Conceptual Model for the Brazos River Alluvium Aquifer GAM

Stakeholder Advisory Forum #2 Milano, TX

Presented By:





January 22, 2015

§ The Brazos River Alluvium Aquifer GAM team
§ Introduction to the GAM program
§ Conceptual Model for the Brazos River Alluvium Aquifer



Project Team and Responsibilities



- Texas corporation with 30 scientists and engineers in Austin
- International reputation in numerical modeling
- Lead on 11 other Texas GAMs and support (revisions, updates, recalibration) on 4 others

- Prime contractor
 Project management and technical coordination
- Technical lead for all tasks



- Premier geologic research organization in Texas
- Technical contributions on 6 GAM projects
- Unique expertise in aquifer recharge, ET, and hydrostratigraphy

Senior technical input and review



- Unique knowledge of the Brazos River and hydrologic conditions
- Comprehensive database of hydrologic information on the Brazos River Basin

Senior technical input

and review



- Nearly 120 years of water-related experience in Texas
- Member of team that developed Brazos River basin WAM
- Completion of multiple hydrologic studies in the Brazos River Basin

Technical input on surface water/groundwater interaction and pumping



Introduction of Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) Groundwater Availability Modeling (GAM) Program

Cindy Ridgeway, P.G.

Manager of Groundwater Availability Modeling Texas Water Development Board



Disclaimer

The following presentation is based upon professional research and analysis within the scope of the Texas Water Development Board's statutory responsibilities and priorities but, unless specifically noted, does not necessarily reflect official Board positions or decisions.



Groundwater Availability Modeling Program

- Aim: Develop groundwater flow models for the major and minor aquifers of Texas.
- **Purpose**: Tools that can be used to aid in groundwater resources management by stakeholders.
- Public process: Stakeholder involvement during model development process.
- **Models**: Freely available, standardized, thoroughly documented. Reports available over the internet.
- Living tools: Periodically updated.







Development Board



How we use Groundwater Models?

Per Statute:

- TWDB provides groundwater conservation districts with water budget data for their management plans.
- Groundwater management areas can use to assist in determining desired future conditions.
- TWDB uses when calculating estimated Modeled Available Groundwater.
- TWDB uses when calculating Total Estimated Recoverable Storage.



Why Stakeholder Advisory Forums?

- Keep stakeholders updated about progress of the model
- Inform how the groundwater model can, should, and should not be used
- Provide stakeholders with the opportunity to provide input and data to assist with model development



Contact Information

Cindy Ridgeway, P.G. Manager of Groundwater Availability Modeling Section 512-936-2386 Cindy.ridgeway@twdb.texas.gov

> Texas Water Development Board P.O. Box 13231 Austin, Texas 78711-3231

> > Web information:

http://www.twdb.texas.gov/groundwater/models



Conceptual Model



A **Conceptual Model** is a simplified description of the various hydrogeologic and structural components of an aquifer system and their interactions.





http://dev.conservationontario.ca/source_protection/files/watershed_labeled_hor.jpg









Key Aspects of Conceptualization

- **§** Extent and hydrostratigraphy
- § Structure
- **§** Water Levels
- **§** Hydraulic/storage properties
- **§** Recharge/discharge
- **§** Groundwater production
- **§** Cross-formational flow
- **§** Water quality



Key Aspects of Conceptualization

§ Extent and hydrostratigraphy

- § Structure
- § Water Levels
- **§** Hydraulic/storage properties
- § Recharge/discharge
- **§** Groundwater production
- **§** Cross-formational flow
- **§** Water quality



Study Area





Study Area





Extent and Hydrostratigraphy























Key Aspects of Conceptualization

§ Extent and hydrostratigraphy

§ Structure

- **§** Water Levels
- **§** Hydraulic/storage properties
- § Recharge/discharge
- **§** Groundwater production
- **§** Cross-formational flow
- **§** Water quality



Structure

- Se-evaluated structural raster and control points from Shah and others (2007)
- Second thickness for near-boundary anomalies with no nearby control points.
- **§** Filled in gaps between current and previous model extent.
- Secreted Base of Aquifer using the newest 10m resolution DEM and new thickness raster.



Brazos Thickness

§ Thickness increases to the South

S Thinnest portion corresponds with the Brazos River





Brazos Thickness

§ Thickness increases to the South

S Thinnest portion corresponds with the Brazos River





Key Aspects of Conceptualization

- **§** Extent and hydrostratigraphy
- § Structure

§ Water Levels

- **§** Hydraulic/storage properties
- § Recharge/discharge
- **§** Groundwater production
- **§** Cross-formational flow
- **§** Water quality



Water Levels

§ Water-level data from 1,208 wells were retrieved from

- TWDB groundwater database
- TWDB submitted drillers reports database
- Brazos Valley Groundwater Conservation District
- Post Oak Savannah Groundwater Conservation District

Sells were assigned to aquifers based on the current study's new structural surfaces



Pre-development Water Levels







Post-development Water Levels







Water Level Decline



S Water-levels stay relatively constant across time with no notable long-term declines









Key Aspects of Conceptualization

- **§** Extent and hydrostratigraphy
- § Structure
- **§** Water Levels

§ Hydraulic/storage properties

- § Recharge/discharge
- **§** Groundwater production
- **§** Cross-formational flow
- **§** Water quality



§ Sources:

 Previous literature including Cronin & Wilson (1967), O'Rourke (2006), Follett (1974), Wrobelski (1996), Munster & others (1996), Dutton & others (2003)

- Shah and Houston (2007) database

- TWDB Groundwater database
- TWDB Submitted Drillers' Reports database

- Newly scanned logs from :

TCEQ Public Water Supply program (394 well logs) TWDB WIID database (282 well logs)



§ Sources:

 Previous literature including Cronin & Wilson (1967), O'Rourke (2006), Follett (1974), Wrobelski (1996), Munster & others (1996), Dutton & others (2003)

- Shah and Houston (2007) database

- TWDB Groundwater database

- TWDB Submitted Drillers' Reports database

- Newly scanned logs from :

TCEQ Public Water Supply program (394 well logs) TWDB WIID database (282 well logs)


Hydraulic Parameters

- S Very few K or T values available from long duration pump tests (4)
- S Used the Theis nonequilibrium equation to calculate Transmissivity from Specific Capacity







Hydraulic Parameters







Hydraulic Parameters

- **§** Vertical Hydraulic Conductivity
 - No literature values for vertical hydraulic conductivity are available
 - Assume that the alluvium is not highly stratified outside of some isolated clay layers.
 - Vertical flow will be governed primarily by the difference in conductivity between the BRAA and underlying units.

§ Storage

- Specific yield estimated at 15% by Cronin & Wilson (1967)



Key Aspects of Conceptualization

- **§** Extent and hydrostratigraphy
- § Structure
- **§** Water Levels
- **§** Hydraulic/storage properties

§ Recharge/discharge

- **§** Groundwater production
- **§** Cross-formational flow
- **§** Water quality



Recharge – Previous Work























	Other Model Areas
PRE-	Baseflow-derived recharge
development	+ previous models
POST-	Baseflow-derived recharge
development	+ previous models





























	Brazos River Alluvium Aquifer
PRE- development	Baseflow-derived recharge + soil type
POST- development	Baseflow-derived recharge + soil type + land use/irrigation + focused recharge



- S Discharge to Brazos River from the Brazos River Alluvium Aquifer is likely a large portion of water balance.
- **§** Re-evaluated USGS Gain/Loss Studies (Turco & others, 2007)
 - Added diversions and return flows
 - Adjusted error analysis

Serformed hydrograph separation analyses for sequential gages on the Brazos River to determine baseflow trends



- S Discharge to Brazos River from the Brazos River Alluvium Aquifer is likely a large portion of water balance.
- Se-evaluated USGS Gain/Loss Studies (Turco & others, 2007)
 - Added diversions and return flows
 - Adjusted error analysis

S Performed hydrograph separation analyses for sequential gages on the Brazos River to determine baseflow trends









- S Discharge to Brazos River from the Brazos River Alluvium Aquifer is likely a large portion of water balance.
- S Re-evaluated USGS Gain/Loss Studies (Turco & others, 2007)
 - Added diversions and return flows
 - Adjusted error analysis

Serformed hydrograph separation analyses for sequential gages on the Brazos River to determine baseflow trends









Natural Discharge: Springs and Oxbow Lakes





Key Aspects of Conceptualization

- **§** Extent and hydrostratigraphy
- § Structure
- **§** Water Levels
- **§** Hydraulic/storage properties
- § Recharge/discharge

- **§** Cross-formational flow
- **§** Water quality



- **§** Pumping data sources:
 - Previous literature including Cronin & Wilson (1967), Wilson (1967) Baker and others (1974), Follett (1974), and TWDB (2001)
 - TWDB Water Use Survey
 - Brazos Valley Groundwater Conservation District
 - Post Oak Savannah Groundwater Conservation District
 - Calculated rural domestic pumping based on Census data and per capita water use













Effect of Pumping on Water Levels





Effect of Pumping on Water Levels



























Key Aspects of Conceptualization

- **§** Extent and hydrostratigraphy
- § Structure
- **§** Water Levels
- **§** Hydraulic/storage properties
- § Recharge/discharge
- § Groundwater production
- **§** Cross-formational flow
- **§** Water quality



Cross-formational Flow







Cross-formational Flow

Head gradient favors flow <u>from</u> Brazos River Alluvium Aquifer into Yegua






Cross-formational Flow







Cross-formational Flow

§ Flow upward <u>into</u> BRAA:

- Sparta : 1 site
- Yegua : 2 sites
- Jasper : 2 sites
- Evangeline: 3 sites

§ Flow downward <u>from</u> BRAA:

- Yegua: 1 sites
- Chicot: 1 site

§ Unclear

- Carrizo-Wilcox
- Queen City





Key Aspects of Conceptualization

- **§** Extent and hydrostratigraphy
- § Structure
- **§** Water Levels
- **§** Hydraulic/storage properties
- § Recharge/discharge
- **§** Groundwater production
- **§** Cross-formational flow
- **§** Water quality



Water Quality

- § Groundwater water quality analysis included 262 wells retrieved from the TWDB Groundwater Database
- S Wells were assigned to aquifers based on the current study's new structural surfaces.
- Solve the most recent sampling event for a given parameter was chosen from each well.



Water Quality







Summing It Up



Conceptual Model

- **§** Pre-development
 - **§** recharge balances discharge
 - **§** no net change in groundwater storage
- § Post-development
 - **§** increased discharge from pumping
 - **§** locally increased recharge from irrigation
 - **§** overall reduction in natural discharge
 - **§** no apparent reduction in GW storage
 - § potential reduction/reversal in cross-formational flow from pumping underlying layers



Conceptual Model – Pre-development





Conceptual Model – Post-development





Project Task	2013				2014										2015									2016												
	S	0	Ν	D	J	F	М	Α	М	J	J	Α	S	0	Ν	D	J	F	М	Α	М	J	J	А	S	0	Ν	D	J	F	М	Α	М	J	J	Α
1.0 Project Management																																				
1.1 Monthly Status Report	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
1.2 TWDB Review Meetings																																				
1.3 Senior Technical Review																																				
2.0 Stakeholder Communication																																				
2.1 Stakeholder Interaction																																				
2.2 SAF Meeting																																				
2.3 Stakeholder and TWDB Seminar																																				
3.0 Model Development																																				
3.1 Data Collection and Conceptual Model																																				
3.2 Model Design																																				
4.0 Model Calibration																																				
4.1 Steady-State Calibration																																				
4.2 Transient Calibration																																				
4.3 Sensitivity Analysis																																				
5.0 Documentation & Tech. Transfer				Ì		Ì																							Ĺ					Ì		
5.1 Data Model Documentation																																				
5.2 Reporting													_	C	Λ	_											_	DN		- 1					-M	
3	Monthly Report FM						Final Model Report						iou Mooting						TWDB & Stakeholder Training																	
CN DN	/I /I		Cono Draft	eptu: Moc	al Mo Iel Re	odel eport	Repo	ort				S	NDB AF M	i eci leetir	nnica 19	II Re	view	Niee	ting																	
															0																					



Brazos Alluvium Aquifer GAM -- Stakeholder Advisory Forum #2 Milano, Texas, January 22, 2015 Questions and Answers

Question: Is the conceptual model cartoon figure meant to represent the Brazos River Alluvium Aquifer?

Answer: No, it is just a picture to show what a conceptual model is.

Question: Did you account for gravel veins or variability in production abilities in the Brazos River Alluvium Aquifer? Answer: Yes, and we will talk about hydraulic properties later in the presentation.

Question: What are the black dots on the plot of the aquifer structure? Answer: They are the control points i.e., driller's logs or wells.

Question: Are you using the most recent TWDB groundwater database? Answer: Yes, we are using the 2014 database as well as driller's logs.

Question: Which hydrographs are for the Brazos River Alluvium Aquifer? Answer: The three at the top are. We have many other hydrographs that will be included in the report and associated geodatabase.

Question: Is there interaction between the Brazos River and the alluvium? Answer: Yes. Question: Which study? Answer: There are several studies. Turco (2007), Baldys and Schalla (2011), and this study.

Question: Is this particular oxbow in Brazos County? Answer: No, it is in one of the counties in the northern portion of the study area.

Question: Did you take into account irrigation water? Answer: Yes, we try incorporate irrigation return flow in the recharge we apply to the alluvium. Question: What about overland flow to the river. Answer: We don't account for that.

Question: What percent of streamflow comes from the aquifer? Answer: That varies spatially but it's maybe as high as 30 percent is some areas.

Question: Do you have actual pumping data or an estimate? Answer: Mostly estimates. We can attach metered data to wells though if we have it. Question: Is that maximum pumping in 2011 about 160,000 acre-feet? Answer: Yes.

Question: How do you distribute pumping over time? Answer: We have estimates for the different time periods.

Question: If estimated pumping differs from permit, will they have to change the permit? Answer: No, this is not a regulatory tool. It is just used to inform.

Question: Did you identify data gaps where monitor wells could be put in? Answer: We will show where we have data and where the gaps are. Part of modeling is a sensitivity analysis which shows the importance (or lack thereof) of any data gaps.

Question: Are you using interpolation? Answer: Yes, that is how we fill in between measurement points.

Question: How will you calibrate? Answer: We will alter model inputs in an attempt to match observed water level and baseflow estimates.

Question: How will you incorporate gravel pits?

Answer: We have maps of the gravel pit locations. They can act either as focused recharge or focused discharge points depending on the operation of the pit.

Name	Affiliation							
Bobby Bazan	POSGCD							
David Stratta	Farmer							
John Melvin	BVGRA							
Philip Price	Brazos River Authority							
Robert Thompson	Harris-Galveston Subsidence District							
Alan M. Day	BVGCD							
Evan Cook	Brazos River Authority							
Cindy Ridgeway	TWDB							
Jevon Harding	INTERA							
John Ewing	INTERA							

Attendance