960 May 8, 1963 Oct. 29, 1969	T,G	Irr				
404	do.			18		Qfpa	232	16.3 14.4	July 20, 1960 May 8, 1963	T, G	Irr				
406	Bush	1955	54	16		Qfpa	230	14.2 22.6	July 27, 1960 Oct. 22, 1969	T,G	Irr	174 350		1956 1957	~~
407	do,	1954	57	18		Qfpa	228	12.0	July 27, 1960	T,G	Irr	211 400		1956 1957	
409	Carl Scarmardo	1955	62	18		Q£pa	227	16.3 22.2	May 1, 1963 Oct. 21, 1969	т, с	Irr	670		do.	
* 410	H. Porter	·	71	18		Qfpa	227	24.9	Mar. 14, 1957	T, G	Irr				<u> </u>
411	J. W. Giesenschlag		57	18		Qfpa	227	10.9	Apr. 25, 1958	Τ, G	Irr	491	37.67	July 7, 1964	<u>у</u>
412	M. Porter	1958?		18		Qfpa	230	8.6 14.1	Apr. 24, 1963 Oct. 27, 1969	T,G	Irr				
413	do.	1958?	54	18		Qfpa	228	7.4 13.2	Apr. 24, 1963 Oct. 27, 1969	Τ, G	Irr				
414	do.	1958?		18		Qfpa	229	7.6 13.9	Apr. 24, 1963 Oct. 22, 1969	T,G	Irr				
415	do.	1958?	71	18		Qfpa	228	7.3 14.0	Apr. 24, 1963 Oct. 22, 1969	Τ, G	Irr				
416	do.	1958?		16		Q£pa	227	7.0	Apr. 24, 1963	Τ, G	Irr				
417	do.	1958?				Qfpa	229	8,6 14,7	Apr. 24, 1963 Oct. 22, 1969	T,G	Irr				
418	do.	1957?	51			Qfpa	230	7.3 12.9	Apr. 24, 1963 Oct. 22, 1969	T,G	Irr				
419	H. Porter		53	14		Qfpa	225	9.9 17.9	May 1, 1963 Oct. 22, 1969	Τ, G	Irr				
420	do.		66	14		Qfpa	226	11.6 19.9	May 1, 1963 Oct. 22, 1969	Τ, G	Irr				
421	do.		60±	-		Qfpa	226	10,5	May 2, 1963	T,G	Irr				
422	do.	1954?	72			Qfpa	227	10.9 20.7	May 2, 1963 Oct. 22, 1969	Τ, G	Irr				
423	do.	1958?	51			Qfpa	226	10.0 16.9 19.5	May 2, 1963 June 4, 1964 Oct. 22, 1969	T,G	Irr	297	14.85	July 10, 1963	

	[WATER LE	VEL			WELL	PERFORMANCE	DATA	
WELL NUMBER	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEASUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								BU	RLESON COUNTY						····
BS-59-29-424	H. Porter		53	14		Qfpa	228	10.1 18.0 19.9	May 2, 1963 June 4, 1964 Oct. 27, 1969	T,G	Irr	305 316	31.43 18.19	July 26, 1963 July 6, 1964	
425	Joe S. Campise		63			Qfpa	234	14.7 19.9	May 8, 1963 Oct. 29, 1969	T,G	Irr				
* 426	Bush		55	18		Qfpa	229	21.8	Oct. 22, 1969	T,G	Irr				
427	Joe S. Campise		51			Qfpa	229	15.7 22.5	May 8, 1963 Oct. 21, 1969	Τ, G	Irr				
428	Bush	1956	50	18		Qfpa	229	16.1 15.1 21.8	July 27, 1960 May 8, 1963 Oct. 21, 1969	T,G	Irr	175		1956	
429	Tony Salvaggio			18		Qfpa	231	12.7	May 9, 1963	T,G	Irr				
430	Bush			18		Qfpa	235	13.8 18.7	May 15, 1963 Oct. 29, 1969	T,G	Irr				
431	M. Malazzo		59	18		Qfpa	231	10.0 13.1 13.0	May 31, 1963 June 4, 1964 Oct. 27, 1969	T, G	Irr	300 329	12.93 9.28	July 9, 1963 July 6, 1964	
432	C. Cantella		61	16		Qfpa	231	10.3 13.3 13.9	May 31, 1963 June 4, 1964 Oct. 27, 1969	T,G	Irr	480	14.77	July 9, 1963	
* 433	E. Porter		59	18		Qfpa	231	14.4	Apr. 25, 1958	T,G	Irr	642	10,80	July 6, 1964	У
434		1963				Qfpa	229	20.3 21.6	June 4, 1964 Oct. 22, 1969	т, с	Irr	410 284	27.51	July 10, 1963 July 7, 1964	
435	U.S. Geological Survey	1963	61				235	18.1	Nov. 20, 1963	N	N				Test hole. 2/
436	H. Porter	1964	53			Qfpa	227	18.1	Oct. 22, 1969	T,G	Irr				
437	do.	1964	42	16		Qfpa	227			N	N				Well caved.
438	do.		55	16		Qfpa	227	20.0	Oct. 22, 1969	T,G	Irr				
439	M. Porter	1964	65	16		Qfpa	227	14.9	do.	T,G	Irr				
440	do.		15±	16		Qfpa	227			N	N				Well caved.
441	E. Porter	1960?	54			Qfpa	230	14.5	Oct. 22, 1969	T,G	Irr				
442				16		Qfpa	225	13.9	Oct. 27, 1969	т, с	lrr				
443	M. Porter	1964				Qfpa	228	18.5	do.	т, б	Irr				
444						Qfpa	236	18.3	Oct. 29, 1969	T,G	Irr				
445	J. Scarmardo			16		Qfpa	233			T,G	Irr				
446	Scarmardo					Qfpa	233			T,G	Irr				
447	J. Scarmardo			16		Qfpa	233	19.8	Oct. 29, 1969	T,G	Irr				
448	Sam Bush					Qfpa	233			T,G	Irr				
449	Nick Bush					Qfpa	231			Т, С	Irr				
* 450	Joe Campise	1967	134	4,2	108-134	Ту	233	30	Nov. 22, 1967	S,E	D				
* 451	H. R. Hutson	1965	661	4,2		Ts?	231	50	Apr. 5, 1968	S, E, 1/2	D				3
* 452	Tony Salvaggio	1965	1,200±	4,2	1,150- 1,200	Tqc	231	+	Oct. 29, 1970	Flows	D				Estimated flow 5 gpm.

								WATER LEV	EL			WELL	PERFORMANCE	DATA	
WELL NUMBER	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- INC UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	A BOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEASUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
								BUF	LESON COUNTY						
BS-59-29-501	Joe S. Campise	1955	69	18		Qfpa	228	23.4 26.7 24.6 28.3	July 27, 1960 Sept. 27, 1960 May 8, 1963 Oct. 21, 1969	T,G	Irr				
* 502	Luke Bush	1954	63	18		Qfpa	228	21.7	July 27, 1960	Т, G	Irr	563	19,80	July 15, 1964	Ч
503	do.		57	18		Qfpa	227	26.2 28.1 29.5	July 26, 1960 May 3, 1963 Oct. 21, 1969	N	N				
504	Joe Varisco		55	18		Qfpa	226	25.2 25.8 29.2 26.7	July 27, 1960 May 2, 1963 June 4, 1964 Oct. 21, 1969	T,G	Irr				
505	J. Scarmardo			18		Qfpa	226	19.2 19.4 23.5 23.4	July 27, 1960 May 2, 1963 June 4, 1964 Oct. 21, 1969	N	N	337		July 12, 1963	
506	C. Scarmardo	1955	50	18		Qfpa	227	15.7 17.0 22.3	July 27, 1960 May 1, 1963 Oct. 21, 1969	Τ, G	Irr	456		1956	
507	Texas A&M University Farm		55			Qfpa	226	18.1	Apr. 25, 1958	T, E, 15	Irr				У
508	Scarmardo	1957	57	18		Qfpa	228	19.6	July 27, 1960	T	Irr				У
* 509	Porter		55			Qfpa	227	10.8 16.2 16.5	May 1, 1963 June 4, 1964 Oct. 22, 1969	T, G	lrr	625 503 395	34.91 33.34 36.97	July 10, 1963 July 6, 1964 July 31, 1964	
510	H. Porter		60±			Qfpa	226	10.7 16.2 17.1	May 1, 1963 June 4, 1964 Oct. 22, 1969	Τ, G	Irr	207 386	23.98 32.18	July 10, 1963 July 6, 1964	
511	do.		60±			Qfpa	227	10.4 16.1 17.9	May 1, 1963 June 4, 1964 Oct. 22, 1969	Τ,G	Irr	561 550	21.19	July 10, 1963 July 7, 1964	
512	do,		60±	14		Qfpa	225	10.5 18.2	Nay 1, 1963 Oct. 22, 1969	T,G	Irr				
513	do.		60±			Qfpa	226	11.0 16.6 18.0	May 1, 1963 June 4, 1963 Oct. 22, 1969	Τ, G	lrr	420 529	35.06 11.34	July 12, 1963 July 6, 1964	4 M
514	do.		50			Qfpa	224	11.2 18.5	May 1, 1963 Oct. 22, 1969	T,G	Irr				
515	do.		57			Qfpa	224	10.7 16.3 18.5	May 1, 1963 June 4, 1964 Oct. 22, 1969	Τ, C	Irr	415	24.71	July 10, 1963	
516	do,		60±	14		Qfpa	226	12.2 17.4 19.4	May 1, 1963 June 4, 1964 Oct. 22, 1969	Τ, G	Irr	281	23.33 22.45	July 10, 1963 July 15, 1964	
517	Joe Varisco		53	14		Qfpa	225	24.9 27.3 25.1	May 2, 1963 June 4, 1964 Oct. 21, 1969	T,G	Irr	250	5.54	July 10, 1963	
518	do.		56	18		Qfpa	224	22.1 25.6	May 2, 1963 June 6, 1964	T,G	Irr	480	24.38	do.	
519			49			Qfpa	224	1.2.0	May 2, 1963	T,G	Irr				
520	Joe Varisco	1962	57±	16		Qfpa	225	23.8 26.8 25.4	May 2, 1963 June 4, 1964 Oct. 21, 1969	Τ, G	Irr	350	10.95	July 10, 1963	
521	Luke Bush	1954	64	18		Qfpa	227	16.8 22.3	May 3, 1963 Oct. 21, 1969	T,G	Irr				

See footnotes at end of table.

-

								WATER LE	VEL			WELL	PERFORMANCE	DATA	
WELL NUMBER	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEASUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							B	JRLESON COUNTY						
BS=59-29-522	Luke Bush	1961	64			Qfpa	228	33.9	May 10, 1963	N	N	~~			Abandoned. Insufficient yield.
523	an pa		58			Qfpa	225	10.4	June 3, 1963	т, с	Irr				
								16.7	Uct. 27, 1969						
524	Jones Bridge Co.	1063	300	3		Ty	226	21.0	May 15, 1964	J	N				Teer hele 2/
526	do.	1963	57				220	22.0	do.	N	N				Do.
* 527	Tony Varisco	1954?	2.000±	4		Tac	226	+	May 15, 1964	Flows	D. S				
	2007 0222000		-,			-1-		+	July 9, 1969		-,-				
529	Luke Bush	1965		16		Qfpa	226			T,G	Irr			***	-
530	Joe Varisco		55	16		Qfpa	226	25.2	Oct. 21, 1969	T,G	Irr				
531	do.		50	16		Qfpa	225	24.6	do.	N	N				
532	do.					Qfpa	226			T,G	Irr				
533	H. Porter		52	18		Qfpa	225	17.5	Oct. 22, 1969	T,G	Irr				
534	do.		52			Qfpa	225	18.1	do.	T,G	Irr				
* 535	Luke Bush	1957	1,912	4,2		Tqc	225	+	July 9, 1970	Flows	D,S				
701	Elsik Estate		58±	18		Qt	240	32.9 32.9 30.8	July 26, 1960 Sept. 23, 1960 May 4, 1970	T,G	Irr				
702	J. Falco		57	14		Qfpa	228	10.8 9.1 14.6	July 26, 1960 Apr. 25, 1963 Oct. 22, 1969	Τ, G	Irr				
# 703	J. W. Giesenschlag	1957	57	18		Qfpa	228	12.9	Apr. 25, 1958	T,G	Irr				<u>у</u>
704	Laura B. Shipp	1955	68	16		Qfpa	227	11.9	Feb. 15, 1960	T, G	Irr				Ä
* 705	J. W. Giesenschlag	1954	48	18		Qfpa	227	11.4	do.	T,G	Irr				<u> </u>
706	do.					Qfpa	225	6.4 14.0	Apr. 19, 1963 Oct. 23, 1969	T,G	Irr				
707			17	30		Qfpa	223	2.9 10.9 9.3	Apr. 17, 1963 Dec. 11, 1964 Oct. 22, 1969	N	N				Dug well.
708	Porter		55	14		Qfpa	227	7.2 15.8	Apr. 24, 1963 Oct. 23, 1969	T,G	Irr				
<i>∾</i> 709	do.	1957				Qfpa	228	8.3 12.7 16.5	Apr. 25, 1963 June 4, 1964 Oct. 23, 1969	Τ, G	Irr	750 432	13.32 10.89	July 11, 1963 July 7, 1964	
710	E. Porter	1957?	70			Qfpa	228	8.2 16.8	Apr. 25, 1963 Oct. 23, 1969	Т, С	Irr				
711	do.	1957?	60±			Qfpa	228	8.2 16.5	Apr. 25, 1963 Oct. 23, 1969	ĩ,G	Irr				
712	do.	1957?	55	14		Qfpa	227	7.5 12.2 16.2	Apr. 25, 1963 June 4, 1964 Oct. 23, 1969	T,G	Irr	550 308	13.71 11.91	July 11, 1963 July 7, 1964	
713	do.	1957?	57	18		Qfpa	227	8.0 13.3 16.8	Apr. 25, 1963 June 4, 1964 Oct. 23, 1969	T,G	Irr	500 403	19.56 14.62	July 11, 1963 July 7, 1964	
714	Porter		•••			Qfpa	227	6.6 12.2 14.8	Apr. 25, 1963 June 4, 1964 Oct. 23, 1969	T,G	Irr	253	19,43	July 11, 1963	

												PT 3.7 J 3.4			
WELL NUMBER	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ADOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEASUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	ATETD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
<u> </u>							······	BUR	LESON COUNTY					*	·
BS-59-29-715	Porter		52			Qfpa	226	6.5 12.3 14.9	Apr. 25, 1963 June 4, 1964 Oct. 23, 1969	T,G	Irr	203 333	22.06 15.63	July 11, 1963 July 7, 1964	
716 .	J. W. Giesenschlag		80	14		QEpa	228	8.3 12.7 15.4	Apr. 25, 1963 June 4, 1964 Oct. 23, 1969	Т, G	Irr	515 1,123	13.22 22.86	July 9, 1963 July 7, 1964	
717 .	J. Falco		57			Qfpa	229	8.8 15.0	Apr. 25, 1963 Oct. 22, 1969	T,G	Irr			~~	
718 .	J. Mallazo		54	18		Qfpa	230	10.0 13.3	Apr. 26, 1963 June 4, 1964	T,G	Irr	385	21.01	July 7, 1964	
719 1	E. Porter		57			Qfpa	230	9.9 13.3 14.1	Apr. 26, 1963 June 4, 1964 Oct. 22, 1969	T,G	Irr				
720	do.		58			Qfpa	230	10.4 13.4	Apr. 26, 1963 Oct. 22, 1969	T,G	Irr				
721	do.		55			Qfpa	230	9.8 13.0	Apr. 26, 1963 Oct. 22, 1969	T,G	Irr				
722	do.		54			Qfpa	230	10.3 13.3	May 31, 1963 Oct. 22, 1969	T,G	Irr				
723 7	U.S. Geological Survey	1963	52				228	13.7	Nov. 26, 1963	N	N				Test hole. 24
724	do.	1963	47				220	5.2	do,	N	N				Do.
725	do.	1963	32				220	6.1	Nov. 20, 1963	N	N				До.
726	Porter	1964		16		Qfpa	230	16.0	Oct. 23, 1969	T,G	Irr				
727	do.	1964	52	16		Qfpa	229	13.7	do,	T,G	lrr				
728	do.	1964	49			Qfpa	226	15.2	do.	Τ, G	Irr				
729	Laura B. Shipp			16		Qfpa	227	15.0	Oct. 27, 1969	T, G	Irr			~~	
* 730	Elsík Estate	1925	890	3	878-890	Ts	227	+	Nov. 17, 1936	Р	N				Flow 5 gpm on Nov. 17, 1936. Censed to flow before 1960.
* 731	J. W. Giesenschlag	1964	200	4,2		Ту	225	5	Sept. 25, 1964	S, E, 1/2	D				2/
801 -	Chance Farm well 1	1955	57	18		Qfpa	224	21.2	Apr. 10, 1957	T,G	Irr	411	12.49	June 13, 1964	Reported 935 acre-feet of ground water from 16 wells in 1969.
802	Chance Farm well 2		45	18		Qfpa	222	4.5	July 26, 1960	Τ, C	Irc	2 0 101			
* 803	Texas A&M University Farm		51	18		Qfpa	221	13.3	Apr. 25, 1958	т, Е, 25	irr				<i>Y</i>
804	Lightsey Bros.	1954	76	14		Qfpa	220	9,3	July 8, 1960	Τ, G	Irr	760 610 594	56.14 47.13 35.02	July 11, 1963 Aug. 1, 1963 June 13, 1964	<u> 1</u>
805	Texas A&N University Farm		77	18		Qfpa	219	6,8	do.	T,G	Irr			1 × 100	<u>1</u>
806	Lightsey Bros.		57	18		Qfpa	220	7.1 9.4 14.5 14.1	July 26, 1960 Apr. 18, 1963 June 4, 1964 Oct. 23, 1969	т, б	Irr	400	24.52	July 11, 1963	
807			60			Qfpa	222	8.4 13.0	Apr. 9, 1963 Oct. 27, 1969	T,G	Irr				

									WATER LE	VEL			WELL	PERFORMANCE	DATA	
WELL	NUMBER	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEASUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
	1								BU	RLESON COUNTY	1		1			
BS	59-29-808	Chance Farm well 3			14		Qfpa	219	6.2	Apr. 18, 1963	T,G	Irr				
									12.0	Oct. 23, 1969		_	076			
*	809	Chance Farm well 2		57			Qîpa	217	12.0 12.0	Apr. 18, 1963 June 4, 1964 Oct. 23, 1969	т, с	Irr	276	20,14	July 13, 1964	
	810	U.S. Geological Survey	1963	67				225	15.0	Nov. 20, 1963	N	N				Test hole, 2
	811	do.	1963	57				227	14.0	Nov. 21, 1963	N	N				Do.
	812	Porter	1964	62			Qfpa	227	17.6	Dec. 11, 1964	T,G	Irr				
	813	Laura B. Shipp		57	16		Ofpa	225	10.0	Oct. 23, 1969	T.G	Irr				
	814	Texas A&M University Farm		55	16		Qfpa	222	22.9	Nov. 10, 1969	T,G	Irr				
*	815	do.		1,000±	3		Ts	223	+	Nov. 3, 1970	Flows	s				
	901	Loehr	1956	52	18		Qfpa	215	20.6	July 26, 1960	T,G	Irr				у
	902	Chance Farm well 4		58			Qfpa	221	17.5	June 3, 1963	T,G	Irr				<u>J</u> <u>Z</u>
	903			46	16		Qfpa	215	14.3	June 3, 1963	N	N				
	904	Chance Farm well 5	1964		16		Qfpa	221	17.5	June 5, 1969	T, G	Irr	456	20.63	July 15, 1964	
	905	Chance Farm	1962	60				217	13.2	Dec. 6, 1963	N	N				Test hole 17. 21
	906	do.	1962	57				218			N	N				Test hole. 2/
	907	do.	1962	59				220			N	N				Do.
	908	do.	1957	60				221			N	N				Do.
	909			55			Qfpa	216	15.4	Oct. 23, 1969	T,G	Irr				
	910	an th		55	14		Qfpa	215	14.1	do.	T, G	Irr				
	911	Chance Farm well 13	1965	71	16		Qfpa	216	12.4	Oct. 27, 1969	T,G	Irr				
	912	Chance Farm well 12	1965	66	16		Qfpa	216	13.3	Oct. 29, 1969	T,G	Irr				
	91.3	Chance Farm well 11	1965	65	16		Qfpa	218	12,9	do.	т, с	Irr				
	914	Chance Farm well 14	1965	58	16		Qfpa	216	13.I	do.	T,G	Irr				
	915	Chance Farm well 9	1966	65	16		Qfpa	219	13.7	do.	т, с	Irr				
*	916	Chance Farm	1965	64	16		Qfpa	218	12.7	do.	T,G	Irr				
	917	Chance Farm well 8	1964	60	16		Qfpa	221	16,0	do.	т, с	Irr				
	918	Chance Farm	1967	1,505	6,4	1,450-1,505	Tqc	220	5	Jan. 13, 1967	S,E	D,S	1503		Jan. 13, 1967	2) 4
	919	Texas A&M University Farm	1965	55		~-	Qfpa	220	17.6	Nov. 3, 1970	T,G	Irr				
	30-701	H. Porter		58	18		Qfpa	216	25.2 24.2	June 11, 1963 Nov. 10, 1969	Τ, G	Irr				
	702	Chance Farm	1945	400±	3		Tqc	220	+ 7.7	June 11, 1963 July 9, 1970	J, E	s				Ceased to flow between 1963 and 1970.
*,	703	H. Porter		1,500±	4		Tqc	216	+	Nov. 10, 1970	Flows	D, S				
	33-304	Perry					Tqc	320	+	Apr. 13, 1970	Flows	s				Estimated flow 2 gpm.
	34-102			24	24		Tqc	405	16.6	do.	N	N				Dug well, shored with tile.
							L			L						

Table 12, --Records of Wolls and Springs--Continued

Burner Burner<										WATER LEV	ÆL				WELL	PERFORMANCI	DATA		1	
IDENTIFY IDENTIFY <th col<="" td=""><td></td><td>WELL NUMBER</td><td>OWNER.</td><td>DATE COM- PLET- ED</td><td>DEPTH OF WELL (FT)</td><td>DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.)</td><td>PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)</td><td>WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT</td><td>ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)</td><td>ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)</td><td>E MEA</td><td>ATE OF SUREMENT</td><td>METHOD OF LIFT</td><td>USE OF WATER</td><td>YIELD</td><td>DRAWDOWN</td><td>ī</td><td>DATE</td><td>REMARKS</td></th>	<td></td> <td>WELL NUMBER</td> <td>OWNER.</td> <td>DATE COM- PLET- ED</td> <td>DEPTH OF WELL (FT)</td> <td>DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.)</td> <td>PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)</td> <td>WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT</td> <td>ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)</td> <td>ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)</td> <td>E MEA</td> <td>ATE OF SUREMENT</td> <td>METHOD OF LIFT</td> <td>USE OF WATER</td> <td>YIELD</td> <td>DRAWDOWN</td> <td>ī</td> <td>DATE</td> <td>REMARKS</td>		WELL NUMBER	OWNER.	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	E MEA	ATE OF SUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	ī	DATE	REMARKS
2 B3-33-30 100 100	L		L			••••••	•			BU	RLESON	COUNTY	,							
1 1	*	BS=59-34-103			25	24		Tqc	392	17.4	Nov.	16, 1936	N	N					Dug well, shored with tile.	
	*	104	Sunny Side School	1925	28	8		Tqc	400	13.1		do.	N	N					Abandoned prior to 1970.	
1 1 <td>*</td> <td>201</td> <td>M. E. Willard</td> <td>1961</td> <td>300</td> <td>4,2</td> <td>260-300</td> <td>Tqc</td> <td>338</td> <td>+</td> <td>Apr.</td> <td>13, 1970</td> <td>Flows</td> <td>D, S</td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Measured flow 0.5 gpm on April 13, 1970. 2/</td>	*	201	M. E. Willard	1961	300	4,2	260-300	Tqc	338	+	Apr.	13, 1970	Flows	D, S		,			Measured flow 0.5 gpm on April 13, 1970. 2/	
No. No. <td>*</td> <td>301</td> <td>Louis Schaper</td> <td></td> <td>44</td> <td>30</td> <td></td> <td>Ts</td> <td>435</td> <td>25.2</td> <td>Apr.</td> <td>24, 1970</td> <td>J, E</td> <td>D, S</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Dug well, shored with brick.</td>	*	301	Louis Schaper		44	30		Ts	435	25.2	Apr.	24, 1970	J, E	D, S					Dug well, shored with brick.	
10 14, <i>a</i> mod 160 <th1< td=""><td>*</td><td>302</td><td>Hubert Wendel</td><td></td><td>465±</td><td>2</td><td></td><td>Tqc</td><td>370</td><td>+</td><td>May</td><td>8, 1970</td><td>Flows</td><td>s</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th1<>	*	302	Hubert Wendel		465±	2		Tqc	370	+	May	8, 1970	Flows	s						
N N <td></td> <td>303</td> <td>W. W. Farmer</td> <td>1967</td> <td>560</td> <td>4,2</td> <td>518-560</td> <td>Tąc</td> <td>425</td> <td>60</td> <td>Dec.</td> <td>1967</td> <td>S, E, 1/3</td> <td>D</td> <td>50^{3/}</td> <td></td> <td>Dec.</td> <td>1967</td> <td>2/</td>		303	W. W. Farmer	1967	560	4,2	518-560	Tąc	425	60	Dec.	1967	S, E, 1/3	D	50 ^{3/}		Dec.	1967	2/	
1 1 <td>ŵ</td> <td>402</td> <td>Dan W. Blaha</td> <td>1960</td> <td>340</td> <td>4,2</td> <td></td> <td>Tqc</td> <td>378</td> <td>28</td> <td></td> <td>1960</td> <td>S,E</td> <td>D,S</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	ŵ	402	Dan W. Blaha	1960	340	4,2		Tqc	378	28		1960	S,E	D,S						
*** *** <td>*</td> <td>403</td> <td>do.</td> <td></td> <td>66</td> <td>8</td> <td></td> <td>Tqc</td> <td>377</td> <td>25.1</td> <td>Nov. Apr.</td> <td>16, 1960 13, 1970</td> <td>N</td> <td>N</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	*	403	do.		66	8		Tqc	377	25.1	Nov. Apr.	16, 1960 13, 1970	N	N						
1 1	*	501	Mrs. Charles Krall	1932	33	8		Tcm	394	29.2	Oct.	15, 1936	N	N					Destroyed, 1/	
1 1		503	C. H. Barnett	1955	210	2	168-210	Tqc	300	+	Apr.	13, 1970	Flows	D, S					Originally drilled to 187 ft., had small flow. Pulled casing and deepened to 210 ft.	
n 0 0 1		504	Early Knox	1943	22	30		Ts	375	12.6		do.	в,н	D,S					Dug well, shored with concrete rings.	
*** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** **** ***** ******* ************************************	*	505	do.		21	8		Ts	375	17.0	Oct.	12, 1936	N	N					Abandoned.	
1 1 <th1< th=""> <th1< th=""> <th1< th=""></th1<></th1<></th1<>	ŵ.	506	Copperas Springs		Spring			Tw	355	+		do.	Flows	s					Flow estimated 2 gpm on Oct. 12, 1936.	
100 100 <td></td> <td>507</td> <td>C. H. Barnett</td> <td></td> <td>34</td> <td>30</td> <td></td> <td>Ts</td> <td>368</td> <td>17.8</td> <td>Apr.</td> <td>20, 1970</td> <td>N</td> <td>N</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Dug well, shored with concrete rings.</td>		507	C. H. Barnett		34	30		Ts	368	17.8	Apr.	20, 1970	N	N					Dug well, shored with concrete rings.	
100 14. 14.0 1		508	do.		108			Ts	368				N	N						
\circ		509	Mrs. Charles Krall	1940	86	4,2		Tem	394					D,S						
* 511 uery Nitchell 198 00 0. - Ta 448 40.3 6p. 2. 10.8 0.8	*	510	J. M. Paukraf	1926	59	8	'	Ts,Tcm	390	29.5	Oct.	15, 1936	J,E	D, S						
\circ 132 $1.4.$ Ball 196 240 $47c$	*	511	Henry Mitchell	1918	80	10		Ts	418	40.3	Sept.	22, 1936	J,E	D, S						
* 100 Nor 78 8,4 74-78 Tep 385 90 Jan. 27, 1907 5,7,712 2 60 ³⁵ 79 Jan. 27, 1907 Furtifies upper locations and rotal states of the commutity and rotal states	*	512	H. H. Bell	1966	240	4,2	194-240	Tqc	306	+	Apr.	13, 1970	Flows	D,S					Measured flow 8 gpm on April 13, 1970. 2/	
* 60 No 90 90 100 900 ² 100 100	*	601	Deanville Water Supply Corp.	1967	784	8,4	734-784	Tqc	385	90	Jan.	27, 1967	S,E,7 1/2	P	60 ^{3/}	79	Jan.	27, 1967	Furnishes water for community and rural customers. Drilled to 1,555 ft. and plugged back. 2 4	
** 60 Kubella Estate 120 150 8 Ten 333 1.0 0.1, 1, 10, 1, 10, 1, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10,	ste	602	Gus Brinkman	1923	42.0	4		Ţs	385	60		1936	T, E	N	303/			1936	Formerly furnished community of Deanville.	
* 64 7.1 Minan Estate 196 572 4.2 509-70 Tep 3.5 1.9.9 Apr $20, 10^{9}$ apr ap	*	603	Kubella Estate	1925	150	8		Tem	333	1.0	Oct.	13, 1936	Flows, J, E	D,S					Estimated flow 2 gpm on Oct. 13, 1936. 1970, still flows at times.	
605 do. 42 8 Ten 355 17.3 do. B,R S	*	604	F. J. Miman Estate	1968	572	4,2	509-572 •	Tqc	355	19.9	Apr.	20, 1970	S,E	D,S	67 ^{3/}		Aug.	20, 1968	2/	
** 66 N. Lafere 149 8 Ten 350 11.0 0est 13, 195 8.1 0 -		605	do.		42	8		Tem	355	17.3		do.	В,Н	s						
901 Joseph Beran 106 510 4,2 Ts 52 63 Aug. 4,106 5,5,4 0,5 30 ³ Aug. 4,106 2 902 do. 119 8 Ty 52 55,4 Apr. 20,107 N N 903 A. Aluska 126 130 2 Ty 52,0 55,4 Apr. 20,107 N N 903 A. Aluska 126 130 2 127 120	*	606	M. Laffere		149	8		Tem	350	11.0 15.2	Oct. May	13, 1936 8, 1970	в, Ц	D						
902 do. 1.9 1.9 8 Ty 3.2 5.9.4 Apr. 2.0.107 N N 903 A.dusa 1.95 4.90 A.dusa 1.95 4.90 1.90<		901	Joseph Beran	1969	510	4,2		Ts	352	63	Aug.	4, 1969	S,E,3/4	D, S	303/		Aug,	4, 1969	2/	
903 A. Aluska 1965 4.302 2.0 A. T T <td></td> <td>902</td> <td>do.</td> <td></td> <td>119</td> <td>8</td> <td></td> <td>Ty</td> <td>352</td> <td>59.4</td> <td>Apr.</td> <td>20, 1970</td> <td>N</td> <td>N</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		902	do.		119	8		Ty	352	59.4	Apr.	20, 1970	N	N						
* 94 8. Mary 166 4.38 4.20 7.50 3.00 4.00 1.00 1.00 0.00 <t< td=""><td></td><td>903</td><td>A. Aluska</td><td>1965</td><td>430±</td><td>2</td><td></td><td>Ts</td><td>290</td><td>+</td><td></td><td>do.</td><td>Flows</td><td>D,S</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Estimated flow 20 gpm on April 20, 1970. Temp. 72°F (22.0°C).</td></t<>		903	A. Aluska	1965	430±	2		Ts	290	+		do.	Flows	D,S					Estimated flow 20 gpm on April 20, 1970. Temp. 72°F (22.0°C).	
905 Balar Lake Oldo 200 6 To 320 + Flow 0.5 Assured flow 5.3 gm on April 20.1 900. * 35101 Fank Kristoff 100 100 6 To 355 40 Age. 105 5.4 5.4 102 Fank Kristoff 247 3.5 To 355 4.0 Age. 105 5.4 5.	*	904	R. Muzny	1967	438	4,2		Ts	308	+		do.	Flows	D, S					Measured flow 15 gpm on April 20, 1970.	
* 35-101 Frank Kristoff 1900 150 6 Tem 385 40 Aug. 150 B,H D		905	Balcar Lake Club		200±	6		Ts	32.8	+		do.	Flows	D, S					Measured flow 5.3 gpm on April 20, 1970.	
102 Prince Williams 247 3 Ts 355 + Apr. 24, 1970 Flows S Estimated flow 10 gpm, Temp. 66°F (19.0°C). 103 14 27 Tem 365 5.6 July 13, 1970 P, E N Dug well, shored with concrete rings.	*	35-101	Frank Kristoff	1900	150	6		Tem	385	40	Aug.	1957	В, Н	D						
103 14 27 Tem 365 5.6 July 13, 1970 P.E N Dug well, shored with concrete rings.		102	Prince Williams		247	3		Ts	355	+	Apr.	24, 1970	Flows	s					Estimated flow 10 gpm, Temp, 66°F (19.0°C).	
		103			14	27		Tem	365	5.6	July	13, 1970	P,E	N					Dug well, shored with concrete rings.	

Table 12.--Records of Wells and Springs--Continued

								WATER LEV	ΈL			WELL	PERFORMANCE	DATA	
WELL NIMBER	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND \$URFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEASUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	AIEID	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
								80	RLESON COUNTY						
* BS-59-35-105	R. J. Smith	1919	277	4	257-277	Ts	338	+	Oct. 1, 1936	S,E	D,S				Ceased to flow about 1951.
	Durante Harrison	1.000	Cor.		100 505			8.9	Oct. 30, 1970						
201	Ernest Homeyer	1965	535	4,2	493-535	Tqc	385	51	July 15, 1965	5,E	D,S	20=/		July 15, 1965	
202	Jones	19503	325	4,2		Tem	325	+	Apr. 17, 1970	Flows	D,S				
203	Let Allord	1903	370	2	328-370	TCm	295	+	do.	Flows	5	12-		Dec. 30, 1963	Measured flow 2 gpm on April 17, 1970. Temp. 69°F (20.5°C).
204	George Norville	1964	402	4,2	360-402	Tem	355	45	Dec. 29, 1964	S,E,3/4	D	30 ^{_3/}		Dec. 29, 1964	
205	J. C. Robbins	1964	525	4,2	483-525	Tqc	332	45	Dec. 4, 1964	S,E,1 1/2	D,S	403/		Dec. 4, 1964	2/
206	Julius Rogers	1962	395	4,2	351-393	Tcm	335	14	Dec. 1962	S,E,1/3	D, S	25 ^{3/}		Dec. 1962	
* 207	J. Janacek		49	30		Tcm		11.3	Oct. 1, 1936	N	N				Abandoned prior to 1970.
301	Edward Varner	1966	460	4,2	418-460	Ts	310	+	May 25, 1966	Flows?	D, S	30 ^{3/}		May 25, 1966	2/
* 302	do.		17	36		Tγ	333	12.5	005. 14. 1936	N	N				Abandoned prior to 1970
* 303	Pabulek Spring		Spring			TV		+	Sept. 24, 1936	Flows					abandeled prior to 1970.
* 304	Leroy Calvin	1920	54	8		Ty	288	19.1	Oct. 14, 1936	N	N				Abandoned prior to 1970.
* 401	J. W. Mikeska	1927	430	8	390-430	Tcm?	383	30	1927	P.E	s.				
501	Nynar		24	8		Ty	299	4.0	Apr. 17, 1970	N	N				
* 502	G. A. Walman	1925	22	30		Ty	378	19.3	Nov. 6, 1936	и	N			~~	Dug well, shored with tile.
						_		21.2	Apr. 17, 1970						
* 503	Ignac Konuleka	1967	645	4,2	604-646	TS	372	70	July 24, 1967	S, E, 1/3	D,S	20-3/		July 24, 1967	2/
	Clevence Deut	1966	460	4,2	438-480	TCm	311	25	July 5, 1966	5, 5, 1/3	D,S	50 ⁻¹		July 5, 1966	2
÷ 602		1900	100	4,2	135-180	Ty The	305	10	Apr. 21, 1970	Flows	D,S	1-3/		Mar. 21, 1966	
- 003	Aluda Hion	1907	192	4,2	180-192	Ty	2/5	26.2	July 12, 1967	5, 6, 1/2	D, S	175		July 12, 1967	
004	AIVIN HIER	1097	43	°		Ly	505	33.7	Apr. 21, 1970	14	18				
605	Frances Duncan		71	8		Ty	315	40.3	do.	J,E	s				
* 802	G. G. Weichert	1967	305	4,2	263-305	Ty	385	90	Aug. 1967	S, E, 1/3	s				
* 803	Otto Meier	1911	61	8		Ту	365	51.1	Dec. 11, 1936	С, Е	s				
* 901	A. F. Ahrens	1967	435	4,2	393-435	Ту	372	90	Oct. 1967	S,E,1/3	D,S	1.53/		Oct. 1967	2/
* 902	Weichert	1930	107	8		Ту	360	80,5 62,6	Nov. 12, 1936 Apr. 16, 1970	м	N				
903	W. Neyer	1966	390	4,2	348~390	Ту	380	90	Aug. 1966	S, E, 1/3	D,S	8 ^{3/}		Aug. 1966	
904	A. Schultz, Jr.	1969	330	4		Ty	358			S,E	D,S				
905	E. Schultz	1969	585	4,2		Ту	350			S,E	D,S				
906	W. Meyer		81	8		Ty	340	61.3	Apr. 16, 1970	N	N				
36-201	Vince Hyvl	1963	382	4,2	340-382	Ty	355	103	Apr. 6, 1963	S, E, 3/4	D,S	10 ^{3/}	17	Apr. 6, 1963	
* 202	Chuck Hinds	1963	392	4,2		Ty	362	140	Mar. 23, 1963	S,E	D,S	20 ^{3/}		Mar. 23, 1963	2/
203	R. J. Shultz	1963	262	4,2	220-262	Ty	372	132	June 8, 1963	S,E,3/4	D,S	11.3/	28	June 8, 1963	
204	T. H. Groce	1963	300	4,2	264-300	Ту	330	80	Mar. 6, 1963	J,E,1 1/2	D,S	5 ^{3/}	20	Mar. 6, 1963	
* 205	Vernon Jurries	1969	825	4,2	785-825	Ts	353	70	June 4, 1969	S,E	D,S	60 ^{3/}		June 4, 1969	2/

						[_	WATER LE	VEL	_]	WELL	PERFORMANC	E DATA	
WE	L NUMBER	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	D LAMETER IN CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEASUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS .
									BI	URLESON COUNTY			1			
E	5-59-36-206	Vernon Jurries	1965	320	4,2	278-320	Ту	353	70	May 4, 1965	N	N	2.5 ^{3/}	130	May 4, 1965	Abandoned.
*	207	Larry Valigura	1968	882	4,2	848-882	Ts	348	75	Sept. 19, 1968	S,E,3/4	D,S	60 ^{3/}		Sept. 19, 1968	2/
*	301	H. Wilson	1929	74	8	74	Ту	300	67.5	Dec. 17, 1936	J,E	D,S			***	
	302	J. M. Daniels	1965	380	4,2	338-380	Ту	32.0	100	June 10, 1965	S, E, 3/4	D, S	13 ^{3/}		June 10, 1965	
	303	A. Schoenenman	1963	508	4,2		Ту	275	75	Aug. 19, 1963	S, E, 3/4	D,S				2/
	601	Leon Giesenschlag	1968	369	4,2		Ту	325	140	Nov. 20, 1968	S, E, 1	D,S				
*	602	Ray Maas	1968	315	4,2	273,315	Ту	328	84	Mar. 1968	S, E, 1/3	D,S	203/		Mar. 1968	2/
	603	Ernest Wilhow	1964	270	4,2	236-270	Ту	248	10	June 8, 1964	S,E,1/2	D, S	20 ^{3/}	90	June 8, 1964	2/
*	701	Olem Lednicky	1968	277	4,2	243-277	Ту	325	78	Nov. 14, 1968	S, E, 1/2	D, S	75 ^{3/}		Nov. 14, 1968	2/
	702	Bain Tuttle	1966	380	4,2	338-380	Тy	303	70	Apr. 27, 1966	S, E, 1/3	s	20 ^{3/}		Apr. 27, 1966	
	703	J. Johnson	1968	325	4,2	237-279	Ту	325	77	Oct. 22, 1968	S,E,3/4	D,S	75 ^{3/}		Oct. 22, 1968	40 Ma
*	704	Karl Bracewell	1969	330	4,2	288-330	Ту	340	90	Mar. 1969	S,E,3/4	D, S	20 ³⁷		Mar. 1969	
	705	A. Smith					Ту	335			S,E	s				
	706	A. Schoppe	1924	75	8		Ту	335	74.1	Oct. 14, 1936	N	N				Abandoned.
	707	do,					Ту	328			S,E	D,S				
٧e	801	T. Y. York	1938	397	4	340-397	Ту	338	97	Aug. 1938	P,W	D, S	353		Aug. 1938	
*	802	Lyons Water Supply Corp.	1963	1,609	7,3	1,513-1,573	Τs	305	66	Sept. 25, 1963	S, E, 15	Р	15039		Sept. 25, 1963	Furnishes water for Lyons and Center Line, $\frac{2}{4}$
	803	Bert Landolt	1962	232	4,2	170-232	Ту	306	90	Sept. 17, 1962	S, E, 1/3	D,S	6 ³ /	60	Sept. 17, 1962	
*	804	R. W. Schoppe	1957	479	4		Ty	310	100	1957	J,E	D,S				Waters gardens.
	901	R. L. Mahoney	1968	300	4,2	258-300	Ту	305	78	Feb. 1968	S, E, 1/2	D, S	2.5 ^{3/}		Feb. 1968	2/ ~~~
*	902	Mrs. Lee Woods	1932	140	8		Ту	278	46.3	Oct. 22, 1936	J, E	s				
	003	Nelson Swartz		27	30		T 11	265	40.0	Apr. 15, 1970	N	м				
*	904	do	1957	400	4.2		1.7 Tv	260	23,5	000. 22, 1950	TE	n e				Abandonea.
*	37-101	Snook well 1	1922	1.620	2 1/2	1.550-1.620	Ts	240	+	Nov. 5, 1936	N	N N				Estimated flow 20 opp on New 5, 1024 Net
	57 202		1.722	1,010	- 1/2	2,550 1,020	1.5	240		Nov. 5, 1950	h					used since prior to 1960.
*	102	Snook well 2	1926	1,267	4	1,010-1,020	Ту	240	+	Nov. 17, 1936	N	N				Estimated flow 20 gpm on Nov. 17, 1936. Not
	103	Snook well 3	1954	619			Ty	240			N	N				Not used since prior to 1958.
*	104	Snook Water Supply Corp.	1958	1,381	4	1,341-1,381	Ts	240	+	Aug. 31, 1960	S,E	Р				Furnishes, as did 3 wells above, water for
																Snook community and rural area.
*	105	J. J. Sebesta		24			Qt	247			P,W	D, S				Dug well.
	106	W. J. Slovacek		44	16		Qt	252	27.6 27.3	May 29, 1963 May 6, 1970	T,G	Irr				
*	107	R. Sebesta, Sr.	1898	30	30		Qt	253	26.0	May 15, 1964	J,E	s				Dug well, shored with concrete rings.
*	108	W. H. Giesenschlag		1,550	8		Tqc	279	+ +	Dec. 2, 1937 May 4, 1970	Flows	D,S				Converted oil test. Estimated flow 40 gpm in Dec. 1937. 2
	109	Frank B. Janac	1966	266	4,2		Ту	249	30	Oct. 1, 1966	S, E, L/2	D,S				
*	110	John Gunek		31	30		Ty	252	27.8	Nov. 5, 1936		s				Dug well, shored with tile.
																- ,

				[WATER	LEVEL				WELL	PERFORMANC	E DATA	
WELL NUMBER	0WNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR~ ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE MEASUR	S OF REMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YTELD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							BUE	LESON COU	JNTY				-huoui		J
*BS-59-37-111	Wincher	1912	73	8		Ту	290	42.0 40.9	Nov. 5 July 9	5, 1936 9, 1970	N	N				
201	Henry Kobar	1958	42	18		Qt	242	28.5	July 26	5, 1960	T,G	Irr	400 ^{3/}		1958	У
2.02	do.		60			Qfpa	212	10.8	Apr. 17	7, 1963	T,G	Irr				<u>1</u>
203	Chance Farm well 6	1964	47	16		Qfpa	215	13.5 13.0	June 4 Oct. 23	4, 1964 3, 1969	T,G	Irr				
204	Chance Farm	1962	42				213			-	N	N				Test hole 9. $\frac{2j}{2}$
205	do.	1962	52				216			-	N	N				Test hole 8. 2/
206	do.	1962	64				216			.	N	N				Test hole 7. 2^{j}
207	Chance Farm well 7	1964	65	16		Qfpa	215	12.9	Oct. 23	3, 1969	Τ, G	Irr	700 ^{3/}		1964	
208	Chance Farm well 9	1965	58	16		Qfpa	214	11.0	de	.	T,G	Irr	500 ^{3/}		1965	
* 209	Edmund Sebesta, Jr.	1963	295	4,2	255-295	Ту	248	21	Apr. 27	7, 1963	S,E	D,S	25 <u>³</u>	63	Apr. 27, 1963	2j
210	Henry Vajdak		44	18		Qfpa	216	5.3	May 6	5, 1970	T,G	Irr				
211	do.		45	18		Qfpa	215	4.6	do	. ·	T,G	Irr				
212	do.	1963	46	4	36-46	Qt	230	22	Dec. 3	3, 1963	S,E	D,S	17 ³ /		Dec. 3, 1963	2/
* 213	Richard J. Junek	1964	42	4	30-42	Qt	231	10	Aug. 4	4, 1964	S,E	D,S	15 ^{3/}		Aug. 4, 1964	
* 301	P. G. Longmire		57	18		Qfpa	212	16.8	Apr. 25	5, 1958	т, с	Irr	173	11.72	July 11, 1963	у
302			54	18		Qfpa	207	13.4 11.4 15.3	July 7 June 5 Oct. 24	7, 1960 5, 1963 4, 1969	N	N				
303	Baker Farm	1954	57	18		Qfpa	212	15.7	Apr. 25	5, 1958	T, E, 20	Irr	950.3/	28	1954	у
304	J. Varisco		50	18		Qfpa	210	13.1 17.3 18.3	June 5 June 4 Oct, 24	5, 1963 4, 1964 4, 1969	Τ, G	Irr	244 574	22.28 18.95	Aug. 1963 July 13, 1964	
305	do.		50	18		Qfpa	210	12.2 15.6	June 5 Oct. 24	5, 1963 4, 1969	T,G	Irr				
306	do.		50	18		Qfpa	210	12.2 15.4	June 5 Oct. 24	5, 1963 4, 1969	N	N				
* 307	do.		54	18		Qfpa	213	11.5	June 5	5, 1963	Τ, G	Irr	408	19.34	July 13, 1964	У
308	do.		49	18		Qfpa	215	11.8 14.0	June 5 Oct. 24	5, 1963 4, 1964	N	N				
309	do.		45			Qfpa	211	11.7	June 5	5, 1963	N	N				
310	Longmire		62			Qfpa	212	13.4 15.1	June 5 Oct. 27	5, 1963 7, 1969	I,G	Irr				
311			48	14		Qfpa	212	10.7 13.4 12.1	June 5 June 4 Oct, 24	5, 1963 4, 1964 4, 1969	Τ, G	Irr	340 266	23.54 20.37	July 11, 1963 July 15, 1964	
312			59			Qfpa	212	8.0 10.7	June 5 Oct. 24	5, 1963 4, 1969	Τ, G	Irr				
313			51	14		Qfpa	211	16.0 16.9	June 4 Oct. 24	, 1964 , 1969	T,G	Irr	549 441	 13.78	Aug. 14, 1963 July 13, 1964	
314	U.S. Geological Survey	1963	52				215	13.6	Dec. 6	5, 1963	N	N				Test hole. 2
315	Chance Farm		64				214			.	N	N				Do. 2/
316	do.	1962	65				215			.	N	N				Do. 2

See footnotes at end of table.

- 100 -

Table 12.--Records of Wells and Springs--Continued

				Γ				WATER LEVE	L]		WELL	PERFORMANCE	DATA		
WELL NUMBER	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEASUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	DA	ATE	REMARKS
·	1				,			<u></u>	RLESON COUNTY		1	1				
BS-59-37-317	Chance Farm	1962	59				215			N	N					Test hole, 2/
318	J. Varisco		57	16		Qfpa	213	16.2	Oct. 24, 1969	T, G	Irr					
319	do.		48	16		Qfpa	213	16.9	do.	T,G	Irr		·			
320	Longmire?	1964	67			Qfpa	214	14.8	do.	T, G	Irr					
321			57			Qfpa	214	9.8	do.	T,G	Irr					
322	Longmire?		56			Qfpa	212	15.3	do.	T, G	Irr					
323	do.		67			Qfpa	215	16.3	do.	T,G	Irr					
324	Porter?		55	16		Qfpa	210	15,1	do.	Т, G	Irr					
325	do.		56	18		Qfpa	217	15.6	do.	т, с	Irr					
326	Chance Farm well 10	1965	63	16		Qfpa	212	10.8	Nov. 10, 1969	T, G	Irr					
327			49	16		Qfpa	210	14.6	Oct. 24, 1969	Τ, G	Irr					
328	J. Varisco		1,200±	4		Ty	210	+	May 7, 1970	Flows	S					Measured flow 2 gpm on May 7, 1970. Temp. 85°F (29.5° C).
402	Milton Lewis	1966	500	4,2		Ту	252	40	Mar. 25, 1966	S, E, 1	D, S	253/		Mar.	25, 1966	
501	Walter Vajdak	1964	256	4,2	235-256	Ту	245	42	Nov. 3, 1964	\$, E, 3/4	D	203/		Nov.	3, 1964	
502	Henry Kovar	1964	32	4	22-32	Qt	225	14.0	May 6, 1970	P,E	S	17 ^{3/}		Aug.	5, 1964	
503	N. L. Myers	1965	417	4,2	397-417	Ту	265	54	Dec. 13, 1965	S, E, 1/2	D, S	25 ^{3/}		Dec.	13, 1965	
* 601	Henry Vajdak		61	18		Qfpa	211	14.5	Feb. 15, 1960	т	Irr					
* 602	Baker Farm	1959	286	4,2	217~259	Tj	258			J,E	D,S					
603	do.	1945	1,887	4,2	1,838- 1,882	Ts	225	+++ 1.3	Nov. 1945 July 9, 1970	N	N	10-3		Nov.	1945	
604			60±	18		Qfpa	209	11,9	June 5, 1963	N	N					
605			62	18		Qípa	210	10.0	do.							
606			55	18		Qfpa	210	9.9 13.7	June 5, 1963 Oct. 24, 1969	N	N	*-				
* 607	Baker Ranch	1949	236			Ту	222			J,E	D					
* 608	Bailey		1,032	3		Ту	209	++++	Dec. 14, 1936 May 5, 1970	Flows	N					Estimated flow 30 gpm on May 5, 1970.
609	Leroy Hoskins	1968	287	4,2		Ту	242	40	Mar. 6, 1968	S,E,3/4	D, S	15 ^{3/}		Mar.	6, 1968	21
* 610	G. Hinton	1919	35	30		Qt	225	26.1 21.1	Dec. 14, 1936 May 6, 1970	в,Н	D					Dug well, shored with tile.
* 611	Baker Ranch	1966	240	4,2	177-240	Tj	235	35	Mar. 2, 1966	S, E, 3/4	D, S	60 ^{3/}		Mar.	2, 1966	
612	Scarmardo		53	16		Qfpa	204	12,5	Nov. 10, 1969	Т, G	Irr					
613	do.			16		Qfpa	205	14.2	do.	T,G	Irr					
614	do.			16		Qfpa	205			т, Е, 15	Irr					
615	do.		65	16		Qfpa	210	14.6	Nov. 10, 1969	т, Е, 10	Irr					
801	Baker Ranch	1958	207	2	167-207	Tj	240			J,E	S					Formerly furnished water for charcoal plant, 2^j
* 802	W. C. Konecny	1968	372	4,2	330-372	Ту	302	83	Aug. 1968	S,E,1/3	D,S	12 ³ /		Aug.	1968	2
				1				<u> </u>								

								WATER LEVE	EL				WELL	PERFORMANCE	DATA	
WELL NUMBER	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	D MEA	ATE OF SUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
								BU	RLESON	COUNTY			I			
BS-59-37-803	Baker Ranch	1965	228	4,2	186,228	Tj	300	80	Oct.	11, 1965	S, E, 2	D	20 ^{3/}		Oct. 11, 1965	2/
804	do.		47	26		Тј	230	17.0	May	7, 1970	N	N				Dug well, shored with tile.
901	J. C. Herndon	1963	295	4,2	253-295	Tj	257	60	Oct.	7, 1963	S, E, 1/3	S	83/		Oct. 7, 1963	2/
38-101			57	18		Qfpa	209	19.0 19.7 20.5	July May Oct.	7, 1960 28, 1963 24, 1969	N	N				
* 102	Longmire		58	18		Qfpa	210	16.8	Apr.	25, 1958	T,G	Irr	735	15.22	July 13, 1964	У
103	Baker Farm	1957	71	18		Qfpa	212	14.2 16.1 19.6	July May Oct.	7, 1960 28, 1963 24, 1969	Τ, Ε	Irr				
104	đo.	1957	49	18		Qfpa	210	14.0 16.1	May Oct.	28, 1963 24, 1969	T,G	Irr				41 W.
105	W. Gaubatz	1957	47	18		Qfpa	211	17.4	Apr.	25, 1958	т, е	Irr	256	15.74	July 15, 1964	У
106	do.	1957	70	18		Qfpa	211	26.8		do.	T,G	Irr				У
107	Longmire		62	18		Qfpa	211	32.3	July	7, 1960	N	N				<u>1</u>
* 108			49	18		Qfpa	212	15.5 19.0	May Oct.	28, 1963 24, 1969	T,G	Irr				
109	H. Porter		53			Qfpa	208	11.8 13.5	May Nov.	28, 1963 10, 1969	T,G	Irr				
110	Alexander	1955	61			Qfpa	212	20.3 21.2	May Oct.	28, 1963 24, 1969	T,G	Irr				
111	Porter?		51			Qfpa	211	18.6 19.5	May Oct.	28, 1963 24, 1969	т, с	Irr				u. es
112	do.		59			Qfpa	210	14.6 16.4	June Oct.	5, 1963 24, 1969	T,G	Irr				
113	do.	1969	47	16		Qfpa	210	15.9	Oct.	24, 1969	Τ, G	Irr				
114	Luke Restivo			16		Qfpa	210				T,G	Irr				
115	J. Varisco			16		Qfpa	212	15.5	Oct.	27, 1969	T,G	Irr				
116	Longmire			16		Qfpa	210	13.9		do.	Τ, G	Irr				
117	W. Gaubatz					Qfpa	209				T, G	Irr				
118	H. Porter	1964	57	18		Qîpa	207	15.4	Nov.	10, 1969	T,G	Irr				
119	do.		52	16		Qfpa	212	15.9		do.	T, G	Irr				
120	Luke Restivo		50			Qîpa	211	24.4	May	7, 1970	N	N				
121	do.	1966	72	16		Qfpa	212	26.6		do,	T,G	Irr				
401	Baker Farm	1957	56	18		Qfpa	209	18.2	Apr.	25, 1958	N	N	300-9		1957	3
402	do.	1957	57	1.8		Qfpa	209	22.4 20.5 21.3	July May Oct.	6, 1960 29, 1963 28, 1969	т, Е, 20	Irr	950-7	23	do.	
* 403	do.	1957	57	18		Qfpa	207	20.7	May	29, 1960	T,E,1 1/2	Irr	575		do.	<u></u>
* 404	do.	1960	61	18		Qfpa	205	19.6	July	7, 1960	т, е, 40	Irr				3
405	do.		60	18		Qfpa	207	19.7 16.8 16.9 18.0	July May June Nov.	7, 1960 29, 1963 4, 1964 10, 1970	T, E, 40	Irr	424	30.30	Aug. 1, 1963	
Table 12, -- Records of Wells and Springs--Continued

				1				WATER LEV	ÆL			WELL	PERFORMANCE	E DATA	
WELL NUMBER	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACF (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEASURFMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
L			1					BU	RLESÓN COUNTY						
85-59-38-4	06 J. C. Lauderdale	1955	65	18		Ofpa	207	19.1	Sept. 23, 1960	TG	Trr				
55 57 50						42.14	207	17.1 19.2	June 4, 1963 Oct. 29, 1969	2,0	***				
* 4	07 do.	1955	80	18		Qfpa	209	19.6 17.8 19.4 19.6	Sept. 23, 1960 June 4, 1963 June 5, 1964 Oct. 28, 1969	T, G	Irr	640 597	21.92 22.40	July 12, 1963 July 15, 1964	
4	08 Porter		64	18		Qfpa	212	14.4	Apr. 25, 1958	T,G	Irr	392	14.87	July 15, 1964	<u>у</u>
4	09 F. Fojt		54			Qfpa	209	12.0 13.7	May 29, 1963 June 5, 1964	T,G	Irr	482	37.97	Aug. 1, 1963	
* 4	10 do.		54			Qfpa	209	14.0 15.7 15.9	May 29, 1963 June 5, 1964 Nov. 10, 1969	т, с	Irr	457 283	34.71 20.28	1963 July 13, 1964	~~
4	11 do.		53			Qfpa	210	15.1 16.9 16.4	May 29, 1963 June 5, 1964 Nov. 10, 1969	Τ, G	Irr	244 153	29.42 19.18	Aug. 1, 1963 July 13, 1964	
4	12 R. Kemp		54			Qfpa	204	14.0 17.2	June 4, 1964 Oct. 28, 1969	Τ, G	Irr				
* 4	13 do,	1930	25	36		Qfpa	210	16,1	Dec. 21, 1936	N	N				Dug well, shored with planking.
* 4		1927	20	30		Qfpa	208	4.2	do,	Р,Н	N				Dug well, shored with concrete.
4	15 Baker Farm					Qfpa	211			T,E	Irr				
4	16 John See		56	16		Qfpa	210	32.1	Oct. 28, 1969	T,G	Irr				
* 4	17 Baker Farm		700±	6		Ту	209	+	May 7, 1970	Flows	N				Measured flow 2 gpm on May 7, 1970.
4	18 Bailey		49	18		Qfpa	210	10.1	May 5, 1970	N	N				
4	L9			8		Qfpa	210	17.3	do.	S,E	Irr				
* 5	John See	1954	56	18		Qfpa	210	30.7	July 7, 1960	T,G	Irr	520	11.93	Aug. 7, 1964	3
5		1956	70	18		Qfpa	208	32.7	do.	T,G	Irr				у
5	03 Johnson		68	18		Qfpa	208	30.1	do.	Т, G	Irr	*-			<u>J</u> ⁱ
5	04 Old River Ranch well 3		65	18		Qfpa	205	28.8 29.6 31.0 28.8	July 25, 1960 Sept. 23, 1960 June 4, 1963 Oct. 28, 1969	T,G	Irr				
5	05 Old River Ranch well 4	1960		18		Qfpa	215	29.6	July 25, 1960	N	N				
5	06 Johnson		55	18		Qfpa	205	26.7 26.0 23.7	Sept. 23, 1960 May 29, 1963 Oct. 28, 1969	N	N				
5	07 5-B Ranch	1956	57	18		Qfpa	202	30.0	July 25, 1960	T,G	Irr				<u> </u>
5	do. 80	1956	63	18		Qfpa	203	30.0	do.	T,G	Irr	1, 200 ^{3/}		1956	34
S	09 Old River Ranch well 2	1957	62	18		Qfpa	202	24.8 24.3 22.9	July 25, 1960 Sept. 23, 1960 June 4, 1963	Τ, G	Irr				
s	10 Old River Ranch well 1	1953	57	18		Qfpa	208	26.1 25.6 24.1 21.5	July 25, 1960 Sept. 23, 1960 June 4, 1963 Oct. 28, 1969	T,G	Irr				
5	11 J. C. Leuderdale	1955	41	18		Qfpa	201	20.0	Sept. 23, 1960	N	N				Abandoned, <u>J</u>

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 12.--Records of Wells and Springs--Continued

								WATER LE	VEL			WELL	PERFORMANCI	E DATA	
WELL NUMBER	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEASUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	YIELD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
hanna, <u>p</u>	BURLESON COUNTY														
BS-59-38-512	J. C. Lauderdale	1955	55	18		Qfpa	201	22.5 21.5 22.2	Sept. 23, 1960 June 4, 1963 Oct. 28, 1969	T,G	Irr				
513	do.		58	16		Qfpa	200	18.8	do.	T,G	Irr				
514	5-B Ranch		50	18		Qfpa	204	27.6	do.	T, G	Irr				
515	do.		56	18		Qfpa	203	27.3	do.	N	N				
517	C. Giesenschlag	1964	75	3,2,2 1/2		Qfpa	208								2/
701	Baker Farm	1.957	56	18		Qfpa	205	16.1	Apr. 25, 1958	т, Е, 15	Irr	374	16.62	July 13, 1964	Ц
702	do.			18		Qfpa	203	12.6	Nov. 10, 1969	т, Е, 20	Irr				
703	do.	1957	61	18		Qfpa	203	10.2	June 4, 1963	T,E	Irr				
704	do.	1957	65			Qfpa	206	19.3 15.4 15.8 17.5	July 7, 1960 Mar. 7, 1961 June 4, 1963 June 5, 1964	T, E, 50	Irr	1,460	19.46	July 12, 1963	
705	E. F. Clay		500±	10		Ту	206			J, E, 1/2	S				Cased to 40 ft. Temp. 71°F(21.5° C)
706			24	18		Tj	210	15.7	June 4, 1963	N	N				Dug well, shored with concrete rings.
* 707	Brazos County		Spring			Qt	260	+	Dec. 21, 1936	Flows					
708			11	30		Qt	240	4.5	May 5, 1970	N	N				Dug well, shored with rock.
* 709	Clay Water Supply Corp.	1963	553			Ту	260			S,E	Р				<u>4</u>
710	R. S. Brewton		21	27		Qfpa	204	5.3	May 5, 1970	N	N				Dug well, shored with concrete rings.
* 711	Sulphur Spring		Spring			Qt	210	+	do.	N	N		[
712	J. C. Patrick					Tj	212			S,E	s			**	
* 713	Santa Fe Industries		688	12,4		Ту	208				U				Formerly furnished water for locomotives and section houses. $\underline{\mathcal{U}}$
* 801	T. S. Bailey	1955	55	18		Qfpa	197	30.9	June 4, 1963	Τ, G	Irr	5003/		1955	
* 802	do.	1955	56	1.8		Qfpa	200	32.2	do.	T,G	ITT	600 ^{3/}		do,	
803	Old River Ranch		1,300±	6		Ту	215	+	May 5, 1970	Flows	S				
* 804	do.		1,200±	4		Ty	285		~~	S,E	D,S				
805	do.		430±	4		Тy				N	N				
806	5-B Ranch			18		Qfpa	202	23.3	Oct. 28, 1969	N	N				
* 905	do.	1955	79	18		Qfpa	200	36.4 37.3	June 4, 1963 Oct. 28, 1969	T, G	Irr	175 ^{3/}		1955	
* 42-302	Edwin Zgabay		620	2		Ts	288	+	Apr. 20, 1970	Flows	D, S				Measured flow 3 gpm on April 20, 1970.
* 43-101	R. O. Flippin		88	8		Ty	380	72.4	Nov. 12, 1936	N	N				Abandoned.
102						Ту	308	~-		₽,₩	S				
* 202	Ofclarzak Farm		71	8		Ту	258	20.7	Nov. 12, 1936	N	N				Abandoned.
* 203	do,	1964	278	4,2		Ту	258			S,E	D, S				
* 204	Chas. Tonn	1911	87	8		Ту	350	38.0 31.2	Nov. 12, 1936 Apr. 16, 1970	J,E	D,S				
301	Dr. W. S. Houston		320±			Ту	325			J,E	Р				Furnishes water for rural subdivision.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 12. -- Records of Wells and Springs -- Continued

				1					WATER LEV	Έĩ.	· · · · ·	l'	WELL	PERFORMANCE	DATA	
WEI	L NUMBER	OWNER	DATE COM- PLET- ED	DEPTH OF WELL (FT)	DIAMETER IN CASING (IN.)	PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT.)	WATER- BEAR- ING UNIT	ALTITUDE OF LAND SURFACE (FT)	ABOVE (+) OR BELOW LAND SURFACE DATUM (FT)	DATE OF MEASUREMENT	METHOD OF LIFT	USE OF WATER	ATETD	DRAWDOWN	DATE	REMARKS
									<u>_BU</u>	RLESON COUNTY		<u>.</u>				·
BS	-59-43-403						Ту	290			S,E	Р				Furnishes water for rural subdivision.
de de	501	John E. Newman	1956	3,839	7	2,154- 2,202 and 3,570- 3,838	Twi,Twis	265	+ 63.0 + 87.9	Nov. 11, 1959 July 30, 1965	Flows	Irr				Original gun-perforated at 2,154-2,204 ft. measured flow 110 gpm on Nov. 11, 1959. Later gun-perforated 3,570-3,838 ft., measured flow 1,100 gpm on July 30, 1965. $\underline{4}^{\prime}$
*	601	Birch Creek Park Estates	1969	438	4		Ty	310			S,E	Р				Furnishes water for rural subdivision.
*	602	H. E. Heine		59	8		Tj	265	17.1	Apr. 23, 1970	в,н	D, S			** **	
*	603	Texas Parks & Wildlife	1970	299	4		Tj				S,E	Р				Furnishes water for Birch Creek Unit.
*	44-101	Herman Witte	1925	91	8		Тј	300	59,9	Sept. 24, 1936	N	N				Abandoned. 2
**	102	Big Creek Grocery	1969	660	4		Ту	295			S,E	D				
	103	R. D. Burkett	1968	340	4,2	298-340	Ту	295	45	May 1968	S, E, 1/3	D	20 ^{3/}		May 1968	21
*	104	E. Basquez		17	40		Тј	263	14.6	Oct. 22, 1936	N	N				Abandoned.
	105	Gertrude Caughran	1968	334	4,2	298-334	Тј	296	40	June 14, 1968	S, E, 3/4	D	20 ³ ′		June 14, 1968	2/
*	106	Marshall Oaks Subdivision	1969		4		Ty	288			S,E	Р				
	107	W. Boone	1966	182	4,2	170-182	Тј	298	42	Dec. 1, 1966	S,E	D	25 ^{3/}		Dec. 1, 1966	
	108	J. S. Lewis	1968	330	4,2	288-330	Ту	295	40	Nov. 1968	S, E, 1/3	D	25 ³ /		Nov. 1968	
rte The	201	U.S. Corps of Engineers		37	30		Tj	225	27,2	Oct. 22, 1936	N	N				
a.	301	Santa Fe Industries		81.5	8	775-815	Ту	253			T, E, 20	Ind	250 ^{3/}		1960	These two wells originally furnished water for locomotives and tie yard; 1970 only tie yard, $\underline{2}/$
	302	do.		815	8	775-815	Ty	253			T, E, 10	Ind	150 ^{3/}		1960	
*	303	City of Somerville	1914	198	8	178-198	Tj	250	60	Jan. 5, 1937	N	N	250 ^{3/}		1937	Originally Gulf Coast Utilities operated public water-supply system.
*	304	City of Somerville well 2	1949	203	12,8	166-201	Тj	250			T, E, 115	P				Test hole drilled to 304 ft. and plugged back. Standby well, 2^j
*	305	City of Somerville well 3	1954	2,020	10,8,7	1,880-2,010	Ts	250	++++	1954 1970	T,E,7 1/2	Р	240 ^{3/}		1954	2/
ŵ	307	B. H. Gaines	1968	280	4,2		Ту	280	38	Feb. 1968	S,E	S	30 ^{3/}		Feb. 1968	21
	308			88	28		Тj	302	70.8	Apr. 15, 1970	J, E	s				Dug well, shored with concrete rings.
	309			14	24		Tj	245	12.2	May 7, 1970	N	N				Do.
	401	U.S. Corps of Engineers		60	8		Тj	260	30,0	Apr. 16, 1970	N	N				
*	402	do,	1970	240	4		Tj	255	6.2	Apr. 23, 1970	S,E	P				Furnishes water for Burch Creek Park.
*	501	do.	1970	160	4		Tj	290			S,E	Р				Furnishes water for Big Creek Park.
ŵ	601	Bob Brantley	1934	10	30		Tj	240	5.5	Sept. 24, 1936	N	N				Dug well, shored with concrete rings.
	45-101	Inez Balki	1967	240	4,2	198-240	Тј	214	40	July 6, 1967	S,E,1/4	D, S	20 ^{3/}		July 6, 1967	2
	201	Baker Ranch	1965	266	4,2	224-266	Тј	200	13.9	July 8, 1970	S,E	D	13 ^{3j}		Mar. 15, 1965	21
	202	do.			4		Тј	208	9.0	do.	S,E	D				
10	203	do.	1964	103	4	83-103	Tj	255	42.5	do.	S,E	S	10 ^{3/}		Oct. 16, 1964	
*	204	do.		Spring			Tj	220	Flows	Dec. 16, 1936	Flows	s				

* For chemical analyses of water from wells and springs, see table 17.
If For water levels in wells, see table 16.
For drillers' logs of wells, see tables 14 and 15.
Reported by driller or others.
Electric log in files of U.S. Geological Survey, Austin, Texas, or Texas Water Development Board, Austin, Texas.

WELL	OPERATOR	LEASE AND WELL	DATE OF LOG
	BRAZOS COUNTY	-	
(In Robertson County)	Vam Oil Company	Seale No. 1	Oct. 21, 1949
BJ-59-13-303	Frank C. Kallina and C. R. Hardy	Blanton No. 1	June 12,1966
14-302	Michel T. Halbouty et al	Allen & Clay No. 1	Nov. 10, 1964
605	Mudge Oil Company	Koppe No. 1	Aug. 6, 1943 [.]
804	W. Earl Rowe	E. A. Keller No. 1	May 27, 1969
20-836	Hamman Oil & Refining Company	Brazos Varisco No. 1	Dec. 16, 1948
837	do.	Brazos Varisco No. 2	Dec. 29, 1948
838	do.	Sims No. 1	Aug. 20, 1944
21-908	Michael A. Salvato	C.S. Beckwith No. 1	Jan. 12,1957
23-501	Southwood Oil Co. & Slick Oil Co., Ltd.	E. V. Peters No. 1	Sept. 13, 1943
704	Slick Oil Co., Ltd.	H. L. Weedon No. 1	Nov. 8, 1954
29-601	Vee Tipt Oil Co.	N. A. Stewart No. 1	Jan. 23, 1943
29-607	A. E. Burgin et al	N. A. Stewart No. 1	Nov. 2, 1946
30-505	Thomas J. Haberle	I. H. Lloyd No. 1	Feb. 23, 1960
602	Liberty Workover & Drilling Company	P. G. Longmire No. 1	Oct. 26, 1952
809	Thomas J. Haberle	R. Creed No. 1	Sept. 20, 1954
902	Petroleum, Heat, & Power Company	J. J. Cahill No. 1	May 28, 1942
31-203	Humble Oil & Refining Company	R. P. Trant No. 1	June 20, 1958
38-203	Far West Oil Co. & Holly Development Company	John A. Arhopulos No. 1	Sept. 16,1950
615	Lonnie Holotik	P. P. Prescott No. 1	Apr. 14, 1960
617	Phillips Petroleum Company	Renchie No. 1	Feb. 25, 1943
39-105	M. W. Hunter	W. J. Jericho No. 1	Dec. 24, 1938
108	Phillips Petroleum Company	Dona Hollaway No. 1	Oct. 9, 1943
39-109	Phillips Petroleum Company	Mitch No. 1	Dec. 15, 1941
111	do.	Schoeps No. 1	Nov. 27, 1944
114	Marshall Stone	Weems No. 1	Apr. 5, 1950
203	J. Elmer Thomas	Milo Heirs No. 1	Nov. 8, 1943
204	Phillips Petroleum Company	S. E. Dunlap No. 1	Nov. 1, 1943
205	do.	Verna No. 1	Nov. 1,1943
303	S. W. Breeding & Marshall Stone	Knox Williams No. 1	Aug. 28, 1950
305	Fred W. Shield	Louis Orlando Estate No. 1	Mar. 19, 1967
306	The Texas Company	Orlando No. 1	Jan. 8, 1956
508	Carlon Oil Co. & Crown Central Petroleum Co.	Viola Burrows No. 1	Aug. 28,1964
	BURLESON COUNT	Y	
BS-59-19-807	Scurlock Oil Company	Abbie Clanton No. 1	Sept 3 1963
25-508	Chapman Oil Co.	Jestus Alford No. 1	July 27 1967
601	Morris K. Womack	Coffield No. 1-A	June 6, 1953

WELL	OPERATOR	LEASE AND WELL	DATE OF LOG
BS-59-19-602	A. B. Alkek	Harriston No. 1	Oct. 10, 1954
607	Livingston Drilling and Well Service	J. E. Dyer No. 1	June 14, 1950
609	W. H. Foster	Russell et al No. 1	Feb. 3, 1955
26-104	A-Bear Oil Co.	Majejowsky No. 1	Apr. 6, 1967
201	Cathy & Oak Oil Company	Boyd-Eanes No. 1	May 22, 1955
205	B. W. Foss	Margaret Black No. 1	Mar. 12, 1951
301	Jordan Drilling Company	Hitchcock No. 1	June 21, 1948
401	Jackson Oil Co. & S. H. Killingsworth	Thomas Yarrell No. 1	Apr. 16, 1958
903	B. C. Bukowski & Perry	Cade No. 1	July 1, 1943
27-306	Richard B. Hemingway & J. D. Bartell	A. W. Telg No. 1	Jan. 28, 1963
28-103	Hammon Oil & Refining Company	J. K. Drgac No. 1	Jan. 31, 1954
212	do.	Worthington No. 1	Sept. 17, 1944
341	M-R Exploration Company	J. M. Fountsin No. 2	Oct. 24, 1936
34-502	Maresh & Billingsley Oil Company	Frank Horak No. 1	Jan. 14, 1949
36-304	H. Y. Barnett	C. Fick No. 1	June 28, 1940
37-401	Roy Davis Company	J. W. Lewis No. 1	Sept. 12, 1951
403	Raven Oil Company	Lewis Estate No. 1	Apr. 10, 1941
504	General American Oil Co. of Texas	Jordan Heirs No. 1	Mar. 28, 1948
701	J. H. Liles	G. Scott No. 1	June 20, 1937
805	Parker & McCune	Hohlt No. 1	Jan. 2, 1950
806	do.	Hohit No. 2	Oct. 13, 1950
38-518	Carlon Oil Co.	M. W. Hohit No. 4	Sept. 9, 1961
807	do.	M. W. Hohlt No. 2	Mar. 18, 1961
43-201	Chas. E. Fraser, Inc.	Marek No. 1	Oct. 27, 1943
302	Dugger & Herring et al	J. E. Weiler No. 1	July 24, 1964
44-202	M. L. Carr	Lauderdale-Lockhart No. 1	Nov. 21, 1961
45-103	Peerless Oil & Gas Company	Santa Fe No. 1	Apr. 13, 1939

Table 13.-Records of Oil and Gas Wells Used for Data-Control Points-Continued

1] Electrical logs in files of U. S. Geological Survey and Texas Water Development Board, Austin, Texas.

THICKNESS	DEPTH	THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)

BRAZOS COUNTY

Sand

Well BJ-59-06-302

Owner: Bruce Weaver Driller: B. G. & R. Drilling Company

Clay red and surface		
sand	70	70
Shale, gray	32	120
Shale, sandy	41	143
Shale	41	184
Shale, sandy	41	225
Sand and iron water	62	287
Sand and shale	20	307
Shale, sandy	52	359
Sand	10	369
Shale, gray	30	399
Sand, gray	31	430

Well BJ-59-06-501

Owner: Willie Skubol Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Company

Shale	100	100
Sand	8	108
Shale	5	113

Well BJ-59-06-604

Owner: H. B. Poteet Driller: B. G. & R. Drilling Company

Sandstone	26	26
Shale, gray	15	41
Shale and rocks	20	61
Shale, gray	41	102
Sand	10	112
Shale, gray, and sand	52	164
Shale	20	184
Sand, gray	21	205
Shale, gray	62	267
Shale, sandy	20	287
Shale, hard	3	290
Sand	38	328

Well BJ-59-06-702

	Owner: Joe R. Driller: Carl Ryan Drilli	Nash ing Company	
Shale, blue		237	237

Well BJ-59-13-302

18

255

Owner: Triangle Z Dairy Driller: B. G. & R. Drilling Company

Soapstone	20	20
Shale, hard rocks	62	82
Shale	41	123
Shale, sandy	41	164
Shale	10	174
Sand and iron water	166	340
Shale, sandy	8	348
Shale	41	389
Shale, hard	21	410
Shale, sandy	5	415
Sand	10	425
Shale	10	435
Sand, gray	23	458

Well BJ-59-13-605

Owner: A. Kapetsky Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Company

Shale	200	200
Sand	15	215
Shale	31	246
Sand	5	251
Sand, shaly	16	267
Shale	23	290
Sand	10	300
Shale	7	307

THICKNESS	DEPTH	THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BJ-59-13-901

Owner: Cullen Mancusco Driller: B, G, & R, Drilling Company

Soapstone	20	20
Shale	21	41
Shale and rocks	41	82
Shale	44	126
Shale, sandy	7	133
Sand	17	150

Well BJ-59-14-101

Owner: James Millberger Driller: B. G. & R. Drilling Company

Clay	20	20
Shale	30	50
Rock	1	51
Shale	51	102
Sand	21	123
Shale	10	133

Well BJ-59-14-104

Owner: Jim Nichols Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Company

Shale	40	40
Sand	40	80
Shale	146	226
Sandstone	3	229
Shale	44	273
Sand	40	313

Well BJ-59-14-202

Owner: Knox Kelly Driller: B, G, & R, Drilling Company

Clay	20	20
Shale, gray	205	225
Shale, sandy	41	266
Sand, gray	21	287

Well BJ-59-14-402

Owner: Bill Presnal Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Company

Shale	30	30
Sand	21	51
Shale	193	244
Sand	13	357
Shale	28	285
Sand	11	296
Shale	<i>2</i> 5	321
Sand	5	326
Shale	20	346

Well BJ-59-14-501

Owner: J. H. Harris Driller: B, G. & R. Drilling Company

Soanstone and surface		
sand	20	20
Shale, gray	205	225
Shale, sandy	21	246
Shale	35	281
Shale, sandy	26	307
Rock	1	308
Shale	56	364
Shale, sandy	66	430
Sand and shale	21	451
Sand, fine, gray	30	481
Shale	52	533
Sand and shale streaks	41	574
Sand	20	594

Well BJ-59-14-603

Owner: Henry Odom Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Company

Shale	50	50
Shale, sandy	60	110
Shale, blue	10	120

	THICKNESS	DEPTH	1	THICKNESS	DEPTH
1	(FEET)	(FEET)		(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BJ-59-14-603-Continued

/

· · ·

Sand	40	160
Shale	7	167
Sand `	28	195
Sand, fine	17	212

Well BJ-59-14-704

Owner: P. A. Linerode Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Company

Shale	154	154
Sand, white	10	164
Shale, blue	21	185
Shale streaks, blue	15	200
Shale, blue	174	374
Sand, brown	13	387
Shale, brown	30	417
Sand, blue	14	431

Well BJ-59-14-802

Owner: Aubrey Moore Driller: B. G. & R. Drilling Company

Soapstone, blue-gray	20	20
Shale, gray	41	61
Shale, sandy	21	82
Shale, gray	123	205
Sand	3	208
Shale, gray	55	263
Sand	4	267
Shale, gray	102	102
Shale, sandy	81	450
Shale, blk.	7	457
Sand, white with gray	14	471

Well BJ-59-14-902

	Owner: Howard Horn Driller: B. G. & R. Drilling Company	
Soapstone	20	
Shale, gray	38	

Well BJ-59-14-902-Continued

Sand and shale (coarse)	24	82
Shale	164	246
Shale, sandy	61	307
Sand, fine, blue-gray	29	336
Shale, gray	170	506
Rock	2	508
Shale, sandy	4	512
Shale & sand	21	533
Sand, blue, coarse, 14 gr.	20	553

Well BJ-59-15-402

Owner: Henry Odom, well 2 Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Co.

Shale	5 0	5 0
Sand	10	60
Shale	57	117
Sand	24	141

Well BJ-59-15-701

Owner: Bert Weeks Driller: B. G. & R. Drilling Company

Sand and gravel	15	15
Shale and lignite	26	41
Shale, sandy	20	61
Shale and lignite	21	82
Shale, gray	61	143
Sand, coarse	72	215
Shale, gray	59	274
Rock	2	276
Shale, gray	26	302
Shale, sandy	5	307
Sand, gray	21	328

Well BJ-59-15-803

Owner: Martin Riley Driller: B. G. & R. Drilling Company Sand, surface, and shale 20

Sand, surface, and shale	20	20
Shale	100	120

20

THICKNESS	DEPTH	THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BJ-59-15-803-Continued

Well BJ-59-20-564-Continued

~

.

Shale, sandy	3	123	Sand	20	400
Shale	61	184	Rock, red	3	403
Shale, sandy	21	205	Sand	37	440
Shale	10	215	Slate, black	40	480
Sand (had iron here)	25	240	Sand .	38	518
Shale, sandy	42	282	Slate, black	22	540
Shale, sandy and rocks	46	328	Sand showing oil	15	555
Shale	146	474	Slate, black	134	689
Sand, gray	18	492	Lime	1	69 0
	00 500		Sand, water	15	705
Well BJ-59	-20-562		Slate	40	745
Owner: Brazos River O Driller: Carl Ryan D	Chemical Company Drilling Company		Sand, water	5	750
Clay	20	20	Slate	35	785
Gravel	40	60	Sand, artesian water	75	860
			Slate	55	915
Well BJ-59	-20-564		Sand	107	1,022
Owner: Stee Driller	le's Store : —		Slate, green	14	1,036
Loam, sandy	15	15	Sand, green water	44	1,080
Quicksand	40	55	Sand, brown	12	1,092
Logs	з	58	Lime	4	1, 0 96
Gravel	24	82	Slate	71	1,167
Slate, black	42	124	Lime	3	1,170
Sand	6	130	Coal	2	1,172
Slate, black	10	140	Slate, brown	13	1,185
Sand water	85	225	Sand, no water	10	1,195
	20	045	Slate	23	1,218
Slate, black	20	245	Sand, water	18	1,236
Sand	48	293	Lime, black, hard	4	1,240
Slate, black	42	335	Slate, brown, and mud	57	1,297
Slate, white	15	350	Lime	2	1,299
Sand	12	362	Mud, brown	81	1,380
Slate, white	18	380-	Lime	З	1,383
			Slate	67	1,450

THICKNESS DEPTH (FEET) (FEET)

Well BJ-59-20-564-Continued

Sand and shale, mixed	10	1,460
Sand, gray	50	1,510
Slate, brown	5	1,515
Lime	1	1,516
Slate, brown	14	1,530
Shell, lime	2	1,532
Sand	113	1,645
Slate	35	1,680
Sand, water	85	1,765
Shell, hard	6	1,771
Sand	29	1,800
Slate, brown	35	1,835
Coal	3	1,838
Slate, brown	7	1,845
Sand	52	1,897
Slate	4	1,901
Lime	19	1,920
Slate	5	1,925
Lime, soft	8	1,933
Slate	2	1,935
Sand	20	1,955
Slate	15	1,970
Sand	13	1,983
Slate	14	1,997
Sand, water and warm water	11	2,008
Slate	204	2,212
No record	1,923	4,135

Well BJ-59-20-920

Owner:	Texas	А	&	М	University,	Well 4
Driller: -						

12	12
23	35
87	122
31	153
	12 23 87 31

Well BJ-59-20-920-Continued

THICKNESS

(FEET)

DEPTH

(FEET)

Shale and shells and		
sandy shale	111	264
Shale	29	293
Shale, sandy	20	313
Sand, fine, gray	9	322
Shale, hard	11	333
Shale, sandy	10	343
Sand cuts, some shale		
streaks	72	415
Shale, hard, sandy	13	428
Shale	10	438

Well BJ-59-20-929

Owner: Red Barn Chemical, Inc. Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Company

СІау	42	42
Gravel	30	72

Well BJ-59-20-931

Owner: Texas A & M University, Test Well Brandesky 1 Driller: -

Shale, blue	74	74
Shale, brown	44	118
Sand, fine blue	10	128
Shale, gray	159	287
Shale, gray, and sand layers	73	360
Shale and sand streaks	34	394
Sand, white	99	493

Well BJ-59-20-932

Owner: Anthony Salvaggio Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Company

Shale	50	50
Gravel	12	62
Shale	218	280
Shale, sandy	30	310
Sand	150	460
Shale	10	470
No record	37	507

THICKNESS	DEPTH	THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BJ-59-20-201

Well BJ-59-21-203-Continued

Owner: City of Bryan, Well 6			Shale, gray and	2	20
	niner. —		Sand Streaks	3	30
Soil, black sandy	2	2	Sand, gray, and lignite	25	63
Clay, brown and white	13	15	Shale, gray	4	67
Sand, dark gray and			Shale, yellow and white	13	80
shale	39	54	Shale, gray	25	105
Shale, dark gray and some shells	. 95	149	Shale, yellow and white, and brown sand streaks	17	122
Shale, dark gray and boulders	2	151	Sand, gray, shale and lignite	14	136
Shale, dark gray and some shells	32	183	Shale, gray and white, and layers of lignite	21	157
Shale, dark gray and boulders	4	187	Shale, hard gray	23	180
Shale, dark gray and			Shale and lignite	5	185
snells	49	236	Rock	2	187
Sand, fine-grained, gray	14	250	Shale, hard gray	21	208
Shale, dark gray and shells	34	284	Shale, gray, and shale and lignite	24	232
Sand, dark gray, few shale streaks	36	320	Sand, fine gray	14	246
Shale, brown, and sandy streaks	15	335	sand streaks	14	260
Sand hard gray shale			Shale, gray, and shells	21	281
and lignite	6	341	Sand, gray, and shale layers	14	295
Shale, gray, few sand breaks, some lignite	9	350	Sand, fine gray	17	312
Sand, layers, fine-grained, gray, some lignite	15	365	Shale, gray, and lignite layers	15	327
Sand, fine, gray, cut	92	457	Sand, fine brown	16	343
Shale, brown, sandy			Sand, gray, shale, and lignite	25	368
streaks Sand, coarse, gray,	2	459	Sand, gray , some shale and lignite	20	388
cut clean	10	469	Sand, gray	65	453
Sand, fine gray, shale layers	21	490	Sand, gray, and shale	42	405
Shale, brown, cut core	9	499	Sand, gray, and little	42	490
Well B	J-59-21-203		lignite	45	540
Owner: City D	y of Bryan, Well 8 riller: —		Shale, brown	14	554

2

Surface soil

2

17

THICKNESS DEPTH (FEET) (FEET)

THICKNESS DEPTH (FEET) (FEET)

Well BJ-59-21-204

Well BJ-59-21-205-Continued

Owner: City of Bryan, Well 7		Clay	18	30	
On the second st		2	Sand	20	50
Soll, sandy	2	2	Shale	35	85
Clay, white and ye	sllow 5	/	Sand	5	90
Sand and clay	43	50	Shale	19	109
Clay, gray sandy	33	83	Sand-clay	83	192
Shale, shale	55	138	Shale	58	250
Shale and boulder	s 2	140	Sand	225	475
Shale, gray	30	170	Shale	65	540
Shale and shell	4	174	Sand	60	600
Shale, gray	22	196	Shale	30	630
Shale and shell	2	198	Sand sandy shale	245	875
Shale, sandy, and	18	04.0	Shale	245	070
lignite	18	216		45	920
Shale and shells	6	222	Sand, shale	50	970
Sand, gray	17	239	Shale	120	1,090
Shale, gray, and shells	26	265	Lime and shale	70	1,160
Sand, gray	12	277	Shale, sandy	300	1,400
Sand, gray, shell,			Shale	500	1,960
lignite	16	293	Shale, sandy	170	2,130
Sand, gray, and shale breaks	17	310	Lignite and shale	80	2,210
Sand, grav	27	337	Shale, sandy	210	2,420
Sand, brown and b	olue.		Sand	460	2,880
and lignite	54	391	Shale and sand	60	2,940
Sand, light and gra	3Υ,		Shale	7	2,947
breaks	27	418			
Sand, sharp gray,					
brown shale and layers of sand	28	446	Well	BJ-59-21-206	
Shale, brown, and			Owner: C	ity of Bryan, Well 1	
layers of sand	23	469	Diller. La	Tyne Texas Company	
Sand, sharp gray	56	525	Topsoil	4	4
Shale, brown	14	539	Clay	13	17
Well B.J.59-21-205		Sand	10	27	
C	Owner: City of Brvan, Well 12		Rock	1	28
C	oriller: Texas Water Wells, Inc.		Shale, gray, and shells	27	55
Topsoil	9	9	Shale, brown	27	82
Sand, surface	3	12	Sand	27	0F
			Salid	r.	0.0

THICKNESS	DEPTH	THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BJ-59-21-20	06-Continued	Well BJ-59-21-206–Continued			
Shale	2	87	Rock	1	625
Sand	5	92	Shale, brown and green,		
Shale, brown	10	102	and pyrite	23	648
Sand, fine green	13	115	Sand (QC) tested	40	688
Shale, brown	17	132	Shale, brown, and	26	724
Rock	1	133	Bock	1	724
Shale, light gray, boulders, and shell streaks	92	225	Shale, and shells and lignite	9	723
Shale, light gray, and shell layers	33	258	Shale, sandy, and lignite	28	762
Rock	1	259	Shale	3	765
Shale, gray, and layers of shell and boulders	26	285	Shale, sandy, layers of sand, lignite and glauconite	23	788
shale	12	297	Sand and shale, shale, shale streaks, and lignite	42	820
Shale, gray, and shells	27	324	Shale, lignite and shells	21	851
Shale, gray, and	13	337	Sand, fine	7	858
Shale sandy	20	357	Shale, hard brown, and	32	890
Shale, gray, and	27	284	Shale, brown, and shells,	00	010
Sand Streaks	27	384	Challe brown and shalls	28	918
sandy, and streaks			Shale, brown, and shells	12	930
lignite	33	417	Rock	1	931
Sand with streaks of brown shale and			shale streaks	11	942
lignite	23	440	Sand, ruddy, and brown shale streaks (cored)	8	950
and lignite and shale	19	459	Sand, ruddy, and brown shale streaks	39	989
Shale, hard	3	462	Rock	2	991
Sand, hard pack	10	472	Shale, brown, shells, and lignite	41	1.032
Sand, and layers of shale SP	12	484	Shale, brown sandy, shells and lignite	22	1 054
Sand and layers of shale (cored)	9	493	Rock	1	1,055
Sand (Sparta?)	50	543	Shale, hard brown and gray,	20	1 1 4 4
Shale, hard	10	553	Shale, hard, and shells with	69	1,144
Shale, brown, and shell	14	567	layers of rock and lime	34	1,178
Sand	5	572	Rock	4	1,182
Shale, brown and green,	50	60.4	Shale	2	1,184
shell and lightle	52	024			

THICKNESS	DEPTH	THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)

•

١	Vell BJ-59-21-206—Continued	nued Well BJ-59-21-207-Continue			
Rock	1	1,186	Sand	30	166
Shale, hard blue a	nd	1.00.4	Shale	59	225
brown	- 39	1,224	Sand	2	227
Sand, hard pack	1	1,231	Shale, and sandy shale	145	372
Shale	4	1,235	Sand	124	496
Sand, hard pack, l rock with shell, a	ayers of nd		Shale	24	520
shale	15	1,250	Sand	20	540
Rock, shells, shale and layers of har	, d		Shale	52	592
rock	21	1,271	Sand	5	597
Rock, shale, shells layers of hard roo	, ck		Shale, and sandy shale	120	717
and pyrites	24	1,295	Sand	78	795
Shale, hard, and lignite	23	1,318	Shale	40	835
Shale, brown, and			Sand	3	838
lignite	30	1,348	Shale	164	1,002
Shale, sandy	42	1,390	Sand	6	1,008
Shale, sandy (core	d) 10	1,400	Shale, and sandy shale	71	1,079
Shale, sandy	28	1,428	Sand	49	1,128
Shale and streaks sandy shale	of 10	1,438	Rock, hard, shale and sandy shale	28	1,156
Sand, muddy, with layers of shale	ו 23	1,461	Sand	44	1,200
Sand, shaly, with	ayers		Rock	1	1,201
of shale (cored)	9	1,470	Sand	17	1,218
Sand, and layers o shale	f 14	1,484	Rock	1	1,219
Shale, sandy	5	1,489	Sand	11	1,230
Sand (cored) - no			Shale and sand streaks	46	1,276
recovery	9	1,498	Shale, hard	31	1,307
Sand, shaly, with lignite mica	31	1,529	Sand	15	1,322
Shale, brown and			Shale, sandy	62	1,384
gray shale and lignite	31	1,560	Shale	18	1,402
Rock, sand	2	1,562	Sand	15	1,417
Shale	26	1,588	Shale, and sandy shale	86	1,503
No report	88	1,676	Rock	1	1,504
Sand	92	1,768	Shale, sandy, and shale	43	1,547
			Sand	18	1,565
	Well BJ-59-21-207		Shale, sandy	245	1,810
C E	Owner: City of Bryan, Well 14 Driller: Layne Texas Company		Sand	31	1,841
Surface soil	3	3	Rock	4	1,845

136

133

Clay

THICKNESS	DEPTH	THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BJ-59-21-207—Continued

Well BJ-59-21-208-Continued

Shale, hard, and rock streaks		25	1,870	Shale	8	65
Shale, sandy, and				Sand-shale	13	78
lignite		78	1,948	Shale	34	112
Sand		38	1,986	Sand-shale	8	120
Rock		1	1,987	Sand	123	243
Shale, and sand streaks		68	2,055	Sand-shale	37	280
Sand		28	2,083	Sand	44	324
Shale		39	2,122	Shale	41	363
Sand		77	2,199	Shale, sandy	47	412
Shale		23	2.222	Sand	46	458
Sand and lignite			,	Shale, sandy	127	585
streaks		96	2,318	Sand	69	654
Lime		4	2,322	Shale	13	667
Sand	1	24	2,446	Sand	78	745
Rock		1	2,447	Sand-shale, sticks	120	865
Sand and lignite		55	2,502	Shale	222	1,087
Sand and lime		32	2,534	Sand	51	1,138
Lime, hard		2	2,536	Shale	154	1,292
Sand		10	2,546	Sand	18	1,310
Shale		4	2,550	Shale	110	1,420
Sand		42	2,592	Shale, sand	82	1,502
Shale, rocky		13	2,605	Shale, black	271	1,773
Shale, and sandy shale		54	1.659	Sand, shale	149	1,922
Sand and lime		30	2 689	Shale, sandy	157	2,079
Sand rock and			_,	Shale	66	2,145
lignite		30	2,719	Gumbo	53	2,198
Shale and lime		21	2,740	Shale, sandy	66	2,264
Sand, and lime st	reaks	10	2,750	Lime	16	2,280
Sand, and chalk		20	2 700	Shale	6	2,286
		30	2,780	Shale, sandy	14	2,300
lime	1	90	2,870	Sand, hard	200	2,500
				Sand, shale	151	2,651
	Well BJ-59-21-208			Shale, hard	10	2,661
	Owner: City of Bryan, Well Driller: —	13		Sand, hard	49	2,710
Topsoil		9	9	Sand-shale	60	2,770
Clay		48	57	Sand	33	2,803

THICKNESS	DEPTH	THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)

Well	BJ-59-21	-208	Continued
------	----------	------	-----------

Shale, hard	26	2,829
Shale, sandy	21	2,850
Shale, hard	10	2,860
Well B.I-59	-21-302	
Owper: City of	Bryan Well 2	
Driller	: -	
Clay, red and white	27	27
Shale, sandy	23	50
Shale, gray	108	158
Rock	2	160
Shale, gray, and shell	47	207
Rock	1	208
Shale, gray, boulders and shell	32	240
Rock	1	241
Shale, gray, boulders and shell	54	295
Rock	1	296
Sand and shale	1 1	307
Sand and shell	30	337
Sand and shale	25	362
Sand, fine-grained	30	392
Shale, lignite and sand	46	438
Sand and shale	85	523
Well BJ-59)-21-303	
Owner: City of I Driller	Bryan, Well 10 r: —	
Clay	100	100
Clay, sandy, and gravel streaks	48	148
Clay	98	246
Shale, and small pieces of shells	130	376
Shale, shells, lignite	54	430

Sand and shale streaks

Well BJ-59-21-303-Continued			
Shale, sandy	15	490	
Sand and shale streaks	80	570	
Shale, sandy	40	610	
Shale and shells	54	664	
Shale, sandy	52	716	
Shale	44	760	
Shale, sandy, and lignite	48	808	
Shale	51	859	
Shale, sandy	120	979	
Shale, shells and lignite	139	1,118	
Shale and shells	165	1,283	
Shaie, sandy, shells and sand breaks	48	1,331	
Shale, sandy, and shale streaks	59	1,390	
Shale and lignite	61	1,451	
Shale and shells	199	1,650	
Shale, sandy, and lignite	42	1,692	
Shale and sand streaks	41	1,733	
Rock	2	1,735	
Shale	82	1,817	
Shale and lignite	92	1,909	
Shale	91	2,000	
Shale, sandy, and lignite	60	2,060	
Shale	62	2,122	
Shale, sandy	10	2,132	
Sand	43	2,175	
Shale, hard, and lignite	23	2,198	
Shale, sandy, and shale streaks	40	2,238	
Shale, hard	3	2,241	
Shale, sandy, and shale streaks	25	2,266	
Shale	5	2,271	
Shale and lignite	41	2,312	

10

2,322

Shale

475

THICKNESS	DEPTH	THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BJ-59-21-303-Continued

Well	BJ-59-21-304Continued	

Shale and lignite	18	2,340
Sand	74	2,414
Shale, sandy	34	2,448
Sand	28	2,476
Shale	24	2,500
Shale and lignite	20	2,520
Lignite	50	2,570
Shale and lignite	43	2,613
Shale	8	2,621
Sand	75	2,696
Sand, cored	25	2,721
Sand	79	2,800
Sand, cored	20	2,820
Sand	80	2,900
Sand, cored, and shale streaks	25	2,925
Sand, cored	43	2,968
Sand and shalestreaks	12	2,980
Shale, sandy	10	2,990
Sand and shale streaks	45	3,035
Sand	18	3,053
Sand and shale streaks	17	3,070
Sand, cored, and shale	20	3,090
Shale, sandy	17	3,107
Shale, sandy, and sand	20	3,127
Shale, sandy, hard streaks	23	3,150

Well	BJ-59-	21-304
------	--------	--------

Owner: City of Bryan, Well 3 Driłler: —			
Clay	28	28	
Clay, red and white	47	75	
Rock	1	76	
Shale, sandy	28	104	
Sand	12	116	
Rock	1	117	
Sand, hard, green	24	141	
Shale, gray	44	185	

Rock	2	187
Shale and rock layers	3	190
Rock	1	191
Shale, gray	32	223
Shale, gray, and shell	24	247
Shale, shell, and boulders	23	270
Rock	1	271
Shale, shell, and boulders	24	295
Sand and shale layers	19	314
Shale and shell	28	342
Shale and sand	31	373
Shale and sand layers	20	393
Shale and sandy shale	24	417
Sand	72	489
Shale	9	498

Well BJ-59-21-305

Owner: City of Bryan, Well 4 Driller: —

Clay	8	8
Clay, yellow	24	32
Rock	1	33
Shale, gray	46	79
Rock	1	80
Shale, gray	13	93
Sand and shale	31	124
Shale	7	131
Shale, sandy, and shell	16	147
Shale, gray	35	182
Shale	22	204
Shale and boulders	5	209
Rock	1	210
Shale	10	220
Rock	1	221
Shale	10	231
Rock	1	232

THICKNESS	DEPTH	THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BJ-59-21-305-Continued

Shale	2	234
Rock	1	235
Shale, gray	29	264
Shale and boulders	27	291
Rock	1	292
Shale, gray	31	323
Shale, sandy	15	338
Shale, gray, and shells	21	359
Sand with shale breaks	30	389
Shale	5	394
Sand (tight)	31	425
Shale	25	450
Shale, sandy	69	519
Sand	5	524
Shale	5	529
Sand	68	597
Shale, brown	26	623
Sand, hard	10	633
Shale, sandy	16	649
Shale, sandy (cored)	2	651
Shale and lime	8	659
Sand and sandy shale	18	677

Well BJ-59-21-306

Owner: City o Driller: Layne	of Bryan, Well 9 Texas Company	
Clay	143	143
Clay, sandy	25	168
Sand, gray, with black specks	15	183
Shale and streaks of sand	20	203
Shale, sandy	27	230
Shale, sticky	41	271
Shale, sand, and rock layers	9	280
Shale and rock layers	90	370
Shale, sandy	40	410
Shale and rock layers	35	445

Well BJ-59-21-306-Continued

Sand, fine gray, and streaks of shale	30	475
Sand, fine gray	23	498
Shale	11	509
Sand, fine gray	75	584
Shale	5	589
Sand, gray	29	618
Sand, fine gray, and streaks of shale	35	653
Shale	13	666
Shale, sandy	24	690
Shale	20	710

Well BJ-59-21-401

Owner: Texas A & M University, Test Well 8 (1952) Driller: --

Topsoil	2	2
Clay	13	15
Shale, sand and shell	112	127
Sand, fine gray	18	145
Sand, fine gray, and streaks of shale	37	182
Shale	29	211
Sand, fine gray, and lignite	72	283
Sand and shale streaks	12	295
Sand, fine gray	33	328
Shale, sandy	27	355
Shale	36	291
Sand, hard, and shale	25	416
Sand, fine gray, with black specks	52	468
Shale, hard, sandy	17	485
Shale	33	518
Sand, fine gray and streaks of shale	57	575
Sand, fine gray	23	598
Sand and streaks of shale	43	641
Sand, fine gray	40	681
Shale, sandy, and shell	20	701

THICKNESS	DEPTH	THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BJ-59-21-401-Continued

Sand, brown	41	742
Shale, sandy, and shell	40	782
Sand, fine gray	20	802
Shale, sandy, and shale	40	842
Sand	18	860
Shale	32	892
Sand	4	896
Shale and streaks of rock, sand and shell	88	984
Shale, sandy	21	1,005
Shale and rock layers	63	1,068
Sand and streaks of shale	21	1,089
Shale, sandy, and shale	31	1,120
Sand	5	1,125
Shale	9	1,134
Sand, fine gray	27	1,161
Shale	7	1,168
Sand, gray	66	1,234
Sand and streaks of shale	61	1,295
Shale, sandy, and shale	33	1,328
Rock	1	1,329
Shale	6	1,335

Well BJ-59-21-402

Owner: Texas A & M Univ., Well_s5 Driller: Layne Texas Co.

Surface soil	3	3
Sand	12	15
Clay	125	140
Clay, sandy, with sand streaks	73	213
Sand and clay streaks	30	243
Sand	90	333
Shale	84	417
Sand, shaly	63	480
Shale	45	525
Sand, shaly	35	560

Shale, sandy	138	698
Sand and shale streaks	48	746
Shale	44	790
Sand and shale streaks	75	865
Shale	68	933
Shale, sandy	104	1,037
Sand	16	1,053
Shale, sandy	5	1,058
Sand	42	1,100
Sand, shalv	15	1,115
Sand, shale breaks	85	1,200
Sand, shaly	20	1,220
Sand, shale streaks	55	1,275
Sand, shaly	58	1,333
Shale, sandy	12	1,345

Well BJ-59-21-402–Continued

Well BJ-59-21-408

Owner: Clyde Porterfield Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Co.

Shale	154	154
Sand	26	180
Shale	72	252
Sand	15	267
Sand, fine	13	280
Sand	14	294
Sand, shaly	13	307
Sand	41	348

Well BJ-59-21-501

Owner: City of Bryan, Well 5 Driller: —

Soil, black	3	3
Clay, yellow	6	9
Clay, sandy	8	17
Clay, blue	12	29
Shale with sand breaks	60	89
Rock	1	90
Shale and shell layers	28	118

THICKNESS	DEPTH	THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BJ-59-21-501-Continued

Shale	27	145
Rock	1	146
Shale	24	170
Shale, hard, and layers of hard sand	113	283
Rock, hard	2	285
Shale, hard, shell, and layers of hard sand	42	327
Sand, and layers of shale	8	335
Sand, and layers of shell	25	360
Sand, and layers of shell and sand	13	373
Shale, and layers of hard sand	19	392
Sand, hard	10	402
Sand, and layers of shale and shell	16	418
Sand	9	427
Sand and layers of shell and lignite	22	449
Sand with few shale breaks	16	465
Sand, hard	5	470
Sand, with few hard streaks	23	493
Sand, with lignite and shale breaks	37	530
Shale, hard	5	535
Sand, with few shale breaks	44	579
Shale, sandy	5	584

Well BJ-59-21-502

Owner: City of Bryan, Test Well 2 Driller: Layne Texas Company

Topsoil	3	3
Clay, blue	39	42
Clay, sandy	20	62
Clay	22	84
Shale and streaks of sand	49	133
Shale, sandy	14	147
Shale	9	156

Sand, fine, gray	16	172
Shale, and streaks of sand and shell	64	236
Shale, sandy, & sand	49	285
Shale	28	313
Sand, fine, gray	38	351
Shale, and streaks of sand	68	419
Sand, gray, with black specks	100	519
Sand, with lots of shale	40	559
Sand, gray	22	581
Shale	19	600

Well BJ-59-21-502-Continued

Well BJ-59-21-505

Owner: Clyde Porterfield Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Company

Shell	75	75
Sand	10	85
Shale	215	300
Sand	16	316
Shale, sandy	12	328

Well BJ-59-21-507

Owner: Ruble Smith Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Company

Shale	35	35
Sand	27	62
Shale	171	233
Sand	6	239
Shale	217	456
Sand	13	469
Shale	2	471

Well BJ-59-21-601

Owner: City of Bryan, Test Well 4 Driller: Layne Texas Company

Surface soil	3	3
Sand and gravel	3	6
Sand	21	27

THICKNESS	DEPTH	THICKNESS
(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BJ-59-21-601-Continued

Well BJ-59-21-602–Continued

Clay and sand layers	43	70
Shaie, hard	113	183
Shale and sand layers	12	195
Shale	37	232
Shale and sandy shale	23	255
Shale	34	289
Shale and rock layers	5	294
Shale and sandy shale	22	316
Rock, hard	2	318
Shale	37	355
Shale and thin sand layers	23	378
Shale, sandy, and shale	22	400
Sand	20	420
Shale	24	444
Sand	3	447
Shale and sandy shale	25	472
Shale and sand layers	16	488
Sand	14	502
Shale and sand layers	32	534
Sand, gray, cut good	50	584
Shale	3	587
Sand, gray, cut good	67	654
Shale	5	659
Sand and thin shale layers	17	676
Sand, gray, g o od	10	686
Shale	14	700

Well BJ-59-21-602

Owner: City of Bryan, Test Well 3 Driller: Layne Texas Company	
10	

Clay

Sand	7	17
Sand, brown, and clay streaks	25	42
Sand, fine gray	22	64

Sand fine gray and		
shale streaks	36	100
Shale	165	265
Rock	2	267
Shale and rock layers	83	350
Shale, sandy	43	393
Shale and sandy shale	45	438
Sand, fine gray, and shale streaks	20	458
Shale, sandy and shale	40	498
Sand	11	509
Shale	7	516
Sand, fine gray	14	530
Shale	6	536
Sand, fine gray	11	547
Shale, sandy, and shale	31	578
Sand, and sandy shale	22	600
Sand, fine gray	20	620
Sand, coarse gray	11	631
Sand, fine gray and streaks of shale	70	701
Sand	7	708
Shale	13	721

DEPTH (FEET)

Well BJ-59-21-604

Owner: R. D. Simpson Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Company

Shale, sandy	60	60
Shale	128	188
Shale, heavy	15	203
Sand	7	210
Shale	5	215
Shale, sandy	12	227
Shale	39	266

THICKNESS	DEPTH	THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BJ-59-21-704

Owner: Texas A & M Univ., Well 3 Driller: —			
Topsoil and clay	21	21	
Gravel, sandy	18	39	
Shale	111	150	
Shale with shell	73	223	
Shale	108	331	
Shale, sandy	6	337	
Sand, fine	10	347	
Sand, fine, gray, cuts good	125	472	
Shale	10	482	

Well BJ-59-21-705

Owner: Texas A & M Univ., Well 2 Driller: —	
and clay 8	

Topsoil and clay	8	8
Sand and gravel	36	44
Shale	46	90
Shale and sandy shale	37	127
Shale, hard	28	155
Shale, hard, shells, and rock layers	87	242
Sand	10	252
Shale, sandy, and shale streaks	47	299
Shale, sandy, and layers of shale and lignite	61	360
Sand, few layers of lignite	63	423
Shale	3	426
Sand, good, cut clean, lignite streaks	42	468
Shale	19	487

Well BJ-59-21-706

Owner: Texas A & M Univ., Well 1 Driller: —

Topsoil and clay	12	12
Sand and gravel	33	45
Shale, sandy shale and shells	143	188

Well BJ-59-21-706 - Continued

Shale, sandy shale, and shells with rock layers	102	290
Shale, sandy, and sand streaks	60	350
Shale, and sandy shale layers	45	395
Sand, hard, cuts fair	35	430
Shale, sandy	17	447
Sand, cuts good	75	522
Shale	11	533

Well BJ-59-21-714

Owner: Texas A & M Univ. (formerly USAFB Well 7) Driller: —

Surface soil	6	6
Clay	11	17
Sand	17	34
Clay	2	36
Clay and sandy clay breaks	15	51
Gravel	5	56
Shale, hard, and gravel	31	87
Shale, hard	15	102
Shale, sandy, and sand streaks	26	128
Shale and sand	20	148
Shale and gravel	29	177
Shale, sticky	47	224
Shale, sandy	10	234
Shale, sandy, and shale breaks	76	310
Shale, hard sticky	8	318
Sand, and sandy shale	68	386
Shale, sandy	12	398
Sand, and shale streaks	22	420
Shale and lignite	25	445
Sand	14	459
Shale, sandy	16	475

THICKNESS	DEPTH	THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BJ-59-21-714-Continued

Sand, and shale streaks	174	649
Shale, and sandy shale streaks	19	668
Shale, sandy	18	686
Sand, good	15	701
Sand, few shale streaks	47	748
Shale, sandy	8	756
Shale	15	771
Sand and shale streaks	29	800
Shale	17	817
Shale, sandy, and sand streaks	19	836
Shale	11	847
Sand and shale streaks	15	862
Shale	20	882
Sand	35	917
Shale, sandy	21	938
Shale	10	948
Sand	8	956
Shale	41	997
Shale, sandy	39	1,036
Shale and lime streaks	60	1 ,0 96
Sand	6	1,102
Shale, few sand streaks	133	1,235
Shale, hard	27	1,262
Shale	52	1,314
Sand	21	1,335
Shale	38	1,373
Shale, sandy	21	1,394
Shale	19	1,413
Sand	13	1,426
Shale and sand breaks	12	1,438
Sand	11	1,449
Shale	11	1,460
Sand and shale breaks	33	1,493
Shale	15	1,508

Sand, few shale		
streaks	47	1,555
Sand and shale, broken	30	1,585
Sand and shale streaks	38	1,623
Shale	19	1,642
Sand	10	1,652
Shale and hard sand streaks	91	1,743
Sand	11	1,754
Shale	31	1,785
Sand	5	1,790
Shale, sandy, and sand rock streaks	70	1,860
Sand rock	4	1,864
Shate	18	1,882
Shale, sandy, and sand		
streaks	56	1,938
Shale	8	1,946
Shale, sandy, and hard sand streaks	83	2 ,0 29
Sand, and hard sand layers	41	2,070
Shale, sandy, and hard streaks sand and shell	30	2,100
Shale, sandy, and sand breaks	36	2,136
Shale, hard, and lignite	30	2,166
Shale, sandy, and lignite	54	2,220
Shale and lignite	30	2,250
Shale, hard	4	2,254
Shale, sandy, and sand streaks	24	2,278
Sand and shale streaks	22	2,300
Shale, and sand streaks	38	2,338
Shale, sandy	12	2,350
Shale	8	2,358
Sand	16	2,374
Shale, and sand streaks	55	2,429
Sand	17	2,446
Shale	6	2,452

11

2,463

Well BJ-59-21-714-Continued

Sand, and shale streaks

THICKNESS	DEPTH	THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BJ-59-21-714-Continued

Shale, sandy, and shale streaks	33	2,496
Shale, and sand streaks	22	2,518
Shale, hard	10	2,528
Shale, sandy	15	2,543
Shale, sandy, and lime layers	38	2,581
Shale, and hard sand layers	34	2,615
Sand	20	2,635
Sand and shale	14	2,649
Sand	12	2,661
Shale	7	2,668
Sand	6	2,674
Shale	34	2,708
Shale and sand, broken	3	2,711
Shale, sandy, and sand streaks	59	2,770
Shale, hard	8	2,778
Shale, sandy	5	2,783
Sand, and shale streaks	118	2,901
Shale	8	2,909
Sand	3	2,912
Shale	4	2,916
Sand	12	2,928
Shale, sandy	14	2,942
Shale	5	2,947
Shale, sandy, and sand	51	2,998
Shale	62	3,060

Well BJ-59-21-715

Owner: Texas A&M University Driller: Texas Water Supply Corp.

Surface soil	6	6
Sand, brown	23	29
Gravel	1	30
Shale, sandy	12	42
Gravel	6	48
Shale	20	68
Rock	1	69
Shale, and streaks of sandy shale	57	126

Rock	1	127
Shale	11	138
Sand	4	142
Shale, hard	36	178
Sand	8	186
Shale	14	200
Sand, some shale streaks	18	218
Shale, hard	14	232
Shale, sandy, and boulders	43	275
Shale, hard green, and boulders	141	416
Sand and shale	82	498
Sand, hard	40	538
Sand, soft, some shale	47	585
Shale	7	592

Well BJ-59-21-715-Continued

Well BJ-59-21-716

Owner: Texas A&M University (formerly USAFB Well 6) Driller: --

Surface soil	5	5
Sand and gravel	26	31
Clay, brown sticky	97	128
Clay, with streaks of sand and rock	126	254
Sand rock	14	268
Clay, with streaks of rock	26	294
Clay, sandy	23	317
Sand	36	353
Clay, sandy	37	390
Sand, hard	110	500
Clay	5	505

Well BJ-59-21-718

Owner: Texas A&M University (formerly USAFB Well 5) Driller: -

Surface sand	20	20
Sand	15	35
Shale	72	107

THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BJ-59-21-718-Continued

Sand and boulders	15	122
Shale and boulders	183	305
Sand	10	315
Shale and sand	35	350
Shale	20	370
Shale, sandy	25	395
Sand	8	403
Shale	3	406
Shale, sandy	4	410
Sand	14	424
Shale, sandy	8	432
Sand	50	482
Shale	10	492

Well BJ-59-21-719

Owner: U.S. Air Force Base (formerly USAFB Well 7) Driller: -

Difficit		
Surface	3	3
Clay	23	26
Shale, blue	44	70
Shale, sticky, blue	14	84
Shale, brown	46	130
Shale, blue	13	143
Shale, thin rock layers	96	239
Rock, hard	1	240
Shale	4	244
Rock, hard	1	245
Shale, rock layers	45	290
Shale, sandy, and shale	22	312
Sand, fine gray, and shale layers	28	340
Sand, gray, fair	24	364
Shale, sandy	23	387
Sand, light gray, and lignite	147	534
Shale	9	543

	Driller: —	
Sand, brown	3	3
Sand, medium	6	9
Sand, coarse, and gravel	31	40
Shale, hard blue	7	47
Shale, sticky blue	125	172
Shale, thin rock layers	7	179
Shale, thin sand streaks	103	282
Shale, brown, sandy	27	309
Shale break	4	313
Sand	31	344
Shale, and sandy shale	35	379
Shale, sandy, and sand	24	403
Sand, broken	9	412
Shale, sandy	13	425
Sand, fine, gray	7	432
Sand, light gray, and shale layers	77	509
Shale, and sandy shale	13	522

Well BJ-59-21-723

Owner: Texas A&M University, Well 6 Driller: Katy Drilling Co.

Topsoil and clay	14	14
Sand and gravel	15	29
Clay (some small rocks)	134	163
Clay, tough	17	180
Clay	106	286
Clay (very small		
sand strips)	26	312
Sand	30	342
Clay	29	371
Sand	8	379
Clay	27	406
Sand (good)	40	446
Clay	131	577
Sand	51	628

THICKNESS DEPTH (FEET) (FEET)

Well BJ-59-21-720

Owner: Texas A&M University (formerly USAFB Test Well 6) Driller: -

THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BJ-59-21-723-Continued

Well BJ-59-21-723—Continued				
Shale, soft	11	1,722		
Shale, sandy, and rocks	9	1,731		
Shale	47	1,778		
Sand and rocks	7	1,785		
Shale, and small sand strips	25	1,810		
Rock	2	1,812		
Shale	51	1,863		
Rock	1	1,864		
Shale, and sand strips	18	1,882		
Sand	14	1,896		
Shale	10	1,906		
Sand	26	1,932		
Shale	6	1,938		
Shale, sandy	26	1,964		
Shale, and hard spots	18	1,982		
Shale, soft	7	1,989		
Rock	1	1,990		
Shale, and hard spots	10	2,000		
Shale, and sand strips	28	2,028		
Sand, and sand rocks	24	2,052		
Shale	6	2,058		
Sand and sand rocks	24	2,082		
Shale	8	2,090		
Sand, rocky	27	2,117		
Sand, and small shale strips	45	2,162		
Shale, and sand strips	22	2,184		
Sand	7	2,191		
Shale, and lignite rock	3	2,194		
Shale, sandy, and lignite rock	10	2,204		
Sand	17	2,221		
Shale, sandy	20	2,241		

Clay and sand strips	13	641
Clay	38	679
Sand	35	714
Clay and small sand strips	18	732
Sand	31	763
Clay and small sand strips	22	785
Sand	46	831
Shale and small sand strips	29	860
Shale	110	970
Shale and small sand strips	45	1.015
Shale	168	1,183
Rock and shell	1	1,184
Sand	6	1,190
Shale, hard	15	1,205
Sand, fine	25	1,230
Shale	17	1,247
Sand	19	1,266
Shale	38	1,304
Shale, soft	15	1,319
Shale, hard	14	1,333
Shale, soft	10	1,343
Sand, rocky	30	1,373
Sand and small shale strips	79	1,452
Shale, soft	24	1,476
Sand	11	1,487
Rock	2	1,489
Sand and small shale strips	43	1,532
Shale	28	1,560
Shale, hard	6	1,566
Sand, and shale strips	32	1,598
Shale, hard	9	1,607
Rock	1	1,608
Shale	2	1,610
Sand, and hard spots	16	1,626

66

19

Shale

Sand and rock

1,692

1,711

Shale, and hard spots

Shale, hard

Lignite

THICKNESS DEPTH

(FEET) (FEET)

2,257

2,279

2,280

16

22

THICKNESS	DEPTH	THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BJ-59-21-723-Continued

Chala and		
lignite strips	13	2,293
Shale, hard	16	2,309
Sand	12	2,321
Shale	68	2,389
Sand	24	2,413
Shale, hard	62	2,475
Lignite, and hard shale strips	34	2,509
Sand	21	2,530
Sand, and small shale strips	23	2,553
Shale and lignite	5	2,558
Sand	7	2,565
Shale and lignite	9	2,574
Shale, sandy	54	2,628
Shale and hard strips	60	2,688
Shale and sand strips	110	2,798
Shale	15	2,813
Sand, and small strips of shale	46	2,859
Sand rock, hard	1	2,860
Sand (good)	68	2,928
Shale and sand strips	10	2,938
Lignite and shale strips	34	2,972
Shale and hard sand strips	15	2,987
Sand	71	3,058
Shale	102	3,160

Well BJ-59-21-802

Owner: Fred Hall
Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Co.

Sand	15	15
Gravel	3	18
Shale	204	222
Sand, fine	6	228
Shale	285	513
Sand	20	533

Well BJ-59-21-906

Owner: Mr. I	Dalbert Orr	
Driller: B. G. & I	R. Drilling Co.	
Soapstone	20	20
Sand and shale	21	41
Shale, gray	41	82
Shale, sandy	61	143
Shale	41	184
Shale, sandy	21	205
Shale	61	266
Shale and sand streaks	21	287
Sand	35	322

Well BJ-59-21-907

Owner: Erving Lenz Driller: B. G. & R. Drilling Co.

Driner: B, G, & H, Drining Co.

Soapstone and shale	41	41
Sand	3	44
Shale and lignite	17	61
Shale	21	82
Shale, sandy	73	155
Shale	131	286
Sand, shale streaks	21	307
Sand, fine white	16	323

Well BJ-59-22-101

Owner: Marden Lab Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Co.

Shale	119	119
Sand, fine	5	124
Shale	56	180
Sand, fine	15	195
Shale	129	324
Sand, fine	14	338
Shale streaks	10	348
Shale	182	530
Sand	14	544

THICKNESS	DEPTH	THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)

v	Vell BJ-59-22-401		Well	BJ-59-22-401-Continued	
Owner	: City of Bryan, Well 4 Driller: —		Shale	55	1,630
Topsoil	5	5	Rock	4	1,634
Clav	5	10	Shale	26	1,660
Sand and clay	8	18	Rock	3	1,663
Water sand	4	22	Shale	59	1,722
Clav, red	4	26	Sand	78	1,800
Clay, vellow	54	80	Shale	80	1,880
Shale, light	85	165	Sand	20	1,900
Bock, red	3	168	Shale	30	1,930
Shale and sand	72	240	Sand	21	1,950
Gumbo	30	270	Shale	68	2,018
Shale	210	480	Gumbo	35	2,053
Rock	4	484		Well BJ-59-22-402	
Shale	116	600		Owner: City of Bryan	
Gumbo	30	630		Driller: —	
Sand	15	645	Clay	10	10
Shale	135	780	Sand	15	25
Sand	20	800	Clay	5	30
Shale	200	1,000	Sand	10	40
Rock	20	1,020	Shale	20	60
Shale	160	1,180	Sand	5	65
Sand	35	1,215	Shale	35	100
Shale	95	1,310	Sand, hard	5	105
Rock	2	1,312	Shale	65	170
Shale	48	1,360	Rock	1	171
Gumbo	25	1,385	Pack sand	3	174
Shale	98	1,483	Water sand	61	235
Shells and lignite	8	1,491	Shale	5	240
Shale	24	1,515	Gumbo and shale	63	303
Gumbo	13	1,528		Well BJ-59-22-403	
Sand and shale	12	1,540		Owner: City of Bryan	
Rock	2	1,542		Driller: -	
Shale	18	1,560	Soil, sandy	2	2
Rock	3	1,563	Clay	5	7
Sand	10	1,573	Clay, sandy	12	19
Rock	2	1,575	Clay	32	51

Rock

THICKNESS	DEPTH	THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BJ-59-22-403-Continued

Shale and sand	117	168	
Clay, brown, and coal	34	202	
Rock	1	203	
Gumbo	14	217	
Rock	1	218	
Shale and boulders	20	238	
Shale, sandy	27	265	
Shale	12	277	
Shale, sandy	15	292	
Shale	19	311	
Shale, hard sticky	12	323	
Gumbo	15	338	
Rock	1	339	
Gumbo	16	355	
Shale	19	374	
Sand	15	389	
Shale	22	411	
Sand shale	18	429	
Shale	5	434	
Shale, sticky	102	536	
Shale, soft, and boulders	30	566	
Shale and boulders	44	610	
Shale	78	688	
Sand	40	728	
Shale	30	758	
Sand	112	870	
Shale, sandy	3	873	
Well BJ-59-22-502			

	Owner: Elsie Jackson Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Co.	
Sand	64	64
Shale and sand	49	113
Sand, coarse	15	128
Shale	. 57	185
Coal	10	195
Shale	83	278
Sand	7	285

Well BJ-59-22-606

	Owner: Mike Ruffino Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Co.	
Shale	195	195
Sand	1.3	208
Shale	2	210
Sand	10	220
Sand shale	24	244
Sand	11	255
Shale	11	266

Well BJ-59-22-904

Owner: Bethel Baptist Church Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Co.

Shale, brown	80	80
Sand, blue	15	95
Shale, blue	9 0	185
Sand, blue	12	197
Shale, blue	172	369
Sand, fine	11	380
Sand, blue	10	390

Well BJ-59-23-101

Owner: Kazmeier Hatchery, Inc. Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Co.

Clay	10	10
Gravel	10	20
Shale	30	50
Sand	15	65
Shale	72	137
Sand	5	142
Shale	37	179
Sand	3	182
Shale	89	271
Sand	3	274
Shale	31	305
Sand	17	322
Shale	6	328

THICKNESS DEPTH TH (FEET) (FEET)

Well BJ-59-23-402

Owner: Dave Shaw Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Co.

Sand	87	87
Shale	13	100
Sand	7	107
Shale	69	176
Sand	13	189
Shale	21	210

Well BJ-59-23-701

Owner: Barker Allen Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Co.

Shale	132	132
Shale, sandy	4	136
Shale	44	180
Sand	20	200
Shale	84	284
Shale, hard	21	305
Sand, coarse	10	315
Sand, fine	13	328

Well BJ-59-29-203

Owner: Clyde Berger Driller: B. G. & R. Drilling Co.

Sand and gravel	36	36
Shale, black	25	61
Gravel	14	75
Shale, gray	7	82
Shale and lignite	20	102
Shale	78	180
Sand	14	194
Sandy shale	42	236
Sand	31	267
Sandy shale	20	. 287

Well BJ-59-29-305

Owner: Gainer B. Jones Driller: Cecil C. Capps

3

THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BJ-59-29-305-Continued

Clay	39	42
Sandstone, blue	58	100
Sand, white	5	105
Sandstone, blue	53	158
Sand, blue	2	160
Sandstone, blue	26	186
Sand, streaky blue	8	194
Shale, blue, and coal	68	262
Sand, salt-and-pepper	32	294
Shale, blue	21	315

Well BJ-59-29-604

Owner: Dr. L. C. Grumbles Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Co.

Clay, red	20	20
Sand, red	14	34
Shale, sandy	186	220
Sand, fine	7	227
Shale, blue	183	410
Sand, blue	10	420
Shale, blue	100	520
Sand, white	15	535

Well BJ-59-30-104

Owner: Texas A&M University Driller: Southern Well Drilling Co.

Clay	90	90
Rock	1	91
Clay	9	100
Boulders	. 3	103
Clay	12	115
Rock	3	118
Shale	68	186
Clay	8	194
Sand	34	228
Clay	20	248
Gumbo and shale	62	310
Sand, hard, fine	38	348
Shale, gumbo and lignite	42	390

Shale

THICKNESS	ПЕРТЦ	THICKNESS	пертн
(FEET)	(FEET)	(FFFT)	(FFFT)
(1 = =) /			(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

	Well BJ-59-30-104-Continued	
Sand	11	401
Gumbo	3	404
Sand	30	434
Gumbo	17	451
	Well BJ-59-30-206	
	Owner: Charles Cemino Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Co.	
Shale	113	113
Sand	12	125
Shale	31	156
Sand shale	8	164
Shale	31	195
Sand	10	205
Shale	19	224
Sand	12	236
Shale	128	364
Sand	21	385
Shale	191	576
Sand	42	618
	Well BJ-59-30-304	
	Owner: Frank Arriens Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Co,	
Shale	277	277
Sand	11	288

Shale

Sand

Shale

Sand

Shale

Sand, fine

Shale coal streaks

Sand shale streaks

5

17

18

4

88

10

15

2

293

310

328 332

420

430

445

447

Well BJ-59-30-104-Continued

Shale, sandy	65	
Sand salt	52	
Shale	41	
Sand	12	
Shale	192	
Sand	15	
Shale	12	

Well BJ-59-30-501

Well BJ-59-30-403-Continued

247

252

317

641

Owner: Don Cain Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Co,

Shale, blue	167	167
Shale, sandy	18	185
Shale	115	300
Shale, sandy	22	322
Shale	7	329
Sand, fine	8	337
Shaie	211	548
Sand	10	558
Shale	16	574

Well BJ-59-30-601

Owner: Dr. A. W. Blesing Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Co.

Shale	240	240
Sand, fine	40	280
Sand	9	289
Shale	15	304
Sand	9	313
Shale	187	500
Sand	33	533

Well BJ-59-30-802

Owner: Bill Henery Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Co. Well BJ-59-30-403 Shale 312 312 Owner: Mrs. A. L. Parson Sand 11 323 Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Co. Shale 67 390 5 5 Gravel

1

THICKNESS DEPTH (FEET) (FEET)

Well BJ-59-30-802-Continued

Shale, sandy	118	508
Sand, fine	15	523
Sand	14	537
Shale	16	553

Well BJ-59-31-201

Owner: W. P. Smith Driller: B, G, & R, Drilling Co.

Soapstone	20	20
Sand	16	36
Shale	16	52
Sand	9	61
Shale	139	200
Shale, sandy	5	205
Sand	11	216
Shale	25	241
Shale, sandy	5	246
Shale	78	324
Sand	. 4	328
Shale	2	330
Sand (well made)	14	344

Well BJ-59-31-401

	Owner: Jim Carll Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Co.	
Shale	364	364
Shale, sandy	31	395
Sand	10	405
Sand, shaley	36	441
Shale	21	462
Sand	8	470
Shale	89	559
Sand	7	566
Shale	6	572
Shale streaks	2	574
Not given	100	674

THICKNESS DEPTH (FEET) (FEET)

Well BJ-59-31-701

Owner: Russell Mahaffey Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Co.

Shale, blue	160	160
Sand	10	170
Shale	4	174
Sand	106	280
Shale	7	287

Well BJ-59-39-101

Owner: Elsie Hill Driller: H. L. Edwards Drilling Co. (in acct. with W. J. Swinehart)

Clay	28	28
Sand, white	3	31
Clay	19	50
Sand, white	10	60
Rock	3	63
Shale, sandy	20	83
Sand, fine	12	95
Shale	103	198
Shale, sandy	16	214
Shale and rock	10	224
Sand, fine, blue	24	248
Shale, hard	29	277
Sand, fine, blue	14	291
Shale, sandy, coal, lignite	27	318
Lignite and red shale	22	340
Shale	62	402
Rock, sandy	5	407
Shale	19	426
Rock, sandy	8	434
Shale	66	500
Sand, salt-and-pepper, and lignite	28	528

.

έ,

THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BJ-59-39-103

Owner: Mrs. H. P. Crosby Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Co.

Shale	43	43
Sand and gravel	39	82
Shale	46	128
Sandy	10	138
Shale	17	155
Sand	16	171
Shale	6	177

Well BJ-59-39-104

Owner: Jerry Shelton Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Co.

Shale	98	98
Sand, fine	5	103
Coal	10	113
Sand	10	123
Shale	35	158
Sand	27	185

Well BJ-59-39-110

Owner: P. P. Prescott Driller: Falkenbury Drilling Co.

Surface sand	10	10
Sand	40	50
Shale	51	101
Clay	45	146
Shale	22	168
Clay	52	220
Sand	59	279

Well BJ-59-39-401

	Owner: Calvin Ross Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Co.	
Sand and gravel	40	40

THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BJ-59-39-401-Continued

Shale	55	95
Sand	28	123
Shale	2	125

Well BJ-59-39-402

Owner: Lewis Loftin Driller: Falkenbury Drilling Co.

Surface soil, clay, and sand	33	33
Sand	10	43
Shale	34	77
Clay	68	145
Sand, broken, clay, and lignite	22	167
Clay	33	200
Rock	5	205
Sand	30	235

Well BJ-59-39-505

Owner: -Embrick Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Co.

Sand and gravel	17	17
Shale	94	111
Sand	11	122
Sand, fine	19	141
Sand	135	276

Well BJ-59-39-507

Owner: Prince Holiday Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Co.

Sand gravel	15	15
Sand rock	59	74
Shale	92	166
Sand, fine 🧳	10	176
Shale	91	267
Sand	25	292

THICKNESS	DEPTH	THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)

BURLESON COUNTY

Well BS-59-18-903

Owner: H. L. Tabor Driller: Charlie J. Loehr

Sand	60	60
Shale	20	80
Sand	100	180
Shale	10	190
Sand	80	270
Lignite	10	280
Sand, water-bearing	77	357

Well BS-59-18-904

Owner: Gilbert Weichert Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Co.

Shale	75	75
Sand, and shale streaks	142	217
Rock	1	218
Shale streaks	7	225
Sand, and shale streaks	192	417
Shale, and rock streaks	6	423
Shale	185	608
Sand	15	623
Sand, and rock streaks	7	630
Sand	5	635

Well BS-59-18-907

Owner: Mrs. O. H. Roskey Driller: Buddy B. Nelson

Sand, surface	16	16
Clay, gummy	45	61
Sand, and lignite streaks	30	91
Clay, plastic	9	100
Shell, and small boulders	79	179
Lignite, and sandy shell	45	224
Sand, fine, gray	53	277

Well BS-59-19-501

Owner: Dr. Clarence Kemp Driller: Charlie J. Loehr

Topsoil and sand	40	40
Shale, black	80	120
Sand	40	160
Shale	40	200
Sand, water-bearing	80	280

Well BS-59-19-604

Owner: Claude McFarland Driller: Charlie J. Loehr

Topsoil	10	10
Shale, light	30	40
Shale, sandy	140	180
Lignite	30	210
Shale, blue	10	220
Sand, water-bearing	114	334

Well BA-59-19-702

Owner: Denton Valley Farm Driller: Charlie J, Loehr

Sand	6	6
Shale	94	100
Sand	40	140
Shale, hard, sandy	40	180
Sand, water-bearing	40	220
Shale, hard, sandy	20	240

Well BS-59-19-903

Owner: W. E. Garner Driller: Charlie J. Loehr

Topsoil	20	20
Shale, and rock	60	80
Sand	10	90
Shale, black, and rock	90	180
Sand, water-bearing	20	200
Shale	5	205

THICKNESS DEPTH (FEET) (FEET)

Well BS-59-20-405

Owner: AGM Poultry Company Driller: Charlie J, Loehr

Topsoil	10	10
Sand	70	80
Shale, and rock		
stringers	40	120
Shale, sandy	60	180
Shale, rocky	60	240
Sand, and rock	40	280
Sand, water-bearing	35	315

Well BS-59-25-502

Owner: John R, Praesel Driller: W, E, (Bill) New Water Well Drilling Co.

Soil, sandy, red	2	2
Clay, red	8	10
Clay, sandy, brown	70	80
Sand, water, brown	15	95
Shale, sandy, gray	25	120
Shale, blue	255	375
Coal streaks and water sand	30	405
Sand, water, white	35	440
Shale, gray	95	535
Coal	2	537
Shale, hard, blue	43	580
Rock streaks, hard, and shale	9	589
Sand, water, white	23	612
Shale, hard, brown	2	614

Well BS-59-25-505

Owner: R. E. Brown Driller: W, E. (Bill) New Water Well Drilling Co.

Soil, brown, and clay	5	5
Sand, light pink, and clay	15	20
Clay, red	11	31
Shale, brown	2	33
Coal, and shale	2	35
Shale, gray	35	70

THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BS-59-25-505-Continued

Sand, water	18	88
Shale, rock and shale	110	198
Shale, hard, brown, sandy	22	200
Sand, water	11	231

Well BS-59-25-605

Owner: Frank Burrough, Jr. Driller: W. E. (Bill) New Water Well Drilling Co.

Sand, red, and clay	4	4
Clay, gray, and some sand	11	15
Clay, gray	35	50
Shale, sandy, blue	42	92
Shale, sandy, gray	13	105
Coal	2	107
Sand, water	23	130
Shale, blue	2	132

Well BS-59-25-903

Owner: M, E, Willard Driller: Buddy B, Nelson

Sand, surface	5	5
Clay, red, sandy	10	15
Shell, with clay streaks	15	30
Sand	20	50
Clay, gray, sandy	76	126
Shell, with clay streaks	75	201
Clay, plastic, gray	9	210
Shell	35	245
Sand, gray, with shell streaks	65	310

Well BS-59-26-504

Owner: Camp Wagon Wheel–B. L. Gaar Driller: Charlie J. Loehr

Sand, loose	40	40
Sand, hard	100	140
Shale	60	200
Sand, hard	235	435

THICKNESS DEPTH (FEET) (FEET)

Well BS-59-26-504-Continued

Sand, water-bearing	25	460
Shale	20	480
Well BS-59-	26-602	
Owner: Ste Driller: Charli	ve Neal e J, Loehr	
Topsoil	10	10
Sand	10	20
Gravel	20	40
Shale, hard	30	70
Rock	10	80
Sand and shale	24	104
Sand, water-bearing	68	172

Well BS-59-26-702

Owner: F. C. Anderson Driller: Charlie J. Loehr

Sand, brown, and clay	10	10
Shale, sandy, gray	150	160
Rock, sand, and coai	18	178
Sand, water, gray	12	190
Shale, brown	215	405
Rock, hard, gray	95	500
Shale, brown	35	535
Sand, water	48	583

Well BS-59-26-703

Owner: F. C. Anderson Driller: W. E. (Bill) New Water Well Drilling Co.			
Sand, brown	10	10	
Shale, gray, sandy	150	160	
Lignite, and gray shale	4	164	
Sand, water, gray	26	190	
Shale, brown	225	415	
Shale, hard and soft	85	500	
Shale streaks	30	530	
Shale, sandy, gray	33	563	

THICKNESS DEPTH (FEET) (FEET)

Well BS-59-27-204

Owner: Jack Manuel Driller: Charlie J. Loehr

Sanr	40	40
Rock, and shale	80	120
Sand	40	160
Shale, hard	20	180
Sand, water-bearing	25	205

Well BS-59-27-302 Owner: Joe Surovik

Driller: Charlie J, Loehr Topsoil 10 10 90 100 Clay, red Shale, hard, blue 20 120 Shale, sandy 100 220 300 Sand, hard, and rock 80 Sand 360 60 Shale, hard 120 480 Sand stringers 20 500 Sand, water-bearing 43 543

Well BS-59-27-502

Owner: F. A. Surovik Driller: Pomykal Drilling Co.

Clay, yellow	35	35	
Shale, black	25	60	
Sand	2	62	
Shale	23	85	
Sand	35	120	
Shale	8	128	
Sand	172	300	
Shale	40	340	
Sand	55	395	
Shale	55	450	
Sand	25	475	
Shale	20	495	
THICKNESS	DEPTH	THICKNESS	DEPTH
-----------	--------	-----------	--------
(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BS-59-27-502-Continued

Shale, sandy	205	700
Sand	35	735
Shale	70	805
Sand	10	815
Shale	165	980
Rock and shale	20	1,000
Sand	15	1,015
Shale, sandy	20	1,035
Sand	35	1,070

Well BS-59-27-603

Owner: Franklin Steck Driller: Charlie J. Loehr

Shale	120	120
Sand	80	200
Shale, hard	120	320
Sand	60	380
Shale, hard	80	460
Sand, hard	60	520
Sand, soft, water-bearing	20	540
Sand, hard	. 20	560

Well BS-59-27-607

Owner: John Kubin Driller: Charlie J. Loehr

Topsoil	10	10
Rock and shale	80	90
Shale and sand	50	140
Shale	190	330
Sand, white	90	420
Shale	210	630
Sand, white	40	670
Sand and shale	26	696

Well BS-59-27-608

Owner: C Driller: Po	SA & SPJST Lodge omykal Drilling Co.	
Clay	15	15
Shale, sandy, and iron ore	13	28

Well BS-59-27-608—Continued		
Shale, sandy	20	48
Shale	64	112
Rock	1	113
Shale	7	120
Rock	2	122
Shale	18	140
Shale, sandy, and rock streaks of fossils	50	190
Shale and rock streaks	60	250
Sand with shale streaks	12	262
Shale, sandy	8	270

Well BS-59-27-710

Owner: R. O. Hoffman Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Co.

Clay, white	42	42
Shale, blue	143	185
Shale, sandy	119	304
Shale	4	308
Shale, sandy	12	320
Shale	80	400
Sand	51	451

Well BS-59-27-714

Owner: City of Caldwell Driller: Katy Drilling Company			
Clay, surface	16	16	
Iron ore and rock	3	19	
Clay, sandy, sticky	36	55	
Shale, blue	49	104	
Shale, sandy	61	165	
Sand	153	318	
Shale, tough	41	359	
Shale, sandy, and lime rock	9	368	
Sand	31	399	
Rock, lime	1	400	
Sand	28	428	

THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)

THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BS-59-27-714-Continued

Shale, sandy, and lime rock	48	476	
Sand, with lime rock strips	45	521	
Rock	1	522	
Sand, and shale	19	541	
Shale	14	555	
Sand, and shale	3	558	
Shale	15	573	
Sand	39	612	
Shale	11	623	
Sand	15	638	
Shale, blue, tough	18	656	
Sand	21	677	
Shale	15	692	
Sand, and shale breaks	23	715	
Shale, tough	13	728	
Sand	30	758	
Shale, sandy, and tough shale strips	36	794	
Sand	31	825	
Sand, and shale	27	852	
Shale, tough	63	915	
Shale, sandy	21	936	
Shale, tough	26	962	
Shale, and sand strips	31	993	
Sand, fine, tight, and shale strips, and lime rock strips	35	1,028	
Shale, tough	20	1,048	
Shale, sandy	16	1,064	
Shale, tough	10	1,074	
Sand	23	1,097	
Shale with sand breaks	7	1,104	
Sand	14	1,118	
Shale with sand and lime rock breaks	6	1,124	
Sand with lime rock strips	38	1,162	

Well BS-59-27-714-Continued

Shale with sand strips	12	1,174
Shale, tough	32	1,206
Sand	114	1,320
Shale	26	1,346

Well BS-59-27-715

Owner:Clint Lewis Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Co.

Shale, blue	170	170
Sand, white, and shale streaks	97	267
Shale, blue	79	346
Sand, blue, shaly	64	410
Sand	137	547

Well BS-59-27-803

Owner: City of Caldwell Driller: Layne Texas Company

Sand, surface	10	10
Shale, black	34	44
Sand, packed	46	90
Shale, hard, black	13	103
Shale, sandy	9	112
Sand, fine, packed	• 44	156
Shale, hard	3	159
Sand	57	216
Shale, tough	4	220
Sand	18	238
Shale, tough	2	240
Sand	3	243
Shale, tough	5	248
Sand	4	252
Shale, tough	32	284
Sand	2	286
Shale, tough	25	311
Sand	6	317
Shale, sandy	6	323

THICKNESS DEPTH (FEET) (FEET)

Well BS-59-27-803-Continued

Sand	48	371
Shale, sandy	19	390
Sand rock, hard	3	393
Shale, tough, sticky	12	405
Shale, hard	1	40 6
Shale, tough	9	415
Sand .	54	469
Shale, tough	7	476
Sand and shale breaks	7	483
Shale, tough	16	499
Sand and shale breaks	20	519
Sand (tested)	32	551
Shale, sandy	31	582
Shale, tough	14	596
Sand	31	627
Shale	6	633
Sand	15	648
Shale, sandy	23	671
Shale, tough	14	685
Sand	39	724
Shale, tough	5	729
Sand and shale breaks	10	739
Shale, sticky	47	786
Shale and sand breaks	15	801
Shale, sticky	25	826
Shale and sand breaks	16	842
Lime and sticky breaks	81	923
Shale, sticky	13	936
Sand	4	940
Sand (tested)	64	1,004
Shale, tough	12	1,016
Sand	21	1,037
Shale, sandy	7	1,044
Sand (tested)	162	1,206
Shale, sticky	32	1.238

THICKNESS DEPTH (FEET) (FEET)

Well BS-59-27-901

Owner: John Grace Driller: Charlie J. Loehr

Clay, red	80	80
Shale, sandy	40	120
Rock and shale	40	160
Shale, hard, black	340	500
Sand, water-bearing	70	570

Well BS-59-28-101

Owner: Joe B. Drgac Driller: Charlie J. Loehr

Topsoil and grave!		
with shale	60	60
Shale	40	100
Sand	10	110
Shale	110	220
Shale, sandy	40	260
Shale, hard	80	340
Sand, water-bearing; and rock	40	380

Well BS-59-28-208

Owner: Rufus Johnson Driller: Charlie J. Loehr

Topsoil	5	5
Shale, soft	55	60
Shale, rock	100	160
Sand, water-bearing	80	240

Well BS-59-28-403

Owner: Franklin J. Sebesta Driller: Charlie J. Loehr

Topsoil and clay	20	20
Shale and rock	100	120
Rock and gravel	20	140
Shale	140	280
Shale, hard, rock	70	350
Sand, water-bearing	65	415

THICKNESS	DEPTH	THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BS	-59-28-405 🔌 🜔		
Owner: Wa Driller: Ch	ilter Engleman harlie J. Loehr		
Topsoil	20	20	
Clay, blue	60	80	
Shale, black, and rock	140	220	
Shale, soft, and sand	180	400	
Shale, hard, blue	120	520	
Sand, water-bearing	49	569	
Well BS-59-28-509 💢 📿			
Owner: F Driller: Ct	rank lin Steck narlie J. Loehr		
Shale, blue	10	10	
Clay, yellow	30	40	
Shale, soft, sandy	40	80	
Shale, blue	80	160	
Shale, and sand stringers	20	180	
Shale	90	270	
Sand, water-bearing	62	332	

Well BS-59-28-619 🖄 🕐

Owner: Tunis Water Supply Corporation Driller: B. Yarbro

Surface soil	10	10
Sand	12	22
Gravel	32	54
Clay and gravel	8	62
Clay	24	86
Lignite	2	88
Clay	4	92
Clay and grave!	30	122
Clay and streaks	62	184
Shale	26	210
Sand and shale	35	245
Limestone	2	247
Shale and streaks of lime	28	275

Shale and sand streaks	55	330
Shale and streaks of lime	92	422
Shale	89	511
Shale, limestone, and streaks	89	600
Sand and shale streaks	12	612
Shale and time layers	142	754
Shale and sand streaks	108	862
Sand	48	910
Shale and sand s⁺∵ aks	28	938
ni le, brown	52	990
Shale and sand streaks	100	1,090
Sand, fine	18	1,108
Shale and sand streaks	72	1,180
Shale, hard	31	1,211
Shale and sand streaks	67	1,278
Sand, fine	25	1,303
Shale and sand streaks	112	1,415
Shale, hard, and lime streaks	93	1,508

Well BS-59-28-619-Continued

Well BS-59-28-701

Owner: T. L. Calvin Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Company

Rock, sand	55	55
Shale, blue	48	103
Sand, fine	24	127
Shale	78	205
Sand, fine	25	230
Shale	164	394
Sand	4	398
Shale	62	460
Sand	10	470

THICKNESS	DEPTH	THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BS-59-28-701-Continued			Well BS-59-28-903—Continued		
Shale	5	475	Shale	15	133
Sand	10	485	Sand	6	139
Shale	25	510	Lignite	11	150
Sand	43	553	Shale	8	158
			Lignite	6	164
Well	BS-59-28-702 X		Shale	7	171
Owner: Driller:	James Engleman Charlie J. Loehr		Sand	3	174
Topsoil	7	7	Sand and shale	23	197
Shale, blue, sandy	53	60	Sand	27	224
Rock and shale	60	120	Shale	10	234
Sand, black	20	140	Sand, green	12	246
Rock and hard shale	80	220	Rock	2	248
Shale, blue	168	,	Shale and rock	17	265
Sand, dark	32	420	Shale	2	267
Shale, blue	10	430	Sand	9	276
Sand, water-bearing	68	498	Shale	35	311
147-11			Shale and rock	42	353
VVen	B2-59-28-902		Sand and shale	2	355
Owner Driller:	r: Merced Rioz Charlie J. Loehr		Shale	20	375
Topsoil	5	5	Shale and rock	39	414
Sand and gravel	50	50	Sand, green, and shale	6	420
Lignite	20	75	Shale	26	446
Shale, hard	75	150	Sand	14	460
Sand, water-bearing	24	174	Shale	2	462
			Sand	19	481
Well	BS-59-28-903 · 🥠		Shale	59	540
Owner	: Joseph Marek Driller: —		Rock, blue shell	1/2	540 1/2
Clay	4	4	Shale	3 1/2	544
Sand	12	16	Rock, blue shell	1	545
Sand, red	6	22	Shale	1	546
Sand, hard	3	25	Rock, blue shell	3	549
Sand, water	10	35	Shale	2	551
Shale	27	62	Rock, blue shell	1	552
Sand	36	98	Shale	19	571
Shale	2	100	Rock, blue shell	1/2	571 1/2
Sand	18	118	Shale	11 1/2	583

THICKNESS	DEPTH	THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BS-59-28-903-Continued			Well BS-59-28-903-Continued		
Rock, blue shell	1/2	583 1/2	Shale	23	921
Shale	23 1/2	607	Sand	4	925
Rock, blue shell	1	608	Shale	41	966
Shale	16	624	Sand	4	970
Rock, shell	3	627	Shale	4	974
Shale	13	640	Shale, sandy	23	997
Sand	3	643	Shale, hard	3	1,000
Shale	11	654	Sand	10	1,010
Shale, sandy	19	673	Rock	1/2	1,010 1/2
Shale	2	675	Sand, brown	4 1/2	1,015
Sand	3	678	Shale	17	1,032
Shale	4	682	Sand	9	1,041
Sand	4	686	Rock or boulders	1/2	1,041 1/2
Shale	12	698	Sand, hard	3 1/2	1,045
Sand	З	701	Sand	3	1,048
Shale, sandy	15	716	Sand and shale	22	1,070
Shale	6	722	Shale	7	1,077
Shale, sandy	7	729	Rock or boulders	1	1,078
Shale	25	754	Shale	9	1,087
Shale, sandy	7	761	Rock or boulders	1	1,088
Shale	35	796	Shale	27	1,115
Sand	9	805	Sand	16	1,131
Shale and sand	8	813	Shale	9	1,140
Shale	7	820	Sand, hard	7	1,147
Sand	з	823	Sand, water	16	1,163
Shale	1	824	Shale	14	1,177
Sand	2	826	Sand	26	1,203
Shale	15	841	Shale	5	1,208
Sand	1	842	Sand	9	1,217
Shale	1	843	Shale	10	1,227
Sand, green, and shale	1	844	Sand	81	1,308
Sand and shale	4	848	Shale, sandy	5	1,313
Sand	2	850	Shale	32	1,345
Sand	4	854	Shale, sandy	58	1,403
Shale	3	857	Rock	2	1,405
Sand	41	898	Shale	23	1,428

THICKNESS	DEPTH	THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)

(FEET)	(FE

Sand	31	1,459
Shale	11	1,470
Sand	9	1,479
Shale	7	1,486
Rock	2	1,488
Shale	9	1,497
Sand	3	1,500
Shale	2	1,502
Sand	4	1,506
Shale	4	1,510
Rock	2	1,512
Shale	23	1,535
Iron pyrites	1/2	1,535 1/2
Shale, sandy	14 1/2	1,550
Shale	130	1,680
Sand	2	1,682
Rock	1	1,683
Sand (sulphur odor)	18	1,701
Shale	з	1,704
Sand, hard	3	1,707
Shale	8	1,715
Sand, hard	42	1,757
Shale	17	1,774
Sand, hard (sulphur odor)	18	1,792
Shale	13	1,805
Sand	33	1,838
Shale	• 38	1,876
Sand	11	1,887
Shale, sandy	10	1,897
Sand	20	1,917
Shale	9	1,926
Sand	14	1,940
Shale	9	1,949
Sand	10	1,959
Shale	9	1,968
Sand	32	2,000

Well BS-59-28-903—Continued				
Shale	74	2,074		
Shale, sandy	26	2,100		
Shale	21	2,121		
Sand and bitumimous shale	38	2,159		
Shale, lignite shale	59	2,218		
Coal (lignite shale)	5	2,223		
Shale (lignite shale)	62	2,285		
Rock	1	2,286		
Well BS-59-29-451				
Owner: H. R. Husdon Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Co.				
Clay	35	35		
Sand	15	50		
Gravel 3				

Gravel	3	53
Shale	137	190
Sand, fine	7	197
Shale	35	232
Sand, fine	12	244
Shale	4	248
Sand	413	661
Shale, sandy	15	676

Well BS-59-29-731

Owner: J. W. Giesenschlag Driller: Charlie J. Loehr

Shale, red	38	38
Gravel	2	40
Shale, hard, and		
rock	20	60
Shale, blue	80	140
Sand, water-bearing	60	200

Well BS-59-29-918

Owner: C Driller: Layne	Chance Farm Texas Company	
Topsoil	5	5
Clay, sandy, and sand streaks	27	32

THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BS-59-29-918-Continued

Sand	9	41
Gravel	16	57
Clay, hard	3	60
Shale	98	158
Shale, sandy	28	186
Shale	82	268
Shale, sandy, and sand streaks	15	283
Shale, sandy, and shale	17	300
Sand	6	306
Shale, sandy	10	316
Sand, hard, and sandy shale	19	335
Shale, sandy, and sand streaks	28	363
Sand, and sandy shale	13	376
Shale, and sandy shale	84	460
Shale, and hard sand breaks	208	668
Shale, and sand streaks	77	745
Rock	1	746
Shale, hard	54	800
Shale, and sandy shale	79	879
Sand, and sandy shale	16	895
Shale, sandy shale, and sand streaks	71	966
Sand	21	987
Shale, and sandy shale	30	1,017
Shale	73	1,090
Shale breaks and hard sand	112	1,202
Shale, and sandy shale	57	1,259
Sand, and sandy shale	33	1,292
Shale, and sandy shale	35	1,327
Sand, and sandy shale	20	1,347
Shale	5	1,352
Sand, and shale breaks	10	1,362
Sand and shale	12	1,374
Sand, and sandy shale	71	1,445

Well BS-59-29-918-Continued		
Sand, broken	46	1,491
Shale	14	1,505
Well BS	-59-34-201	
Owner: M Driller: Bu	M. E. Willard Iddy B. Nelson	
Sand, surface	4	4
Clay, plastic, with shell streaks	12	16
Clay, gray, plastic	9	25
Clay, sandy	35	60
Rocks, lime	5	65
Shell, with clay streaks	15	80
Shell, sandy	31	111
Clay, plastic, with sand streaks	29	140
Clay, gray, plastic	23	163
Sand, light gray, medium	50	213
Sand	87	300
Well BS	-59-34-303	
Owner: W. W. Farmer Driller: Charlie J. Loehr		

THICKNESS DEPTH (FEET) (FEET)

Topsoil, rock, and	20	20
snale	20	20
Shale	60	80
Sand, shale	60	140
Shale, hard	140	280
Sand	40	320
Shale, hard, and rock	60	380
Sand	40	420
Shale and rock		
stringers	60	480
Sand, water-bearing	80	560

Well BS-59-34-512

Owner: H. H. Bell Driller: Charlie J. Loehr

20

20

Sand

THICKNESS DEPTH (FEET) (FEET)

Well BS-59-34-512-Continued

Shale, blue	20	40
Shale, soft, sandy	80	120
Shale, hard	60	180
Sand, water-bearing	60	240

Well BS-59-34-601

Owner: Deanville Water Supply Corp. Driller: Key Water Well Drilling & Devel, Co., Garrison, Texas

Shale	295	295
Sand	26	321
Shale	218	539
Sand	42	581
Shale	54	635
Sand	21	656
Shale	55	711
Sand	91	802
Shale	99	901
Sand	42	943
Shale	258	1,201
Shale, sandy	132	1,333
Shale	126	1,459
Shale, sandy	38	1,497
Shale	58	1,555

Well BS-59-34-604

Owner: F. J. Miman Driller: Pomykal Drilling Co.

Clay, yellow	30	30
Shale, black	165	195
Sand	10	205
Shale	10	215
Sand	8	223
Shale	37	260
Sand	30	290
Sand and shale streaks	30	320
Sand	45	365
Shale	40	405

THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BS-59-34-604-Continued

Rock, and shale	10	415
Shale, sandy, and rock	41	456
Sand	39	495
Shale	45	540
Sand	5	545
Shale, sandy	10	555
Sand	17	572

Well BS-59-34-901

Owner: Joseph Beran Driller: B. G. & R. Drilling Co.

Soapstone	20	20
Shale	109	129
Sand	2	131
Shale, sandy	12	143
Shale	185	328
Shale, sandy	7	335
Shale	60	395
Shale, sandy	8	403
Shale	37	440
Sand	11	451
Shale	12	463
Shale, sandy	17	480
Shale	11	491
Sand	19	510

Well BS-59-35-205

0 -

Owner: J. C. Robins Driller: Charlie J. Loehr

Topsoil	20	20
Clay, yellow	8	28
Shale, blue	69	97
Rock	3	100
Shale, blue, and rock	160	260
Sand and shale	90	350
Shale, soft	90	440

THICKNESS DEPTH (FEET) (FEET)

40

45

480

525

Well BS-59-35-205-Continued

Sand and shale

Sand, water-bearing

Well BS-59-35-301

	Owner: Edward Varner Driller: Charlie J. Loehr	
Topsoil	4	4
Sand	36	40
Shale	180	220
Rock and shale	40	260
Shale, hard	110	370
Shale and sandy stringers	30	400
Sand, water-bearing	60	460

Well BS-59-35-601

Owner: Hubert Scott Driller: Charlie J. Loehr

Topsoil	10	10
Shale, sandy	70	80
Rock and shale	40	120
Sand	80	200
Rock and shale	60	260
Sand, hard	80	340
Shale, hard	20	360
Sand, hard	40	400
Sand, water-bearing	80	480

Well BS-59-35-901

Owner: Alton F. Ahrens Driller: Charlie J. Loehr

Topsoil and sand	60	60
Shale	60	120
Sand	20	140
Shale	60	200
Sand, hard	60	260
Shale, hard	120	380
Sand, water-bearing	55	435

THICKNESS DEPTH (FEET) (FEET)

Well BS-59-36-202

	Owner: Chuck Hinds Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Co.	
Shale	60	60
Shale, sandy	12	72
Rock and shale	77	149
Shale	13	162
Shale, fine	207	369
Sand, shaly	10	379
Sand	13	392

Well BS-59-36-205

Owner: Vernon Jurries Driller: Pomykal Drilling Co.

Shale	65	65
Shale, sandy	35	100
Shale	45	145
Sand	55	200
Shale	50	250
Sand and shale	50	300
Rock	2	302
Sand	18	320
Shale	440	760
Shale, sandy	25	785
Sand	25	81 0
Shale	2	812
Sand	13	825

Well BS-59-36-207

Owner: Larry Valigura Driller: Pomykal Drilling Co.

Clay	30	30
Sand, black, and shale	50	80
Shale, sandy	15	95
Shale	75	170
Sand	6	176
Shale	9	185
Sand	23	208

THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BS-59-36-207-Continued

-

Shale	27	235
Sand	27	262
Shale, sandy	18	280
Shale	10	290
Sand	35	325
Shale	450	775
Shale and sand	70	845
Sand	37	882

Well BS-59-36-303

Owner: Alfred Shoenenman Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Co.

Shale	65	65
Shale, sandy	90	155
Shale	178	333
Sand	7	340
Shale	29	369
Sand	11	380
Shale	65	445
Shale, sandy	15	460
Sand	48	508

Well BS-59-36-602

Owner: Ray Maas Driller: Charlie J, Loehr

Topsoil	10	10
Sand and shale	70	80
Shale, sandy, and rock	120	200
Shale	60	260
Sand, water-bearing	55	315

Well BS-59-36-603 📜 🕛 💷

Owner:	Ernest	Wi	lhow
Driller: (Charlie	J.	Loehr

Topsoil	4	4
Shale, blue	52	56
Lignite	10	66
Shale, blue	20	86
Rock	4	90

Well BS-59-36-603—Continued	

THICKNESS DEPTH (FEET) (FEET)

Shale, blue	100	190
Sand, water-bearing	70	260
Shale, blue	10	270

Well BS-59-36-701

Owner: Olem Lednicky Driller: Pomykal Drilling Co.

Clay and sand	20	20
Shale	42	62
Lignite	8	70
Shale, sandy	8	78
Shale	65	143
Shale, sandy	51	194
Sand, fine	10	204
Shale, sandy	55	259
Sand	18	277

Well BS-59-36-802

Owner: Lyons Water Supply Corp. Driller: Layne Texas Company

Topsoil	3	3
Clay	50	53
Sand and clay streaks	22	75
Shale	25	100
Sand, fine, and shale streaks	22	122
Shale, and sandy shale	132	254
Sand, fine, gray	27	281
Shale, and sandy shale	39	320
Sand streaks, shale, and lignite	18	338
Shale, and sandy shale	24	362
Sand and lignite	4	366
Shale	43	409
Shale, sandy	35	444
Sand, fine	20	464
Shale	6	470
Sand and shale streaks	21	491
Shale, and sandy shale	94	585

4

THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)

THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)

Well	BS-59	36-802-	-Continue	ed
------	-------	---------	-----------	----

Well	BS-59-37	-108 -	Continued

Sand	15	600	Sand, ha
Sand and shale streaks	28	628	Shale
Shale, sandy	25	653	Gumbo a
Sand and shale streaks	8	661	Shale
Shale and sandy shale	204	865	Gumbo
Sand and shale streaks	40	905	Shale
Shale and sandy shale	197	1,102	Gumbo
Shale, sandy	37	1,139	Shale
Shale, and sandy shale	263	1,402	Sand, wa
Sand, fine, and shale			Shale
streaks	10	1,412	Sand, wa
Shale, sandy, and sand streaks	40	1,452	Shale
Shale	36	1,488	Gumbo
Shale, sandy, and			Sand, wa
sand	20	1,508	Shale
Sand and shale streaks	62	1,570	Sand, wa
Shale	39	1,609	Shale
Well BS-59-3	86-901		Rock
			Shale
Driller: Charlie	J. Loehr		Rock
Sand	10	10	Gumbo
Shale	150	160	Shale
Sand	20	180	Sand, ha
Shale and rock	60	240	Shale
Sand, water-bearing	60	300	Gumbo
Wall BS 50.3	27,108		Sand, wa
	inner hler		Gumbo
Driller: Jas. Oliphant Cal	dwell Oil Compar	γ	Shale
Clay	30	30	Sand, wa
Shale	220	250	Gumbo
Gumbo	10	260	Sand, wa
Shale	140	400	Shale
Sand, water	90	490	Rock
Gumbo	15	505	No recor
Shale	95	600	
Gumbo	25	625	
Shale	55	680	
		,	

Sand, hard	5	685
Shale	15	700
Gumbo and boulders	30	730
Shale	50	780
Gumbo	20	800
Shale	80	880
Gumbo	20	900
Shale	100	1,000
Sand, water	30	1,030
Shale	35	1,065
Sand, water	105	1,170
Shale	48	1,218
Gumbo	10	1,228
Sand, water	11	1,239
Shale	21	1,260
Sand, water	90	1,350
Shale	10	1,360
Rock	3	1,363
Shale	7	1,370
Rock	3	1,373
Gumbo	20	1,393
Shale	32	1,425
Sand, hard	6	1,431
Shale	14	1,445
Gumbo	6	1,451
Sand, water	49	1,500
Gumbo	5	1,505
Shale	15	1,520
Sand, water	20	1,540
Gumbo	6	1,546
Sand, water	34	1,580
Shale	10	1,590
Rock	5	1,595
No record	2,021	3,616

10 35 46

THICKNESS DEPTH (FEET) (FEET)

Well BS-59-37-209 \times C

Owner: Edmund Sebesta, Jr. Driller: Charlie J. Loehr

Topsoil	10	10
Sand and gravel	20	30
Shale, blue	60	90
Rock	3	93
Shale, sandy	147	240
Rock and shale	11	251
Sand, water-bearing	44	295

Well BS-59-37-212

Owner: Henry Vajdak Driller: Pomykal Drilling Co.		
Clay	10	
Sand	25	
Gravel	11	

Well BS-59-37-402 😤 (

Owner: Milton Lewis Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Co.

Clay	16	16
Gravel and sand	29	45
Shale, blue	290	335
Sand, fine	6	341
Shale, gray	18	359
Sand, fine	6	365
Shale, blue	90	455
Shale, sandy	15	470
Sand, good	25	495
Shale, blue	5	500

Well BS-59-37-501

Owner: W Driller: Pomy	alter Vajdak kal Drilling Co.	
Sand and clay	25	
Shale, blue	55	
Shale, blue, sandy	5	
Shale	85	
Shale, sandy and hard	65	

Sand

THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BS-59-37-603

Topsoil	3	3
Sand, fine, red	10	13
Sand rock	7	20
Sand and boulders	20	40
Sand, gravel, and clay layers	35	75
Rock and lignite layers	5	80
Sand, cut good and clean	40	120
Clay	25	145
Sand	81	226
Shale	80	306
Shale streaks, sandy	34	340
Shale	20	360
Sand	15	375
Shale, sandy	45	420
Sand, fine, gray	60	480
Shale	20	500
Sand, fine, hard	67	567
Rock	2	569
Sand, fine, hard	34	603
Rock	1	604
Shale, sandy	16	620
Shale	115	735
Sand	5	740
Shale	65	805
Sand	10	815
Shale	23	838
Sand rock	12	850
Shale	15	865
Sand	5	870
Shale	37	907
Rock and sand	8	915
Shale	40	955
Sand	20	975
Shale	50	1,025
Sand	75	1,100

1

25

80

85

170

235

256

21

THICKNESS DEPTH (FEET) (FEET)

Well BS-59-37-603-Continued

Shale, hard, sandy	60	1,160
Shale rock	50	1,210
Sand	18	1,228
Rock	1	1,229
Sand and thin rock layers	11	1,240
Shale	90	1,330
Sand	10	1,340
Shale, sandy	20	1,360
Shale, hard, and rock layers	90	1,450
Shale, sandy, soft	10	1,460
Shale and rock	87	1,547
Sand and rock	10	1,557
Shale	183	1,740
Shale, hard, and rock layers	40	1,780
Shale, hard	21	1,801
Sand and sandy shale	57	1,858
Sand and thin shale breaks	24	1,882
Shale	5	1,887

Well BS-59-37-609

	Owner: Leroy Hoskins Driller: Carl Ryan Drilling Co.	
Shale	159	159
Rock, soft	63	222
Sand	4	226
Shale	4	230
Sand	6	236
Shale and sand	51	287

Well BS-59-37-801

Owner:	Baker	Ranch
Dr	iller: -	_

Topsoil	10	10
Lignite	5	15
Shale, hard	35	50
Sand	12	62

THICKNESS DEPTH (FEET)

(FEET)

Well BS-59-37-801-Continued

Shale, hard	108	170
Sand	35	205
Shale	2	207

Well BS-59-37-802

Owner: W. C. Konecny Driller: Charlie J. Loehr

Topsoil	10	10
Sand	110	120
Shale	40	160
Sand	50	210
Sand, hard, and shale	110	320
Sand, water-bearing	52	372

Well BS-59-37-803

Owner: Baker Ranch Driller: Charlie J. Loehr

Topsoil	11	11
Shale, sandy	69	80
Lignite	15	95
Shale, hard	88	183
Sand, water-bearing	45	228

Well BS-59-37-901

Owner: J, C. Herndon Driller: Charlie J. Loehr

Topsoil	10	10
Sand	20	30
Shale	10	40
Sand	50	9 0
Shale	50	140
Shale, hard	40	180
Rock	10	190
Shale, soft	20	210
Coal	20	230
Sand, water-bearing	65	295

THICKNESS	DEPTH	THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BS-59-38-517

Owner: Cecial Giesenschlag Driller: Charlie J. Loehr

Topsoil	3	3
Sand, red	17	20
Shale, red	33	53
Sand, water-bearing, and gravel	20	73
Shale	2	75

Well BS-59-38-713

Owner: Santa Fe Lines Driller: —

Soil	15	15
Clay	13	28
Rock	13	41
Sand	26	67
Lignite	7	74
Sand rock, blue	14	88
Sand, blue	36	124
Sand rock, gray	37	161
Lignite	8	169
Limestone, blue	16	185
Sand	3	188
Sand rock, gray	9	197
Soapstone	20	217
Rock	3	220
Soapstone	29	249
Sand, fine, blue	5	254
Limestone	7	261
Soapstone	10	271
Rock	11	282
Soapstone	138	420
Sand, water, fine	60	480
Soapstone	45	525
Sand, close blue, lignite, and soapstone	83	608
Sand, water	5	613
Lignite and soapstone	19	632
Sand, water	4	636

Well BS-59-38-713-Continued

Soapstone and sand	11	647
Sand, water	40	687
Rock	1	688

Well BS-59-44-101

Owner: Herman Witte Driller: ---

Surface material	4	4
Sand and sandy clay	61	65
Rock, blue, hard	2	67
Sand, blue-green	24	91

Well BS-59-44-103

Owner: R. D. Burkett Driller: Charlie J. Loehr

Topsoil	5	5
Sandstone and rock	75	80
Shale	80	160
Sand	10	170
Shale, meal-like	90	260
Sand, water-bearing	80	340

Well BS-59-44-105 🔨

Owner: Gertrude Caughran Driller: Cecil C. Capps

Topsoil	2	2
Clay, gray, and sand rock	40	42
Shale, blue	244	286
Shale, sandy, blue	12	298
Rock	1	299
Sand, gray	13	312
Rock	1	313
Sand, gray	12	325
Shale, blue	9	334

Well BS-59-44-301

Owner: Santa Fe Industries Driller: -

18 18

Clay

THICKNESS	DEPTH	THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)

Well BS-59-44-301-Continued

Sand	6	24
Lignite and brown clay	161	185
Sand	15	200
Gumbo, blue	300	500
Lignite	6	506
Gumbo, blue	94	600
Sand	8	608
Gumbo	182	790
Sand	25	815

Well BS-59-44-304

Owner: City of Somerville, Well 2 Driller: —			
Topsoil	20	20	
Sand	10	30	
Clay	13	43	
Shale	125	168	
Sand, good	27	195	
Shale	28	223	
Sand, fair	20	243	
Shale streaks, sandy	27	270	
Shale	5	275	
Sand, fair	12	287	
Shale	17	304	

Well BS-59-44-305

Owner: City of Somerville, Well 3 Driller: —			
Clay	18	18	
Sand	16	34	
Shale	128	162	
Sand	42	204	
Shale	73	277	
Sand and shale	15	292	
Shale	278	570	
Rock	1	571	
Shale, sandy	14	585	
Shale, sandy, and shale	95	680	

Well BS-59-44-305—Continued			
Shale	82	762	
Shale, sandy	21	783	
Shale	19	802	
Shale, sandy	17	819	
Shale	15	834	
Shale, sandy	28	862	
Shale	102	964	
Shałe, sandy, and sandy	35	999	
Shale, hard	25	1,024	
Shale, soft, sandy	41	1 ,0 65	
Shale, and sandy shale	138	1,203	
Shale	19	1,222	
Rock	2	1,224	
Shale	60	1,284	
Shale, sandy	12	1,296	
Shale, hard layers	478	1,774	
Shale, sandy	137	1,911	
Sand, on thin shale breaks	99	2,010	
Shale	10	2,020	

Well BS-59-44-307

Owner: Billy H. Gains Driller: Charlie J. Loehr

Sand	10	10
Rock	10	20
Shale	80	100
Sand	80	180
Shale	60	240
Sand, water-bearing	40	280

Well BS-59-45-101

Owner: Inez Balke Driller: Charlie J. Loehr

Topsoil and shale	20	20
Lignite and shale	40	60
Shale	100	160

Lignite

THICKNESS	DEPTH	THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)

Sand, water-bearing

Well BS-59-45-101-Continued

Sand, hard	40	200
Sand, water-bearing	40	240
v	Vell BS-59-45-201	
O Dri	wner: Baker Ranch ller: Charlie J, Loehr	
Topsoil	5	5
Sand and rock	35	40
Lignite	3	43
Shale, hard	117	160

Well	BS-59-45-201-Continued
------	------------------------

	4

102

164

266

Well BS-59-45-203

Owner: Baker Ranch Driller: Charlie J. Loehr

Shale	40	40
Sand and gravel	60	100
Lignite	3	103

Table 15.-Drillers' Logs of Test Holes in the Flood Plain of the Brazos River

THICKNESS	DEPTH	THICKNESS	DEPTH
(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)	(FEET)

BRAZOS COUNTY

Well BJ-59-20-54	Well BJ-59-20-541 Well BJ-59-20-629-Continued		inued		
Flood-plain alluvium:			Sand, with clay and silt	10	47
Soil, sandy	2	2	Sand and gravel, (estimate 40% or	0	50
Clay, sandy, silty, red-brown	10	12		9	56
Clay, silty, red-brown	5	17	Cook wountain Formation:	4	60
Sandy, with silt and clay, brown,	20	47	Sand, with clay, hard, gray-green	4	60
wet 27-32	30	47	Well BJ-59-20-828		
Sand with gravel (estimate 10% gravel of 1/4'' to 1 1/2'' diameter)	18	65	Flood-plain alluvium:		
Sparta Sand:			Soil	2	2
Sand, medium-grained, gray	13	78	Clay, sandy, silty, blocky, red-brown	30	32
Sand, gray clay	2	80	Sand, with clay and silt, fine and medium-grained, red-brown	28	60
Well BJ-59-20-542	2		Cook Mountain Formation:		
Flood-plain alluvium:			Shale, fossiliferous, pyrite, glauconitic,	7	67
Soil	2	2		,	07
Clay, sandy, silty, blocky, red-brown	35	37	Well BJ-59-20-917		
Sand, with clay and silt, fine-medium- grained, red-brown	22 1/2	59 1/2	Flood-plain alluvium:		
Sand and gravel (estimate $\pm40\%$			Soil	2	2
gravel, 1'' diameter or less)	4 1/2	64	Clay, sandy, silty, sand lense 5-7', red-brown	18.	20
Cook Mountain Formation:			Sand, fine to coarse-grained, silty,		
Shale, fossiliferous, gray-green	3	67	red-brown, wet at ± 20'	20	40
Well BJ-59-20-628	}		Sand, and gravel (estimate \pm 40% gravel, 1/2" diameter or less)	3 1/2	43 1/2
Flood-plain alluvium:			Cook Mountain Formation:		
Clay, slightly sandy, silty, tight, red-brown	27	27	Shale, hard, fossiliferous, gray-green	1½	45
Clay, sandy, silty, red-brown	5	32			
Sand, with clay and silt, wet at $\pm 32^{\prime}$	12	44	Well BJ-59-20-918		
Sand with gravel	5	49	Soil	4	4
Cook Mountain Formation:			Sand, with clay and silt, red-brown to tan	8	12
Sand, with clay, hard, gray-green	3	52	Sand with scattered gravel (estimate 5% or less)	13	25
Well BJ-59-20-629			Sand and gravel (estimate \pm 30%		
Flood-plain alluvium:			gravel of 1'' diameter or less), wet at \pm 32 1/2'	42	67
Soil	2	2	Bedrock: very hard, gray-green stains	0	at 67
Clay, slightly sandy, silty, red- brown, tight	35	37			

Table 15.-Drillers' Logs of Test Holes in the Flood Plain of the Brazos River-Continued

	THICKNESS (FEET)	DEPTH (FEET)	Т	THICKNESS (FEET)	DEPTH (FEET)
Well BJ-59-20	0-919		Well BJ-59-29-529-C	ontinued	
Flood-plain alluvium:			Clay, blue, with sand, traces of shal	e 19	50
Road fill	4	4	Sand, blue, with traces of clay	20	70
Sand, with gravel (estimate \pm 109 gravel, 1/2'' diameter or less with larger gravel at depth), cream to	% th 5 tan 38	42	Well BJ-59-39-8	304	70
Cook Mountain Formation:			Flood-plain alluvium:		
Shale, fossiliferous, gray-green, h	ard 5	47	Soil	2	2
Well BJ-59-29	9-528		Clay, sandy, silty, blocky, red- brown, becomes more sandy with denth, wet at 20'-27'	40	42
Flood-plain alluvium:				45	
Clay, sandy, brownish with			Sand, with clay and slit, red-brown	15	57
Sand, with clay, silt, and small	8	8	Sand and gravel (estimate to 40% gravel of 1 1/2'' diameter or less, limestone and quartz pebbles)	18	75
gravel intervals, tan, wet at ±23'	27	35	Clay, tight, bluish-green-gray with white streaks (ash ?)	5	80
Yegua Formation:					
Sand, gray, and clay, hard	2	37	Well BJ-59-47-3	306	
Well BJ-59-29	9-529		Flood-plain alluvium:		
Flood-plain alluvium:			Clay, silty, blocky, brown	17	17
Cand again	6	6	Clay, sandy, silty	3	20
	6	15	Sand, with clay, silty, red-brown	9	29
Sand, coarse, and tine grave	9	15	Clay, sandy, silty, red-brown	11	40
Bedrock:		40	Sand, with clay and silt, brown	22	62
Clay, blue, with traces of sand	4	19	Sand with gravel	6 5/12	68 5/12
Sand, blue, with traces of shale	12	31	Sandstone, hard, white, medium-gra calcareous cement	ained, 2/12	68 1/2

BURLESON COUNTY

Well BS-59-29-435		Well BS-59-29-525			
Flood-plain alluvium:			Flood-plain alluvium:		
Soil, sandy and silty	5	5	Soil	2	2
Clay, sandy, silty, red-brown	7	12	Clay, sandy, silty, red-brown	16	18
Sand, with silt and clay, red-brown, wet near 17'	35	47	Sand, silty, red-brown, wet $\pm 32'$	22	40
Sand, with small gravel, coarseness increases with depth	8 1/2	55 1/2	gravel in places to 1 1/2" diameter ± most common)	21	61
Yegua Formation:			Yegua Formation:		
Sand, gray, hard	5 1/2	61	Clay, green-gray, with lignite and ash streaks	4	65

Table 15.-Drillers' Logs of Test Holes in the Flood Plain of the Brazos River-Continued

	THICKNESS (FEET)	DEPTH (FEET)		THICKNESS (FEET)	DEPTH (FEET)
Well BS-59-29	-526		Well BS-59-29-725-	Continued	
Flood-plain alluvium:			Sand and gravel (estimate 40% or	less	
Soil, clay	3	3	gravel, to 1 1/2'' diameter with ± 1/2'' size common), yellowish-	tan 18	25
Clay, slightly sandy, silty, blocky,	26	20	Yegua Formation:		
	20	23	Sand, medium-grained, with clay a	and	
Sand, with clay and slit, wet 29-3	16	45	silt streaks, gray, packed, tight	7	32
Sand and gravel (estimated ± 15% gravel of 1/2" diameter or smalle	er) 10	55	Well BS-59-29	-810	
Yegua Formation:			Flood-plain alluvium:		
Clay and sand, streaked, gray, hard	d 2	57	Soil	3	з
W # DC 50 20	700		Clay, slightly sandy, tight, red-bro	wn 4	7
Well R2-22-52-52	-723		Clay, sandy, silty, red-brown,		
Flood-plain alluvium:			wet 14'-15'	10	17
Soil	2	2	Sand and gravel (estimate in place	s	
Clay, sandy, silty, red-brown	6	8	1/2" diameter, sand fine to	50	67
Sand, with clay and silt	9	17	Verse Ferrettee	50	67
Clay, sandy, silty, red-brown	7	24	Yegua Formation:		
Sand, fine-medium-grained, wet,	20	44	I faces of brown and gray clay	U	at 67
	20	44 50.1/2	Well BS-59-29	811	
Clay, sandy, yenow	0 1/2	50 1/2	Flood-plain alluvium:		
Yegua Formation:	/-		Road fill	2	2
Clay, blue-gray, with ash	1 1/2	52	Clay, sandy, brown, wet 12' 17'	25	27
Well BS-59-29-	724		Sand, with gravel, wet 27' 28',		
Flood-plain alluvium:			Clay lenses ± 44'-46 1/2"	28	55
Soil	2	2	Yegua Formation:		
Clay, sandy, silty, blocky, red-brow	wn,		Clay, sandy, with ash, gray	2	57
wet ± 15′	5	7	Well BS-59-29	902	
Clay, slightly sandy, brown	8	15	Elood plain alluvium:		
Sand, with silt and clay, becoming coarser with depth	25	40			
Sand with gravel	4	44	Clay	30	30
Yegua Formation:			Sand	6	36
Sand with clay and lignite, gray	3	47	Sand and grave!	22	58
			Yegua Formation:	2	60
Well BS-59-29-	725		Well 8S-59-29	905	
Flood-plain alluvium:			Flood-plain alluvium:		
Soil, clay	2	2	Clay	30	30
Sand, with clay and silt, medium-grained, wet 6'	5	7	Sand	6	36

rable 15.-Drillers' Logs of Test Holes in the Flood Plain of the Brazos River-Continued

	THICKNESS (FEET)	DEPTH (FEET)		THICKNESS (FEET)	DEPTH (FEET)
Well	BS-59-29-905–Continued		Well BS-59-37-20	06–Continued	
Sand and gravel	24	60	Sand and clay	17	40
Yegua Formation	0	at 60	Sand	11	51
	WL H DO EO OO OOO		Sand and gravel	13	64
_, , , , , , ,	Well B2-59-29-906		Yegua Formation:	0	at 64
Flood-plain alluvium:	20	20	Well BS-59	-37-314	
Clay	30	30		07 014	
Sand	7	37		2	2
Sand and graver	20	57	Soli	2	2
	Well BS-59-29-907		Clay, slightly sandy, brown	8	10
Flood-plain alluvium:			Clay, sandy, silty, blocky to st red-brown	icky, 30	40
Clay	35	35	Sand with gravel	4	44
Sand	9	44	Yegua Formation:		
Sand and gravel	15	59	Sand with clay and silt	8	52
Yegua Formation:	0	at 59		07.045	
			Well B3-55	-37-313	
	Well BS-59-29-908		Flood-plain alluvium:		
Flood-plain alluvium:			Clay	55	55
Unknown	40	40	Clay, sandy	9	64
Sand and gravel	17	57	Yegua Formation:	0	at 64
Yegua Formation:	3	60	Well BS-59	-37-316	
	Well BS-59-37-204		Flood-plain alluvium:		
Flood-plain alluvium:			Clay	33	33
Clay	19	19	Sand	9	42
Sand	17	36	Clay and gravel	23	65
Gravel and sand	6	42	Yegua Formation:	0	at 65
Yegua Formation:	0	at 42	Well BS-50	137-317	
	Well BS-59.37-205		Elood-atain alluvium:	-37-317	
			Clay	51	51
Flood-plain alluvium:		10	Sand and clay	8	50
Clay	18	18	Vagua Eormation	8	05 01 50
Sand	20	38	regua i officiuli.	0	91.99
Sand and gravel	14	52	Well BS-59	-37-717	
Yegua Formation:	0	at 52	Flood-plain alluvium:		
	Well BS-59-37-206		Clay	51	51
Flood-plain alluvium:			Sand and clay	0	

(Depth to Water in Feet Below Land-Surface Datum)

	DATE	WATER LEVEL	DA	TE	WATER LEVEL	D	ATE	WATER LEVEL	
			В	RAZOS COU	NTY				
	Well BJ-59-06-30	1		Well BJ-59-20-	505		Well BJ-59-2	0-506	
C	Owner: Grant McDo	nald	С)wner: Varisco I	Estate		Owner: Wilson Estate		
Jan.	12, 1938	21.65	Apr.	11, 1957	37.05	Apr.	11, 1957	36.02	
May	4, 1938	20.20	May	22, 1957	34.14	May	22, 1957	35.93	
Oct.	19, 1938	21.42	June	20, 1957	33.16	June	20, 1957	34.84	
Feb.	3, 1939	22.57	Dec.	30, 1957	35.39	Dec.	30, 1957	35.79	
May	3, 1939	23.28	Apr.	15, 1958	34.19	Apr.	1 5, 1958	35.29	
July	17, 1939	24.50	July	18, 1958	32.82	July	18, 1958	34.13	
Dec.	7,1939	28.80	Nov.	5, 1959	36.92	Nov.	5, 1959	35.94	
Apr.	2,1940	25.72	Jan.	25,1960	36.04	Jan.	25, 1960	35.90	
July	10, 1940	26.04	Feb.	18,1960	36.13	Feb.	18,1960	35.84	
Nov.	22,1940	26.19	July	13, 1960	36.30	July	13, 1960	35.18	
June	2, 1941	20.56	Sept.	28, 1960	37.33	Sept.	28,1960	35.51	
			Feb.	15, 1961	30.89	Feb.	15, 1961	32.97	
	Well BJ-59-06-70	T	May	30, 1961	31.86	May	29, 1961	31.81	
	Owner: W. H. Hand	over	Oct.	2,1962	35.11	Jan.	9, 1963	35.27	
Jan.	12, 1938	23.50	Jan.	9, 1963	35.21	Mar.	25, 1963	35,14	
Oct.	19, 1938	30.65	Mar.	23, 1963	35.35	Aug.	26,1963	37.46	
Feb.	3, 1939	25.43	Aug.	26, 1963	40,86	Oct.	3, 1963	37.34	
May	3, 1939	25.72	Oct.	3, 1963	39.51	Dec.	19, 1963	37.41	
July	17, 1939	27.56	Dec.	19, 1963	39.02	Mar,	30, 1964	37.67	
Dec.	7,1939	28.76	Mar.	30, 1964	38.97	Oct.	6, 1964	40.71	
Apr.	2,1940	27.08	June	3, 1964	38.85	Apr.	26, 1965	40.41	
July	10, 1940	18.72	July	1, 1964	38.41	May	12,1966	40.54	
Nov.	22, 1940	29.01	Oct.	6, 1964	43.21	Apr.	27, 1967	40.68	
June	2,1941	25.00	Apr.	26, 1965	40.30	Apr,	3, 1968	42.47	
	Well BJ-59-20-50	3	May	12,1966	38.04	Apr.	8,1969	40.70	
	Owner: Vince Cou	urt	Apr.	27, 1967	40.08	Mar.	26, 1970	39.92	
July	15, 1960	38.90	Apr.	3, 1968	41.04	Mar.	19, 1971	40.37	
May	30, 1961	35.66	Apr.	8,1969	38,21			20 E00	
Feb.	4, 1963	37.93	Oct.	14, 1969	40.43		Wen BJ-59-2	0-509	
June	3, 1964	41.17	Mar.	26, 1970	39,38		Owner: L. Ni	gilazzo	
Oct.	14, 1969	41.84	Mar.	18, 1971	40.87	July	15, 1960	35.57	
						Sept.	28, 1960	36.54	
						⊢eb.	15, 1961	34,02	

	DATE	WATER LEVEL	ſ	DATE	WATER LEVEL		DATE	WATER LEVEL
Well	BJ-59-20-509-	-Continued		Well BJ-59-2	0-607		Well BJ-59-2	0-803
Feb.	4, 1963	35.75		Owner: M. Fa	azzino		Owner: Jerry	Smith
June	3, 1964	38.61	Feb.	26, 1963	32.74	Nov.	5, 1959	33.53
Oct.	9, 1969	42.23	Oct.	3, 1963	34.43	Jan.	25, 1960	32.17
			Dec.	19, 1963	35.40	Feb.	18, 1960	32.53
	Well BJ-59-20	-603	Mar.	30, 1964	34.82	July	13, 1960	34.48
	Owner: C. Port	erfield	Oct.	6, 1964	39.03	Sont	28 1960	26.01
Mar.	15, 1957	30.7	Apr.	26, 1965	38.06	Sept.	15 1961	29.67
Apr.	11, 1957	30.58		Molt B LEO 2	0 902	May	30 1961	23.07
May	22, 1957	30.14		Well BJ-59-2	0-802	Oct	10 1060	20 40
June	20, 1957	29.98		Owner: W. V	Vallin	000.	10, 1909	36,40
Dec.	30, 1957	31.80	Apr.	11, 1957	35.27		Well BJ-59-2	0-804
Apr.	15, 1958	31.58	May	22, 1957	28.96		Owner: Jack D	emetary
May	8, 1958	31.25	June	20, 1957	26.41	Apr.	11, 1957	35.84
July	18, 1958	31.91	Apr.	15, 1958	32.43	May	27, 1957	35.55
Nov.	5, 1959	33.50	July	18, 1958	32.05	June	20, 1957	35.35
Jan.	25, 1960	32.89	Nov.	5, 1959	33.36	Dec.	30, 1957	37.23
Feb.	18, 1960	33.08	Jan,	25, 1960	32.28	Apr.	15, 1958	36.16
July	13, 1960	32.91	Feb.	18, 1960	32.40	July	18, 1958	35.22
Sept.	28, 1960	33,57	July	13, 1960	34.46	Nov.	5, 1959	36.60
Feb.	15, 1961	32.92	Sept.	28, 1960	35.73	Jan.	25, 1960	36.24
May	30, 1961	32.71	May	30, 1961	32.53	Feb.	18, 1960	36.21
Oct.	2, 1962	35.13	Oct.	2, 1962	36.00	July	13, 1960	36.70
Jan.	9, 1963	34.38	Jan.	9, 1963	34.54	Sept.	28, 196 0	37.03
Mar.	25, 1963	34.19	Mar.	25, 1963	34.54	Feb.	15, 1961	34.77
June	27, 1963	34.98	Aug.	26, 1963	38.80	May	30, 1961	33.37
Aug.	26, 1963	36.31	Oct.	3, 1963	37.99	Oct.	2, 1962	35.08
Oct.	3, 1963	35.62	Dec.	19, 1963	37.18	Jan.	9, 1963	35.23
Dec.	19, 1963	35.27	Mar.	30, 1964	36.65	Feb.	25, 1963	33.74
Mar.	30, 1964	35.17	June	3, 1964	36.22	Aug.	26, 1963	37.97
July	1, 1964	35.14	Apr.	26, 1965	36.87	Oct.	3, 1963	37.22
Oct.	6, 1964	38.14	May	12, 1966	35.09	Dec.	19, 1963	36.79
Apr.	26, 1965	42.04	Apr.	27, 1967	37.32	Mar.	30, 1964	36.82
May	12, 1966	38.39	Apr.	3, 1968	37.77	Oct.	6, 1964	44.83
Apr.	27, 1967	40.13	Apr.	8, 1969	35.35	Apr,	26, 1965	40.07
Apr.	8, 1969	40.87	Oct.	10, 1969	37.84	May	12, 1966	44.00
Oct.	8, 1969	41.05	Mar.	26, 197 0	36.34	Apr.	27, 1967	38.94
Mar.	27, 1970	41.10	Mar.	18, 1971	37.75	Apr.	3, 1968	40.32
Mar.	18, 1971	41.47				Apr.	8, 1969	40.16
						Oct.	10, 1969	40.19

1	DATE	WATER LEVEL	I	DATE	WATER LEVEL	ſ	, DATE	WATER LEVEL
Well	BJ-59-20-804	Continued	Well	BJ-59-20-902-	-Continued	Well	Well BJ-59-20-907Continue	
Mar.	26, 1970	39.29	Feb.	15, 196 1	26.81	Nov.	5, 1959	33.42
Mar,	18, 1971	38.76	May	30, 1961	26.17	Jan.	25, 1960	32.81
			Oct.	2, 1962	28.15	Feb.	18, 1960	32,74
	Well BJ-59-2	0-805	Jan.	9, 1963	28.39	July	13, 1960	32.10
(Owner: Philip I	Noto, Jr.	Mar.	25, 1963	27.37	Sept.	28, 1960	33.60
Mar.	15, 1957	29.8	Aug.	26, 1963	32.82	Feb.	15, 1961	29.33
May	30, 1958	25.02	Oct.	3, 1963	32.58	May	30, 1961	28.46
Feb.	4, 1963	27.48	Dec.	19, 1963	30.05	Oct.	2, 1962	31.26
Oct,	9, 1969	34.30	Mar.	30, 1964	29.09	Jan.	9, 1963	30.32
			June	3, 1964	28.79	Mar.	25, 1963	29.77
	Well BJ-59-2	0-820	Oct.	6, 1964	34.18	Aug.	26, 1963	35.03
	Owner:	_	Apr.	26, 1965	31.04	Oct.	3, 1963	33.57
Jan.	25, 1963	30.69	May	12, 1966	29.18	Dec.	19, 1963	32.99
Oct.	3, 1963	33.69	Apr.	27, 1967	30.01	Mar.	30, 1964	32.90
Dec.	19, 1963	33.58	Apr.	3, 1968	30.33	Oct.	6, 1964	39.28
Mar,	30, 1964	33,89	Apr.	8, 1969	29.61	Apr.	26, 1965	35.79
June	3, 1964	34.04	Oct.	10, 1969	31.80	May	12, 1966	37.94
Oct.	6, 1964	36.93	Mar.	26, 1970	29.27	Apr.	27, 1967	34.60
Apr.	26, 1965	36.32	Mar.	18, 1 971	31.48	Apr.	3, 1968	36.00
May	12, 1966	35.51				Apr.	8, 1969	33.63
Apr.	27, 1967	36.29		Well BJ-59-2	0-904	Oct.	10, 1969	36.02
Apr.	3, 1968	36.74		Owner: Lee F	azzino	Mar.	26, 19 70	34.68
Apr.	8, 1969	36.39	Aug.	2, 1960	28.95	Mar,	18, 1971	34.78
Oct,	9, 1969	36.46	Sept.	20, 1960	30.20			
Mar.	26, 1970	36.91	Feb.	15, 1961	28.72		Well BJ-59-2	0-908
Mar.	18, 1971	37.95	Feb.	4, 1963	27.00		Owner:	_
		0-902	Oct.	10, 1969	34.29	Jan.	21, 1960	38.08
		Court		Well BJ-59-2	0-907	Feb.	18, 1960	37.88
A 10.1	10 1057	20.97		Owner: Vince	Court	July	13, 1960	39.70
Apr.	10, 1957	30.87	Mar.	15, 1957	33.5	Sept.	28, 1960	39.73
luno	22, 1957	30.14	Apr.	10,1957	33.31	Feb.	15, 1961	35.64
June	20, 1957	31,38	Mav	22, 1957	32.89	May	30, 1961	35.55
дрі.	10 1050	20.20	June	20, 1957	32.72	May	28, 1963	38.60
Nou	13 1050	25.01	Dec.	30, 1957	34.13	Oct.	3, 1963	40.73
lan	25 1960	20.40	Apr.	15, 1958	32.81	Dec.	19, 1963	40.71
July	13 1960	27.70	Mav	8, 1958	32.46	Mar.	30, 1964	41.11
Soct	28 1060	27.00	Julv	18, 1958	31.59	Oct.	6, 1964	43.19
Sept.	20, 1960	30.20	0017	,		Apr.	26, 1965	41.14

I	DATE	WATER LEVEL		DATE	WATER LEVEL		DATE	WATER LEVEL
Well	BJ-59-20-908-	Continued	Wei	II BJ-59-38-901-	-Continued	Wel	I BJ-59-39-611-	-Continued
May	12, 1966	39.39	Apr.	7, 1969	28.91	May	12, 1966	9.62
Apr.	27, 1967	41.42	Oct.	13, 1969	28.40	Apr.	27, 1967	10.12
Apr.	3, 1968	40.69	Mar.	27, 1970	26.96	Apr.	2, 1968	12.55
Apr.	8, 1969	39.22	Mar.	18, 1971	30.60	Apr.	7,1969	9.73
Oct,	13, 1969	40,09				Oct.	16, 1969	12.02
Mar,	26, 1970	40.26		Well BJ-59-38	-904	Mar,	27, 1970	9.86
Mar.	18, 1971	41.78	Ov	vner: J. P. Terre	ell & Son	Mar.	18, 1971	11.80
			Aug.	9, 1960	12.52			
	Well BJ-59-21	-721	Sept.	22, 1960	12.70		Well BJ-59-39	-802
	Owner: Pat Do	poley	May	19, 1961	9.88		Owner: - Bo	sse
Jan.	27, 1960	37.44	Jan.	22, 1963	11.23	June	6, 1963	9.95
July	13, 1960	38.81	Mar.	25, 1963	11.32	Oct.	2, 1963	13,14
Sept,	28, 1960	38.75	Oct.	2, 1963	14.56	Dec.	6, 1963	13.36
Feb.	16, 1961	34.85	Dec.	6, 1963	14.22	Mar.	31, 1964	12.37
May	30, 1961	34.16	Mar.	31, 1964	13.67	June	26, 1964	11.76
Мау	28, 1963	37.27	June	26, 1964	13.70	Oct.	6, 1964	15.09
Nov.	17, 1969	36.59	Oct.	6, 1964	19.23	Apr.	27, 1965	13.04
July	22, 1970	36.68	Apr.	27, 1965	16.89	May	12, 1966	12.94
	Woll B 1-50-39		May	12, 1966	16.67	Apr.	27, 1967	14.74
0	Well Do 55 Co		Apr.	27, 1967	20.15	Apr.	2, 1968	16.30
0.	0 1000	24 55	Apr.	2, 1968	20.39	Apr.	7, 1969	12.91
Aug.	9, 1960	24,55	Apr.	7, 1969	18.60	Oct.	16, 1969	14.29
Sept.	22, 1960	25.59	Oct.	13, 1969	23.05	Mar.	27, 1970	12.48
Мау	19, 1961	22.24	Mar.	27, 19 70	21.06	Mar.	18, 1971	14.91
Jan.	22, 1963	27.01	Mar,	18, 1971	22.44			
Mar.	25, 1963	27.51					Mell R1-28-38-	901
Oct.	2, 1963	28.66		Well BJ-59-39	-611		Owner: M. H. E	lliott
Dec.	6, 1963	28.60		Owner: T. J. N	loore	Oct.	27, 1959	16.94
Mar.	31, 1964	27,31	June	6, 1963	6.48	Oct.	28, 1959	16.95
June	26, 1964	27,93	Oct.	2, 1963	11.82	Apr,	1, 1960	15.11
Oct.	6, 1964	30.80	Dec.	6, 1963	11.08	Aug.	9, 196 0	15.41
Apr.	27, 1965	28.73	Mar.	31, 1964	9.58	Sept.	22, 1960	16.22
May	12, 1966	26.30	June	26, 1964	8.86	June	6, 1963	15.36
Apr.	27, 1967	30.29	Oct.	6, 1964	13.96	Oct.	16, 1969	18.28
Apr.	2, 1968	30,92	Apr.	27, 1965	10.11			

I	DATE	WATER LEVEL	I	DATE	WATER LEVEL		DATE	WATER LEVEL
			BL	JRLESON CO	DUNTY			
	Well BS-59-18-90	1		Well BS-59-27	-702	Well	BS-59-28-304	-Continued
O	wner: A. R. Richar	dson		Owner: Joe V	/eiss	Feb.	15, 1961	26.23
Sept.	2, 1936	54.2	Oct.	8, 1936	6.0	May	29, 1961	25.27
Jan,	10, 1938	55.03	Jan.	10, 1938	11.47	Oct.	17, 1961	25.02
Apr.	3, 1938	53.72	May	3, 1938	7.83	Oct.	2, 1962	27.57
Oct.	22, 1938	54.89	Oct.	22, 1938	8.23	Jan	9, 1963	26.07
May	2, 1939	55.00	May	2, 1939	12.33	Mar.	25, 1963	25.62
July	15, 1939	54.92	July	15, 1939	12.56	June	27, 1963	25.71
Dec.	6, 1939	55.03	Dec.	6, 1939	14.24	Aug.	27, 1963	30.06
Apr.	1, 1940	55.06	Apr.	1, 1940	12.20	Oct.	3, 1963	28.62
July	9, 1940	55.21	July	9, 1940	11.34	Dec.	19, 1963	27.63
Nov.	21, 1940	55,31	Nov.	21, 1940	12.34			
June	2, 1941	55.71	June	2, 1941	6.46		Well BS-59-2	8-305
		_					Owner: Don I	Fazzino
	Well BS-59-26-30	2		Well BS-59-28	-201	Apr.	10, 1957	28.58
	Owner: Ray Hil	1	Owner	: Black Lake R	od and Gun Club	May	22, 1957	26.82
Sept.	4, 1936	44.00	Jan.	27, 1960	9.64	June	20, 1957	26.53
Jan,	10, 1938	43.28	July	13, 1 96 0	7.08	Dec.	30, 1957	27.77
Oct.	22, 1938	43.67	Sept.	27, 1960	9.72	Apr.	15, 1958	25.02
May	2, 1939	44.18	Feb.	15, 1961	3.20	July	17, 1958	22.81
July	15, 1939	44.20	May	17, 1963	6.49	Nov.	5, 1959	23.97
Dec.	6, 1939	44.52	Oct.	29, 1969	9.34	Jan.	25, 1960	23.49
Apr.	1, 1940	44.48	Mar.	13, 1970	6.48	Feb.	15, 1960	23.33
July	9, 1940	44.44		Well BS-59-28	-304	July	13, 1960	23.04
Nov.	21, 1940	44.53		Owner: Don E	azzino	Sept.	27, 1960	24,51
June	2, 1941	43.71	Mar.	15, 1957	33.7	Feb.	15, 1961	20.04
	Well BS-59-27-40	1	Apr	10 1957	33.51	May	29, 1961	18.83
	Owner: Otto Bern	dt	May	22 1957	30.99	Oct.	17, 1961	18.77
Nov	13 1936	29.47	June	20, 1957	30.04	Oct.	2, 1962	21.35
Jan.	10, 1938	30.10	Dec.	30, 1957	30.30		Well BS-59-2	8-306
Oct.	22, 1938	29.70	Apr.	15, 1958	28.77	c)wner: C. E. S	carmardo
May	2, 1939	32.14	July	17, 1958	27.80	Apr.	10, 1957	26.98
Juty	15, 1939	31.52	Nov.	5, 1959	28.71	Mav	22, 1957	26.29
Dec.	6, 1939	31.51	Jan.	25, 1960	27.90	June	20. 1957	26.06
Julv	9, 1940	32,32	Feb.	15, 1960	27.83	Dec	30, 1957	26.98
Nov	21, 1940	32.27	Julv	13, 1960	27.80	Apr	15, 1958	25.78
June	2 1941	27.47	Sent	27, 1960	29.19	July	17, 1958	24.11
oune.	-,		Jup 1.	_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	20.10	July	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	24.11

E	DATE	WATER LEVEL	[DATE	WATER LEVEL	D	ATE	WATER LEVEL
Well I	BS-59-28-306-	-Continued	Well	BS-59-28-308	3-Continued	Well	BS-59-28-310	-Continued
Nov.	5, 1959	23.94	Feb.	15, 1961	15.10	Mar.	25, 1970	29,23
Jan.	25, 1960	23.31	May	29, 1961	13,98	Mar.	17, 1971	33.42
Feb.	15, 1960	23.18	Oct.	17, 1961	14.05			
July	13, 1960	23.32	Oct.	1, 1962	14.95		Well BS-59-2	28-313
Sept.	27, 1960	24.08	Jan,	9, 1963	14.21	O	wner: Sam C.	Scarmardo
Feb.	15, 1961	21.71	Mar,	25, 1963	13.70	Mar.	15, 1957	29.6
May	29, 1961	20.30	Aug.	27, 1963	16.92	Apr.	10, 1957	29.35
Oct.	17, 1961	19.68	Oct.	3, 1963	16.21	May	22, 1957	28.48
Oct.	2, 1962	20.54	Dec.	19, 1963	15.91	June	20, 1957	28.11
Jan.	9, 1963	19.98	Mar.	30, 1964	16.00	Dec.	30, 1957	27.89
Mar.	25, 1963	19.34	Oct.	6, 1964	19.55	Apr.	15, 1958	25.72
Aug.	27, 1963	22.60	Apr.	26, 1965	18.09	July	17, 1958	23.99
Oct.	3, 1963	22.01	May	12, 1966	15.95	Nov.	5, 1959	24.86
Dec.	19, 1963	21.55	Apr.	27, 1967	17.97	Jan.	25, 1960	24.02
Mar.	30, 1964	21.61	Apr.	1, 1968	, 19.65	Feb.	15, 1960	23.81
June	4, 1964	21.59	Apr.	9, 1969	15.38	July	8, 1960	23.35
Oct.	6, 1964	26.56	Oct.	20, 1969	18.83	Sept.	27, 1960	24.88
Apr.	26, 1965	24.71	Mar.	25, 1970	16.91	Feb.	15, 1961	19.29
May	12, 1966	22.41	Mar.	17, 1971	17.66	May	29, 1961	18.76
Apr.	27, 1967	22.69				Oct.	17, 1961	19.02
Apr.	1, 1968	24.60		Well BS-59-	28-310	Oct.	2, 1962	22.20
Apr.	9, 1969	21.51	0	wner: Frank	DeStefano	Jan.	9, 1963	22,23
Apr.	29, 1969	22.69	Aug.	1, 1960	30.93	Mar.	25, 1963	21.48
Mar,	25, 1970	20.96	Sept.	27, 1960	31.78	Aug.	27, 1963	27.54
Mar.	17, 1971	21.64	Feb.	15, 1961	28.29	Oct.	3, 1963	26.43
			May	29, 1961	28.15	Dec.	19, 1963	25.66
	Well BS-59-2	8-308	May	29, 1963	29.74	Mar.	30, 1964	25.61
0	wner: Luke So	armardo	Oct.	3, 1963	32.32	Oct.	6, 1964	20.38
Apr.	10, 1957	19.95	Dec.	19, 1963	32.27	Apr.	26, 1965	27.70
May	22, 1957	19.28	Mar.	30, 1964	32.20	May	12, 1966	23,31
June	20, 1957	18.98	June	4, 1964	32.16	Apr.	27, 1967	24.43
Dec.	30, 1957	19.91	Oct.	6, 1964	36.43	Apr.	1, 1968	30,27
Apr.	15, 1958	18.82	Apr.	26, 1965	35.84	Apr.	9, 1969	23.11
July	17, 1958	17.93	May	12, 1966	27.39	Oct.	29,1969	25.38
Nov.	5, 1959	17.62	Apr.	27, 1967	32.52	Mar.	25, 1970	23.93
Jan.	25, 1960	17.36	Apr.	1, 1968	30.31	Mar.	17, 1971	26.03
July	8, 1960	16.53	Apr.	9, 1969	27.24			
Sept.	27, 1960	17.77	Oct.	20, 1969	31,72			

	DATE	WATER LEVEL	C	ATE	WATER LEVEL	E	DATE	WATER LEVEL
	Well BS-59-28-3	29	Well	3S-59-28-601-	-Continued	Well	BS-59-28-604	-Continued
	Owner: Don Fazz	zino	Jan.	25, 1960	15.85	Feb.	15, 1961	9.61
Mar.	20, 1964	28,33	Feb.	15, 1960	15.73	May	29, 1961	9.67
Oct.	6, 1964	32.01	July	8, 1960	14.51	May	15, 1963	14.25
Apr.	26, 1965	29.89	Sept.	27, 1960	15.91	Oct.	21, 1969	19.74
May	12, 1966	26.58	Feb.	15, 1961	13.27			
Apr,	27, 1967	27.70	May	29, 1961	12.40		Well BS-59-2	9-104
Apr.	1, 1968	28.71	Oct.	17, 1 961	12.71		Owner: — Sca	rmardo
Apr.	9, 1969	25.36	Oct.	1, 1962	13.67	July	27, 1960	20.10
Mar.	25, 1970	25.61	Jan.	9, 1963	12.93	Feb.	15, 1961	17.77
Mar.	17, 1971	26.30	Mar.	25, 1963	12.36	May	9, 1963	19.59
			Aug.	27, 1963	14.44	June	4, 1964	23.62
	Well BS-59-28-5	01	Oct.	31, 1963	14.35	Oct.	21, 1969	22.53
	Owner: E. G. Seb	esta	Dec.	19, 1963	14.54		Well BS-59-2	9-106
Jan,	27, 1960	12.40	Mar.	30, 1964	14.69	Owne	r: Pete and Sa	m Scarmardo
July	8, 1960	11.35	Oct.	6, 1964	16.34	July	27, 1960	16.71
Sept.	27, 1960	13.18	Apr.	26, 1965	15.84	Sept.	27, 1960	20.35
Feb.	15, 1961	9.50	May	12, 1966	14.79	Feb.	1 5, 1961	11.81
May	29, 1961	10.25	Apr.	27, 1967	17.04	May	29, 1961	12.61
iviay	17, 1963	11.04	Apr.	1, 1968	20.50	May	9, 1963	15. 01
	Well BS-59-28-5	02	Apr.	9, 1969	14.37	Oct.	21, 1969	22.18
	Owner: P. G. Ha	ines	Oct.	20, 1969	15.50			
Jan.	27, 1960	9.52	Mar.	25, 1970	15.53		Well BS-59-2	9-402
July	8, 1960	8.79	Mar.	17, 1971	16.70	C)wner: Mrs. –	Haswell
Sept.	27, 1960	10.40		Well BS-59-2	8-603	Mar,	15, 1957	22.6
Feb.	15, 1961	7.26		Owner:	_	Apr.	10, 1957	22.48
May	29, 1961	7.83	Jan.	27, 1960	15.99	May	22, 1957	21.98
May	16, 1963	7.59	July	8, 1960	14.15	June	20, 1957	21.79
Oct.	20, 1969	11.04	Sept.	27, 1960	16.15	Dec.	30, 1957	22.93
	Well BS-50-28-6	:01	Feb.	15, 1961	11.87	Apr.	25 1958	21.31
		in as	May	29, 1961	11.70	Apr.	17 1958	21,22
A 10 K	10 1057	10.15	May	16, 1963	12.19	Nov	5 1959	19.20
Apr.	10, 1957	17 11	Oct.	20, 1969	15.47	lan	25 1960	18.30
lupe	20, 1957	16.78				Eeb	15 1960	17.87
Dec	20, 1957	17.25		Well BS-59-2	8-604	July	8 1960	16.89
Apr	15, 1958	16.40		Owner: — Sca	rmardo	Sept	27, 1960	18.37
Julv	17, 1958	15.36	Jan.	27, 1960	15.39	Feb.	15, 1961	15.80
Nov	5, 1959	16.15	July	8, 1960	13.62	May	29, 1961	14.05
	-,		Sept.	27,1960	15.27		-	

I	DATE	WATER LEVEL	C	DATE	WATER LEVEL		DATE	WATER LEVEL
Well	BS-59-28-402-	-Continued	Well	BS-59-29-410	-Continued	Wel	I BS-59-29-41	1–Continued
Oct,	17, 1961	13.70	Feb.	15, 1960	11.06	Dec.	19, 1963	11.80
Oct.	1, 1962	15.55	July	8, 1960	9.45	Mar.	30, 1964	11.35
Jan.	8, 1963	15.19	Sept.	27, 1960	14.47	July	2, 1964	12.09
Mar.	25, 1963	14.55	Feb.	15, 1961	4.10	Oct.	6, 1964	14.87
June	27, 1963	15.27	May	29, 1961	6.53	Apr.	26, 1965	13.10
Aug.	27, 1963	18.08	Oct.	17, 1961	9.32	May	12, 1966	9,88
Oct.	3, 1963	18.07	Oct.	1, 1962	15.36	Apr.	28, 1967	12.47
Dec.	19, 1963	18.21	Jan.	8, 1963	12.02	Apr.	1, 1968	14.17
Mar,	30, 1964	18.39	Mar.	25, 1963	10.57	Apr.	9, 1969	10.02
July	2, 1964	18.93	Aug.	27, 1963	21.13	Mar.	24, 1970	10.24
Oct.	6, 1964	20,61	Oct.	3, 1963	18.99	Mar.	16, 1971	12.43
Apr,	26, 1965	20.71	Dec.	19, 1963	17.35		Well BS-59	29-433
May	12, 1966	22.40	Mar,	30, 1964	16.36		Owner: E.	Porter
Apr.	27, 1967	19.56	Oct.	6, 1964	21.78	Apr.	25, 1958	14.39
Apr.	4, 1968	21.44	Apr.	26, 1965	18.46	July	17, 1958	13.08
Apr.	9, 1969	18.30	May	12, 1966	12.12	Nov.	5, 1959	14.61
Oct.	29, 1969	18.42	Apr.	27, 1967	15.21	Jan.	25, 196 0	13.77
Mar.	25, 1970	17.29	Apr.	2, 1968	18.40	Feb.	15, 1960	13.49
May	7, 1970	16.90	Apr.	9, 1969	14.52	July	8, 1960	12.90
June	1, 1970	16.67	Oct.	27, 1969	17.93	Sept.	27, 1960	13.86
July	6, 1970	17.00	Mar.	25, 1970	11.54	Feb.	15, 1961	9.86
Aug.	7, 1970	19.60	Mar.	17, 1971	17.50	May	29, 1961	10.44
Sept.	4, 1970	22.82		Well BS-59-2	29-411	Oct.	17, 1961	11.23
Oct.	2, 1970	21.32	Ov	vner: J. W. Gi	esenschlag	Oct.	1, 1962	13.38
Nov.	2, 1970	19.50	Apr.	25, 1958	10.85	Jan,	8, 1963	12.53
Dec.	7, 1970	19.30	July	17, 1958	9.33	Mar.	25, 1963	12.02
Jan.	7, 1971	19.20	Nov.	5, 1959	11.05	Aug.	27, 1963	15,11
Feb.	4, 1971	21.30	Jan.	25, 1960	9.81	Oct.	3, 1963	14.78
Mar.	17, 1971	19.14	Feb.	15, 196 0	9.25	Dec.	19, 1963	14.88
	Well BS-59-2	9-410	July	8, 1960	7.87	Mar.	30, 1964	14.40
	Owner: H, P	orter	Sept.	27, 1960	9.58	July	2, 1964	14.49
Mar.	14, 1957	24.9	Feb.	15, 1961	3.58	Oct.	6, 1964	16.49
Oct.	3, 1957	22.3	May	29, 1961	5.06	Apr.	26, 1965	15.38
Apr.	17, 1958	15.4	Oct.	1, 1962	10.52	May	12, 1966	13.83
Apr.	25, 1958	14.98	Jan.	8, 1963	7.93	Apr.	27, 1967	14.10
July	17, 1958	11.24	Mar.	25, 1963	7.39	Apr.	1, 1968	16.49
Nov.	5, 1959	14.43	Aug.	27, 1963	12,43	Apr.	9, 1969	13.44
Jan.	25, 1960	11.81	Oct.	3, 1963	12.06	Oct.	27, 1969	14.52

	DATE	WATER LEVEL
Well	BS-59-29-433-	Continued
Mar.	24, 1970	12,96
Mar.	16, 1971	15,74
	Well BS-59-29	-502
	Owner: Luke	Bush
July	27, 1960	21.75
Sept.	27, 1960	25.71
May	3, 1963	22,67
Oct.	3, 1963	30.15
Dec.	19, 1963	28,15
Mar.	30, 1964	27.52
Oct.	6, 1964	32,62
Apr.	26, 1965	29.16
May	12, 1966	26.50
Apr.	27, 1967	27.62
Apr.	1, 1968	28.88
Apr.	9, 1969	25.90
Oct.	27, 1969	26.73
Mar.	25, 1970	25.34
Mar,	16, 1971	27.30
	Well BS-59-29	-507
Owne	r: Texas A&M l	Iniversity Farm
Apr,	25, 1958	18.08
July	17, 1958	16.73
Nov.	5, 1959	18.00
Jan.	25, 1960	17.28
Feb.	15, 1960	17.00
July	7, 196 0	17.32
Sept.	27, 1960	17.40
Feb.	15, 1961	14.58
May	29, 1961	13.75
Jan.	8, 1963	14.92
Mar.	25, 1963	14.74
Oct.	8, 1963	18.35
Dec.	19, 1963	18.75
Mar.	30, 1964	18.95
Oct.	0, 1904	21.51
Apr.	12, 1966	20.70
ivia y	, .000	10.00

	DATE	WATER LEVEL					
Well	BS-59-29-507-0	Continued					
Apr.	28, 1967	19.41					
Apr.	2, 1968	21.41					
Apr.	10, 1969	15.32					
Oct.	28, 1969	16,92					
Mar.	25, 1970	17,18					
Well BS-59-29-508							
	Owner: - Scarn	nardo					
July	27, 1960	19.58					
Sept.	27, 1960	23.84					
Mar.	7, 1961	16.31					
May	29, 1961	15.44					
May	8, 1963	20.52					
Oct,	27, 1969	25.18					
	Well BS-59-29-703						
Owner: J. W. Giesenschlag							
Apr.	25, 1958	12.90					
July	17, 1958	10.18					
Nov.	5, 1959	12.58					
Jan.	25, 196 0	11.24					
Feb.	15, 1960	10.71					
July	8, 1960	9.87					
Sept.	27, 1960	11,44					
Feb.	15, 1961	5,51					
Mar.	7, 1961	4.95					
May	29, 1961	6.76					
Oct,	17, 1961	8.56					
Oct,	1, 1962	11.50					
Jan.	8, 1963	10.13					
Mar.	25, 1963	9.32					
Aug.	27, 1963	14.72					
Oct.	3, 1963	14.10					
Dec.	19, 1963	13.70					
Mar.	30, 1964	13.13					
Oct.	6, 1964	17.41					
Apr.	26, 1965	15.37					
May	12, 1966	12.96					
Apr.	28, 1967	13.68					
Apr.	1, 1968	16.28					

	DATE	WATER LEVEL				
Well	BS-59-29-703-	Continued				
Apr.	9, 1969	12.80				
Oct.	27, 1969	14.49				
Mar.	24, 1970	12,53				
Mar,	16, 1971	14,43				
	Well BS-59-29	-704				
	Owner: Laura B	.Shipp				
Feb,	15, 1960	11.86				
July	7, 1960	10.36				
Sept.	27, 1960	10.94				
Feb.	16, 1961	5.48				
May	29, 1961	6.42				
Apr.	19, 1963	7.72				
June	4, 1964	12.52				
Well BS-59-29-705						
0	wner: J. W. Gies	enschlag				
Feb.	15, 196 0	11.37				
July	7, 1960	9.80				
Sept.	27, 1960	10.52				
Feb.	16, 1961	4.43				
May	29, 1961	5.66				
Apr.	19, 1963	6.85				
Oct.	3, 1963	12.52				
Dec.	19, 1963	12,45				
Mar.	31, 1964	11.71				
July	2, 1964	11.80				
Oct.	6, 1964	16.50				
Apr.	26, 1965	14,90				
May	12, 1966	11.24				
Apr.	28, 1967	11.69				
Apr.	2, 1968	13.22				
Apr.	10, 1969	11.03				
Mar.	24, 1970	12.76				
Mar.	16, 1971	14.44				
	Well BS-59-29	-801				
01	vner: Chance Fa	rm Well 1				
Apr.	10, 1957	21.15				
May	22, 1957	15.87				

16.30

June 20, 1957

	DATE	WATER LEVEL	ſ	DATE	WATER LEVEL		DATE	WATER LEVEL			
Well BS-59-29-801—Continued		-Continued	Well	BS-59-29-803-	-Continued	Well BS-59-29-805Contin					
_			Sept.	23, 1960	13.79	Apr.	18, 1963	6.16			
Dec,	30, 1957	14.85	Feb.	16, 1961	10.11	Oct,	23, 1969	12.28			
Apr.	15, 1958	13.79	May	29, 1961	10.50		Well BS-59-29	9-901			
Apr.	25, 1958	13.99	Oct.	17, 1961	11.20		Owner: - Le	oehr			
July	17, 1958	12.36	Oct,	1, 1962	14.32	July	26, 1960	20.64			
Nov.	5, 1959	14.40	Jan.	8, 1963	12.58	Sept.	23, 1960	20.64			
Jan.	25, 1960	13.65	Mar.	25, 1963	12.30	Mar.	7, 1961	18.89			
Feb.	15, 1960	13.18	Aug.	27, 1963	14.41	Mav	29, 1961	18.45			
July	7, 1960	12.30	Oct.	2, 1963	14.76	June	3, 1963	19 17			
Sept.	23, 1960	13.44	Dec.	19, 1963	15.17	June	5, 1964	16.47			
Feb.	16, 1961	9.27	Mar.	31, 1964	15.10	Oct.	23, 1969	15.30			
Mar.	7, 1961	8.61	June	4, 1964	15.23		Well BS-59-29	3.902			
May	29, 1961	9.51	Oct.	6, 1964	17.58	014	uper: Chappen Er				
Oct.	17, 1961	9.39	Apr.	26, 1965	17.19	June	2 1062	17 54			
Oct.	1, 1962	12.10	May	12, 1966	11.96	Oct	2 1962	10.41			
Jan.	8, 1963	12.17	Apr.	28, 1967	16.30	Dec	19 1963	10.41			
Mar,	25, 1963	10.06	Apr.	2, 1968	16.96	Mar	31 1964	19.00			
Aug.	27, 1963	14.35	Apr.	10, 1969	10.77		6 1964	22.60			
Oct.	3, 1963	14.47	Oct,	27, 1969	13.90	Apr	26 1965	22.00			
Dec.	19, 1963	14.50	Mar.	25, 1970	12.99	Apr.	20, 1905	10 40			
Mar.	31, 1964	14.15	Mar.	17, 1971	21.39	Api.	20, 1907	10.40			
Oct.	6, 1964	17.25		Well BS-59-29	0-804	Uct.	29, 1969	18.59			
Apr.	26, 1965	16.00	(Owner: Lightse	v Bros.	IVIAI.	17, 1971	19.19			
May	12, 1966	11.95	July	8 1960	9.28		Well BS-59-34	1-507			
Apr.	28, 1967	16.18	Sept.	23, 1960	12.36	O	wner: Mrs. Cha	rles Krall			
Apr.	2, 1968	17,82	Mar	7 1961	6.48	Oct.	15, 1936	29.21			
Apr,	10, 1969	12.47	May	29 1961	9.22	Jan,	10, 1938	29.16			
Oct.	27, 1969	13.59	Apr.	18 1963	8.89	May	3, 1938	28.44			
Mar.	25, 1970	12.54	June	4 1964	13 36	Oct.	18, 1938	28.04			
Mar.	17, 1971	16.19	Oct	23 1969	14.43	Feb	2 1939	28.14			
	Well BS-59-29	9-803	000.	Woll BS-59-20	14.40	, co.	2,1000	20.14			
Owner	: Texas A&M	University Farm	0	Tours A 9 M L		iviay	2, 1939	29.20			
Apr,	25, 1958	13.25	Owner		c 22	July	15, 1939	30.02			
July	17, 1958	12.64	Juiy	0, 1960	0.02		Well BS-59-37	-201			
Nov.	5, 1959	14.20	Sept.	23, 1960	0.15		Owner: Henry	Kobar			
Jan.	25, 1960	13.91	iviar.	7, 1961	5.34	July	26, 1960	28.53			
Feb.	15, 1960	13.69	iviay	∠9, 196 1	5.92	Sept.	23, 1960	28.20			
July	7, 1960	13.18				Feb.	16, 1961	28.04			

WATER DATE LEVEL Well BS-59-34-501-Continued		WATER LEVEL	ſ	DATE	WATER LEVEL	I	DATE	WATER LEVEL	
		Well	BS-59-37-301	-Continued	Well BS-59-37-303Conti				
May	29, 1961	27.62	Feb.	16, 1961	12.59	Apr.	26, 1965	17.07	
Apr.	17, 1963	26.88	May	29, 1961	12.60	Apr.	28, 1967	17.22	
Oct.	3, 1963	27.34	Oct.	17, 1961	12.39	Oct.	24, 1969	15.41	
Dec.	19, 1963	27.38	Oct.	1, 1962	14.02	Mar.	24, 1970	13.70	
Mar,	31, 1964	27.32	Jan.	8, 1963	13.48	Mar.	16, 1971	16.31	
July	2, 1964	27.20	Mar.	25, 1963	13.78		Well BS-59-3	37-307	
Oct.	6, 1964	30.18	Aug.	27, 1963	16.59		Owner: J. V	arisco	
Apr.	26, 1965	28.44	Oct.	2, 1963	16.45	June	5, 1963	11.50	
May	12, 1966	27.10	Dec.	19, 1963	16.75	Oct.	2, 1963	16.19	
Apr.	28, 1967	26.41	Mar.	31, 1964	16.68	Dec.	19, 1963	16.17	
Apr.	4, 1968	27.54	Oct.	6, 1964	21.84	Mar.	31, 1964	15.26	
Apr.	10, 1969	26.56	Apr.	26, 1965	19.89	July	2, 1964	14.06	
Oct.	23, 1969	25.94	May	12, 1966	14.49	Oct.	6, 1964	16.79	
Mar.	24, 1970	25.82	Apr.	28, 1967	16.92	Apr.	26, 1965	16.14	
July	7, 1970	25.64	Apr.	2, 1968	18.42	May	12, 1966	12.34	
Mar.	16, 1971	26.10	Apr.	10, 1969	14.29	Apr.	28, 1967	13.08	
	Well BS-59-3	7-202	Oct.	27, 1969	15.99	Apr.	4, 1968	13.51	
Owner: Henry Kobar		Mar.	25, 197 0	12.26	Oct.	24, 1969	14.63		
Apr.	17, 1963	10.78	Mar.	17, 1971	16.98	Mar.	16, 1971	14.54	
Oct.	3, 1963	14.18		Well BS-59-3	37-303		Well BS-59-3	8-102	
Mar.	31, 1964	13.06		Owner: Bake	r Farm		Owner: - Lo	ngmire	
July	2, 1964	13.46	Apr.	25, 1958	15.70	Apr.	25, 1958	16.82	
Oct.	6, 1964	15.52	July	17, 1958	17.22	July	17, 1958	15.99	
Apr.	26, 1965	14.19	Feb.	15, 1960	14.76	Nov.	5, 1959	15.85	
Apr.	28, 1967	14.36	July	7, 1960	14.68	Jan.	25, 1960	15.64	
Nov.	10, 1969	10.64	Sept.	23, 1960	13.80	Feb.	15, 1960	15.58	
Mar.	24, 1970	8.06	Mar.	7, 1961	10.03	Juły	8, 1960	14.79	
May	6, 1970	6.85	May	29, 1961	10.88	Sept.	23, 1960	14.83	
Mar.	16, 1971	10.48	Oct.	17, 1961	9.55	Feb.	16, 1961	12.70	
	Well BS-59-3	7-301	Oct.	1, 1962	13.70	Mar.	7, 1961	13.01	
C	Owner: P. G. L	ongmire	Jan.	8, 1963	12.14	May	29, 1961	12.63	
Apr.	25, 1958	16.78	Mar.	25, 1963	11.64	Oct.	17, 1961	11.88	
July	17, 1958	15.54	Aug.	27, 1963	17.34	Oct.	1, 1962	13.09	
Nov.	5, 1959	16.91	Oct.	2, 1963	16.59	Jan,	8, 1963	12.98	
Jan.	25, 1960	16.55	Dec.	19, 1963	16.49	Mar.	25, 1963	13.07	
Feb.	15, 1960	16.34	Mar.	31, 1964	15.49	Aug.	27, 1963	15.48	
July	7, 1960	14.86	July	2, 1964	14.78	Oct.	2, 1963	15.36	
Sept.	23, 1960	15.34	Oct.	16, 1964	18.32	Dec.	19, 1963	15.39	

[WATER DATE LEVEL		ſ	DATE	WATER LEVEL	ſ	DATE	WATER LEVEL		
Well BS-59-38-102-Continued		Well B	S-59-38-105-	Continued	Well BS-59-38-401					
Mar.	31, 1964	15.31	Mar.	24, 1970	16.47		Owner: Bake	r Farm		
July	2, 1964	15.58	Mar.	16, 1971	19.55	Apr.	25, 1958	18.22		
Oct.	6, 1964	19.91		Well BS-59-3	38-106	July	17, 1958	18.11		
Apr.	26, 1965	18.48		Owner: W. G	aubatz	Nov.	5, 1959	18.41		
May	12, 1966	16.08	Apr.	25, 1958	26.78	Jan.	25, 1960	17.95		
Apr.	28, 1967	16.94	July	17, 1958	27.67	Feb.	15, 1960	17.84		
Apr.	2, 1968	17.35	Nov.	5, 1959	28.45	July	6, 1960	17.72		
Apr.	10, 1969	15.79	Jan.	25, 1960	27.15	Sept.	23, 1960	17.96		
Oct.	27, 1969	15.08	Feb.	15, 1960	26.90	Feb.	16, 1961	16.35		
Mar.	25, 1970	13.61	July	6, 1960	26.84	May	29, 1961	16.00		
Mar.	17, 1971	15.60	Sept.	23, 1960	27.43	Oct.	17, 1961	15.50		
	Well BS-59-38-1	05	Mar.	7, 1961	24.23	Oct.	1, 1962	17.16		
Owner: W. Gaubatz		May	29, 1961	25.41	Jan.	8, 1963	16.60			
Apr.	25, 1958	17.42	Oct.	17, 1961	25.17	Mar.	25, 1963	16.54		
July	17, 1958	18.11	Oct.	1, 1962	27.72	June	26, 1963	17.57		
Nov.	5, 1959	19.25	Jan.	8, 1963	27.12	Aug.	27, 1963	19.90		
Jan.	25, 1960	18 .1 5	Mar.	25, 1963	27.45	Oct.	2, 1963	19.17		
Feb.	15, 1960	16.94	June	26, 1963	27.66	Dec.	19, 1963	19.73		
July	6, 1960	16.66	Aug.	27, 1963	31.51	Mar.	31, 1964	18.36		
Sept.	23, 1960	18.46	Oct.	2, 1963	29.95	Oct.	6, 1964	20.64		
Mar.	7, 1961	14.69	Dec.	19, 1963	29.43	Apr.	26, 1965	20.38		
May	29, 1961	16.01	Mar.	31, 1964	29.12	May	12, 1966	14.40		
Oct.	17, 1961	15.40	July	2, 1964	28.76	Oct.	27, 1969	19.58		
Oct.	1, 1962	19.58	Oct.	6, 1964	30.51	Mar.	24, 1970	18.43		
Jan.	8, 1963	16.48	Apr.	26, 1965	29.10	Mar.	16, 1971	19.79		
Mar.	25, 1963	17.05	May	12, 1966	26.69		Well BS-59-3	38-403		
June	6, 1963	18.23	Apr.	28, 1967	30.75		Owner: Bake	r Farm		
Aug.	27, 1963	21.51	Apr.	11, 1969	27.07	May	29, 196 0	20.71		
Oct.	2, 1963	20.80	Oct.	28, 1969	27.92	July	6, 1960	22.77		
Dec.	19, 1963	20.29	Mar.	24, 1970	26.19	Sept.	23, 1960	23.28		
Mar.	31, 1964	18.84	Mar.	16, 1971	28.87	Mar.	7, 1961	20.39		
July	2, 1964	19.23		Well BS-59-	38-107	May	29, 1963	22.58		
Oct.	6, 1964	22.06		Owner: — Lo	ongmire	Oct.	28, 1969	23.79		
Apr.	26, 1965	20.09	July	7, 1960	32.31		Well BS-59-3	8-404		
May	12, 1966	14.66	Sept.	23, 1960	32.86		Owner: Bake	r Farm		
Apr.	28 <u>,</u> 1967	19.39	Mar.	7, 1961	26.01	July	7, 1960	19.60		
Apr.	2, 1968	22.26	May	29, 1961	30.64	May	29, 1963	17.44		
Oct.	27, 1969	17.35	May	8, 1963	33.11	June	4, 1964	18.79		
			Oct.	28, 1969	33.74					

- 171 -

I	DATE	WATER LEVEL	ſ	DATE	WATER LEVEL		DATE	WATER LEVEL
Well BS-59-38-404—Continued		Well	BS-59-38-501	-Continued	Wel	-Continued		
Oct.	28, 1969	20,23	Oct.	2, 1963	34.79	Apr.	28, 1967	30.60
Nov.	10, 1969	20.15	Dec.	19, 1963	34.61	Apr.	2, 1968	32.84
	Well BS-59-38-40	8	Mar.	31, 1964	34.24	Apr.	11, 1969	29.52
	Owner: Porter	r	July	2, 1964	33.39	Oct.	28, 1969	27.19
Apr.	25, 1958	14.43	Oct.	6, 1964	36.72	Mar.	16, 1971	29.64
July	17, 1958	14.11	Apr.	26, 1965	32.26		Well BS-59-3	8-508
Nov.	5, 1959	15,17	May	12, 1966	26.25		Owner: 5-B I	Ranch
Jan.	25, 1960	14,43	Apr.	28, 1967	29.33	July	25, 1960	30.01
Feb.	15, 1960	14.20	Oct.	28, 1969	32.46	Sept.	23, 1960	30.36
July	7, 1960	12.44	Mar.	24, 1970	29.99	Mar.	7, 1961	28.16
Sept.	23, 1960	13.46	Mar.	16, 1971	34.67	May	29, 1961	27,87
Feb.	16, 1961	10.53		Well BS-59-3	8-502	June	4, 1963	30.41
May	29, 1961	10.88		Owner:	_	Oct.	28, 1969	26.98
Oct.	17, 1961	10.22	July	7,1960	32.69		Well BS-59-3	8-511
Oct.	1, 1962	13.20	Sept.	23, 1960	34.61		Owner: J. C. La	auderdale
Jan.	8, 1963	12.07	Mar.	7, 1961	26.35	Sept.	23, 1960	19.98
Mar.	25, 1963	11.60	May	29, 1963	34.54	June	4, 1963	19.32
Aug.	27, 1963	15.84	Oct.	28, 1969	34.44	Oct.	2, 1963	20.42
Oct.	2, 1963	15.77		Well BS-59-3	8-503	Dec.	19, 1963	20.70
Dec.	19, 1963	16.00		Owner: — Jo	hnson	Mar.	31, 1964	19.84
Mar.	31, 1964	15.21	July	7, 1960	30.08	July	2, 1964	20.07
June	4, 1964	14.53	Sept.	23, 1960	30.68	Oct.	6, 1964	22.19
July	2, 1964	14.51	Mar.	7, 1961	26.34	Apr,	26, 1965	21.26
Oct.	6, 1964	17.35	May	29, 1963	32.33	Apr.	28, 1967	20.69
Apr.	26, 1965	17.04	Oct.	28, 1969	30.36	Apr.	4, 1968	22.31
May	12, 1966	13.91		Well BS-59-3	8-507		Well BS-59-3	8-701
Apr.	28, 1967	15.37		Owner: 5-B	Ranch		Owner: Bake	r Farm
Apr.	2, 1968	18.60	July	25, 1960	29.98	Apr.	25, 1958	16.14
Oct.	27, 1969	14.13	Sept.	23, 1960	30.41	July	17, 1958	16.70
Mar,	25, 1970	12.87	Mar.	7, 1961	27.81	Nov.	5, 1959	18.21
Mar.	17, 1971	19.97	May	29, 1961	27.98	Jan.	25, 1960	17.08
	Well BS-59-38-50)1	June	4, 1963	30.40	Feb.	15, 1960	16.83
	Owner: John Se	e	Oct.	2, 1963	30.94	July	6, 1960	16.82
July	7, 1960	30.73	Dec.	19, 1963	31.16	Sept.	23, 1960	16.82
Sept.	23, 1960	31.80	Mar.	31, 1964	31.23	Feb.	16, 1961	14.62
Mar.	7, 1961	24.25	Oct.	6, 1964	33.44	Mar.	7, 1961	14.01
May	29, 1961	28,45	Apr.	26, 1965	31.57	May	29, 1961	14.33
May	29, 1963	32.61	May	12, 1966	29.98	Oct.	17, 1961	13.69

DATE		WATER LEVEL	DATE		WATER LEVEL	C	DATE	WATER LEVEL
Well BS-59-38-701-Continued			Well	BS-59-38-701	Continued	Well	BS-59-38-701-	-Continued
Oct.	1, 1962	16.43	Dec.	19, 1963	18.68	Apr.	28, 1967	19.62
Jan.	8, 1963	15.06	Mar.	31, 1964	17,51	Apr.	2, 1968	22.48
Mar,	25, 1963	14.77	July	2, 1964	17.35	Apr.	11, 1969	18.55
June	26, 1963	14.77	Oct.	6, 1964	22.06	Oct.	27, 1969	18.28
Aug.	27, 1963	19.99	Apr.	26, 1965	22.20	Mar.	24, 1970	16.71
Oct.	2, 1963	19.73	May	12, 1966	18.82	Mar.	16, 1971	17.85

Table 17. -- Chemical Analyses of Water from Wells and Springs

(Analyses are in milligrams per liter, except percent sodium, sodium-adsorption ratio, residual sodium carbonate, specific conductance, and pH)

When no potassium (K) is reported, sodium and potassium are calculated and reported as sodium (Na) Bicarbonate ($\rm HCO_3$) includes and carbonate ($\rm CO_3$) present.

Water-bearing unit: Twi, Wilcox Group excluding Simsboro Sand Nember of Rockdale Formation of Plummer (1932); Tc, Carrizo Sand; Tqc, Queen City Sand; Tw, Weches Formation; Ts, Sparta Sand; Tem, Cook Mountain Formation; Ty, Yegua Formation; Tj, Jackson Group; Tcs, Catahoula Sandstone; Qt, Terrace deposits; Qfpa, Flood-plain alluvium.

	WELL	WELL DEPTH OR PRODUCING INTERVAL	DATE OF COLLECTION	WATER BEAR- ING UNIT	SILICA (SiO ₂)	IRON (Fe) (TOTAL)	CAL- CIUM (Ca)	MAG- NE- SIUM (Mg)	SODI AN POTAS	I JM D SIUM	BICAR- BONATE (HCO ₃)	SUL- FATE (SO ₄)	CHLO- RIDE (C1)	FLUO- RIDE (F)	NI- TRATE (NO3)	BORON (B)	DIS- SOLVED SOLIDS	HARD- NESS AS CaCO3	PER- CENT SO- DIUM	SODIUM ADSORP- TION RATIO	RESI- DUAL SODIUM CAR- BONATE	SPECIFIC CONDUC- TANCE (MICROM- HOS AT	рН	WATE TEMPERA	R
l		(11)									BRAZOS CO	UNTY		l	I		J		L	(3/00)	(KSC)	25 ()	1	<u> </u>	<u>F</u>
Г	BY 50 06 201	22	Ten 12 105	P Tom			45	5.0	1 90	-	1 157	54	8.8		1103	l	424	135			1	1	1		1
	23-33-00-301	55	Jan. 12, 19-	io Iem				5.0																	
	302	415-430	Nov. 11, 196	9 Ts	13	0.25	36	10	60		180	81	19	0.0	4.0		312	131	50	2.3	0.33	509	7.6	23.5	74
	502	350?	July 23, 197	0 Ts		.66 1_/	44	14			172	11	52					167			. 00	463	7.5	23.0	73
	602	210?	do.	Ts		.06	24	5.6			180	48	14					83			1.29	426	7.6	21.0	70
	603	185	July 13, 197	0 Ts		.27	24	6.2			136	31	25	.1		0.10		85			. 52	355	7.3		
	701	34	Jan. 12, 193	8 Tcm			108	17	67		399	136	88		70		585	341							
	702	255	July 13, 197	0 Ts		.17	40	12			144	76	66	.1				150			.00	600	7.4		
	801	326	do.	Ts		1.1	24	6.0			120	25	29	.1		. 04		85			.28	330	6.9		
	901	697	Nov. 11, 190	9 Tqc	11	.08	18	6.7	91		172	80	23	.1	16	.15	331	72	73	4.7	1.37	527	7.1		
	902	300?	do.	Ts	12		7.0	1.8	144		192	88	60	.1	6.2		413	25	93	13	2.65	689	7.4		
	07-401		July 23, 193	0 Ts		.40	5.4	1.3			410	53	33					19			6.34	839	7.7		
	13-302	443-458	Nov. 12, 19	9 Tqc	14		29	9.6	59		258	0.4	15	.0	8.2		262	112	53	2.4	1.99	444	7.2		
	601	216	July 15, 19	0 Ts		.11	45	13			234	96	126				- **	166			. 52	959	7.3		
	602	266	do.	Ts		.25	34	9.8			236	. 0	45	.0				126			1.36	493	7.5		
	603	286	do.	Ts		.11	28	6.7			228	.0	51					98			1.79	512	7.4		
	604	240?	do.	Ts		.09	34	9.2			238	.0	42	.0				123			1.44	498	7.3		
	903	34	do.	Ts		.19	24	6.4			164	65	45					86			.96	550	7.1		
	14-103	96	Dec. 16, 19	59 Ts		.14	34	12			228	41	78					134			1.05	682	7.7		
	201	262-307	July 15, 19	59 Ts		.07	36	8.1			160	130	80					124			. 15	853	7.1		
	401	307-322	do.	ſs		.04	22	5.8			174	27	27	.0		.10		79			1.28	419	7.2		
- 4																									-

See footnotes at end of table.

r
WELL	D	WELL DEPTH OR PRODUCING	D/ COI	ATE OF LLECTION	WATER BEAR- ING	SILICA (SiO ₂)	IRON (Fe)	CAL- CIUM	MAG- NE- SIUM	SO- DIUM	PO- TAS- SIUM	BICAR- BONATE	SUL- FATE	CHLO- RIDE	FLUO- RIDE	NI- TRATE	BORON (B)	DIS- SOLVED	HARD NESS AS	PER- CENT SO-	SODIUM ADSORP- TION	RESI- DUAL SODIUM CAR-	SPECIFIC CONDUC- TANCE (MICROM-	рң	WATE TEMPERA	R TURE
	I	INTERVAL (FT)			UNIT	-	(TOTAL)	(Ca)	(Mg)	(Na)	(K)	(HC0 ₃)	(so ₄)	(C1)	(F)	(NO3)		SOLIDS	CaCO ₃	DIUM	RATIO (SAR)	BONATE (RSC)	HOS AT 25°C)		°C	°F
												BRAZOS C	OUNTY													
BJ-59-14	-501	579-594	July	15, 1970	Ts		0.10	5.9	2.6	- *	~ ~ ~	100	0.0	28			0.06		25			1.13	256	6.8		
	602	225	Nov.	13, 1969	Ту	44	.49 1_/ 5_/	9.8	2.2	92		138	21	68	0.2	2.2	1.1	308	34	86	6.9	1.59	466	7.6		
	704	418-431	July	15, 1970	Ts		.24 1_/	1.6	. 2			424	.0	58					5			6.85	797	7.9		
-	705	100?	Dec.	16, 1969	Ту			48	16			200	245	490					186			.00	2,320	7.7	20.5	69
	803	512	Jan.	21, 1970	Tcm	11	.10	8.0	.8	378		706	.2	186	1.5	.2		933	24	97	34	11.1	1,580	8.1		
	903	308-323	July	15, 1970	Tcm		.04 1_/	10	1.6			360	284	264					32			5.27	1,980	7.6		
15	-201	600?	July	20, 1970	Tem		.04 1_/	3.2	.7			564	60	61					11			9.02	1,150	8.0	24.5	76
	401	835-875	Nov.	14, 1969	Ts	17	.22 1_/5_/	.0	.2	59		125	. 2	18	.2	.0	.06	156	1	99	26	2.03	251	7.3		
	402	126-141	July	20, 1970	Ту	84	.10 1_j	24	5.5	57		80	92	32	.1	-4	.04	334	82	60	2.7	.00	428	6.8		
	703	900?	Nov.	19, 1969	Ts	17		.0	.2	71		170	.4	9.4	. 6	1.6		184	1	99	31	2.77	297	8.2	~ =	
	704	173-195	July	16, 1970	Ту	61	3.3	42	11	114		86	31	209	. 2	.7		514	150	62	4.0	.00	881	6.6		
	705	445-461		do.	Tcm		.04 1_/	6.3	1.6			488	284	290	1.1				22			7.56	2.210	7.7		
g	801	208~250	Jan.	15, 1957	Ту			12	15	169		171	100	152					920							
	801	208-250	Nov.	14, 1969	Ту	44		7.5	.9	165		158	28	155	. 1	4.6	.11	483	22	94	15	2.15	806	7.1	24.0	75
6	802	90	Sept.	. 24, 1954	Ту			25	12	133		102	37	195					110	72						
ġ	803	477-492	Nov.	19, 1969	Ту	12	.05 1_j 5_j	5.5	1.3	533		556	314	275	1.4	4.9		1,420	19	98	53	8.73	2,260	8.0		
_g 20	-503	70	Jan.	27, 1953	Qfpa			124	34	86		345	99	177					449							
	503	70	June	19, 1963	Qfpa	21	2.9	136	31	39		592	30	24	.4	.5	.52	573	467	15	.8	.36	947	7.2	21.0	69
1	509	67	July	17, 1963	Qfpa	17	4.9	108	24	269		758	192	93	.5	.0	.83	1,080	368	61	6.1	5.06	1,620	7.0	21.0	70
	520	80	June	19, 1963	Qfpa	20	6.3	142	31	49		634	30	26	.3	.0	.42	611	482	18	1.0	.75	1,000	6.9	21.0	70
	521	70	July	17, 1970	Qfpa		7.9 1_/	150	31			652	26	22			.27				~ *	.66	1,040	7.2	21.0	70
	522		July	17, 1963	Qfpa	21	1.6	142	38	72		632	54	66	.3	.0	. 24	705	511	24	1.4	.14	1,130	7.1	22.0	72
	524		June	19, 1963	Qfpa	20	9.4	142	62	155		708	182	126	.1	0.	.24	1,040	610	36	2.7	.00	1,610	7.2	21.0	70
	527	70?	May	14, 1963	Qfpa	20	5.3	129	42	57	1.7	648	57	17	.1	.2	.24	643	494	20	1.1	.73	1,050	6.8	21.5	71
	528	* -		do.	Qfpa	21	4.6	143	38	77	2.7	698	57	29	.2	1.2	.24	713	514	24	1.5	1.17	1,190	6.8	20.5	69
	528		June	19, 1963	Qfpa	22	2.6					704	56	34					505			1.44	1,150	7.0		
	528		Aug.	8, 1963	Qfpa	22	2.6	136	40	70		684	53	28	.3	.0	1.25	080	1 504	23	1.4	1.13	1 1,090	0.8	L	1

See footnotes at end of table.

- 175 -

WEL	L	WELL DEPTH OR PRODUCING INTERVAL	DA COL	TE OF LECTION	WATER BEAR- ING UNIT	SILICA (SiO ₂)	IRON (Fe) (TOTAL)	CAL- CIUM (Ca)	MAG- NE- SIUM (Mg)	SO- DIUM (Na)	PO- TAS- SIUM (K)	BICAR- BONATE (HCO ₃)	SUL- FATE (SO ₄)	CHLO- RIDE (C1)	FLUO- RIDE (F)	NI- TRATE (NO ₃)	BORON (B)	DIS- SOLVED SOLIDS	HARD- NESS AS CaCO ₃	PER- CENT SO- DIUM	SODIUM ADSORP- TION RATIO	RESI- DUAL SODIUM CAR- BONATE	SPECIFIC CONDUC- TANCE (MICROM- HOS AT	рн	WATE TEMPERA	R .TURE
	l	(FT)										5	+						3		(SAR)	(RSC)	25°C)		°C	°F
	,									r	-	BRAZOS CO	UNTY													 ,
BJ-59-2	20-529	68	June	19, 1963	Qfpa	21	6.7	170	32	58		686	57	42	0.3	0.5	0.34	718	556	19	1.1	0.13	1,150	6.9	20.5	69
	550	67	July	17, 1970	Qfpa		.00 1_j	120	28			748	206	105			.84		414			3.97	1,720	7.3	21.0	70
	559	900?	Oct.	14, 1969	Tc	13	.25 1_j7_j	.8	.2	125		278	27	12	. 4	1.7		317	3	99	31	4.50	518	8.0		
	563	350	Nov.	3, 1970	Tqc			2.0	.4			442	51	23					6			7.12	835	8.3	22.0	72
	564	1,500	Nov.	13, 1937	Twi,Tc			4	< 5	459		1,130	11	50	2.2	< 20		1,080	10							
	564	1,500	Apr.	3, 1956	Twi,Tc	17	. 11	1.8	. 5	437		1,100	.0	37	2.4	. 2		1,040	6	99	75		1,650	8.5	32.0	90
	565	400?	Nov.	13, 1937	Tqc			8	< 5	130		311	25	14	.4	< 20		330	20							
	603	60	July	8, 1963	Qfpa	10	. 60	18	5.6	202		388	.0	134	.2	.0	.45	561	68	87	11	5.00	956	7.8	20.5	69
	603	60	July	17, 1964	Qfpa	10	. 62	18	5.6	197	1.5	392	. 4	123	.2	.2	.42	549	68	86	10	5.06	947	7.9		
	616	49	July	17, 1963	Qfpa	13	.11	8.0	3.9	516		682	77	365	1.2	1.0	1.3	1,320	36	97	37	10.5	2,180	8.2		
	621	75	July	16, 1963	Qfpa	13	. 04	62	18	436		508	192	395	. 6	1.2	.96	1,370	228	81	13	3.76	2,230	7.6		
	627	714	Nov.	3, 1970	Tqc			2.2	.6			516	27	50					8			9.30	962	8.1		
	645	400?	Ju1y	22, 1970) Tqc		. 14	2.5	.6			420	186	41					8			6.71	1,160	8.1	23.0	73
	702	400?	Dec.	19, 1969	Tqc		.03	4.0	1.0			170	70	10					14			2.50	463	7.3	23.0	73
	703	450?		do.	Tqc		.05 1_/ 8_/	3.0	.9			184	85	12					11.			2.80	524	8.0	23.5	74
	802	62	June	27, 1963	Qfpa	18	2.5	147	43	134		716	129	80	.2	.0	.40	904	544	35	2.5	. 86	1,410	7.0		
	807	1,035	Nov.	12, 1942	Twi,Tc							490	50	23										~		
	810		Aug.	1, 1963	Qfpa	21	7.4	185	34	87		694	146	49	.3	.0	.36	864	602	24	1.5	.00	1,220	7.2	20.5	69
	815	63	July	16, 1963	Qfpa	26	7.0	162	39	98		800	71	34	.3	.0	.36	824	564	27	1.8	1.82	1,280	6.8	21.0	70
	831	70?	July	17, 1970	Qfpa		1.7 1_j	184	44			624	179	12			.21		640			.00	1,210	7.0	21.0	70
	902	56	June	27, 1963	9 Qfpa	18	4.0	156	31	336		596	131	440	.3	.0	. 67	1,410	516	59	6.4	.00	2,350	7.0	21.0	70
	903	61	Ju1y	17, 1970	Qfpa	17	. 62	100	17	166		442	77	169	. 2	.0	.26	764	320	53	4.0	.85	1,320	7.1	21.0	69
	907	64	June	27, 1963	9 Qfpa	22	1.3	212	43	144		766	190	137	.3	.0	.38	1,130	706	31	2.4	. 00	1,720	6.8	21.0	70
	907	64	July	17, 1970	Qfpa	23		254	56	64		804	92	173	.3	4.4	.32	1,060	864	14	.9	. 00	2,050	7.2		
	908	68	July	16, 1964	Qfpa	22	8.7	182	43	61	3.4	656	155	49	.3	.2	. 29	839	631	17	1.1	.00	1,290	6.7	22.0	72
9_]	920	292-412	Feb.	25, 1950) Ts	18	.15	1.2	.2	76.6		147	9.4	17					4					8.7	25.0	77
	926	70?	July	17,1970	Qfpa		5.0 1_	130	32			562	88	37			. 24		456			.09	1,070	7.1	21.0	70
	932	470	July	22, 1970	D Tqc	13	.00	1.7	.3	160		272	94	21	.2	2.7	.25	422	5	99	31	4.36	695	7.9	24.5	76
	21-105	450	Dec.	1, 1969	9 Tqc	11		4.2	1.3	445		1,070	.0	69	1.6	6.6		1,060	16	98	48	17.22	1,700	7.9		

P

	WELL	WELL DEPTH OR PRODUCING INTERVAL	DA COL	TE OF LECTION	WATER BEAR- ING UNIT	SILICA (SiO ₂)	IRON (Fe)	CAL- CIUM (Ca)	MAG- NE- SIUM (Mg)	SO- DIUM (Na)	PO- TAS- SIUM (K)	BICAR- BONATE (HCO ₂)	SUL- FATE (SO ₂)	CHLO- RIDE (C1)	FLUO- RIDE (F)	NI- TRATE (NO ₂)	BORON (B)	DIS- SOLVED SOLIDS	HARD- NESS AS CaCO ₂	PER- CENT SO- DIUM	SODIUM ADSORP- TION RATIO	RESI- DUAL SODIUM CAR- BONATE	SPECIFIC CONDUC- TANCE (MICROM- HOS AT	рН	WATE TEMPERA	R TURE
		(FT)					(101AL)	(12)	(**87			((**47			(3		(SAR)	(RSC)	25°C)		°C	°F
						r			,		1	BRAZOS CO	DUNTY			}	γ					1	1	[]		
1 <u>9</u> B	J-59-21-201	389-479	July	8, 1947	Ts	26	0.56	2	1	71		164	4	18	0.1	< 0.4		192	9					8.2		
21	202	2 514- 2,904	Apr.	27, 1957	Twis	23	.12	3.8	.4	281.2		651.5	.0	62	~-				11				1,126	8.1		
ıу	202	2,514- 2,904	June	1964	Twis	41	3.3	3	2	277		649	Trace	62					18				1,108	7.0		
10	203	401-542	Sept.	1948	Ts	17	.44 1_J	5	1	65		125	3	21	. 2	1.1		186	17					8.0		
1.09	204	423-533		do.	Ts	23	.96 1_J	4	3	74		150	3	21	. 2	1.6		224	23					8.0		
	205	2,480- 2,860	June	10, 1964	Twis	22	.02 1_J	2.5	1.0	258	2.1	624	. 4	53	.7	.0	0.4	647	10	98	35	10.0	1,070	8.0	46.0	115
19	205	2,480- 2,860	June	6, 1966	Twis			3	1	258		620	< 4	57	. 6	< .4			12				1,145	8.3		
	206	462 - 543	Мау	13, 1938	Ts			5.0	1.7	67		150	10	18	.3	.1		180	19							
	206	648~688	May	1938	Tqc			4.8	2.1	485		1,040	6.9	72	1.6	1.0	Ì	1,150	21							
	206	1,665- 1,887	July	5, 1938	Twi,Tc		. 12	5.8	1.9	1,270		1,660	> 1.0	885	3.2			3,090	22						- 48 ar	
	206	462-543	July	21, 1938	Ts			> 4				136	> 5	17	.2	.0		153								
	206	462-543	July	30, 1938	Ts			> 4				134	5	16				149								
	206	462-543	Nov.	11, 1942	Ts	18	.05	1.0	.2	67		148	5.3	16	.1	.0		177	3					8.2		
12/	207	2,270	Mar.	28, 1968	Twis	18	12	7	1	277		480	93	94				961	21				1,230	8.5		
12	207	2,590		do.	Twis	21	5.9	10	1	263		524	51	85				937	30				1,170	8.4		~-
12	207	2,225- 2,709	May	13, 1968	Twis	19	.08	4	0	226		523	0	51				813	10				917	8.5		
	207	2,225- 2,709	Nov.	18, 1969	Twis	21	.00	4.0	6	212	1.9	502	.2	52	.3	.0	. 29	539	12	96	27	7.98	891	8.1		
2	208	2,320- 2,814	Sept.	1964	Twis		. 05	3.2	.9	231.4		531.9	0	54	.4				11.7				935	8.02		
19	208	2,320- 2,814	June	6, 1966	Twis		.06	4	1'	222		530	< 4	51	.3	< .4			14				995	8.4		
	208	2,320- 2,814	July	29, 1967	Twis		.13	3	3	256		588	< 4	59	.6	2.0			19				1,112	8.6		
	302	435-523	Nov.	10, 1942	Ts	18	. 04	2.0	.3	67		156	5.7	12	.2	.0		182	6	96	12			8.2		
9	303	2,106~ 2,175	Mar.	1954	Twi	15	.07 1_j	9	1	187		438	Trace	46				695	27					8.6		
9	303	2,706- 2,721		do.	Twis	16	.15 1_/	4	1	318		731	2	72				1,146					60 p ²	8.3		
2	303	2,915- 2,925	Mar.	23, 1954	Twis		.1	4	1	314		719	0	74				1,128	14					8.25		

		LIETT			111 (1120)						20							[RESI-	SPECIFIC			
		DEPTH OR	DATE OF	2	BEAR-	SILICA	IRON	CAL-	MAG- NE-	S0-	TAS-	BICAR-	SUL-	CHLO-	FLUO-	NI-	BORON	DIS-	NESS	CENT	ADSORP~	SODIUM	CONDUC- TANCE		WATE	R
W	ELL	PRODUCING INTERVAL	COLLECT	LON	ING UNIT	(Si0 ₂)	(Fe) (TOTAL)	CIUM (Ca)	SIUM (Mg)	DIUM (Na)	SIUM (K)	BONATE (HCO ₃)	FATE (SO _A)	RIDE (Cl)	RIDE (F)	TRATE (NO ₂)	(B)	SOLVED	AS CaCO ₂	SO- DIUM	TION RATIO	CAR- BONATE	(MICROM- HOS AT	pH	TEMPERA	TURE
		(FT)											4				L				(SAR)	(RSC)	25°C)	l	°C	°F
												BRAZOS	COUNTY													
10/BJ-	59-21-303	2,670- 2,940	May 8,	1954	Twis	25	0.5	3	2	322		714	28	71	0.7	0.43		1,120	16					8.1		
19	303	2,670-2,940	July 29,	1967	Twis		< .02	3	1	301		710	< 4	68	.9	2.0		1,090	13				1,328	8.3		
ł	304	422-492	Nov. 10,	1942	Ts	16	.05	1.5	.2	71		163	2.4	16	.2	.0		188	4					8.2		
ł	305	391-600	do.		Ts	15	.25	2.1	.5	192		436	1.6	45	.3	.2		472	7					8.2		
2	306	551-571	Sept. 13,	1952	Ts	18		14.1	3.0			289	.0	30				376	11					8.1		
2/	306	621-641	Sept. 17,	1952	Ts	16		4.4	1.8			241	. 0	25				298	4					8.4		
21	306	621-641	Sept. 18,	1952	Ts	20		5.7	3.6			262	.0	25				322	6					9.2		
2	401	1,250- 1,260	Oct. 16,	1952	Twi		3.0	3.8	. 8	341		737	61	60				1,229	13					8.5		
2	401	1,150- 1,160	Oct. 23,	1952	Tc		1.1	3.7	1.1	322		744	0	72	~			1,189	14					8.5	26.0	79
<u>9</u>	401	539-549	Oct. 27.	1952	Tqc		2.25	4.6	1.0	345		829	0	59				1,276	16					8.5	28.0	82
2	401	439-449	Nov. 1,	1952	Tqc		3.0	4.9	1.5	488		1,084	0	134				1,719	19			~-		8.5		
<u>9</u>	401	269-279	Nov. 4,	1952	Ts		5.0	1.7	.5	222		450	16.5	70				791	6					8.9	26.0	79
.9	402	1,120- 1,330	Apr. 29,	1953	Twi,Tc	16	.4	2.2	.3	320		726	Trace	74				1,145	6.7					8.5		
	501	430-573	Aug. 23,	1943	Ts	19	.10	1.7	. 2	69		159	1.5	16	.0	.0		186	5				280	8.1		
21	502	420-440	Sept. 26,	1952	Ts	18		14	3.0			304	.0	28					11					8.5		
2	502	420-440	Sept. 27,	1952	Ts	15		13	3.6			320	.0	28				392	11					8.5		
2	502	540-560	Sept. 30,	1952	Ts	16	1_/	10	3.0			252	.0	25				318	8					8.3	~~	
	507	451-471	July 24,	1970	Tem		.03 1_/	3.1	.9			824	.0	214					11			13.3	1,850	7.7		
	508	246	do.		Ту		.06	4.4	1.3			752	63	210					16			12.0	1,820	7.8		
	701	710	Sept. 5,	1947	Tqc			3.4	1.2	355		623	204	44		.0		914	14				1,380			
	702	697	do.		Tqc			2.8	1.2	326		536	207	48		.0	~-	849	12				1,380			
2	704	366-473	Jan. 21,	1950	Ts	14	.15	1.5	.3	77		172	8.9	16					5					8.8	25.5	78
10	704	366-473	May 16,	1951	Ts	14	.1	1.1	.1	79		157	12	16					3					8.8		
2	705	373-473	Apr. 18,	1950	Ts	15	.1	1.0	.2	113		218	21	34					3					8.7	26.0	79
9/	705	373-473	May 16,	1951	Ts	14	.1	1.1	.1	111		211	23	33					3					8.5		
1 97	706	400-503	May 10,	1950	Ts	14		1.4	.1	136		236	35	48					3					8.4	26.5	80
	706	400-503	May 16,	1951	Ts	12	.1	1.3	.2	140		244	41	46					4					8.27		
	707	700?	Sept. 5,	1947	Tqc			3.6	1.1	315		556	164	50				808	14				1,390			

WELL	WELL DEPTH OR PRODUCING	DATE OF COLLECTION	WATER BEAR- ING	SILICA (SiO ₂)	IRON (Fe)	CAL- CIUM	MAG- NE- SIUM	SO- DIUM	PO- TAS- SIUM	BICAR- BONATE	SUL- FATE	CHLO- RIDE	FLUO- RIDE	NI- TRATE	BORON (B)	DIS- SOLVED	HARD- NESS AS	PER- CENT SO-	SODIUM ADSORP- TION	RESI- DUAL SODIUM CAR-	SPECIFIC CONDUC- TANCE (MICROM-	рН	WATÉ TEMPERA	R TURE
	(FT)		UNIT	<u></u>	(TOTAL)	(ca)	(rtg)	(Na)	(K)	(nc0 ₃)	(504)	(01)	(1)	(NU3)		SOLIDS	caco ₃	DIUM	(SAR)	(RSC)	25° C)		°c	°F
										BRAZOS C	DUNTY													
BJ-59-21-710	840-	July 24, 1970	Tqc	14	0.05	2.1	0.6	428		976	16	84	1.6	0.0			3	99	107	15.9	1,680	8.1		
6 711	190	Apr. 27, 1955	Tcm			24	4	370		630	85	195					78							
6/ 712	24	Mar. 1955	Qt			208	37	128		342	52	436					676							
713	394-602	Nov. 6, 1942	Ts	18	.02	3.1	1.2	193		310	49	89		.0		506	12					8.3		
713	394-602	June 18, 1943	Ts	16	.07	3.1	.6	205		332	42	99	.5	.0		536	10					8.2		
713	394-602	Sept. 5, 1947	Ts	15	. 09	7.0	2.6	600	15	920	5.4	418	.8	.5		1,520	28				2,590	8.2		
713	394-602	May 14, 1948	Ts	14	. 6	2.5	1.6	210		338	44	102	. 6	.0		556	12				966	7.6	28.0	82
713	394-602	Apr. 15, 1949	Ts	14	.19	2.0	.7	209		335	40	102	. 6	.0		544					912	7.7		
713	394-602	Feb. 10, 1950	Ts	13	.20	3.0	1.0	208		339	41	101	.4	.0		548	12				884	7.9	28.0	82
713	394-602	Oct. 27, 1950	Ts	14	.48	8.0	3.4	649		984	8.9	445	.8	.0		1,610	34				2,770	8.0	27.0	81
713	394-602	May 29, 1953	Ts	16	.10	.7	.2	108		204	25	30	.5	.2		288	3				473	8.1	26.5	80
9 714	2,741- 2,989	Jan. 3, 1955	Twis	18	.1	7	2	247		572	11	56				913	26							
714	2,741- 2,989	Sept. 7, 1955	Twis	24	.16	2.6	.4	235		538	.2	55	.3	.0		583	8				961	8.1	48.0	118
714	2,741- 2,989	July 31, 1956	Twis	24	.03	2.4	.5	235		536	.0	55	. 5	.0		581	8	98	36		950	8.2	47.0	117
714	2,741- 2,989	July 30, 1957	Twis	26	. 36	2.7	.5	226		516	. 2	54	.4	.0		564	8				950	7.6		
715	498-588	Nov. 13, 1942	Ts	16	. 04	18	7.6	106		245	44	41	. 3	.2		354	76					8.2		
715	498-588	June 18, 1943	Ts	15	.03	2.0	.4	124		217	40	40	.4	.0		336	6					8.3		
716	400-500	June 29, 1954	Ts	15	.06	.0	.3	106		200	25	29	.5	.2		280	1				404	8.1	26.5	80
716	400-500	July 31, 1956	Ts	15	.03	.2	.1	107		202	24	30	. 5	.0		276	1	99	47		457	8.5	26.5	80
716	400-500	June 27, 1955	Ts	15	. 04	.0	.0	107		207	25	29	.4	.0		275	0				464	8.3	26.0	79
716	400-500	July 30, 1957	Ίs	15	. 07	.2	.2	103		191	24	30	.5	.2		270		99	37		459	7.5	27.0	81
717	401-487	Jan. 27, 1943	Ts	16	. 07	1.5	1.0	113		215	27	33	. ь	.0		297	6					0.3		
717	401-487	June 22, 1943	Ts	14	.03	1.7	.4	110		212	26	31		.0		295	0				465	8.0		
717	401-487	Sept. 9, 1947	Ts	14	.09	.9		1109	3.2	208	20	24		1.0		290	9				403	8.4	27.0	81
717	401-487	Apr 15 1040	Te	15	14	8		110		207	27	31	.5			291	3				483	8.5		
717	401-407	Feb. 10, 1949	Ts	13	.08		.2	109		206	27	30	.3	.0		291	3				461	8.1	27.0	81
717	401-487	Oct. 27, 1950	Ts	14	.16	.7	.3	109		206	26	30	.2	1.0		291	3				475	8.0	27.0	81
717	401-487	Dec. 13, 1951	Ts	14	.80	.6	.6	108		205	28	30	.1	.0		298	4				454	8.0		
717	401-487	May 23, 1952	Ts	16	. 30	.5	.1	108		203	26	29	.3	1.0		283	2				473	8.0		

See footnotes at end of table,

- 179 -

WE	LL	WELL DEPTH OR PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT)	DA COL	TE OF	WATER BEAR- ING UNIT	SILICA (SiO ₂)	IRON (Fe) (TOTAL)	CAL- CIUM (Ca)	MAG- NE- SIUM (Mg)	SO- DIUM (Na)	PO- TAS- SIUM (K)	BICAR- BONATE (HCO ₃)	SUL- FATE (SO4)	CHLO- RIDE (C1)	FLUO- RIDE (F)	NI- TRATE (NO ₃)	BORON (B)	DIS- SOLVED SOLIDS	HARD- NESS AS CaCO ₃	PER- CENT SO- DIUM	SODIUM ADSORP- TION RATIO (SAR)	RESI- DUAL SODIUM CAR- BONATE (RSC)	SPECIFIC CONDUC- TANCE (MICROM- HOS AT 25° C)	рн	WATEF TEMPERA	R TURE
L											1	BRAZOS CO	DUNTY					L	L	1	(0.41)	(100)			<u> </u>	
BJ-5	9-21-718	411-482	June	22, 1943	Ts	31	0.73	2.0	0.4	84		172	20	18	0.5	0.0		265	6	96	15			8.1		[]
	718	411-482	Sept.	5, 1947	Ts	14	.05	.5	.2	83	4.3	166	20	22	.0	.2		237	2				345	8.0		
	718	411-482	May	14, 1948	Ts	18	. 2	1.2	1.0	81		164	24	17	.0	. 8		224	7				358	7.6	26.5	80
	718	411-482	Apr.	15, 1949	Ts	15	. 18	.2	.2	83		167	19	17	.4	.0		219	1				360	8.4		
	718	411-482	Feb.	10, 1950	Ts	13	.12	.1	.2	84		167	19	18	.2	.0		221	1				364	8.3	26.5	80
	718	411-482	Oct.	27, 1950	Ts	14	.16	.4	.4	81		166	18	16	.2	1.0		219	3				354	8.0	26.0	79
	718	411-482	Dec.	14, 1951	Ts	15	.13	.6	.1	83		166	19	18	.1	1.0		221	2				339	8.2		
	718	411-482	May	23, 1952	Ts	15	. 50	.0	.2	81		1.64	1.8	16	.4	1.5		213	1				353	8.0	28.0	82
	718	411-482	May	29, 1953	Ts	18	. 18	. 2	.1	81		164	17	16	. 5	. 2		214	1				351	8.0	26.5	80
	718	411-482	June	29, 1954	Ts	16	.06	.0	.2	82		164	18	18	.3	.8		218	1				349	7.7	28.0	82
	718	411-482	June	23, 1955	Ts	15	. 05	.0	.0	82		170	18	16	.4	.0		214	0				349	8.3	25.5	78
	718	411-482	July	31, 1956	Ts	16	. 04	.2	.1	81		165	17	16	.3	.0		212	1	99	35		345	8.6	26.5	80
	718	411-482	July	30, 1957	Ts	16	.05	.2	.1	78		157	17	17	.4	.0		208	1	99	34		345	7.8	26.0	79
<u>9</u>	721	70	Apr.	1, 1958	Qt			84	5	15		325	17						227							
12	722	30	Feb.	24, 1955	Qt			2	23	392		961	42	85					102						AR 64	
13	723	2,600- 2,974	Oct.	14, 1960	Twis	25.3	. 5	3.8	1.0	208		473	1	54					13.5				820	8.4		
	728	47	June	11, 1964	Qt	24	. 60	109	14	96		408	131	44	. 2	5.5		625	330	39	2.3	.10	973	6.9	21.5	71
	801	34	July	22, 1970	Qt		.06 1_/	42	3.3			140	6.2	4.6					118			.00	2.62	7.0		
	901	62	May	13, 1964	Ty	49	.10	60	15	102		158	36	188	.3	. 2		528	211	51	3.0	.00	915	6.5		
	906	297-322	Dec.	8, 1969	Ту	28	.07 1_j 14_j	12	2.3	159		217	47	110	.1	3.1		468	40	90	11	2.77	791	8.4		
	22-101	530 - 544	Nov.	13, 1969	Tem	12	.06 1_/ 5_/	3.0	.9	391		744	.2	174	1.4	4.6	**	953	11	99	51	12.0	1,600	8.2		
	110	410	July	16, 1970	Tem		.04 1_/	4.7	1.2			530	187	152	. 8				16			8.36	1,650	7.6		
	301	70~78		do.	Ту	70	2.7 1_/	30	7.4	67		74	28	115	. 2	.0	0.27	393	106	58	2.8	. 00	578	6.4	22.0	71
15/	401	1,563- 1,950	Oct.	13, 1924	Tqc	21	6.2	5.0	3.4	704		751		667				1,777	26							
	401	1,563- 1,950	Dec.	6, 1937	Tc,Twi		.06	4.2	2.6	1,030		1,770	3.5	568	.0	3.0		2,480	21						39.0	102
	402	174-303	Dec.	7, 1937	Ту		1.5	32	9.3	84		90	48	125	. 3	.0		344	118						24.5	76
	403	232-870		do.	Ty,Ts		.02	7.0	3.1	669		939	4.1	502	1.1	.0		1,650	30						30.5	87
	504	275-348	Nov.	20, 1969	Ту	16	.13 1_/ 4_/	2.2	.3	207		276	71	110	.0	2.2		545	6	99	37	4.39	912	7.7		

WELL	WELL DEPTH OR PRODUCING INTERVAL	DATE OF COLLECTION	WATER BEAR- ING UNIT	SILICA (SiO ₂)	IRON (Fe) (TOTAL)	CAL- CIUM (Ca)	MAG- NE- SIUM (Mg)	SO- DIUM (Na)	PO- TAS- SIUM (K)	BICAR- BONATE (HCO3)	SUL- FATE (SO4)	CHLO- RIDE (C1)	FLUO- RIDE (F)	NI- TRATE (NO ₃)	BORON (B)	DIS- SOLVED SOLIDS	HARD- NESS AS CaCO ₃	PER- CENT SO- DIUM	SODIUM ADSORP- TION RATIO	RESI- DUAL SODIUM CAR- BONATE	SPECIFIC CONDUC- TANCE (MICROM- HOS AT	рң	WATEF TEMPERAT	R
	(FT)			L										5					(SAR)	(RSC)	25°C)		°C	°F
	1	1								BRAZOS C	OUNTY				0.00							T		
BJ-59-22-601	300	May 22, 1961	Ty	42		8.5	1.6	128	4.1	140	30	118	0.2	0.0	0.09	401	28	90	11		678	6.6	24.0	75
607	410	July 15, 1970	Ty		0.02	2.0	.2			284	44	44	.2				6	,		4.53	668	8.1		
23-101	. 328	Nov. 19, 1969	Ty	16		2.5	.6	208		340	70	76	.4	2.7		543	8	98	32	5.40	884	7.6		
201	72	Dec. 12, 1969	Ту		1.9	9.7	1.4			436	5.6	98					30			6.55	959	8.2	20.0	68
403	1,180-1,210	Dec. 11, 1969	Ts	16	.15 1_/4_/	1.4	.6	438		1,090	.2	40	4.4	-4		1,040	6	99	78	17.7	1,740	8.5		
404		July 15, 1970	Ту		.07 1_j	8.2	1.0			374	41	145					24			5.64	1,120	7.7		
703	550	Dec. 11, 1969	Ту	14	.08 1_/ 4_/	1.3	.2	253		468	85	56	.7	.3		642	4	99	55	7.61	1,060	8.8	25.5	78
29-206	63	July 20, 1970	Qfpa	20	1.2 1_j	212	40	91		690	165	108	.2	.9	.26	978	694	22	1.5	.00	1,540	7.0		
304	300?	do.	Ту	38	.06 1_/	22	2.6	351		326	286	182	.4	7.5		1,050	66	92	19	4.03	1,670	7.5		
305	274-315	July 21, 1970	Ту		.14 1_/	10	1.0			336	273	129					29			4.93	1,500	7.6		
307	462	July 22, 1970	Ty			1.8	. 2			354	57	88					6			5.70	940	8.4		
의 603	1,022- 1,100	Sept. 19, 1966	Ts	14	.3	2.5	.4	338		732	36	72	. 6	.10		840	8				1,382	8.3		
603	1,022-1,100	Dec. 8, 1969	Ts	15		1.8	. 6	359		786	22	82	.4	.9		869	7	99	59	12.8	1,410	8.8		
30-101	771	Dec. 1, 1937	Ту			13	< 5	584		927	148	274	1.2	2.5		1,580	33						29.0	84
102	495	do.	Ty			11	< 5	313		378	195	138		< 20		843	27							
<u>9</u> 103	960	Mar. 10, 1937	Ту	40	.2 1_j	16	2.8	328		335	196	203				952	51							
<u>9</u> 104	451	do.	Ту	20	.2 1_/	4.0	1.7	578		916	223	206				1,485	17							
301	360~445	Dec. 3, 1969	Ту	31	.03 1_/ 5_/	3.6	. 4	315		484	166	86	.6	3.1		844	10	98	43	7.72	1,340	8.1		
302	200	do.	Ty	32		80	8.0	920		436	1,200	438		12		2,900	232	90	26	2.50	4,100	7.8		
402	410	July 20, 1970	Ty		.51 1_j	31	3.0			382	436	315					90			4.46	2,450	7.4		
704	700	Dec. 2, 1969	Ту	17	.11 1_14_	15	1.5	1,030		620	4.6	1,250		10		2,630	44	98	67	9.29	4,520	8.0		
801	Spring	do.	Tj		.98 1_/14_/	21	3.6			36	20	97					67				456	5.7	21.0	70
805	1,147- 1,177	July 22, 1970	Ту	18	.00	3.3	. 6	547		1,000	.0	264	2.9	.0		1,330	10	99	75	16.2	2,240	8.1		
806	1,125- 1,155	do.	Ту		. 00	3.0	.5			930	.0	197					10			15.0	1,930	8.1		

WELL.	WELL DEPTH OR PRODUCING INTERVAL	D. CO	ATE OF LLECTION	WATER BEAR- ING UNIT	SILICA (SiO ₂)	IRON (Fe) (TOTAL)	CAL- CIUM (Ca)	MAG- NE- SIUM (Mg)	SO- DIUM (Na)	PO- TAS- SIUM (K)	BICAR- BONATE (HCO ₃)	SUL- FATE (SO4)	CHLO- RIDE (C1)	FLUO- RIDE (F)	NI- TRATE (NO ₃)	BORON (B)	DIS- SOLVED SOLIDS	HARD- NESS AS CaCO ₃	PER- CENT SO- DIUM	SODIUM ADSORP- TION RATIO	RESI- DUAL SODIUM CAR- BONATE	SPECIFIC CONDUC- TANCE (MICROM- HOS AT	рН	WATE TEMPERA	R TURE
L	(FT)									<u> </u>		L						L	l	(SAR)	(RSC)	25°C)	L	°C	°F
BT-59-30-807	1 115-	1.1.1.	22 1070		1	0.02	2.0	0.5		1	BRAZUS C	OUNTY													
51-39-30-807	1,155	July	22, 1970	l Iy		0.03 1_/	2.9	0.5			964	0.0	194					9			16	1,950	8.1		
31-201	324-344	Dec.	11, 1969	Ту		.03 1_/4_/	14	1.2			642	. 2	620					40			9.74	2,830	8.4		~~
401	674	July	16, 1970	Ту	38	.04 1_/	12	.9	710		770	.0	670	0.7	0.0	5.2	1,820	34	98	53	12.0	3,160	8.1		
504	500?	Dec.	4, 1969	Ty		3.1 5_/	116	6.8			430	1.6	3,100					318			. 70	9,860	7.2	23.5	74
506	700?	Dec.	11, 1969	Ту			78	4.8			496	17	2,800					214			3.85	9,090	8.0	25.0	77
601	Spring	Aug.	9, 1948	Tj	48		22	3.7	540		478	103	528				1,480	70				2,600		22.0	72
601	Spring	July	16, 1970	Tj		.44 1_/	24	1.6			524	94	518					66			7.26	2,540	7.4		
701	287	Dec.	4, 1969	Tj			3.6	.4			952	.0	235					10			15.4	2,030	7.9		
802	70-82	Dec.	3, 1969	Tj			60	6.0			220	190	420					174			.13	2,050	7.6		
805	25	Dec.	17, 1969	Tj			46	8.8			176	92	198					151			.00	1,090	7.1		
38-201	1,297?	Dec.	9, 1969	Ту	27		54	3.9	1,050		682	6.0	1,320		.1		2,800	150	94	37	8.18	4,720	8.3		
606	70	July	24, 1964	Qfpa	30	7.3	142	23	38	3.4	556	27	36	.4	.0	.19	573	449	15	.8	. 13	940	7.0	21.5	71
901	70	Aug.	15, 1957	Qfpa			167	45	195		933	21	170				1,531	599							
901	70	July	16, 1970	Qfpa	28	4.1	176	66	561		660	115	900		.0	1.9	2,180	710	63	9.1	.00	3,820	7.2	~~	
902	73	Aug.	15, 1957	Qfpa			181	34	119		659	44	188				1,225	599							
902 <u>6</u>	73	July	22, 1963	Qfpa	36	12	160	39	185		870	4.8	172	.3	.0	. 59	1,030	560	42	3.4	3.07	1,690	6.9	21.0	70
903	73	June	21. 1956	Qfpa			121	33	120		475	71	167				957	438							
903	73	July	16, 1970	Qfpa	59	.00 1_j	146	24	110		496	93	140	.3	.0	. 27	817	463	34	2.2	.00	1,300	7.0	21.0	69
9 904	66	Sept.	19, 1956	Qfpa			173	18	376		791	44	447				1,801	509							
9 904	66	Aug.	15, 1957	Qfpa			151	42	476		1,177	28	418				2,292	547							
909	65	Aug.	11, 1964	Qfpa	40	11	154	36	74	4.1	602	28	121	.4	.0	. 34	754	532	23	1.4	. 00	1,250	6.8	21.0	70
924	66	Ju1y	16. 1970	Qfpa	39	5.9	175	45	155	~~	796	74	159	.4	4.0	.48	1,050	622	35	2.7	. 62	1,710	7.0		
925	480		do.	Ту		1.2	11	.3			478	. 0	340					28			7.26	1,790	7.2		
39-101	508-528	Jan.	7, 1943	Ty			15	1.9	873		1,490	2	940	.4			2,200	46							
201	120	July	16, 1970	Tj	95	7.1	50	15	163		252	35	209	.3	2.7		701	186				1,130	6.6		
401	125	Dec.	10. 1969	Tj	51	2.0 5_j	36	3.3	55		226	12	17	.2	.2		286	103	54	2.4	1.64	420	6.7		
404	280?	Jan.	20, 1970	Tj	47	.06	4.0	.1	125		269	7.2	36	1.3	. 2	1.5	354	10	96	17	4.20	539	7.7		
506	300?	July	21, 1970	Tj		.03 1_	1.6	. 0			120	12	20					4			1.89	283	7.6	22.0	72

See footnotes at end of table.

- 182 -

WELL	WELL DEPTH OR PRODUCING	DATE OF COLLECTION	WATER BEAR- ING	SILICA (SiO ₂)	IRON (Fe)	CAL- CIUM	MAG- NE- SIUM	SO- DIUM	PO- TAS- SLUM	BICAR- BONATE	SUL- FATE	CHLO- RIDE	FLUO- RIDE	NI- TRATE	BORON (B)	DIS- SOLVED	HARD- NESS AS	PER- CENT SO-	SODIUM ADSORP- TION	RESI- DUAL SODIUM CAR-	SPECIFIC CONDUC- TANCE (MICROM-	рН	WATE TEMPERA	R TURE
	INTERVAL (FT)		UNIT		(TOTAL)	(Ca)	(Mg)	(Na)	(K)	(HCO3)	(so ₄)	(C1)	(F)	(NO3)		SOLIDS	CaCO ₃	DIUM	(SAR)	(RSC)	25° C)		°C	°F
										BRAZOS CO	UNTY													
BJ-59-39-507	292	Dec. 10, 1970	Tj	76		0.7	0.0	71		142	12	18	0.5	1.0		249	2	99	22	2.29	325	7.8		
606	61	July 9, 1963	Qfpa	24	2.7	152	29	95		652	54	80	. 4	.0	0.48	756	498	29	1.9	.72	1,200	6.8	21.0	70
611	60	do.	Qfpa	28	4.3	200	34	152		752	90	184	.2	.0	.36	1,060	639	34	2.6	.00	1,690	7.1	21.0	70
611	60	July 21, 1970	Qfpa	28		257	41	151		744	124	282	. 2	.0	.31	1,250	810	29	2.3	.00	2,070	7.1	21.0	70
613	258	July 16, 1970	Tj		.08 1_/	1.3	.0		~ ~	144	11	16					3			2.30	318	7.3		
614	400?	July 21, 1970	Tj		.13 1_/	1.5	.0			146	20	41		~~			4			2.32	405	7.5	23.0	73
701	60	July 9, 1963	Qfpa	27	.06	218	51	164		764	160	225	.4	.0	.43	1,220	754	32	2.6	.00	1,910	6.9	21.0	70
705	73	do.	Qfpa	27	6.2	176	37	108		786	47	93	.4	.0	.38	876	591	28	1.9	1.06	1,400	6.9	21.0	70
705	73	July 24, 1964	Qfpa	27	6.4	161	36	97	3.9	736	33	85	.4	.0	.40	806	550	28	1.8	1.07	1,340	6.9	22.0	72
712	600?	Jan. 20, 1970	Ту	76	. 65	167	12	309		734	.0	380	.2	-4	1.4	1,310	466	59	6.2	2.71	2,170	6.8		
806		July 16, 1970	Qfpa		5.2	165	31			726	22	58			.24		539			1.12	1,210	7.1		
807	1,000?	Dec. 18, 1969	Ty		.16 1_/13_/	8.4	.3			216	18	340					22			3.10	1,480	7.6	27.0	81
903	62	July 20, 1970	Qfpa		.35 1_j	132	26			536	28	57			. 13		436			.06	992	7.0	21.0	70
907	70	July 23, 1964	Qfpa	24	. 05	153	41	71	3.5	648	109	49	.3	.0	. 30	770	550	22	1.3	.00	1,230	6.9	21.5	71
910	70	July 20, 1970	Qfpa		6.3	194	34			710	85	64			.19		624				1,310	7.2	21.0	70
915	1,050?	July 21, 1970	Ту		.04 1_/	1.8	.0			158	33	74					4			2.50	553	7.4	28.0	82
917	2.50?	July 20, 1970	Tcs		.10 1_j	26	1.0			792	.0	252					69			12.0	1,920	7.5		
40-403	73	Dec. 18, 1969	Tcs		1.9 1_j	122	33			792	116	110					440			4.18	1,640	7.3		
47-104	114	Nov. 4, 1970	Tcs			22	.7			720	.2	110					58			11.0	1,380	7.6	** 44	
305	245	June 11, 1963	Tc s	41	.36 1_/	73	4.9	85		356	25	48	.3	.0		452	202	48	2.6	1.79	744	6.8	26.5	79
308	146	Jan. 20, 1970	Tcs	46	1.2	54	2.9			384	22	34	.3				146			3.36	703	7.3		
									B	URLESON (COUNTY					,	<u></u>		r	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,			
BS-59-18-901	58	Sept. 2, 1936	Tqc							43	20	39				124								
903	315-357	Mar. 24, 1970	Tqc		17 1_j	62	6.0			182	50	54					179			.00	551	6.5		
1 <u>6</u> / 904	635	Oct. 1965	Twi,Tc	20	2	48	13	32		171	62	27				375	173					7.5		
904	635	Mar. 24, 1970	Twi,Tc	12	.72 1_j	44	12	34		173	59	21	. 1	.0	.06	268	159	32	1.2	.00	457	7.3		
16 906	200	June 1964	Tąc	27	12	47	8	59		217	38	44				452						7.3		

Table 17. -- Chemical Analyses of Water from Wells and Springs -- Continued

			1			Table	5 17, Gil	childer it		or water	LLOIN WEL	to und of	11160	ooneinae										
WELL	WELL DEPTH OR PRODUCING INTERVAL	DATE OF COLLECTION	WATER BEAR- ING UNIT	SILICA (SiO ₂)	IRON (Fe) (TOTAL)	CAL- CIUM (Ca)	MAG- • NE- SIUM (Mg)	·* SO- DIUM (Na)	PO- • TAS- SIUM (K)	BICAR- BONATE (HCO ₂)	SUL- FATE	CHLO- RIDE (C1)	FLUO- RIDE (F)	NI- TRATE	BORON (B)	• DIS- SOLVED	HARD- NESS AS CaCO-	PER- CENT SO- DTUM	SODIUM ADSORP- TION RATIO	RESI- DUAL SODIUM CAR- BONATE	SPECIFIC CONDUC- TANCE (MICROM- HOS AT	pН	WATER TEMPERAT	≀ TURE
	(FT)]			(TOTAL)	(0-)	(**67	(114)		((004)	(01)	(2)	(1103)		002100	<u> </u>		(SAR)	(RSC)	25°C)		°C	°F
									B	URLEŞON C	OUNTY													
BS-59-19-401	66	Sept. 2, 1936	Tqc							85	28	216				447								
601	15	Sept. 1, 1936	Tqc							49	< 10	27				82								
603	58	do.	Tqc			22	16	84		6	142	106				373	120							
604	301-334	Mar. 25, 1970	Tqc		53 1_j	56	22			20	161	98					230			0.00	690	5.6	~~	
701	42	Sept. 2, 1936	Tqc			24	27	71		232	79	38				353	171							
702	210-240	Apr. 14, 1970	Îqc		13 1_j	72	17			194	53	80					250			.00	654	6.8		
802	37	Sept. 2, 1936	Tqc							61	779	160				1,400								
803	65	Nov. 23, 1936	Tqc							122	51	265				587								
804	300?	Mar. 27, 1970	Tqc			43	12			182	42	18			0.10		157			.00	414	7.4	21.0	70
805	230	Mar. 31, 1970	Tqc	18	.42 1_j	45	10	30		172	40	25	0.1	0.0		254	153	30	1.1	.00	424	7.7		
806	62	Oct. 21, 1936	Τw							183	335	140				843								
902	90-132	Mar. 26, 1970	Ts		.25 1_j	76	31			236	145	76			.18		317			.00	847	7.2		
903	163-205	do.	Ts		.11 1_j	37	12			212	34	7.8					142			. 64	412	7.3		
20-116	22	May 14, 1964	Qt	46	.06	46	3.7	19		132	18	28	. 2	6.0		232	130	24	.7	.00	357	7.0	21.0	70
120	950?	Nov. 27, 1936	Twi,Tc			30	7	46		153	50	19				227	105							
120	950?	Feb. 1, 1971	Twi,Tc	16	.10 1_j	10	2.6	110		196	73	23	.0	2.7		336	36	87	8.0	2.57	533	8.8	25.5	78
121	1,250	Mar. 25, 1970	Twi,Tc	17	.23 1_/	1.6	.2	220		512	31	22	.3	.5	. 34	545	5	99	43	8.29	910	8.2		
122	700?	Nov. 27, 1936	Tqc			8	2	122		207	81	29				344	31							
401	40	Sept. 22, 1936	Tw			94	99	540		238	303	925				2,170	641							
402	500?	do.	Tqc			7	2	150		244	106	30				415	27							
545	Spring	May 1964	Ts							380	94	135					444			.00	1,160	7.2		
704	40	Mar. 26, 1970	Ts	38	4.0	44	14	46		24	11	167	.0	2.3		334	168	37	1.5	.00	621	5.1		
25-501	362	July 16, 1964	Tc							136	28	16			.10		112			.00	328	7.0	23.5	74
503	171-211	Mar. 30, 1970	Tqc		62 1_j	120	38			0 18_J	396	140	~~				456			. 00	1,210	4.1		
505	210-231	do.	Tqc		4.4	38	9.5			122	32	50					134			.00	406	6.5		
901	315	do.	Tqc		2.2	34	11			128	77	16					130			. 00	402	7.2	22.0	72
903	270-310	do.	Tqc		38 1_J	90	31			0 19_/	297	105					352			.00	943	3.8	21.0	70

WELL	WELL DEPTH OR PRODUCINC INTERVAL	DATE OF COLLECTION	WATER BEAR- INC UNIT	SILICA (S10 ₂)	IRON (Fe) (TOTAL)	CAL- CIUM (Ca)	MAG- NE- SIUM (Mg)	SO- DIUM (Na)	PO- TAS- SIUM (K)	BICAR- BONATE (HCO ₂)	SUL- FATE (SO,)	CHLO- RIDE (C1)	FLUO- RIDE (F)	NI- TRATE (NO ₂)	BORON (B)	DIS- SOLVED SOLIDS	HARD- NESS AS CaCO ₂	PER- CENT SO- DIUM	SODIUM ADSORP- TION RATIO	RESI- DUAL SODIUM CAR- BONATE	SPECIFIC CONDUC- TANCE (MICROM- HOS AT	рН	WATE TEMPERA	R TURE
	(FT)				(- 4			- 3'			2		(SAR)	(RSC)	25°C)		°C	°F
									B	URLESON C	COUNTY													
BS-59-26-202	350	Mar. 23, 1970	Тс		11	88	26			140	144	111					326			0.00	844	6.7		
203	23	Sept. 4, 1936	Tqc			76	16	52		153	130	76				425	255						1	
302	90	do.	Tqc							159	< 10	74				246								
303	27	do.	Tqc							24	173	112				440								
304	Spring	Sept. 16, 1936	Tqc							61	< 10	29				95								
305	48	Oct. 1, 1936	Tqc							177	165	51				459								
306	17	Sept. 11, 1936	Tqc							31	< 10	27				67							20.5	69
501	36	Sept. 15, 1936	Tqc							61	< 10	30				97								
502	39	Sept. 12, 1936	Ts							43	< 10	36				91								
601	42	Jan. 1, 1951	Tw	23		112	69	69		164	334	163		1.5		913	563				1,450	8.0		
604	30	Oct. 9, 1936	Tqc							159	79	74				357								
605	85	Sept. 12, 1936	Ts			26	16	20		24	< 10	109				183	130							
606	Spring	Oct. 8, 1936	Ts							24	< 10	15				43								
701	42	Sept. 22, 1936	Tqc			68	15	111		12	170	200				570	229							
702	497-583	Mar. 27, 1970	Tc	18	.39 1_/	20	3.0	31		120	24	4.5	0.1	.0	0.15	160	62	52	1.7	.72	262	6.8	20.0	68
703	542-563	đo.	Tc	8.1	.07 1_j	16	3.1	32		104	25	6.9	.1	.0	.08	142	53	57	1.9	. 66	241	8.6	20.0	68
801	48	Sept. 3, 1936	Tw			234		90		128	236	305				928	585							
802	606-648	Mar. 30, 1970	Тс		48 1_/	47	19			48	144	60					196			.00	558	6.0		
902	47	Oct. 10, 1936	Ts			18	9	95		37	138	80				358	80							
27-201	167?	Apr. 14, 1970	Tqc		.46 1_j	48	14			203	15	27					177			.00	430	7.6	20.5	69
202	227	Oct. 21, 1936	Ts			30	16	24		183	16	18				194	140						23.0	73
203	27	do.	Ts			2	9	7		49	< 10	11				53	40							
204	163-205	Mar. 26, 1970	Ts		.00 1_j	33	11			190	16	15			.11		128			. 56	366	7.4		
205	9	Oct. 21, 1936	Ts							31	< 10	53				108								
303	350?	Apr. 1, 1970	Tqc			20	6.0			164	24	12					75			1.20	349	7.1		
305	24	Nov. 2, 1936	Tcm			282	1	2.50			953	250				1,740	711							
401	45	Nov. 13, 1936	Ts							79	20	13				114								
501	32	Sept. 19, 1936	Tem							12	213	396				931								
502	1,030- 1,070	Apr. 3, 1970	Тс	15	. 19 1_j	1.4	.3	136		260	59	18	.2	.4	.24	358	4	98	30	4.17	598	8.0		

See footnotes at end of table.

- 185 -

WELL	WELL DEPTH OR PRODUCING INTERVAL (FT)	DATE OF COLLECTION	WATER BEAR- INC UNIT	SILICA (SiO ₂)	IRON (Fe) (TOTAL)	CAL- CIUM (Ca)	MAG- NE- SIUM (Mg)	SO- DIUM (Na)	PO- TAS- SIUM (K)	BICAR- BONATE (HCO ₃)	SUL- FATE (SO4)	CHLO- RIDE (C1)	FLUO- RIDE (F)	NI- TRATE (NO3)	BORON (B)	DIS- SOLVED SOLIDS	HARD- NESS AS CaCO ₃	PER- CENT SO- DIUM	SODIUM ADSORP- TION RATIO (SAR)	RESI- DUAL SODIUM CAR- BONATE (RSC)	SPECIFIC CONDUC- TANCE (MICROM- HOS AT 25° C)	pН	WATER TEMPERA:	R TURE
	(/	I	L	L				L	В	URLESON C	OUNTY	<u> </u>			I		J		(JAK)	(130)	25 0)]		
BS-59-27-504	19	Sept. 2, 1936	Ts							37	< 10	33				82						[]		1
601	124	Sept. 21, 1936	Tcm			338	216	226		250	1,500	325				2,730	1,730							
602	315	Sept. 19, 1936	Ts			14	9	18		79	< 10	32				112	70							
603	518-560	Apr. 1, 1970	Tqc	17	0.31	29	6.9	46		186	36	6.9	0.0	0.9	0.10	234	101	50	2.0	1.03	389	7.2		
605	330	do.	Tqc		3.9	13	4.8			100	5.6	21					52			. 60	235	6.3		
606	600	do.	Tqc		2.5 1_/	1.2	.1			88	.2	30					3			1.37	237	6.7		
609	498-540	do.	Tqc	20	.19 1_/	31	7.3	46		188	39	7.2	. 0	2.7		245	107	48	1.9	.93	399	7.3		
702	20	Oct. 8, 1936	Tem			44	9	52		195	47	34				282	145							
703	190	Mar. 24, 1970	Ts			1.7	.3			2.52	48	18					5			4.03	546	8.2		
706	900?	Apr. 14, 1970	Tc		.16 1_/	3.5	.9			266	61	20			. 30		12			4.12	609	7.9		
708	92	Sept. 25, 1936	Tem			59	51	80		293	157	90				581	357							
. 712	700?	May 8, 1970	Tqc	16	.05 1/	5.1	1.7	107		238	37	12	.1	1.2	.18	297	20	92	10	3.51	491	7.9		
713	381-421	Apr. 14, 1970	Ts		.24	55	20			288	39	7.6					220			. 33	528	7.5		
12/ 714	178-195	Jan. 7, 1964	Ts	21	.57	3	2.6	15		27	3	18	.2			90	18				110	7.0		
12/ 714	368-385	Jan. 9, 1964	Tqc	8	.51	53	21	33		254	58	16	.2			443	220				510	8.2		
1 <u>2</u> / 714	1,102- 1,119	Jan. 12, 1964	Tc	9	1.96	2	2	156		317	53	26	.5			565	13				640	8.2		
12/ 714	1,260-	Jan. 14, 1964	Twi	11	. 52	. 5	.6	119		239	42	17	.5		~~	430	5				500	8.8		
12/ 714	1,070- 1,304	Feb. 1, 1964	Twi,Tc	11	.15	1	1	122		250	41	15	.8			429	6				520	8.9		
1 <u>2</u> /714	1,070- 1,304	Feb. 19, 1971	Twi,Tc	16	.11 1_/	2.0	.4	130	0.8	260	49	16	.1	.4	.16	339	6	97	23	4.14	552	8.7	30.0	80
715	547	July 6, 1970	Tqc	25	1.2 1_j	91	30	44		152	209	73	.1	.9		549	350	21	1.0	.00	837	6.7		
801	140-160	Sept. 25, 1936	Ts			4	6	15		43	< 10	22				68	34							
801	140~160	Dec. 15, 1939	Ts		3.95	5.4	2.6	16		26	8.0	21		.0		90	24					5.6		
801	140-160	June 25, 1943	Ts	25	3.8	7.1	1.8	8.6	8.2	26	4	20	.2	.0		92	25					6.0	23.0	73
802	271	Sept. 25, 1936	Ts			7	5	22		67	< 10	22				89	38						23.0	73
802	271	Sept. 26, 1938	Ts	22		15	2.7	23		61	7.1	28	. 4	.4		107	48					6.8		

	WELL	WELL DEPTH OR PRODUCING INTERVAL	DATE OF COLLECTION	WATER BEAR- ING UNIT	SILICA (SiO ₂)	IRON (Fe) (TOTAL)	CAL- CIUM (Ca)	MAG NE SIUM (Mg)	SO- DIUM (Na)	PO- TAS- SIUM (K)	BICAR- BONATE (HCO ₃)	SUL- FATE (SO4)	CHLO- RIDE (C1)	FLUO- RIDE (F)	NI- TRATE (NO ₂)	BORON (B)	DIS- SOLVED SOLIDS	HARD- NESS AS CaCO ₂	PER- CENT SO- DIUM	SODIUM ADSORP- TION RATIO	RESI- DUAL SODIUM CAR- BONATE	SPECIFIC CONDUC- TANCE (MICROM- HOS AT	рН	WATE TEMPERA	R TURE
		(FT)										}					<u> </u>			(SAR)	(RSC)	25°C)		°C	°F
						<u></u>			1	B	URLESON (COUNTY											[1
BS	-59-27-803	1,048- 1,206	June 25, 1943	Twi,Tc	15	0.03	1.2	0.3	143	4.4	289	53	20	0.4	0.0		380	4				608	8.0	28.5	83
12	803	1,048- 1,206	Jan. 14, 1964	Twi,Tc	11	.05	1	.4	147		295	53	19		~-		523	4				620	8.7		
	804	1,036- 1,134	Sept. 10, 1947	Twi,Tc	14	.19	2.0	.5	134	7.4	268	51	24	.0	1.8		367	7				576	8.0		
	804	1,036- 1,134	Feb. 18, 1971	Twi, Tc	15	.03 1_/	2.2	.4	140	1.2	290	53	20	. 2	1.8	0.21	377	7	97	23	4.62	618	8.5		
	805	275?	Feb. 2, 1971	. Ts	27	1.2 1_j	4.2	1.4	15		24	5.0	16	.0	.5	.07	82	16	67	1.6	.07	106	6.7	21.0	70
	806	351	Sept. 25, 1936	Ts			7	5	14	~ =	49	< 10	20				70	38							
	807	353	Dec. 15, 1939	Ts		3.5			19	~-	28	15	30		.0		92	39					6.5		
	901	528~570	Apr. 3, 1970) Ts		7.8	11	4.7			84	.2	25					47			.44	219	6.6		
ġ	28-201	51	July 6, 1957	Qfpa			154	41	67		488	58	170				978	567							
	202	1,200?	June 16, 1963	Tqc	17	. 05	2.5	.7	207	1.3	404	72	42	.3	.8	. 50	544	9	98	30	6.44	874	7.9	31.0	88
	204	1,100?	Mar. 13, 1970	Tqc	14	.18	. 8	. 2	226		510	34	26	.8	3.5		556	3	99	57	7.77	898	8.5	29.0	84
	206	1,475	Apr. 1, 1970) Twi, Tc	16	.25	2.8	.5	165		306	76	25	. 2	1.5	.19	437	9	98	24	4.84	713	7.9		
	207	228	do.	Tqc		.96 1_/	66	25			216	374	98					268			.00	1,340	7.1		
	208	198-240	do.	Tqc		.02 1_j	52	12			206	454	112					179	~-		.00	1,560	7.1		
	209	158-200	do.	Ts		.97 1_]	2.4	.8			126	14	29			.06		9			1.88	319	7.2	29.0	84
	210	800?	Nov. 20, 1936	Tqc							323	96	22				435							~-	
	312	79	June 27, 1963	9 Qfpa	21	.15	186	51	120		764	181	85	.3	.0	.46	1,020	674	28	2.0	.00	1,550	6.9	20.5	69
g	315	55	Aug. 23, 1956	Qfpa			44	29	381		534	131	340					230							
	316	61	June 27, 1963	Qfpa	20	2.7	232	66	124		788	243	155	.3	1.2	. 52	1,230	850	24	1.8	.00	1,880	6.6	20.5	69
	325	980	June 17, 1963	Tqc	14	.04	1.5	.1	258	1.6	396	184	40	.4	.0	. 85	697	4	99	56	6.41	1,100	7.8	26.0	79
	326	787	do.	Tqc	14	.07	1.5	.1	202	1.3	316	138	31	.2	.0	. 58	545	4	99	44	5.10	870	7.8	24.0	75
	327		June 27, 1963	Qfpa	20	7.5	133	28	56		636	8.8	27	.4	.0	.42	587	447	21	1.2	1.48	960	6.8	21.0	70
R	501	57	July 2, 1963	Qfpa	19	2.2	256	68	276		742	420	335	.4	.0	.36	1,740	901	40	3.9	.00	2,540	0./	21.0	/0
1 3	502	50	Oct. 1, 1956	Qfpa			1/3	108	139		097	1.37	60			20	454	5		33	3.77	758	7.8	27.0	81
6/	503	1,000?	Aug. 8, 1963	Ofer	13	. 14	202	78	204		693	382	351					824							
ġ	601	58	Oct. 16, 1956	Qfpa			180	119	280		786	400	379					935							

See footnotes at end of table.

- 187 -

Б	ELL	WELL DEPTH OR PRODUCING INTERVAL	DATE OF COLLECTI	ON I	WATER BEAR- ING UNIT	SILICA (SiO ₂)	IRON (Fe) (TOTAL)	CAL- CIUM (Ca)	MAG- NE- SIUM (Mg.)	SO- DIUM (Na)	PO- TAS- SIUM (K)	BICAR- BONATE (HCO ₂)	SUL- FATE (SO ₂)	CHLO- RIDE (C1)	FLUO- RIDE (F)	NI- TRATE	BORON (B)	DIS- SOLVED	HARD- NESS AS CaCO.	PER- CENT SO-	SODIUM ADSORP- TION RATIO	RESI- DUAL SODIUM CAR- BONATE	SPECIFIC CONDUC- TANCE (MICROM- HOS AT	рН	WATE TEMPERA	R TURE
L		(FT)						(==/	(-6)			(1003)	(0047			(1103)		500000	c	DION	(SAR)	(RSC)	25° C)		°C	°F
									1		B	URLESON	COUNTY													
BS-	59-28-610	65	June 27,	1963	Qfpa	24	12	272	60	141		868	174	240	0.3	0.0	0.22	1,340	926	25	2.0	0.00	2,120	6.6	20.5	69
	617	500?	Dec. 17.	1936	Ts							348	35	41				399							~~	
10	618	500?	do.		Ts							256	27	40				311		~-]
14	619	685-765	June 29,	1967	Ts	14	.18	.4	0	75		146	15	19	.3	.1	~~	270	1				304	8.12		
	620	800?	Nov. 20,	1936	Ts			5	. 2	66		165	< 10	21				175	21							
	620	350?	Apr. 2,	1970	Tcm?	12	. 64 1_J	20	5.4	926		356	896	600	.4	2.8	1.6	2,630	72	97	47	4.39	4,150	7.9	23.0	73
	701	553	dø.		Ts	17	.30 1_/	7.2	2.6	157		162	42	137	.1	.0	.21	443	28	92	13	2.09	776	7.4		
	702	456-498	do.		Ts	19	.04 1_/	13	4.6	105	66	106	61	90	.1	1.8	. 14	347	52	82	. 6	. 71	594	6.7		
	703	436-478	Apr. 3,	1970	Ts	20	.97 1_j	8.1	2.8	141		130	83	101	.1	1.9	. 17	423	32	91	11	1.50	732	6.8		
	801	58	Dec. 17,	1936	Ту			327	74	342		122	533	860				2,200	1,120							
	904	115	Sept. 23, 3	L936	Ту			116	31	454		220	413	565				1,690	419				~~			
1	905	1,560	Jan. 8, 3	1937	Tqc?			1	2	386		683	218	45				988	12							
	905	1,560	Oct. 29,	1970	Tqc ?		.06 1_J	30	.9			682	240	50					78			9.61	1,580	8.2	33.0	91
	906	1,200?	Jan. 8,	1937	Tqc ?			7	2	597		1,050	8	325		~ "		1,160	27]			~			
	906	1,200?	Oct. 29,	1970	Tqc ?	18	.04 1_/	2.7	.9	570		1,010	.0	300	2.2	.0	1.9	1,390	10	99	78	16.4	2,360	8.1	39.0	102
	907	239	Apr. 22,	1957	Ту							450	50	53				600	12				985	8.1		
	907	239	Oct. 29,	1970	Ту	14	.01 1_/	3.9	.9			464	63	55	1.6				13			7.34	983	8.2		
	29~107	57	Aug. 5,	L964	Qfpa	18	.03	190	20	91	3.2	460	171	142	.1	6.5	. 12	868	556	26	1.7	.00	1,380	7.0	20.5	69
	109	56	June 27,	1963	Qfpa	20	7.3	142	43	139		636	144	115	.2	.0	. 34	917	532	36	2.6	.00	1,450	6.9	21.0	70
	112		do.		Qfpa	22	4.9	244	59	242		596	332	385	.2	.0	.37	1,580	852	38	3.6	.00	2,440	6.9	21.5	71
	410	71	June 18,	1963	Qfpa	22	.81	178	73	175		654	276	212	.3	.0	.52	1,260	744	34	2.8	.00	1,880	7.1	22.0	72
	426	55	Aug. 5,	1964	Qfpa	20	1.0	178	49	196	3.7	664	224	212	.2	1.2	.37	1,210	646	40	3.4	.00	1,890	7.2	20.5	69
	433	59	June 27,	1963	Qfpa	23	15	188	39	79		812	2.4	94	.4	.0	.38	825	630	21	1.4	. 72	1,380	6.8	21.0	70
	450	108-134	May 5,	1970	Ту		.21 1_j	24	3.7	~~		812	.0	370	. 6		8.4		75			11.8	2,290	7.6		
	451	661	do.		Ts?		.17 1_j	7.9	1.5			588	23	190	.7		2.2		26			9.13	1,500	7.7		
	452	1,150- 1,200	Oct. 29.	1970	Tqc	15	.01 1_/	1.5	. 6	380		726	160	50	1.5	.1	1.4	967	6	99	67	11.8	1,510	8.3	31.0	88
	502	63	July 15,	1964	Qfpa	22	6.9	110	43	187	2.5	624	114	164	. 5	. 2	.84	951	452	47	3.8	1.20	1,540	7.3	21.5	70

See footnotes at end of table.

- 188 -

	WELL	WELL DEPTH OR PRODUCING	DA COL	ATE OF	WATER BEAR- ING	SILICA (SiO ₂)	IRON (Fe)	CAL- CIUM	MAG- NE- SIUM	SO- DIUM	PO- TAS- SIUM	BICAR- BONATE	SUL- FATE	CHLO- RIDE	FLUO- RIDE	NI- TRATE	BORON (B)	DIS- SOLVED	HARD- NESS AS	PER- CENT SO-	SODIUM ADSORP- TION	RESI- DUAL SODIUM CAR-	SPECIFIC CONDUC- TANCE (MICROM-	рН	WATE TEMPERA	R TURE
		(FT)			UNIT		(TOTAL)	(Ca)	(Mg)	(Na)	(K)	(HC03)	(S04)	(CI)	(F)	(NO ₃)		SOLIDS	CaC03	DIUM	(SAR)	(RSC)	105 AT 25° C)		°c	°F
											B	URLESON	COUNTY													
E	38-59-29-509	55	June	18, 1963	Qfpa	24	9.3	178	47	141		900	27	125	0.3	0.0	0.52	986	638	32	2.4	2.00	1,640	6.9	20.5	69
	509	55	July	6, 1964	Qfpa	26	9.0	179	46	148	3.9	890	28	143	.4	.0	. 39	1,010	636	33	2.5	1.88	1,660	7.0		
	509	55	July	9. 1970	Qfpa	21		175	46	129		842	22	361		.0	.41	943	625	31	2.2	1.29	1,630	6.7	20.5	70
	527	2,000?		do.	Тąс		.08 1_/	1.7	.6			994	58	162	** **				6			16.2	2,020	8.3		
	535	1,912		do.	Tqc	19	.02 1_/	2.4	.9	748		1,450	.2	310	3.6	.0	3.6	1,800	10	99	103	23.6	2,900	8.2	34.5	94
ġ	703	57	June	9, 1955	Qfpa			288	73	292		723	339	502					1,019	an na						
9	705	48	Aug.	9. 1954	Qfpa			233	119	325		828	445	443					1,074							
g	705	48	Sept.	2, 1954	Qfpa			296	95	213		738	437	372				~~	1,131							
	709		June	18, 1963	Qfpa	21	7.4	200	51	152		768	156	175	.2	.0	.76	1,130	709	32	2.5	. 00	1,800	6.9	22.0	72
	730	890	Nov.	17, 1936	Ts			5	1	86		171	42	16				234	16						~~	
	731	200	May	4, 1970	Ty		.03 1_j	6.2	.9			672	182	400	2.4							10.6	2,580	7.9		
	803	51	July	9. 1970	Qfpa	24		141	40	138		790	35	94		.4	. 55	861	516	37	2.6	2.62	1,430	7.3		
	809	57	July	13. 1964	Qfpa	21	5.2	132	49	124	3.0	600	55	184	. 4	. 2	.42	864	531	33	2.3	.00	1,470	7.1	21.0	69
	815	1,000?	Nov.	3, 1970	Ts	17	.33 1_/	2.5	.5	533		1,020	54	191	2.2	.4		1,300	8	99	82	16.6	2,140	8.2		
	916	64	July	8, 1970	Qfpa	20		155	61	192		594	254	215		1.3	.36	1,190	638	40	3.3		1,880	7.0		
12	918	1,450- 1,505	Jan.	17, 1967	Tqe	16	.22	1.5	.4	425		486	311	147				1,376	5				1,810	8.0		
	918	1,450- 1,505	July	9, 1970	Tqc	17	.22 1_/	1.3	.4	424		488	314	140	.6	.0		1,140	4	100	92	7.91	1,830	8.1		
	30-701	58	Ju1y	6, 1970	Qfpa	24		168	40	130		774	37	134		4.4	.42	919	584	33	2.3	1.02	1,570	7.2		
	702	1,400?	June	11. 1963	Tqc	17		1.5	.6	449		482	352	155	.7	. 2		1,210	6	99	80	7.78	1,880	7.7	21.5	85
	702	1,400?	July	9, 1970	Της		.52 1_/	1.5	. 5			500	340	158					6			8.09	1,910	8.4		
	703	1,500?	Nov.	10, 1969	Tqc	16		3.0	3.9	433		764	.2	235	1.9	2.3		1,070	24	98	38	12.0	1,820	8.1	27.0	81
	34-103	25	Nov.	16, 1936	Tqc							232	74	114				473								~~
	104	28		do.	Tqc							360	< 10	16				320								
	201	260-300	Apr.	13, 1970	Tqe		1.0	84	34	** -1		224	145	66			. 24		350			.00	804	7.4	20.0	68
	301	44	Apr.	24, 1970	Ts		.03 1_/	86	9.6	77	2.8	328	9.2	70	. 7	60			254	39	2.1	. 30	838	7.2		
	302	465?	July	6, 1970	Tqc		15 1_/	4.5	1.7			26	26	16					18		***	.06	110	5.5		
	402	340	Apr.	13, 1970	Tqc		5.6 1_j	53	18			146	90	26					206			. 00	524	6.8		

-

WELL	WELL DEPTH OR PRODUCING INTERVAL	DATE OF COLLECTION	WATER BEAR- ING UNIT	SILICA (SiO ₂)	IRON (Fe) (TOTAL)	CAL- CIUM (Ca)	MAG- NE- SIUM (Mg)	SO- DIUM (Na)	PO- TAS- SIUM (K)	BICAR- BONATE (HCO3)	SUL- FATE (SO4)	CHLO- RIDE (CL)	FLUO- RIDE (F)	NI- TRATE (NO3)	BORON (B)	DIS- SOLVED SOLIDS	HARD- NESS AS CaCO ₃	PER- CENT SO- DIUM	SODIUM ADSORP- TION RATIO	RESI- DUAL SODIUM CAR- BONATE	SPECIFIC CONDUC- TANCE (MICROM- HOS AT	рН	WATEI TEMPERA	R TURE
	(11)								P	IDI PRON	COUNTRY		i			1		1	(SAR)	(RSC)	25°C)		0	°F
BS-59-34-403	66	Nov 16 1936	Tac						<u>D</u>	384	143	360			1	1 080		1						T]
501	33	Oct 15 1936	Tem							55	283	720				1 570								
505	21	Oct. 12, 1936	Ts			13	11	62		6	39	116				244	77							
506	Spring	do.	Tw			413	265	734			1.300	2.070				4,970	2,120							
510	59	Oct. 15, 1936	Ts.Tem			191	4	169		85	405	260				1,070	492							
511	80	Sept. 22, 1936	Ts		~~	7	5	27		61	24	16				109	38							
512	194-240	Apr. 13, 1970	Tqc		0.22	36	17			294	80	7.9					160			1.62	62.5	7.5		
17/					1													1						
601	734-784	Jan. 30, 1967	Tqc		.1	14.4	5.4	91.1		238	40	13		0.9		389	58				400	8.7		
601	734-784	May 8, 1970	Tqc	15		18	5.6	75	4.1	230	35	6.0	0.1	4.9	0.18	277	68	69	4.0	2.41	450	7.6		
602	420	Dec. 11, 1936	TS			28	10	200		110	50	41				215	725							
603	500 572	00000 13, 1936	1cm			202	06	227		216	61	430				1,400	107			1 40		7.0		
604	140	Apr. 20, 1970	Tdc		.00	2/	9.0	220		08	409	228			.20	1 020	350			1.40	490	1.2		
904	438	Apr 20 1970	Te	20	1.1	2.6	1.2	55		96	13	27	.1	.0	.04	167	1 11	91	7.2	1.34	278	7.0	22.5	72
504	450	Apr. 20, 1970	15	20	1	2.0	1.2												/.2	1.54	270	/.0	22.5	12
35-101	150	Aug. 3, 1957	Tcm					226		197	624	295				1,650	735	40			2,310	7.4		
104	277	Oct. 1, 1936	Ts			26	15	76		140	87	60				353	124							
104	277	Oct. 30, 1970	Ts	15	.39 1_j	82	20	99		140	210	120	.1	.0		615	290	43	2.5		979	7.5		
201	493-535	Apr. 17, 1970	Tqc			55	16			266	66	6.6			. 24		203			. 30	559	7.3		
207	49	Oct. 1, 1936	Tem							85	567	320				1,370								
302	17	Oct. 14, 1936	Ty			44	30	77		37	138	162				469	234							
303	Spring	Sept. 24, 1936	Ty			25	11	23		49	24	65				172	107							
304	54	Oct. 14, 1936	Ту							73	394	455				1,330								
401	430	Oct. 13, 1936	Ts				11	38		134	35	44				227	127							
401	430?	Apr. 17, 1970	Tem?		4.1 1_/	172	77			242	596	248					746			.00	2,100	7.0		
502	22	Nov. 6, 1936	Ty							128	1,470	172				2,460								
503	604-646	Apr. 17, 1970	Ts		.00 1_/	7.7	2.0			202	49	29			. 20		27			2.77	527	7.4		
601	438-480	July 6, 1970	Tem		.20 1/	19	4.0			334	622	302					64			4.19	3,070	7.4		
603	180-192	Apr. 21, 1970	Ту	16	.02	10	1.7	282		400	153	108	.2	4.9	. 52	773	32	95	22	5.92	1,270	7.5		
604	43	Oct. 14, 1936	Ту							67	165	680				1,350								

See footnotes at end of table.

- 190 -

WELL	WELL DEPTH OR PRODUCING INTERVAL	DATE OF COLLECTIO	WATE BEAR ING UNIT	SILICA (SiO ₂)	IRON (Fe) (TOTAL)	CAL- CIUM (Ca)	MAG- NE- SIUM (Mg)	SO- DIUM (Na)	PO- TAS- SIUM (K)	BICAR- BONATE (HCO ₃)	SUL- FATE (SO ₄)	CHLO- RIDE (C1)	FLUO- RIDE (F)	NI- TRATE (NO ₃)	BORON (B)	DIS- SOLVED SOLIDS	HARD- NESS AS CaCO ₃	PER- CENT SO- DIUM	SODIUM ADSORP- TION RATIO	RESI- DUAL SODIUM CAR- BONATE	SPECIFIC CONDUC- TANCE (MICROM- HOS AT	рН	WATE TEMPERA	TURE
	(FT)															1			(SAR)	(RSC)	25°C)	-	°C	°F
	0.000		70		1 0.07			1	1	URLESON	COUNTY	147		1	1	1		·۲	r	6.00	1 250	1	r	
BS-59-35-802	263-305	Apr. 16, 19	70 Ty		1	22	2.8			342	1/4	147					60			4.28	1,350	/./		
803	61	Dec. 11, 19	36 Ту			426	90	724			1,030	1,420				3,690	1,440		'					
901	393-435	Apr. 16, 19	70 Ty		.03	17	2.1			356	172	171			0.80		51			4.81	1,590	7.6		
902	107	Nov. 12, 19	36 Ту							98	< 10	26				121								
36-202	392	Apr. 15, 19	70 Ту	47	.68	16	4.0	129		148	24	134	0.2	1.4	.14	429	56	83	7.5	1.30	725	6.9		
205	785-825	May 4, 19	70 Ts	15	.00	.7	.1	72		1.56	13	12	.2	.4	. 12	191	2	99	22	2.52	314	8.3		
207	848-882	do.	Ts	15	.02	.6	.1	68		141	12	14	.2	. 8		180	2	99	21	2.28	294	8.3		
301	74	Dec. 17, 19	36 Ty							73	27	79				222								
602	273-315	Apr. 15, 19	70 Ту		.04 1_/	22	2.8			168	23	190			. 24		66			1.42	935	7.1		
701	243-277	July 6, 19	70 Ty		. 15 1_/	25	2.2			316	206	162					72			3.75	1,410	7.4		
704	288-330	Apr. 22, 19	70 Ty		.06 1_/	14	.7			418	278	125			1.6		38			6.09	1,590	7.7		
801	340-397	Nov. 3, 19	39 Ty		4.4	62	11	457		370	284	420	.6	.0		1,420	200					7.5	39.0	102
1 <u>2</u> 802	1,513- 1,573	Sept. 26, 19	63 Ts	12	. 34	. 5	.1	148		312	24	30				405	2				620	8.7		
10 802	1,513- 1,573	Nov. 14, 19	63 Ts		.79	1.0	1	148		312	26	30	.7	< .4		410	5				645	8.6		
802	1,513- 1,573	July 6, 19	70 Ts	18	.39 1_/	.4	.1	154	0.9	326	24	33	.6	1.3	. 55	393	2	99	47	5.31	649	8.1		
804	479	do.	Ту		.12	23	2.6			482	270	638					68			6.54	3,200	7.4		
902	140	Oct. 22, 19	36 Ty			60	22	582		140	307	760				1,800	239							-
903	27	do.	Ty							183	295	232				931	50			6 61	2 590	7.6		
904	400	Apr. 15, 19	70 Ty		1	18	1.1			404	570	570					50			0.01	2,500	/		
37-101	1,620	Nov. 5, 19	36 Ts							262	51	38				347			~-					1
101	1,620	Nov. 2, 19	39 Ts		.07 1_/	1.0	.4	121		205	45	36	.4			327	4							
102	1,267	Nov. 17, 19	36 Ty			6		633		1,440	< 10	150				1,500	15							
102	1,267	Nov. 2, 19	39 Ty		.05 1_/	3.4	1.2	649		1,480	1	144	2.5	.0		1,530	13							
104	1,341- 1,381	July 8, 19	70 Ts	17	.03 1_/	2.5	1.1	275	1.9	594	26	70	.9	.4	.26	687	10	98	38	9.53	1,190	7.8		
_9 105	24	May 6, 19	55 Qt			126	11	40		470	49	316					360							

WELL. PI	WELL DEPTH OR PRODUCING	DATE OF COLLECTION	WATER BEAR- ING	SILICA (SiO ₂)	IRON (Fe)	CAL- CIUM	MAG- NE- SIUM	SO- DIUM	PO- TAS- SIUM	BICAR- BONATE	SUL- FATE	CHLO- RIDE	FLUO- RIDE	NI- TRATE	BORON (B)	DIS- SOLVED	HARD- NESS AS	PER- CENT SO-	SODIUM ADSORP- TION	RESI- DUAL SODIUM CAR-	SPECIFIC CONDUC- TANCE (MICROM-	PH	WATE	R
II	NTERVAL (FT)		UNIT		(TOTAL)	(Ca)	(Mg)	(Na)	(K)	(HC03)	(so ₄)	(C1)	(F)	(NO3)		SOLIDS	CaCO ₃	DIUM	RATIO (SAR)	BONATE (RSC)	HOS AT 25° C)		°c	°F
									B	URLESON	COUNTY													
BS-59-37-107	30	May 15, 1964	Qt	42	0.02	135	16	211		408	82	282	0.4	54		1,020	403	53	4.6	0.00	1,700	6.8	~~	
108	1,550	Dec. 2, 1937	Tqc			5	< 5	454		793	137	146	1.0	< 20		1,130	12						39.0	102
108	1,550	May 4, 1970	Tqc		.27	1.9	.4			796	148	144					6			12.9	1,890	8.1		
110	31	Dec. 5, 1936	Ty							317	110	295	ĺ			877								
111	73	do.	Ty			100	16	64		49	39	265				508	315							
209	255-295	May 6, 1970	Ту		.05 1_/	2.4	. 2			252	109	80	. 6				7			3.99	876	7.7		
213	30-42	do.	Qt		.00 1_j	50	3.4	~-		160	15	29	. 2		0.08		139			.00	400	7.2		
301	57	June 18, 1963	Qfpa	23	9.4	338	92	276		698	445	550		1.2	.11	2,070	1,220	33	3.4	.00	3,120	6.6	21.0	70
307	54	July 13, 1964	Qfpa	22	6.0	205	73	189	5.0	716	232	280	.4	1.8	.38	1,360	812	33	2.9	.00	2,120	7.0	21.5	71
601	61	June 26, 1963	Qfpa	24	5.0	118	38	76		530	80	69	.4	.0	.30	667	451	27	1.6	.00	1,080	6.9	21.0	70
602	217-259	June 5, 1963	Tj	39		39	2.1	428		350	359	265	. 5	1.0		1,310	106	90	18	3.62	2,020	7.0	23.0	73
607	236	do.	Tj?	38		40	1.5	479		400	369	305	. 5	4.9		1,430	106	91	20	4.44	2,180	7.1	26.0	79
608	1,032	Dec. 14, 1936	Ту			26	4	1,600		1,340	8	1,740	10			4,040	80						31.5	89
610	35	do.	Qt							305	32.6	134				921								
611	177-240	July 9, 1970	Tj		.66 1_j	37	1.8			584	198	518								7.57	2.780	7.7		
802	330-372	May 7, 1970	Ту		.00 1_/	59	2.0			498	324	645	.8		5.6		155			5.06	3,300	7.4		
38-102	58	July 13, 1964	Qfpa	19	4.2	208	54	187	2.8	596	283	252	.3	3.0	.30	1,300	741	35	3.0	.00	1,980	6.8		
108	49	July 7, 1970	Qfpa	21		114	47	120		608	139	60		13	.37	813	478	35	2.4	. 41	1,300	7.1	21.0	70
403	57	June 26, 1963	Qfpa	20	3.3	153	46	76		576	84	124	.4	.0	.34	787	570	22	1.4	.00	1,300	6.8		
404	61	do.	Qfpa	23	5.7	208	62	197		628	300	265	.4	.0	.22	1,360	774	36	3.1	.00	2,100	6.8	20.5	69
404	61	July 7, 1970	Qfpa	21		204	68	213		616	322	292		.0	. 32	1,420	788	37	3.3	.00	2,230	6.9		
407	80	do.	Qfpa	20	** **	241	92	290		638	446	442	.4	. 9	.37	1.850	980	39	4.0	.00	2,860	6.9	21.0	69
410	54	June 26, 1963	Qfpa	21	3.0	138	47	127		592	128	138	.4	.0	. 32	891	538	34	2.4	.00	1,450	6.8	21.0	69
410	54	July 7, 1970	Qfpa	20		138	46	134		574	150	140	.3	1.3	.23	912	534	35	2.5	.00	1,460	7.0		
413	25	Dec. 21, 1936	Qfpa			73	51	359	~ =	756	270	192				1,320	392							
414	20	do.	Qfpa							293	58	49		~~		399								
417	700±	Oct. 29, 1970	Ту			28	1.8			1.200	6.2	1,400					78			18.1	5.550	7.7	26.0	79
9 501 K	56	Oct. 11, 1954	Qfpa			218	74	137		540	2 59	1.100					848							
- 501 6 501	56 56	Aug. 1, 1956	Qfpa			160	78	126		500	243	234					720							

See footnotes at end of table.

C.,

	WELL	WELL DEPTH OR PRODUCING	DAT	TE OF LECTION	WATER BEAR- ING	SILICA (SiO ₂)	IRON (Fe)	CAL- CIUM	MAG- NE- SIUM	SO- DIUM	PO- TAS <u>-</u> SIUM	BICAR- BONATE	SUL- FATE	CHLO- RIDE	FLUO- RIDE	NI- TRATE	BORON (B)	DIS- SOLVED	HARD- NESS AS	PER- CENT SO-	SODIUM ADSORP- TION	RESI- DUAL SODIUM CAR-	SPECIFIC CONDUC- TANCE (MICROM-	pН	WATE TEMPERA	R TURE
		INTERVAL (FT)			UNIT	-	(TOTAL)	(Ca)	(Mg)	(Na)	(K)	(HCO ₃)	(S04)	(C1)	(F)	(NO3)		SOLIDS	CaC03	DIUM	RATIO (SAR)	BONATE (RSC)	HOS AT 25°C)		°C	°F
											В	URLESON	COUNTY													
BS	-59-38-707	Spring	Dec.	21, 1936	Qt			5	4	94		55	16	110				256	27							
	709	553	May	5, 1970	Ту	41	0.00	46	. 8	533	17	716	.0	512	1.2	0.0	7.4	1,510	118	89	,21	9.37	2,590	7.6		
	711	Spring	Dec.	21, 1936	QE			34	9	59		37	39	126				285	120				er 14			
	713	688		do.	Ту							702	8	415				1,240								
6	801	55	Jan.	5, 1955	Qfpa			29	24	465		708	9	415					173							
<u>6</u>	802	56	Mar.	28, 1955	Qfpa			92	76	64		414	96	170					541							
	804	1,200?	May	5, 1970	Ту		.00	28	.5			692	.0	405	1.5				72			9.90	2,250	7.6		
6	905	79	Jan.	5, 1955	Qfpa			110	44	46		558	42	110					455							
	42-302	620	Apr.	20, 1970	Ts	14	.01	.9	. 2	83		164	22	17	. 2	1.2	.16	220	3	98	21	2.63	358	8.0	25.0	77
	43-101	88	Nov.	12, 1936	Ту							299	185	230				866								
	202	71		do.	Ту							31	< 10	29				70								
	203	278	Apr.	21, 1970	Ту		.01	18	2.2			360	316	114					54			4.82	1,560	7.5		
	204	87	Nov.	12, 1936	Ту			72	33	129		98	47	330				659	315							
	501	2,154-	Nov.	11, 1959	Twi	18		4.5	.9	652	3.8	702	2.4	620		. 2	1.6	1,650	14	99	76		2,880	8.0	40.0	104
	501	2,154- 3,838	July	30, 1965	Twi, Twis	26		2.8	.7	565		1,020	.4	280	2.5	.0	1.6	1,380	10	99	78	16.5	2,340	7.8	56.0	132
	601	438	Apr.	23, 1970	Ту			48	1.8			468	224	610					128			5.12	3.070	7.4		
	602	59		do.	Tj		.03 1_/	18	4.6			98	104	91					64			. 33	696	6.6		
	603	299	Feb.	2, 1971	Тј	2.9	.15	55	2.1	590		502	440	390	.9	. 0		1,750	150	90	21	5.32	2,760	8.1		
	44-101	91	Sept.	24, 1936	Tj			542	23	1,210		323	1,750	1,410				5,090	1,450							
	102	660	Apr.	22, 1970	Ту	35	.02	51	1.7	670		462	262	665	. 5	.0	4.0	1,920	134	92	25	4.89	3,220	7.4		
	104	17	Oct.	22, 1936	Tj			~-				165	1,730	1,540				5,000								
	106		July	7, 1970	Ту	25	.05	43	1.6	722		458	308	700		. 0		2,020	114	93	29	5.23	3,390	7.4		
	201	37	Oct.	22, 1936	Tj							183	598	170				1,260								
	301	775-815	Jan.	5, 1936	Ту			21	2	643		634	151	555				1,680	62							
	301	775-815	Nov.	2, 1939	Ty		.02	19	2.3	635		644	98	570	1.4	.0		1,720	57					8.7		
	301	775-815	July	8, 1970	Ту	25		16	1.8	521		478	384	275	.6	.9	1.4	1,460	48	96	33	6.88	2,310	7.5		
	303	178-198	Jan.	5, 1936	Tj			63	4	599		500	243	575				1,730	172		L					

Table 17. -- Chemical Analyses of Water from Wells and Springs--Continued

1000																		and the second s							
	WELL	WELL DEPTII OR PRODUCING INTERVAL	DATE OF COLLECTION	WATER BEAR- ING UNIT	SILICA (SiO ₂)	IRON (Fe) (TOTAL)	CAL- CIUM (Ca)	MAG- NE- SIUM (Mg)	SO- DIUM (Na)	PO- TAS- SIUM (K)	BICAR- BONATE (HCO ₃)	SUL- FATE (SO ₄)	CHLO- RIDE (C1)	FLUO- RIDE (F)	NI- TRATE (NO3)	BORON (B)	DIS- SOLVED SOLIDS	HARD- NESS AS CaCO ₃	PER- CENT SO- DIUM	SODIUM ADSORP- TION RATIO	RESI- DUAL SODIUM CAR- BONATE	SPECIFIC CONDUC- TANCE (MICROM- HOS AT	рН	WATE TEMPERA	R TURE
L		(FT)					6													(SAR)	(RSC)	25°C)	100	°C	°F
_										B	URLESON (COUNTY													
	BS-59-44-303	178-198	Nov. 2, 1939	Tj	57	2.5	69	3.5	587	3777	492	222	585	0.5	3.6	- 99	1.810	187		100	125		7.9	100	122
	1 <u>0</u> / 304	166-201	May 9, 1951	Тј	34	.25	70	14	576	1.00	519	21	582	. 5	< .4	5-17		232	100		200	0.66	() -	:++	800
	10/ 304	166-201	July 18, 1966	Тј	32	.06	64	3	505		525	19	588	. 8	100	-	1.736	172	: -: -:	2.444	0.00	544	8.1	24	490
	9 305	1.880- 2.010	Nov. 3, 1954	Ts		. 3	2	1	493		512	405	166	245	9.91	24 A	845	9	1424	242	222	100	: 2247		
	10 305	1.880- 2.010	Apr. 15, 1968	Ts		. 08	2	Î.	489	197	477	404	181	. 8	in . 4	(72)	1,550	11		(**	949.	2.384	8.1	:#9	57 2
	307	280	Apr. 15, 1970	Ту	*	2.0 1_j	77	3.3	33	-	450	632	408	80	88	:e)	Ð	206	*	19	3.27	3.110	7.3	- 22	55S
	10/ 402	240	Mar. 25, 1970	Тј	<u>4</u> 40	√.02	62	3	520	9	440	378	415	. 7	* .4	149	1.820	169	-22		144	3,045	8.5	122	623
	402	240	Feb. 2, 1971	Тј	35	22	63	2.0	550	22	450	380	430	. 3	. 0	224	1,680	160	88	19	4.09	2.680	8.4	122	223
	10 501	160	Mar. 4. 1970	Тј	325	~ .02	90	4	486	122	387	329	453	. 4	~ .4	÷.	1.750	241			199	3.045	8.3	-22	754
	601	10	Sept. 24. 1936	Tj	19	36	10	**	-		146	157	144	55	**	- 10	568	122	:37	652/	6.55	335	1.555 4	(2.5)	50
	45-203	103	July 8, 1970	Tj	42	.08 1	16	. 6	296	122	262	204	181	1.3	.0	3.3	873	42	94	20	3.44	1,410	7.4	100	
	204	Spring	Dec. 16, 1936	Tj	227	÷++		1. 121		100	24	20	10	44		7257	64	. 640	144	1237	1221	. Gal	4.46	222	1223

Fe dissolved.
 Iron sample collected July 13, 1970.
 Boron sample collected July 13, 1970.
 Iron sample collected July 15, 1970.
 Iron sample collected July 16, 1970.
 Analyzed by Texas A&M University.
 Tron sample collected July 17, 1970.
 Iron sample collected July 22, 1970.
 Analyzed by Curis Laboratories.

B Iron sample collected July 22. 1970.
Analyzed by Curtis Laboratories.
Analyzed by Aquatrol, Inc.
Analyzed by Aquatrol, Inc.
Analyzed by Maintenance Engineering Corporation.
Iron sample collected July 20. 1970.
Analyzed by Jordan Laboratories.
Analyzed by Jordan Laboratories.
Analyzed by Jordan Laboratories.
Sample contains 2.0 mg/l total acidity as H⁺¹.
Sample contains 1.1 mg/l total acidity as H⁺¹.



•

