

City of Gorman

DWSRF GREEN PROJECT RESERVE BUSINESS CASE EVALUATION

STATE FISCAL YEAR 2015 INTENDED USE PLAN PROJECT NUMBER 62660

COMMITMENT DATE: January 29, 2015

DATE OF LOAN CLOSING: May 21, 2015

GREEN ESTIMATE AT CLOSING: \$272,879

Green Project Reserve

Green Project Information Worksheets

Drinking Water State Revolving Fund
Intended Use Plan

The Federal Appropriation Law for the current fiscal year Clean Water and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund programs contains the Green Project Reserve (GPR) requirement. The following Green Project Information Worksheets have been developed to assist TWDB Staff in verifying eligibility of potential GPR projects.

TWDB-0163 Revised 12/2/2010

TEXAS WATER DEVELOPMENT BOARD DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND (DWSRF) GREEN PROJECT INFORMATION WORKSHEETS

PART I – GREEN PROJECT INFORMATION SUMMARY

Check all that apply and complete applicable worksheets: Categorically Eligible Green Infrastructure \$ Water Efficiency \$ \$65,000 Energy Efficiency \$ Environmentally Innovative \$ **Business Case Eligible** Green Infrastructure \$ Water Efficiency \$ 210,000 Energy Efficiency \$ Environmentally Innovative \$ Total Requested Green Amount \$275,000 Total Requested Funding Amount \$275,000 Type of Funding Requested: PAD (Planning, Acquisition, Design) C (Construction) Completed by: Name: Cory Higgins Title: EIT Date: 8/29/14

TEXAS WATER DEVELOPMENT BOARD DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND (DWSRF) GREEN PROJECT INFORMATION WORKSHEETS

PART II - CATEGORICALLY ELIGIBLE

Complete this worksheet for projects being considered for the Green Project Reserve (GPR) as categorically eligible. Categorically eligible projects or project components are described in the following sections of the EPA GPR guidance (TWDB-0161):

Green Infrastructure Part B, Section 1.2
Water Efficiency Part B, Section 2.2
Energy Efficiency Part B, Section 3.2
Environmentally Innovative Part B, Section 4.2

Information provided on this worksheet should be of sufficient detail and should clearly demonstrate that the proposed improvements are consistent with EPA and TWDB GPR guidance for categorically eligible projects. Refer to **Information on Completing Worksheets** for additional information.

Section 1 - General Project Information

Applicant: City of Gorman	PIF #:
Project Name: Water System Improvements	
Contact Name: Ken Martin	
Contact Phone and e-mail: 325-695-1070 k	:bm@jacobmartin.com
Total Project Cost: \$275,000	Green Amount: \$65,000 (Categorically Eligible)

The Business Case eligible portion of this project is to reduce its water loss by eliminating the old cast iron water lines (80 years old) which are the main source of water loss due to leaks. Also, the water quality provided by these old unlined cast iron mains is unacceptable due to the amount of cast iron residue and sediment in the pipe. The city has received numerous complaints from customers about poor water quality due to unlined cast iron piping. Finally the elimination of the old cast iron lines will allow the city to maintain a more acceptable disinfection residual since the large chlorine demand, created by the iron bacteria, will be eliminated. The currently proposed project will consist of replacing approximately 25,000 linear feet of cast iron water lines with 6" and 8" PVC water lines.

The Categorically Eligible portion of the project is to replace all existing water meters with an automatic meter reading system.

Section 3 - Water Efficiency

Certain water efficiency improvements may be considered categorically eligible for the GPR. Refer to EPA and TWDB GPR guidance for a complete list and description of categorically eligible GPR Projects. A few common types of water efficiency projects that may be considered categorically eligible, such as certain water meter improvements and leak detection are listed below. Complete these sections of the worksheet as applicable. For any other water efficiency improvement being considered for categorical eligibility, complete Section 3.3.

engionity, complete section 3.3.
Section 3.1 - Water Meters Check all that apply:
 Installation of new water meters in area currently receiving unmetered water service (the following must be provided) Attach copy of rate structure for area to be metered
Replacement of existing broken/malfunctioning meters (the following must be provided) Accuracy of meters being replaced Attach supporting documentation (meter accuracy tests, etc) Provide description below of proposed meters to be installed
Retrofitting of existing meters (the following must be provided) Provide description below of reason for meter retrofit Provide description below of proposed meter system and benefits, including description of features that will result in water loss reduction or promote water conservation
The City of Gorman is proposing to replace all existing meters with an automatic meter reading system. The inaccuracy and leakage of the existing meters is causing significant water losses in the system. The proposed system will improve accuracy and reduce leaks.
The proposed system will include tamper prevention leak alarm and data logging among others. The system will also include a drive by data collection system to maximize meter reading efficiency. These features will improve operator's awareness of their system and greatly improve overall efficiency.
Green amount associated with water meters: \$65,000 (Attach detailed cost estimate if necessary)

TEXAS WATER DEVELOPMENT BOARD DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND (DWSRF) GREEN PROJECT INFORMATION WORKSHEETS

PART III - BUSINESS CASE ELIGIBLE

Complete this worksheet for projects being considered for the Green Project Reserve (GPR) as business case eligible. Business case eligible projects or project components are described in the following sections of the EPA GPR guidance (TWDB-0161):

Green Infrastructure Part B, Section 1.4
Water Efficiency Part B, Section 2.4 and 2.5
Energy Efficiency Part B, Section 3.4 and 3.5
Environmentally Innovative Part B, Section 4.4 and 4.5

Information provided on this worksheet should be of sufficient detail and should clearly demonstrate that the proposed improvements are consistent with EPA and TWDB GPR guidance for business case eligible projects. Refer to **Information on Completing Worksheets** for additional information.

Section 1 - General Project Information

Applicant: City	of Gorman	PIF #:
Project Name:	Water System Improvements	
Contact Name:	Ken Martin	
Contact Phone a	nd e-mail: <u>325-695-1070 KBM@jaco</u>	bmartin.com
Total Project Co	st: \$275,000.00 G	reen Amount: \$210,000.00
•		Business Case Eligible)

Brief Overall Project Description:

The Business Case eligible portion of this project is to reduce its water loss by eliminating the old cast iron water lines (80 years old) which are the main source of water loss due to leaks. Also, the water quality provided by these old unlined cast iron mains is unacceptable due to the amount of cast iron residue and sediment in the pipe. The city has received numerous complaints from customers about poor water quality due to unlined cast iron piping. Finally the elimination of the old cast iron lines will allow the city to maintain a more acceptable disinfection residual since the large chlorine demand, created by the iron bacteria, will be eliminated. The currently proposed project will consist of replacing approximately 25,000 linear feet of cast iron water lines with 6" and 8" PVC water lines.

The Categorically Eligible portion of the project is to replace all existing water meters with an automatic meter reading system.

Section 3 - Water Efficiency

Certain water efficiency improvements may be considered business case eligible for the GPR. Refer to EPA and TWDB GPR guidance for a complete list and description of business case eligible GPR Projects. For all water efficiency business case eligible projects Section 3.1 must be completed. A common water efficiency project that may be considered business case eligible is water line replacements to address water loss. For this type of project complete Section 3.2 of the worksheet. For any other water efficiency improvement being considered for business case eligibility, complete Section 3.3.

Section 3.1 - System and Water Loss Information

Section 3.1 is required for all water efficiency business case eligible projects. Attach a copy of most recent Water Audit, if available. Otherwise, complete and attach Water Audit Worksheet or provide water audit data in a similar format. Additional information on water loss and water audits as well as a copy of the Water Audit Worksheet is available at:

	state.tx.us/assistance/con				Audit/wald.asp ning or engineering studies:						
2009-20 2013 W	014 Usage and Loss report atter Loss Audit		er comple	rteu piain	ing of engineering studies.						
Section 3.2 - Wa	ter Line Replacement										
Proposed pipe to											
Length	Existing Pi	ре			Proposed Pipe						
(LF)	Material	Age (yr)	Dia. (in)	Dia. (in)	Material						
15,000	Cast Iron	50+	6	6	PVC- C900						
10,000	Cast Iron	50+	8	8	PVC- C900						
Percent of distribu	ution lines being replaced:	35%									
Number of breaks	s/leaks/repairs recorded in	past 24 n	nonths foi	r areas be	eing replaced:						
Estimated water loss from pipe being replaced (provide calculations on following page): 3.78 MGY											
Estimated annual water savings (provide calculations on following page): 3.4 MGY											
Estimated annual	cost savings (provide calcu	lations o	a followin	a pago):	¢15 726						

Provide detailed description of the propose improvements and provide supporting calculations. Description should include a description of the methodology used to select pipes for replacement (attach additional pages if necessary):

The City of Gorman provided the attached monthly water usage and loss reports for 2009 to the present. The report shows several months with "water sold" amounts greater than "water pumped", resulting in a reported negative water loss and indicating there are consistent errors in the report. It is unknown if the source of the error is due to meter accuracy or meter reporting issues. The City is taking steps to identify and resolve the issue. The 2013 reported monthly water loss varies from -22% to 18% with an average of 5%.

Since the attached loss report and audit are most likely inaccurate, estimations were made to assess the water loss. City staff estimates there are approximately 35 breaks/year in the 4.7miles of 6" and 8" lines being replaced. Assuming each leak is an average of 25gpm with 3 day leak time, a conservative estimate can be made that the City loses 108,000 gal per leak. Therefore, total estimated water loss due to pipes being replaced is 3.78MGY, or 12% of total usage.

The following cost savings calculations are based on these estimates:

Estimated annual water loss in pipes being replaced Loss Reduction	3,780,000 gal x 90 %
Total Water Savings Water Production Cost per 1000 gal	= 3,402,000 gal x \$3.96
Water Production Savings Chemical & Testing Savings Labor & Equipment Savings Pumping Costs Savings	= \$13,472 + \$1,000 (estimated) + \$1,000 (estimated) + \$ 254 (1)
Total Annual Savings	= \$15,726

(1) Water pumping costs is estimated as: $C = (0.746 \text{ V h c}/(3960 \,\mu\text{p}\,\mu\text{m})/60 \,\mu\text{m})$

where:

C = Pumping Cost

V = volume pumped (gal)

 $\begin{array}{ll} h = \text{head (ft)} & (150 \text{ft assumed}) \\ c = \text{cost rate per kWh} & (\$0.1 \text{ assumed}) \\ \mu p = \text{pump efficiency} & (0.7 \text{ assumed}) \\ \mu m = \text{motor efficiency} & (0.9 \text{ assumed}) \end{array}$

Green amount associated with water line replacement: \$210,000

(Attach detailed cost estimate if necessary)

USAGE AND LOSS REPORT

10-11 11-11 12-11	09-11	07-11	05-11	04-11	03-11	06-11	12-10	11-10	10-10	09-10	08-10	07-10	06-10	05-10	04-10	03-10	02-10	01-10	12-09	11-09	10-09	09-09	08-09	07-09	06-09	05-09	04-09	03-09	02-09	01-09		Month	City o
24,039,800 3,139,000 1,886,300	4,372,600 4,222,300	4,797,000	13,979,600	9,165,000	9,165,000	0	3,430,700	1,830,100	2,578,100	3,532,700	3,760,400	3,040,700	4,249,500	2,595,800	2,877,100	2,488,400	2,703,600	3,000,700	3,202,400	2,388,100	2,482,500	3,899,300	3,346,500	4,513,900	3,495,500	2,973,200	3,584,600	3,011,200	2,975,900	2,789,800	Pumped	Water	of Gorman
2,882,500 2,561,100 1,675,900	3,990,900	3,961,900	2,582,300	2,931,900	2,442,600	3,586,100	1,924,500	2,950,300	2,482,900	3,025,300	3,186,700	2,851,800	3,304,000	2,497,700	2,171,900	2,505,400	2,149,100	2,677,400	2,448,400	2,416,100	2,288,400	3,108,200	2,773,000	3,590,400	3,407,800	2,625,600	2,854,700	2,469,200	2,964,300	2,763,900	Sold	Water	
83.85 16.82 11.15	5.30 16.42	14.28	80.10	0.00	-1.64	0.00	39.53	-69.41	-4.07	8.70	8.61	3.75	18.72	-2.00	21.04	-2.69	16.81	2.44	21.20	-4.31	4.80	7.47	12.66	17.14	-1.78	3.28	18.27	16.01	-1.29	-1.94	Loss Prct	Water	
5,575 4,944 3,248	5,884	7,575	4,919	5,595	4,688	6,831	3,701	5,641	4,711	5,730	6,093	5,463	6,269	4,748	4,129	4,818	4,141	5,179	4,664	4,646	4,376	5,876	5,262	6,826	6,491	4,973	5,448	4,712	5,572	5,225	Use	Average	_
517 518 516	517	523	525	524	521	525	520	523	527	528	523	522	527	526	526	520	519	517	525	520	523	529	527	526	525	528	524	524	532	529	Meters	Active	DAGE
49 46 52	40 38	37	41	45	53	38	48	42	45	43	42	47	44	43	41	45	44	41	40	40	37	35	34	35	36	30	35	38	44	42	Meters	Zero Use	TE AL
ω4ω	5	. 6	4	4	5	6	4	5	5	5	∞	6	ယ	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	6	6	ယ	6	7	∞	5		Over 50000	AD LC
0 3 2	1	4	1	1	_	2	—	0	0	1	2	0	4	0	0	2	1	0	1	2	0	2	0	2	ယ	4	_	0	0	2	50000	10001	
0	4 7	· &	2	_	0	9	0	_	0	1	ω	1	6	_	2	0	2	2	_	0	2	_	2	9	2	3	_	_	0	0	40000	30001	
ω v ₁ ∞	s ====================================	19	5	7	2	15	_	5	S	7	10	%	7	4	ယ	7	_	5	5	4	5	6	9	15	11	2	5	1	2	2	30000	20001	UKI
30 23 12	67 43	72	29	52	20	51	11	29	20	47	53	47	59	28	16	11	12	23	20	18	19	50	45	58	46	35	32	19	23	26	20000	10001	
31 18 10	41 28	39	36	29	21	35	15	31	24	32	26	28	33	32	20	27	14	20	21	14	11	34	28	28	39	28	29	16	30	29	10000	8001	
46 40 22	50 44	44	47	47	61	41	29	45	55	53	54	50	58	47	40	42	42	56	46	48	48	54	40	43	57	44	46	50	52	42	8000	6001	
81 98 47	66 76	71	86	76	78	75	76	100	96	86	74	83	86	90	86	100	87	99	95	95	89	77	86	88	77	77	91	85	99	104			
131 119 148	103 120	94	119	117	116	117	142	104	111	102	88	107	91	102	136	113	128	116	121	138	135	123	125	104	111	126	120	135	115	114	1000	2001	
130 154 208	107 143	116	143	136	151	126	181	148	156	138	153	137	122	155	162	155	174	149	165	155	161	136	147	124	132	160	152	167	154	154	2000	_	

Thursday, August 21, 2014

USAGE AND LOSS REPORT

_	
ă	,
age	
ν.	
읔	
(J)

City of	O	787	W/ata		DAG				NUL			0001	2001			
[*10HCI	Pumped	Sold	Loss Prct	Use	Meters	Meters	00000	50000	40000	30000	20000	10000	8000	6000	4000	2000
01-12	2,009,900	2,726,800	-43.13	5,305	514	45	w	0	ω	5	30	24	66	85	108	130
02-12	2,633,300	1,870,000	27.09	3,659	511	42	4	1	0	5	10	9	23	84	135	177
03-12	2,158,000	1,817,700	13.45	3,599	505	46	ω	-	1	0	11	9	26	67	147	186
04-12	2,450,800	2,681,500	-9.42	5,299	506	43	4	0	2	7	37	21	64	89	106	122
05-12	3,532,700	3,042,900	9.62	5,978	509	32	5	2	0	~	51	34	56	73	105	123
06-12	2,888,300	2,333,700	12.28	4,630	504	40	4	2	0	4	35	22	37	60	132	162
07-12	3,463,200	2,691,700	17.16	5,394	499	38	4	0	0	14	38	23	39	71	117	146
08-12	4,296,100	3,400,300	18.89	6,883	494	36	5	2	∞	12	55	29	39	59	111	127
09-12	3,098,700	3,447,700	-16.92	6,993	493	31	6	1	2	9	57	38	58	71	106	104
10-12	3,023,700	2,123,700	26.76	4,334	490	35	4	_	0	4	20	15	36	89	120	158
11-12	2,145,600	1,996,000	4.18	4,065	491	37	2	2	_	4	18	18	30	70	141	162
12-12	2,196,700	2,280,300	-13.13	4,673	488	36	4	0	2	6	17	21	37	88	127	150
01-13	3,047,800	2,479,500	13.79	5,123	484	41	4	0	4	ω	24	25	47	91	114	126
02-13	2,214,700	1,793,300	16.42	3,713	483	42	4	0	_	0	16	10	25	81	137	157
03-13	2,070,400	1,911,500	5.05	3,933	486	45	4	0	0	_	17	18	33	79	126	157
04-13	2,119,400	2,516,000	-22.02	5,114	492	44	ယ	_	2	4	32	29	59	87	98	129
05-13	3,164,200	2,518,500	14.40	5,088	495	45	5	0	_	w	36	20	46	75	116	141
06-13	3,041,600	2,739,600	5.95	5,523	496	40	5	0	_	11	45	18	48	70	99	156
07-13	3,821,800	3,039,100	17.60	6,115	497	37	6	0	-	18	44	26	49	55	110	141
08-13	3,189,900	3,146,900	-1.63	6,319	498	45	6	0	2	10	52	45	41	67	97	128
09-13	2,649,700	2,986,000	-16.47	6,020	496	39	5	2	4	w	58	29	45	75	100	130
10-13	2,549,200	2,112,100	15.97	4,293	492	49	4	0	1	4	16	20	39	69	124	157
11-13	1,874,100	2,209,500	-18.96	4,518	489	44	ယ	2	_	_	21	20	37	81	121	154
12-13	2,448,300	2,349,400	-0.04	4,854	484	41	4	0	2	ယ	21	11	40	73	124	155
01-14	2,823,200	2,017,500	24.75	4,212	479	39	ω	0	2	0	22	15	34	64	129	156
02-14	1,998,900	2,268,300	-17.23	4,667	486	34	ယ	_	0	3	28	17	46	75	119	139
03-14	2,059,200	2,253,500	-13.08	4,666	483	34	ယ	_	,	4	20	19	43	79	103	163
04-14	2,608,100	2,105,000	16.41	4,358	483	38	ω	_	-	2	20	16	43	75	118	156
05-14	2,565,900	2,693,300	-12.76	5,508	489	36	5	_	2	9	38	31	38	85	93	138
06-14	2,702,600	2,605,700	0.81	5,351	487	34	5	_	1	w	30	27	57	64	104	146
07-14	3,609,700	2,782,600	20.14	5,435	512	37	5	_	2	∞	43	32	41	71	115	141
08-14	0	0	0.00	0	514	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

66 Month Totals

Monthly Averages

USAGE AND LOSS REPORT

City of Gorman						CONCENTED TOOK WITH OWN			TAI						
Month Water	Water	Water	Average	Active	Zero Use	Zero Use Over 50000	\$0000 \$0000	30001	20001	70001	10000	8000	4001	2001	7000
Pumped	Sold	Loss Prct	Use	Meters	Meters		50000	40000	30000	20000	10000	8000	6000	4000	2000
Total Water Pumped	umped	2:	233,973,000			Average Water Pumped	Water l	umped				3,545,045	15		
Total Water Sold	old	17	172,045,700			Average Water Sold	Water :	Sold				2,606,75	33		
Total Used for Fire/Flush	Fire/Flush		9,910,651			Average Used for Fire/Flush	Jsed for	Fire/Flus	'n			150,161	51		
Total Water Loss	OSS		52,016,649			Average Water Loss	Water l	OSS				788,1	31		
Total Water Loss Percent	oss Percent		22.23%			Average Water Loss Percen	Water]	Loss Perc	ent			22.23 %	%		
Qualified By: System Totals 01-09 to 08-14	Totals 01-09 to	08-14				Average Customer Use	Custome	r Use				5,111	Ξ		
City of Gorman															

P.O. BOX 13231, CAPITOL STATION AUSTIN, TX 78711-3231

2013 Water Audit Report

A. Water Utility General Information

1. Water Utility Name:	City of Gorman						
2. Contact:							
2a. Name	Cory Higgins						
2b. Telephone #	325-695-1070						
2c. Email Address	chiggins@jacobr	martin.com					
3. Reporting Period:		From	1/1/2013	_	То	12/	31/2013
4. Source Water Utiliza	tion, percentage:	Surface Water	100.00	<u> </u>	Ground Wate	er <u>C</u>	0.00 %
5. Population Served:							
5a. Retail Population	on Served				1,083	A	ssessment
5b. Wholesale Pop	ulation Served			_	60	710	Scale
6. Utility's Length of Ma	in Lines, miles				70,000.00		3
7. Number of Wholesale	e Connections Se	rved			25		
8. Number of Retail Ser	rvice Connections	Served			498		
Service Connection I (Number of retail servines)	•	miles of main		_	0.01		
10. Average Yearly Sys	stem Operating Pro	essure (psi)			50.00		1
11. Volume Units of Me	easure:				Gallons		
B. System Input Volume	•						
12. Produced Water			_		0	gallons	0
13. Production Meter A	ccuracy (enter per	centage)	_		0.00	%	0
14. Corrected Input Vol	ume		_		0	gallons	
15. Water Imported			_		32,191,100	gallons	3
16. Water Exported			_		1,322,100	gallons	3
17. System Input Volu			.		30,869,000	gallons	
(Corrected input vo		ed water, minus e	xported wat	er)		As	ssessment
C. Authorized Consump	otion						Scale
18. Billed Metered			_		28,479,300	gallons	2
19. Billed Unmetered			_			gallons	0
20. Unbilled Metered			_			gallons	0
21. Unbilled Unmetered			_		385,863		0
22. Total Authorized C	Consumption		_		28,865,163	gallons	

9/9/2014 10:11:54 AM Page 1 of 3

P.O. BOX 13231, CAPITOL STATION AUSTIN, TX 78711-3231

2013 Water Audit Report

D. Water Losses			
23. Water Losses (Line 17 minus Line 22)	2,003,838	gallons	
E. Apparent Losses			
24. Average Customer Meter Accuracy (Enter percentage)	100.00	% -	1
25. Customer Meter Accuracy Loss	0	gallons	
26. Systematic Data Handling Discrepancy	0	gallons	0
27. Unauthorized Consumption	77,173	gallon	0
28. Total Apparent Losses	77,173	s gallons	
F. Real Losses			
29. Reported Breaks and Leaks (Estimated volume of leaks & breaks repaired during the audit pe		gallons -	1
30. Unreported Loss (Includes all unknown water loss)	1,926,665	gallons _	1
31. Total Real Losses	1,926,665	gallon s	
(Line 29, plus Line 30)		3	
32. Water Losses (Apparent + Real) (Line 28 plus Line 31) = Line 23	2,003,838	gallons	
33. Non-revenue Water (Water Losses + Unbilled Authorized Consumption) (Line 32, plus Line 20, plus Line 21)	2,389,700	gallons	
G. Technical Performance Indicator for Apparent Loss			
34. Apparent Losses Normalized (Apparent Loss Volume / # of Retail Service Connections/365)	0	gallons	
H. Technical Performance Indicators for Real Loss			
35. Real Loss Volume (Line 31)	1,926,665	gallons	
36. Unavoidable Annual Real Losses, volume (calculated)	6,912,638,275	gallons	
37. Infrastructure Leakage Index (calculated) (Equals real loss volume divided by unavoidable annual real loss	0.00030 ses)		
38. Real Losses Normalized (Real Loss Volume / # of Service Connections / 365) (This indicator applies if service connection density is greater than 32 / mile)	11	gallons	

9/9/2014 10:11:54 AM Page 2 of 3

is greater than 32 / mile)

P.O. BOX 13231, CAPITOL STATION AUSTIN, TX 78711-3231

2013 Water Audit Report

39. Real Losses Normalized	0	gallons
(Real Loss Volume/Miles of Main Lines/365)		
(This indicator applies if service connection density is less than 3	2/mile)	
I. Financial Performance Indicators		Assessment Scale
40. Total Apparent Losses (Line 28)	77,173	gallons
41. Retail Price of Water	\$0.00900	3
42. Cost of Apparent Losses (Apparent loss volume multiplied by retail cost of water, Line 40 x Line 41)	\$694.55	
43. Total Real Losses (Line 31)	1,926,665.00	
44. Variable Production Cost of Water* (*Note: in case of water shortage, real losses might be valued at the retail price of water instead of the variable production cost.)	\$0.00396	3
45. Cost of Real Losses (Real Loss multiplied by variable production cost of water, Line 43 x Line 44)	\$7,629.59	
46. Total Assessment Scale		21
47. Total Cost Impact of Apparent and Real Losses	\$8,324.14	
48. Comments		
49. Total Water Loss %	6.49	%

9/9/2014 10:11:54 AM Page 3 of 3