



P.O. Box 13231, 1700 N. Congress Ave.
Austin, TX 78711-3231, www.twdb.texas.gov
Phone (512) 463-7847, Fax (512) 475-2053

AGENDA ITEM MEMO

BOARD MEETING DATE: November 9, 2023

TO: Board Members

THROUGH: Jeff Walker, Executive Administrator
Ashley Harden, General Counsel
Matt Nelson, Deputy Executive Administrator, Office of Planning

FROM: Temple McKinnon, Director, Water Supply Planning
Katie Dahlberg, Manager, Projections & Socioeconomic Analysis

SUBJECT: Population, municipal demand, and non-municipal demand projections for the 2026 Regional Water Plans and 2027 State Water Plan

ACTION REQUESTED

Consider adopting the population and municipal water demand projections and the non-municipal water demand projections for use in the 2026 Regional Water Plans and 2027 State Water Plan.

BACKGROUND

As required by Texas Administrative Code §357.31, in developing their regional water plans, Regional Water Planning Groups (RWPGs) must use population and water demand projections developed and adopted by the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) after consultation with the RWPGs, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, Texas Department of Agriculture, and Texas Parks and Wildlife Department. Developing population projections involves two steps: first, the state demographer at the Texas Demographic Center provides the TWDB with initial, 30-year projections by county. Then, the TWDB extrapolates the 30-year projections to the state water plan's 50-year planning horizon and distributes them beyond the county level to individual water user groups.

Using this two-step process, the TWDB drafts the population and municipal water demand projections and the non-municipal water demand projections for the RWPGs consideration each planning cycle. Population projections are required in this process—combined with the gallons-per-capita water use associated with each municipal water user group (WUG) – as a means of developing credible estimates of future municipal water demands for each

Our Mission

Leading the state's efforts
in ensuring a secure
water future for Texas

Board Members

Brooke T. Paup, Chairwoman | George B. Peyton V, Board Member | L'Oreal Stepney, P.E., Board Member
Jeff Walker, Executive Administrator

WUG. The resulting draft water demand projections are intended to represent WUG water demands that could be reasonably anticipated to occur in the event of severe drought conditions in each decade over the 50-year planning horizon. These water demand projections become the basis for developing the regional water plans and the state water plan. The population projections are also referenced in the development of the regional and state flood plans and in the technical review of applications seeking financial assistance from the TWDB.

Once developed, the draft projections are provided to each RWPG for their review. Each RWPG then submits revision requests, as applicable, back to the TWDB for consideration, in this case, in accordance with the *General Guidelines for Development of the 2026 Regional Water Plans*. TWDB relies on the RWPGs and their revision requests to assist in developing municipal water demand projections by providing additional local, region, county and WUG-specific information. For example, some RWPGs might have had additional information that strongly supported shifting a portion of the projected future population from one county or water provider to another, adjacent county or water provider. This is the same process that was used during the previous regional water planning cycles.

TWDB made a significant effort to support the RWPGs in their reviews of the TWDB draft projections by providing in-person presentations, relevant datasets, and data tools like the online projection dashboards that provided historical water use info and previous projections, and by intentionally remaining open to all credible materials submitted in support of revision requests ([Non-Municipal Dashboards](#), [Municipal Dashboard](#)). Available data that TWDB provided to the RWPGs included historical reported water use and connection data on March 16, 2022.

The draft non-municipal demands (irrigation, livestock, manufacturing, mining, and steam electric power generation) were provided to the RWPGs on January 20, 2022 and August 23, 2022, along with historical estimated and reported water use and methodology documents.

Once TWDB received the State Demographer's 2030-2060 county population projections, TWDB's first task was to transform those into municipal WUG population projections. This required extending county-level populations to the year 2080 and reassigning those overall county populations to the 1,959 municipal WUGs for which the RWPGs must plan. This entailed extensive effort and was based on a variety of factors all guided by the associated methodologies available on TWDB's website. Two sets of draft region-county population projections were provided by TWDB to each RWPG on January 23, 2023, along with WUG-level projections using the 1.0 migration scenario (the full rate of migration between the 2010 and 2020 Census). The TWDB offered to develop WUG projections using the 0.5 migration scenario (the half-rate of migration between the 2010 and 2020 Census) for RWPGs, of which all 16 requested TWDB develop this second set of WUG population and demand projections. These were shared throughout February and March as requests from RWPGs were submitted. The two sets of projections allowed each RWPG to choose which population projection they preferred for each county. Many chose the specific migration rate for each county that resulted in a higher population for the region. The relatively late

timing of these projections was due to a combination of the late release of the federal U.S. Census data and the subsequent county-by-county projection release by Texas' State Demographer, upon which the TWDB population projections were based.

Along the way, the TWDB worked with the RWPGs to resolve questions, data errors such as incorrect reporting to the Water Use Survey, and provide supporting data and preliminary feedback regarding both non-municipal and municipal projection data prior to the official RWPG revision submittals. TWDB reviewed each specific WUG revision request (2,938 out of the 3,430 total municipal and non-municipal WUGs) and the accompanying data provided by the RWPGs. The requests were extensive and involved a wide variety of often unique situations and accompanying justifications and data.

The Executive Administrator (EA) considered and responded to each revision request and provided a recommendation that was communicated to the RWPG. In general, for each RWPG's set of revision requests, some specific revision requests were accepted, others were partially accepted or modified, and some were not recommended. Over 92 percent of the revision requests were accepted and all the resulting EA recommendations were conveyed, in detail, as a response to each RWPG revision request. An additional six percent of the revision requests were further revised, and only one percent of the RWPG revision requests were not recommended, resulting in the TWDB draft projections being recommended instead.

As required by rule, a full set of recommendations were provided on October 10, 2023 to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, Texas Department of Agriculture, and Texas Parks and Wildlife Department as part of our ongoing coordination effort. Comments from the three agencies were received by October 19, 2023 and did not result in any changes to the recommended projections reviewed. The resulting projections are hereby presented to the Board for consideration for adoption (Attachments 1 and 2).

KEY ISSUES

1. The attachments include 992 non-municipal WUG demand and 2,438 municipal WUG population and water demand projections for 2030-2080.
2. The total recommended water demand for Texas is projected to increase from approximately 17.4 million acre-feet in 2030 to 18.4 million acre-feet in 2080. However, the recommended statewide water demand projections represent an overall decrease in projected water demand from the current 2022 State Water Plan, at approximately six percent less in 2030 and six percent less in 2070. These changes are primarily due to decreases in projected water demand for irrigation and steam-electric power, based on input from the RWPGs, but higher demands in manufacturing and mining due to updated projection methodologies. Likewise, the total water demand in 2022 State Water Plan was approximately 4 percent less in 2020 and 11 percent less in 2070 compared to the 2017 State Water Plan.
3. The largest near-term water demand category remains irrigation, comprising nearly 48 percent of the 2030 projected water demand of the state.

4. Municipal demands are projected to increase by 48 percent from 5.9 million acre-feet in 2030 to 8.8 million acre-feet in 2080, becoming the largest water demand category by 2060. In the 2022 State Water Plan, municipal demands were projected to be 5.8 million acre-feet in 2030 and increase to 8.5 million acre-feet by 2070, the last planning decade of the 2022 State Water Plan (an increase of 63 percent over the entire planning horizon).
5. The population for Texas is projected to increase from approximately 34.2 million people in 2030 to 52.3 million people in 2080, which is a 53 percent increase over the planning horizon. In the 2022 State Water Plan, population was projected to be 33.9 million in 2030 and 51.5 million in 2070, with a 73 percent increase over the entire planning horizon.
6. The methodologies used to project the various types of water demands were generally similar to those of the previous planning cycle with the notable exceptions of:
 - a. a modified future manufacturing demand trend which was specifically revised based upon statewide historical water use and recent growth in the number of manufacturing facilities;
 - b. a decision by the Region O RWPG to limit their own projected irrigation demands to more closely align with the volume of future modeled available groundwater for irrigation; and
 - c. a set of updated mining water demand projections based upon a contracted study with the U.T. Bureau of Economic Geology.
7. In the absence of any countervailing evidence available during development of the draft projections, TWDB incorporated a number of county population declines as projected by the State Demographer. Although these declining draft projections caused some consternation among RWPGs, not least because it was a change from previous projection methods, the EA considered it appropriate and necessary for developing credible and transparent population and water demand projections able to withstand scrutiny from a variety of perspectives.

Although Texas' statewide population is projected to continue to grow significantly, declining projections in certain counties were not surprising and, in many cases, were already being directly measured through recent U.S. Census counts (e.g., 2000, 2010, and 2020). These projected population declines were driven directly from the State Demographer's cohort models that are based on the existing and anticipated demographic profile including, importantly, updated birth rates which have been declining, nationwide. Declining population trends were apparent in many rural areas which reflects a larger national trend that is shaped by many factors.

TWDB intentionally did not attempt to modify or counter the declining population trends in developing its draft projections, for example by holding populations constant across the planning horizon, as has been the practice in previous planning cycles. This was a significant change in the projection methodology and one aimed at providing better estimates of future water demands and needs. Apart from

improving the credibility of the water plans themselves, developing robust population and water demand projections is important for a variety of reasons, including: to help avoid overinvestment or underinvestment in water supply infrastructure; and, to better inform project funding efforts and decisions including those related to applications for state financing through TWDB.

In the draft population projections using the 1.0 migration scenario, 161 counties were projected to decline; in the draft 0.5 migration scenario, 147 counties were projected to decline. In the EA recommended population projections, which are a mix of both migration scenarios as selected by the RWPGs and input on WUG-level projections from the RWPGs, 137 counties are projected to decline.

8. There are a variety of uncertainties associated with regional and state water planning, including related to population and water demand. These water demand projections are revisited during each five-year planning cycle and can even be amended between cycles. The population and water demand projections adopted by the TWDB do not limit the ability of RWPGs to plan for additional water demands to allow for faster or greater than anticipated growth in population or overall water demand.
9. Regardless of the population projections associated with the regional and state water plans, RWPGs may, at their discretion, plan for and recommend additional water supplies and projects beyond those required to only meet identified water needs. Additional water supplies may be developed within regions to address a variety of planning uncertainties including, for example, the risk of a drought worse than a drought of record.

RECOMMENDATION

The Executive Administrator recommends adoption of the population and municipal water demand projections and the non-municipal water demand projections for use in the 2026 Regional Water Plans and 2027 State Water Plan to comply with 31 TAC §357.31.

Attachment(s):

1. EA-Recommended Population and Municipal Water Demand Projections
2. EA-Recommended Non-Municipal Water Demand Projections

Attachments

WUG Info			TWDB Draft Scenario (as selected by RWPG)	TWDB Draft Projections																									Revisions by RWPG												EA Recommended Projections												TWDB Review															
Region	County	EntityId	EntityName	P2030	P2040	P2050	P2060	P2070	P2080	GPCD	WE2030	WE2040	WE2050	WE2060	WE2070	WE2080	D2030	D2040	D2050	D2060	D2070	D2080	P2030	P2040	P2050	P2060	P2070	P2080	GPCD	WE2030	WE2040	WE2050	WE2060	WE2070	WE2080	D2030	D2040	D2050	D2060	D2070	D2080	RWPG Comment	Population 2030	Population 2040	Population 2050	Population 2060	Population 2070	Population 2080	Base GPCD	Water Efficiency Savings 2030	Water Efficiency Savings 2040	Water Efficiency Savings 2050	Water Efficiency Savings 2060	Water Efficiency Savings 2070	Water Efficiency Savings 2080	Municipal Demands 2030	Municipal Demands 2040	Municipal Demands 2050	Municipal Demands 2060	Municipal Demands 2070	Municipal Demands 2080	Pop Revision?	TWDB Action Population	GPCD	WE Revision?	TWDB Administrator Recommendation	TWDB Board Consideration	Review Date
A	RANDALL	10080	Siesta Estates	1.0	341	384	426	464	504	543	279	4.67	5.15	5.15	5.15	5.15	105	118	131	142	155	167	341	384	426	464	504	543	317	4.67	5.15	5.15	5.15	5.15	119	134	149	162	176	190	updated Baseline GPCD	341	384	426	464	504	543	317	4.67	5.15	5.15	5.15	5.15	119	134	149	162	176	190	Y	Recommended	Region's request is recommended as a rounded integer.	9/15/2023					
A	RANDALL	1296	Lake Tanglewood	1.0	570	462	374	300	240	192	348	4.60	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12	219	177	144	115	92	74	570	462	374	300	240	192	402	4.60	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12	254	205	166	133	107	85	updated Baseline GPCD	570	462	374	300	240	192	402	4.60	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12	254	205	166	133	107	85	Y	Recommended	Region's request is recommended as a rounded integer.	9/15/2023					
A	HUTCHINSON	13	Borger	0.5	12,015	11,858	11,570	11,236	10,923	10,638	210	4.81	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40	2,762	2,718	2,652	2,575	2,503	2,438	12,450	13,160	13,600	13,600	13,600	13,600	262	4.81	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40	3,593	3,789	3,916	3,916	3,916	3,916	revised population	12,269	12,106	11,807	11,462	11,138	10,841	262	4.81	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40	3,535	3,480	3,394	3,295	3,201	3,116	Y	Revised	Region's request is recommended as a rounded integer.	9/15/2023					
A	GRAY	1818	McLean Municipal Water Supply	0.5	636	628	608	583	558	535	217	4.91	5.54	5.54	5.54	5.54	151	149	144	138	132	127	636	628	608	583	558	535	244	4.91	5.54	5.54	5.54	5.54	170	168	162	156	149	143	updated Baseline GPCD	636	628	608	583	558	535	244	4.91	5.54	5.54	5.54	5.54	170	168	162	156	149	143	Y	Recommended	Region's request is recommended as a rounded integer.	9/15/2023					
A	HALL	1825	Memphis	0.5	1,849	1,752	1,639	1,532	1,425	1,317	148	5.02	5.57	5.57	5.57	5.57	296	280	261	244	227	210	1,849	1,752	1,639	1,532	1,425	1,317	148	5.02	5.57	5.57	5.57	5.57	296	280	261	244	227	210	No revisions requested.	Region's request is baseline	9/15/2023																									
A	ROBERTS	1834	Miami	0.5	499	478	465	459	454	449	327	4.83	5.38	5.38	5.38	5.38	180	172	168	165	164	162	499	478	465	459	454	449	327	4.83	5.38	5.38	5.38	5.38	180	172	168	165	164	162	No revisions requested.	Region's request is baseline	9/15/2023																									
A	MOORE	18	Cactus Municipal Water System	0.5	2,993	3,007	2,996	2,922	2,849	2,777	209	4.47	5.10	5.10	5.10	5.10	686	687	684	667	651	634	2,993	3,007	2,996	2,922	2,849	2,777	260	4.47	5.10	5.10	5.10	5.10	858	856	851	844	814	794	updated Baseline GPCD,	2,993	3,007	2,996	2,922	2,849	2,777	260	4.47	5.10	5.10	5.10	5.10	858	856	851	844	814	794	Y	Recommended	Region's request is recommended as a rounded integer.	9/15/2023					
A	GRAY	2175	Pampa Municipal Water System	0.5	16,884	16,451	16,135	15,913	15,810	15,851	171	4.70	5.29	5.29	5.29	5.29	3,145	3,054	2,995	2,954	2,935	2,942	21,750	22,000	22,000	22,400	22,400	22,900	33,500	171	4.70	5.29	5.29	5.29	5.29	4,052	4,084	4,084	4,158	4,251	4,362	revised population	17,214	16,777	16,451	16,217	16,102	16,131	171	4.70	5.29	5.29	5.29	5.29	3,207	3,114	3,054	3,010	2,989	2,994	Y	Revised	revise the population projections for the WUG to increase the projections for the region due to the lack of growth or specific industries to meet Exhibit C criteria. Therefore, TWDB recommends considering a demographic approach to revise the population projections. Region requested to revise baseline GPCD based on the WUG's 2020 water use. Region's request is recommended as a rounded integer.	9/15/2023				
A	CARSON	2289	Panhandle Municipal Water System	0.5	2,233	2,238	2,265	2,276	2,302	2,347	206	4.82	5.37	5.37	5.37	5.37	503	505	511	517	527	2,233	2,238	2,265	2,276	2,302	2,347	2,064	4.82	5.37	5.37	5.37	5.37	503	505	511	517	527	2,064	4,074	4,074	4,074	4,074	4,074	2,233	2,238	2,265	2,276	2,302	2,347	No revisions requested.	Region's request is recommended as a rounded integer.	9/15/2023															
A	OCHILTREE	2193	Perryton Municipal Water System	0.5	8,574	9,017	9,300	9,565	9,829	10,094	260	4.70	5.34	5.34	5.34	5.34	2,452	2,572	2,653	2,728	2,804	8,574	9,017	9,300	9,565	9,829	10,094	2,074	4.70	5.34	5.34	5.34	5.34	2,452	2,572	2,653	2,728	2,804	8,279	No revisions requested.	Region's request is recommended as a rounded integer.	9/15/2023																										
A	WHEELER	2350	Shamrock Municipal Water System	0.5	1,633	1,592	1,544	1,512	1,481	1,454	159	4.92	5.46	5.46	5.46	5.46	282	274	266	260	255	1,633	1,592	1,544	1,512	1,481																																										

WUG Info			TWDB Draft Projections based on 0.5 migration scenario																									Revisions by RWPG																									EA Recommended Projections												TWDB Review							
Region	County	EntityId	EntityName	P2030	P2040	P2050	P2060	P2070	P2080	GPCD	WE2030	WE2040	WE2050	WE2060	WE2070	WE2080	D2030	D2040	D2050	D2060	D2070	D2080	P2030	P2040	P2050	P2060	P2070	P2080	GPCD	WE2030	WE2040	WE2050	WE2060	WE2070	WE2080	D2030	D2040	D2050	D2060	D2070	D2080	RWPG Comment	Population n 2030	Population n 2040	Population n 2050	Population n 2060	Population n 2070	Population n 2080	Base GPCD	Water Efficiency Savings 2030	Water Efficiency Savings 2040	Water Efficiency Savings 2050	Water Efficiency Savings 2060	Water Efficiency Savings 2070	Water Efficiency Savings 2080	Municipal Demands 2030	Municipal Demands 2040	Municipal Demands 2050	Municipal Demands 2060	Municipal Demands 2070	Municipal Demands 2080	Pop Revision?	TWDB Action Population	GPCD Revision?	TWDB Action GPCD	WE Revision?	TWDB Action Administrator recommendation to Executive Board Consideration	Action M#	TWDB Comment	Action M#	TWDB Review Date	
B	WICHITA	160	Wichita Falls	96,965	96,007	93,567	90,395	87,347	84,422	145	4.97	5.62	5.62	5.62	5.62	5.62	15,209	14,989	14,608	14,113	13,637	13,180	102,308	104,299	106,280	107,285	108,280	109,275	145	4.97	5.62	5.62	5.62	5.62	16,047	16,284	16,595	16,750	16,905	17,061	2% population increase for census undercount	100,573	99,786	97,318	93,793	90,388	87,098	145	4.97	5.62	5.62	5.62	5.62	15,775	15,579	15,194	14,643	14,112	13,598	Y	Revised											
B	ARCHER	196	Archer City	1,581	1,497	1,412	1,330	1,253	1,175	137	4.85	5.39	5.39	5.39	5.39	5.39	234	221	208	196	185	173	1,683	1,668	1,654	1,625	1,597	1,570	137	4.85	5.39	5.39	5.39	5.39	249	246	244	240	235	231	2% population increase for census undercount	1,617	1,531	1,436	1,345	1,263	1,180	137	4.85	5.39	5.39	5.39	5.39	239	226	212	198	186	174	Y	Revised											
B	MONTAGUE	275	Bowie	5,932	5,919	5,746	5,650	5,558	5,468	153	4.81	5.35	5.35	5.35	5.35	5.35	985	979	950	934	919	904	6,735	7,220	7,705	8,190	8,675	9,160	153	4.81	5.35	5.35	5.35	5.35	1,118	1,194	1,274	1,355	1,435	1,515	2% population increase for census undercount and based on number of connections, new plats and new well requests from GCD	6,174	6,547	6,927	7,310	7,697	8,088	153	4.81	5.35	5.35	5.35	5.35	1,025	1,083	1,146	1,209	1,273	1,338	Y	Revised											
B	WICHITA	313	Burkburnett	11,032	10,892	10,563	10,151	9,754	9,370	120	4.73	5.32	5.32	5.32	5.32	5.32	1,424	1,399	1,357	1,304	1,253	1,204	11,270	11,285	11,303	11,336	11,370	11,403	120	4.73	5.32	5.32	5.32	5.32	1,455	1,450	1,452	1,456	1,461	1,465	2% population increase for census undercount	11,079	10,797	10,349	9,950	9,493	9,089	120	4.73	5.32	5.32	5.32	5.32	1,431	1,387	1,329	1,273	1,219	1,168	Y	Revised											
B	ARCHER	416	County-Other, Archer	732	691	649	613	574	540	157	5.62	6.30	6.30	6.30	6.30	6.30	124	117	110	103	97	91	262	260	257	252	247	243	157	5.62	6.30	6.30	6.30	6.30	44	44	43	43	42	41	2% population increase for census undercount	252	238	223	209	195	183	157	5.62	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.3	43	40	38	35	33	31	Y	Revised											
B	BAYLOR	423	County-Other, Baylor	12	12	12	11	11	12	119	16,41	23,58	23,58	23,58	23,58	23,58	1	1	1	1	1	1	13	13	12	11	11	11	119	16,41	23,58	23,58	23,58	23,58	1	1	1	1	1	1	2% population increase for census undercount	13	13	12	11	11	11	119	16,41	23,58	23,58	23,58	23,58	1	1	1	1	1	1	Y	Revised											
B	CLAY	450	County-Other, Clay	3,896	3,302	2,631	2,015	1,419	843	111	4.87	5.39	5.39	5.39	5.39	5.39	463	391	311	238	168	100	3,307	3,257	3,204	3,104	3,008	2,914	111	4.87	5.39	5.39	5.39	5.39	393	385	379	367	356	345	2% population increase for census undercount	3,012	2,633	2,211	1,817	1,438	1,072	111	4.87	5.39	5.39	5.39	5.39	358	311	262	215	170	127	Y	Revised											
B	COTTLE	462	County-Other, Cottle	217	199	186	174	160	147	124	5.41	5.96	5.96	5.96	5.96	5.96	29	26	25	23	21	19	215	210	205	200	195	190	124	5.41	5.96	5.96	5.96	5.96	29	28	27	26	26	25	2% population increase for census undercount	204	190	183	177	171	162	124	5.41	5.96	5.96	5.96	5.96	27	25	24	23	21	21	Y	Revised											
B	FOARD	489	County-Other, Foard	187	153	128	103	77	50	166	4.90	5.47	5.47	5.47	5.47	5.47	34	28	23	19	14	9	84	83	82	80	78	76	166	4.90	5.47	5.47	5.47	5.47	15	15	15	14	14	14	2% population increase for census undercount	75	67	62	57	53	49	166	4.9	5.47	5.47	5.47	5.47	54	14	12	11	10	10	9	Y	Revised										
B	HARDEMAN	510	County-Other, Hardeman	319	300	284	269	257	244	143	3.86	4.62	4.62	4.62	4.62	4.62	50	47	44	42	40	38	273	271	269	260	257	244	143	3.86	4.62	4.62	4.62	4.62	43	42	42	42	40	38	2% population increase for census undercount	257	241																													

WUG Info		TWDB Draft Projections - based on 1.0 migration scenario																									Revisions by RWPG																									EA Recommended Projections																									TWDB Review	
Region	County	EntityId	EntityName	P2030	P2040	P2050	P2060	P2070	P2080	GPCD	WE2030	WE2040	WE2050	WE2060	WE2070	WE2080	D2030	D2040	D2050	D2060	D2070	D2080	P2030	P2040	P2050	P2060	P2070	P2080	GPCD	WE2030	WE2040	WE2050	WE2060	WE2070	WE2080	D2030	D2040	D2050	D2060	D2070	D2080	RWPG Comment	Population 2030	Population 2040	Population 2050	Population 2060	Population 2070	Population 2080	Base GPCD	Water Efficiency Savings 2030	Water Efficiency Savings 2040	Water Efficiency Savings 2050	Water Efficiency Savings 2060	Water Efficiency Savings 2070	Water Efficiency Savings 2080	Municipal Demands 2030	Municipal Demands 2040	Municipal Demands 2050	Municipal Demands 2060	Municipal Demands 2070	Municipal Demands 2080	Pop Revision?	TWDB Action GPCD	TWDB Action GPCD	WE Revision?	TWDB Action WE	TWDB Comments (Executive Administrator recommendation to Board Consideration)	Review Date										
C	ROCKWALL	23	Cash SUD	1,994	2,646	3,435	4,265	5,178	6,182	103	4.37	4.87	4.87	4.87	4.87	4.87	220	291	378	469	569	680	2,077	3,596	8,098	13,866	21,786	29,825	117	4.37	4.87	4.87	4.87	4.87	4.87	376	501	1,017	1,742	2,736	3,746	NTMWD Long Range Water Supply	2,977	3,950	5,128	6,367	7,730	9,229	117	4.37	4.87	4.87	4.87	4.87	4.87	376	496	644	800	971	1,159	Y	Revised	Y	Recommended	water use estimate	8/24/2023											
C	DALLAS	34	Cedar Hill	44,678	46,970	48,179	48,868	49,677	50,462	180	4.53	5.16	5.16	5.16	5.16	5.16	8,782	9,199	9,436	9,571	9,719	9,883	65,729	78,897	101,875	127,940	163,800	185,500	180	4.53	5.16	5.16	5.16	5.16	5.16	19,952	25,056	31,894	36,329	40,749	45,180	DWU Survey Revision Request	52,645	58,553	63,911	69,070	74,646	80,672	180	4.53	5.16	5.16	5.16	5.16	5.16	10,544	11,467	12,517	13,527	14,619	15,799	Y	Revised	for the WUG includes population projection from 1994 to 2023 and does not support the revised, long term growth rate for the revised population projections used in the WUG's recommended revisions.	8/28/2023													
C	NAVARRO	35	Corsicana	27,916	29,886	31,517	32,925	34,477	36,187	205	4.65	5.21	5.21	5.21	5.21	5.21	6,265	6,688	7,053	7,368	7,716	8,098	27,916	29,886	31,517	32,925	34,477	36,187	205	4.65	5.21	5.21	5.21	5.21	5.21	6,265	6,688	7,053	7,368	7,716	8,098	No revision requested	27,916	29,886	31,517	32,925	34,477	36,187	205	4.65	5.21	5.21	5.21	5.21	5.21	6,265	6,688	7,053	7,368	7,716	8,098	Y	Revised	Region C's recommendations were used in the WUG's plan, for the population growth rate to be lower 2030-2040 and then higher 2040-2050 then lower steady 2050 through 2080. DWU used a variable growth pattern (n=3). For the purposes of Regional Water Planning, TWDB recommends revising the population projections to be based on a linear growth rate, starting and ending with the Region's revised 2030 and 2080 populations. Correspondingly, we align historical growth for the WUG. Dallas	9/15/2023													
C	COLIN	36	Dallas	54,350	59,931	66,206	72,603	79,611	87,300	202	4.96	5.59	5.59	5.59	5.59	5.59	11,996	13,185	14,566	15,973	17,515	19,207	53,145	57,647	65,234	73,249	81,962	91,072	202	4.96	5.59	5.59	5.59	5.59	5.59	11,780	12,683	14,352	16,115	18,032	20,037	Ongoing DWU LRWSP	53,145	59,190	65,922	73,420	81,771	91,072	202	4.96	5.59	5.59	5.59	5.59	5.59	11,730	13,022	14,503	16,153	17,990	20,037	Y	Revised	Region C is a densely populated WUG. Region C's recommendations were used in the WUG's plan, for the population growth rate to be lower 2030-2040 and then higher 2040-2050 then lower steady 2050 through 2080. DWU used a variable growth pattern (n=3). For the purposes of Regional Water Planning, TWDB recommends revising the population projections to apply a linear growth rate, starting and ending with the Region's revised 2030 and 2080 populations. Growth rate aligns with historical growth for the WUG. Dallas	8/28/2023													
C	DALLAS	36	Dallas	1,283,058	1,342,775	1,374,226	1,393,866	1,420,134	1,449,059	202	4.96	5.59	5.59	5.59	5.59	5.59	283,188	295,421	302,340	306,661	312,440	318,804	1,254,601	1,291,602	1,354,048	1,406,263	1,462,078	1,511,677	202	4.96	5.59	5.59	5.59	5.59	5.59	276,907	284,162	297,901	309,388	321,668	332,580	Ongoing DWU LRWSP	1,254,601	1,302,256	1,351,721	1,403,065	1,456,359	1,511,677	202	4.96	5.59	5.59	5.59	5.59	5.59	276,907	286,506	297,389	308,685	320,410	332,580	Y	Revised	Historical growth for the WUG. Dallas	8/28/2023													
C	DENTON	36	Dallas	35,326	44,347	53,845	63,500	74,134	85,843	202	4.96	5.59	5.59	5.59	5.59	5.59	7,797	9,757	11,846	13,970	16,310	18,886	34,543	41,657	53,054	64,065	76,324	89,553	202	4.96	5.59	5.59	5.59	5.59	5.59	7,624	9,385	11,672	14,095	16,792	19,372	Ongoing DWU LRWSP	34,543	42,657	53,054	64,065	76,324	89,553	202	4.96	5.59	5.59	5.59	5.59	5.59	7,624	9,385	11,672	14,095	16,792	19,372	Y	Revised	Region C provided a comprehensive plan developed in 2020. DWU did not align with the comprehensive plan through 2040. Therefore, it is recommended to revise the WUG's projection to align with the Region's revised 2030 and 2080 populations. Growth rate aligns with historical growth and future growth with the WUG and growth per the DWU memo.	8/28/2023													
C	DENTON	40	Denton	183,086	227,946	275,173	323,187	379,613	460,476	162	4.57	5.06	5.06	5.06	5.06	5.06	32,286	40,072	48,374	56,815	66,734	80,950	227,455	275,540	341,191	405,432	485,078	562,953	162	4.57	5.06	5.06	5.06	5.06	5.06	40,110	48,439	59,980	71,273	85,274	98,965	Survey Revision Request; Ongoing Study	179,044	229,192	283,800	337,235	403,484	468,260	162	4.57	5.06	5.06	5.06	5.06	5.06	31,573	40,291	49,891	59,284	70,931	82,318	Y	Revised	Region C's recommendations were used in the WUG's plan, for the population growth rate to be lower 2020-2040 and then higher 2040-2050 then lower steady 2050 through 2080. DWU used a variable growth pattern (n=3). For the purposes of Regional Water Planning, TWDB recommends revising the population projections to align with the Region's revised 2030 and 2080 populations. Growth rate aligns with historical growth and future growth with the WUG and growth per the DWU memo.	8/29/2023													
C	HENDERSON	200	Alders	12,739	13,109																																																																									

			Projected Population by WUS																																			Projected Population by WUS																																					
			2030													2050													2070													2030													2050																				
			Urban						Rural							Urban						Rural							Urban						Rural							Urban						Rural																											
C	GRAYSON	101	Mustang SUD	4,340	5,027	5,602	6,159	6,766	7,427	135	3,41	3,72	3,72	3,72	640	739	824	906	995	1,092	2,344	3,424	4,396	5,368	6,088	6,808	135	3,41	3,72	3,72	3,72	346	504	646	789	895	1,001	Ongoing UTRWD Study	2,344	3,424	4,396	5,368	6,088	6,808	135	3,41	3,72	3,72	3,72	346	504	646	789	895	1,001	Y	Recommended	recommend based on historical growth in the WUG. Region required a higher 2030 population than was supported by historical growth in WUS. The requested lower growth than the draft projections throughout planning horizon. Region redistributed population within the WUG.	8/31/2023																
C	TARRANT	107	North Richland Hills	77,480	77,480	77,480	77,480	77,480	77,480	160	4,74	5,29	5,29	5,29	5,29	13,475	13,427	13,427	13,427	13,427	80,119	85,636	87,051	88,170	89,800	89,800	160	4,74	5,29	5,29	5,29	5,29	13,934	14,840	15,086	15,280	15,562	15,562	Fort Worth Impact Fee	80,119	85,636	87,051	88,170	89,800	89,800	160	4,74	5,29	5,29	5,29	5,29	13,934	14,841	15,086	15,280	15,562	15,562	Y	Recommended	Region's projected population as of 2010 is higher than the WUS projection. The WUS projection does not align with historical growth in the WUG.	8/28/2023														
C	DALLAS	121	Rockett SUD	753	791	812	823	836	851	103	4,31	4,80	4,80	4,80	4,80	83	87	89	91	92	94	755	836	912	938	466	976	106	4,31	4,80	4,80	4,80	4,80	86	95	104	107	53	111	revision request.	755	836	912	938	966	976	106	4,31	4,80	4,80	4,80	4,80	86	95	103	106	110	111	Y	Revised	Survey Revision Request; Comprehensive Plan; GPCD revision updated based on WUG survey	9/6/2023													
C	ELLIS	121	Rockett SUD	37,508	42,508	47,936	56,312	68,000	80,836	103	4,31	4,80	4,80	4,80	4,80	4,146	4,676	5,273	6,194	7,480	8,892	37,615	44,938	53,859	64,221	78,415	92,757	106	4,31	4,80	4,80	4,80	4,80	4,298	5,110	6,124	7,302	8,916	10,547	revision request.	37,615	44,938	53,859	62,009	74,775	85,142	106	4,31	4,80	4,80	4,80	4,80	4,285	5,094	6,105	7,029	8,476	9,652	Y	Revised	Survey Revision Request; Comprehensive Plan; GPCD revision updated based on WUG survey	9/6/2023													
C	ROCKWALL	122	Rockwall	53,377	63,929	76,604	89,790	104,338	120,377	168	4,46	5,04	5,04	5,04	5,04	9,778	11,670	13,983	16,390	19,046	21,973	55,075	67,561	89,991	120,077	124,696	124,696	168	4,46	5,04	5,04	5,04	5,04	10,089	12,332	16,427	21,919	22,762	22,762	Plan	55,075	67,561	89,991	120,077	124,696	124,696	168	4,46	5,04	5,04	5,04	5,04	10,089	12,332	16,427	21,919	22,762	22,762	Y	Recommended	Recommended due to 2030 revised population projections supported by historical growth in WUS. Supporting documentation does not clearly explain the projection methodology, especially in the long-term. Therefore, it is recommended to revise the Ellis County-split projected growth rate after 2050 to decline at a rate commensurate with other WUGs in the county. Additionally, there appears to be a typo in the Dallas County table, which is recommended to be revised from 466 to 966. GPCD recommended based on WUG-specific request and aligns with historical water use estimates.	9/1/2023													
C	DALLAS	130	Seagoville	20,875	22,892	23,964	24,593	25,285	26,047	99	4,19	4,78	4,78	4,78	4,78	2,217	2,416	2,529	2,596	2,669	2,749	20,875	22,892	23,964	24,593	25,285	26,047	99	4,19	4,78	4,78	4,78	4,78	2,217	2,416	2,529	2,596	2,669	2,749	No revision requested	20,875	22,892	23,964	24,593	25,285	26,047	99	4,19	4,78	4,78	4,78	4,78	2,217	2,416	2,529	2,596	2,669	2,749	2,749	Y	Recommended	Recommended due to growth within the county and historical growth within the WUG.	9/15/2023												
C	KAUFMAN	139	Terrell	18,329	20,344	22,881	25,638	28,724	32,152	153	4,78	5,34	5,34	5,34	5,34	3,043	3,365	3,785	4,241	4,751	5,318	24,866	28,404	34,827	40,479	47,940	53,769	153	4,78	5,34	5,34	5,34	5,34	4,128	4,698	5,760	6,695	7,929	8,893	Plan	24,866	28,404	34,827	40,479	47,940	53,769	153	4,78	5,34	5,34	5,34	5,34	4,128	4,698	5,760	6,695	7,929	8,893	Y	Recommended	Region's request is based on 2020 water use estimates.	9/6/2023													
C	PARKER	153	Walnut Creek SUD	16,489	19,834	23,613	27,588	31,964	36,782	68	4,30	4,73	4,73	4,73	4,73	1,177	1,406	1,673	1,955	2,265	2,607	20,927	22,831	31,740	47,518	66,114	84,631	142	4,30	4,73	4,73	4,73	4,73	3,228	3,511	4,880	7,306	10,166	13,013	Historical GPCD	20,927	22,831	31,740	47,518	66,114	84,631	142	4,30	4,73	4,73	4,73	4,73	3,228	3,511	4,880	7,306	10,166	13,013	Y	Recommended	Region's request is based on 2020 water use. Region's request is based on the WUG.	8/29/2023													
C	WISE	153	Walnut Creek SUD	2,980	3,311	3,609	3,837	4,009	4,355	68	4,30	4,73	4,73	4,73	4,73	213	235	256	272	290	309	3,707	3,965	5,477	8,249	11,657	14,935	142	4,30	4,73	4,73	4,73	4,73	4,72	5,160	6,842	8,654	10,663	12,873	15,107	20,677	No revision requested	3,707	3,965	5,477	8,249	11,657	14,935	142	4,30	4,73	4,73	4,73	4,73	4,72	5,160	6,842	8,654	10,663	12,873	15,107	20,677	Y	Recommended	UTGCD study, which is based on page 2-5 that Parkers has historically been agricultural but is starting to become more urbanized due to growth in the metroplex. The UTGCD study reviewed the 2020 population for the WUG and applied a mid-to-high growth scenario from 2050-2070 with lower growth occurring in 2030. GPCD recommended based on 2020 water use.	8/29/2023									
C	ELIUS	154	Waughache	48,394	59,800	72,197	84,724	98,504	113,667	164	4,35	4,82	4,82	4,82	4,82	8,654	10,663	12,873	15,107	17,564	20,267	48,394	59,800	72,197	84,724	98,504	113,667	164	4,35	4,82	4,82	4,82	4,82	8,654	10,663	12,873	15,107	17,564	20,267	GPCD revision matches Region's request.	48,394	59,800	72,197	84,724	98,504	113,667	164	4,35	4,82	4,82	4,82	4,82	8,654	10,663	12,873	15,107	17,564	20,267	Y	Recommended	Region's request is based on 2020 water use. Region's request is based on the UTGCD study, which states on page 2-5 that Parker County has historically been agricultural but is starting to become more urbanized due to growth in the metroplex. The UTGCD study reviewed the 2020 population for the WUG and applied a mid-to-high growth scenario from 2050-2070 with lower growth occurring in 2030. GPCD recommended based on 2020 water use.	9/15/2023													
C	PARKER	155	Weatherford	45,410	54,197	64,123	74,543	86,01																																																																			

C WISE		271 Bolivar WSC	952	1,047	1,133	1,199	1,272	1,351	81	4.98	5.50	5.50	5.50	5.50	5.50	81	89	96	101	108	114	952	1,047	1,133	1,199	1,272	1,351	127	4.98	5.50	5.50	5.50	5.50	130	142	154	163	173	184		Y	Recommended	Region revised baseline GPCD to use 2020 water use	9/8/2023																			
C FANNIN	272 Bonham		11,132	11,547	11,815	11,949	12,098	12,263	144	4.79	5.31	5.31	5.31	5.31	5.31	1,736	1,794	1,835	1,856	1,879	1,905	12,465	15,204	21,585	28,467	37,686	45,834	144	4.79	5.31	5.31	5.31	5.31	1,944	2,362	3,353	4,422	5,855	7,120	Plan	NTMWD Long Range Water Supply	12,465	15,204	21,585	28,467	37,686	45,834	144	4.79	5.31	5.31	5.31	5.31	1,944	2,362	3,353	4,422	5,855	7,120	Y	Recommended	Region revised based on historical growth in connections and future growth due to outward expansion of DFW metroplex and construction of two new lakes in the area noted in the Region's revision request memo (Section 1.2.c).	9/1/2023
C WISE	276 Boyd		1,477	1,641	1,788	1,901	2,026	2,162	150	4.74	5.20	5.20	5.20	5.20	5.20	240	266	290	308	329	351	1,477	1,879	2,574	3,202	3,800	4,200	150	4.74	5.20	5.20	5.20	5.20	240	305	418	519	616	681	UTGCD Regional Water Supply Planning Study	1,477	1,879	2,574	3,202	3,800	4,200	150	4.74	5.20	5.20	5.20	5.20	240	305	417	519	616	681	Y	Recommended	Region C study, which states on page 2-12 that Wise County has historically been agricultural but is starting to become more urbanized due to growth in the metroplex. This region's projections for the WUG are recommended as the region aligned the baseline 2020 population with the selected 2020 population and projected the population using a low-to-mid growth rate scenario.	8/29/2023	
C NAVARRO	279 Brandon Irene WSC		76	90	100	111	122	135	119	5.19	5.82	5.82	5.82	5.82	5.82	10	11	13	14	15	17	76	90	100	111	122	135	249	5.19	5.82	5.82	5.82	5.82	21	25	27	30	33	37	GPCD revision - revised by another	76	90	100	111	122	135	249	5.19	5.82	5.82	5.82	5.82	21	25	27	30	33	37	Y	Recommended	Region C study, which states on page 2-12 that Wise County has historically been agricultural but is starting to become more urbanized due to growth in the metroplex. This region's projections for the WUG are recommended as the region aligned the baseline 2020 population with the selected 2020 population and projected the population using a low-to-mid growth rate scenario.	9/8/2023	
C WISE	291 Bridgeport		5,814	5,958	6,093	6,165	6,246	6,337	156	4.66	5.22	5.22	5.22	5.22	5.22	986	1,006	1,029	1,041	1,055	1,070	5,814	5,958	6,093	6,165	6,246	6,337	156	4.66	5.22	5.22	5.22	5.22	986	1,006	1,029	1,041	1,055	1,070	No revision requested	5,814	5,958	6,093	6,165	6,246	6,337	156	4.66	5.22	5.22	5.22	5.22	986	1,006	1,029	1,041	1,055	1,070	Y	Recommended	Revisions to County-Other WUG population projections are recommended as the revisions are comparable to draft projections.	9/15/2023	
C HENDERSON	518 County-Other, Henderson		14,502	15,266	15,390	15,722	16,193	16,662	83	4.98	5.44	5.44	5.44	5.44	5.44	1,267	1,306	1,337	1,370	1,407	1,448	5,000	6,000	7,000	8,000	9,000	10,000	83	4.98	5.44	5.44	5.44	5.44	1,437	521	608	695	782	869	County-Other	5,000	6,000	7,000	8,000	9,000	10,000	83	4.98	5.44	5.44	5.44	5.44	1,437	521	608	695	782	869	Y	Recommended	Revisions to County-Other WUG population projections are recommended as the revisions are comparable to draft projections.	9/26/2023	
C ELLIS	308 Buena Vista-Bethel SUD		7,152	8,701	10,384	12,081	13,948	16,094	249	4.16	4,63	4,63	4,63	4,63	4,63	1,961	2,382	2,842	3,307	3,818	4,381	7,152	8,701	10,384	12,081	13,948	16,094	249	4.16	4,63	4,63	4,63	4,63	1,961	2,382	2,842	3,307	3,818	4,381	No revision requested	7,152	8,701	10,384	12,081	13,948	16,094	249	4.16	4,63	4,63	4,63	4,63	1,961	2,382	2,842	3,307	3,818	4,381	Y	Recommended	Revisions to County-Other WUG population projections are recommended as the revisions are comparable to draft projections.	9/15/2023	
C TARRANT	314 Burleson		9,765	10,956	11,941	12,718	13,573	14,513	136	4.38	4,91	4,91	4,91	4,91	4,91	1,440	1,609	1,753	1,868	1,993	2,131	9,765	10,956	11,941	12,718	13,573	14,513	143	4.38	4,91	4,91	4,91	4,91	1,516	1,695	1,847	1,967	2,099	2,245	NTMWD Long Range Water Supply Plan/Region D Request	9,765	10,956	11,941	12,718	13,573	14,513	143	4.38	4,91	4,91	4,91	4,91	1,516	1,695	1,847	1,967	2,099	2,245	Y	Recommended	Revisions to County-Other WUG population projections are recommended as the revisions are comparable to draft projections.	9/8/2023	
C COLLIN	317 Caddo Basin SUD		5,847	7,578	9,548	11,524	13,701	16,099	101	4.22	4,71	4,71	4,71	4,71	4,71	634	817	1,030	1,243	1,478	1,736	2,289	11,747	18,804	21,710	24,225	25,047	116	4.22	4,71	4,71	4,71	4,71	287	1,464	2,344	2,706	3,020	3,122	NTMWD Long Range Water Supply Plan/Region D Request	2,289	11,747	18,804	21,710	24,225	25,047	116	4.22	4,71	4,71	4,71	4,71	287	1,464	2,344	2,706	3,020	3,122	Y	Recommended	Revisions to County-Other WUG population projections are recommended as the revisions are comparable to draft projections.	9/24/2023	
C DALLAS	332 Carrollton		51,488	51,488	51,488	51,488	51,488	167	4.78	5,48	5,48	5,48	5,48	5,48	5,48	9,356	9,315	9,315	9,315	9,315	9,315	56,442	60,183	67,054	74,897	85,410	92,313	167	4.78	5,48	5,48	5,48	5,48	10,256	10,889	12,132	13,551	15,453	16,702	DUW Survey Revision Request	55,007	58,186	61,664	65,328	69,216	69,480	167	4.78	5,48	5,48	5,48	5,48	9,995	10,527	11,157	11,820	12,523	12,571	Y	Revised	Revisions to County-Other WUG population projections are recommended as the revisions are comparable to draft projections.	8/28/2023	
C DENTON	332 Carrollton		81,650	81,650	81,650	81,650	81,650	167	4.78	5,48	5,48	5,48	5,48	5,48	5,48	14,837	14,773	14,773	14,773	14,773	14,773	88,511	94,510	105,129	117,295	133,590	144,387	167	4.78	5,48	5,48	5,48	5,48	16,083	17,099	19,021	21,222	24,170	26,123	DUW Survey Revision Request	86,261	91,375	96,677	102,308	108,673	167	4.78	5,48	5,48	5,48	5,48	15,674	16,532	17,491	18,510	19,587	19,662	Y	Revised	Revisions to County-Other WUG population projections are recommended as the revisions are comparable to draft projections.	8/28/2023		
C COLLIN	338 Celina		34,004	50,342	68,573	87,599	108,1																																																								

C	FREESTONE	3123 Butler WSC	838	830	818	794	767	737	138	4,61	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	123	122	119	114	110	838	830	818	794	767	737	196	4,61	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	180	177	175	170	164	158	158	158	158	158	Y	Recommended	Region revised baseline GPCD to use 2017 water use minus estimated plumbing code savings.																				
C	TARRANT	3142 Westlake	3,052	4,001	4,791	5,441	6,152	6,933	1033	3,58	5.14	4,14	4,14	4,14	4,14	3519	4011	5521	6,271	7090	3,052	4,001	4,791	5,441	6,152	6,933	1033	3,58	4,14	4,14	4,14	4,14	4,14	5,521	6,271	7,090	7,990	7,990	Y	Recommended	Region revised baseline GPCD to use 2019 water use minus estimated plumbing code savings.																									
C	FANNIN	6201 Arledge Ridge WSC	1,264	1,474	1,531	1,578	1,629	1,684	105	4.4	4.01	4.01	4.01	4.01	4.01	154	165	172	177	183	1,264	1,474	1,531	1,578	1,629	1,684	155	4,40	4,01	4,01	4,01	4,01	4,01	230	248	257	265	274	233	233	233	233	233	Y	Recommended	Region revised baseline GPCD to use 2019 water use minus estimated plumbing code savings.																				
C	ELLIS	6203 Avondale Water-Supply & Sewer Service	992	1,109	1,236	1,360	1,498	1,650	114	4.3	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	222	136	151	166	183	992	1,109	1,236	1,360	1,498	1,650	114	4,30	4,75	4,75	4,75	4,75	4,75	131	166	183	151	166	183	200	200	200	200	200	Y	Recommended	Region revised baseline GPCD to use 2019 water use minus estimated plumbing code savings.																			
C	NAVARRO	6205 B and B WSC	1,871	2,060	2,217	2,364	2,525	2,701	124	4.42	4.96	4.96	4.96	4.96	4.96	251	275	296	315	337	1,871	2,060	2,217	2,364	2,525	2,701	151	4,42	4.96	4.96	4.96	4.96	4.96	307	337	363	387	413	442	442	442	442	442	Y	Recommended	Region revised baseline GPCD to use 2019 water use minus estimated plumbing code savings.																				
C	HENDERSON	1619 Malakoff	1,782	1,775	1,863	1,889	1,916	1,946	102	5.16	5.73	5.73	5.73	5.73	5.73	193	191	201	204	207	2,750	2,917	3,368	3,721	4,200	4,400	105	5.16	5.73	5.73	5.73	5.73	5.73	308	325	375	414	468	490	490	490	490	490	Survey Revision Request; GPCD revision - Updated based on WUG survey revision request.	2,416	2,562	2,689	2,727	2,766	2,809	105	5.16	5.73	5.73	5.73	5.73	5.73	270	285	299	303	308	312	Y	Revised	Survey Revision Request; GPCD revision - Updated based on WUG survey revision request.
C	KAUFMAN	6218 Becker Jiba WSC	3,608	4,259	5,085	6,007	7,030	8,160	83	4,39	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	318	373	445	526	615	714	4,425	6,596	9,459	10,420	14,800	17,113	83	4,39	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	390	611	828	912	1,295	1,498	Analysis	4,425	6,986	9,459	11,174	13,077	15,179	83	4,39	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	390	611	828	912	1,295	1,498	Y	Revised	Survey Revision Request; GPCD revision - Updated based on WUG survey revision request.			
C	DENTON	6222 Black Rock WSC	1,550	1,959	2,377	2,804	3,374	3,791	169	5.07	5.46	5.46	5.46	5.46	5.46	286	359	435	514	600	694	1,560	2,059	2,377	2,804	3,374	3,791	210	5.07	5.46	5.46	5.46	5.46	5.46	569	671	783	807	807	Y	Recommended	Region revised baseline GPCD to use 2015 water use minus estimated plumbing code savings.																								
C	FANNIN	6227 Bois d Arc MUD	3,031	3,180	3,269	3,325	3,386	3,453	105	4.53	5.05	5.05	5.05	5.05	5.05	341	366	372	373	379	3,031	3,180	3,269	3,325	3,386	3,453	105	5.05	5.05	5.05	5.05	5.05	5.05	341	356	366	372	379	387	387	387	387	387	Y	Recommended	Region revised baseline GPCD to use 2019 water use minus estimated plumbing code savings.																				
C	COOKE	6236 Callison WSC	1,614	1,686	1,717	1,728	1,740	1,752	82	4,12	4,72	4,72	4,72	4,72	4,72	141	146	149	150	151	1,614	1,686	1,717	1,728	1,740	1,752	82	4,12	4,72	4,72	4,72	4,72	4,72	141	146	149	150	151	152	152	152	152	152	Y	Recommended	Region revised baseline GPCD to use 2016 water use minus estimated plumbing code savings.																				
C	HENDERSON	2542 Trinidad	1,134	1,152	1,191	1,213	1,236	1,261	92	4,49	5	5	5	5	5	111	112	116	118	120	1,134	1,152	1,191	1,213	1,236	1,261	130	4,49	5	5	5	5	5	159	161	167	170	173	177	177	177	177	177	Y	Recommended	Region revised baseline GPCD to use 2016 water use minus estimated plumbing code savings.																				
C	FANNIN	6267 Delta County MUD	72	84	90	96	102	109	64	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	6	6	6	7	72	84	90	96	102	109	93	4,00	4,00	4,00	4,00	4,00	4,00	7	8	9	10	10	11	Y	Recommended	Region revised baseline GPCD to use 2019 water use minus estimated plumbing code savings.																								
C	COLLIN	6268 Desert WSC	365	401	440	480	524	572	113	4,71	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	44	48	53	58	63	69	365	401	440	480	524	572	148	4,71	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	59	64	70	77	84	91	91	91	91	91	91	Y	Recommended	Region revised baseline GPCD to use 2015 water use minus estimated plumbing code savings.																		
C	FANNIN	6268 Desert WSC	798	905	957	1,006	1,059	1,119	113	4,71	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	97	109	116	121	128	135	798	905	957	1,006	1,059	1,119	148	4,71	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	128	145	153	161	169	179	179	179	179	179	Y	Recommended	Region revised baseline GPCD to use 2019 water use minus estimated plumbing code savings.																			
C	GRAYSON	6268 Desert WSC	701	765	818	864	915	972	113	4,71	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	85	92	99	104	110	117	701	765	818	864	915	972	148	4,71	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	113	122	131	138	146	155	155	155	155	155	Y	Recommended	Region revised baseline GPCD to use 2015 water use minus estimated plumbing code savings.																			
C	HENDERSON	2571 Virginia Hill WSC	1,547	1,594	1,633	1,667	1,704	1,744	87	4,53	5.07	5.07	5.07	5.07	5.07	143	146	150	153	156	1,547	1,594	1,633	1,667	1,704	1,744	111	4,53	5.07	5.07	5.07	5.07	5.07	184	189	194	198	202	207	207	207	207	207	Y	Recommended	Region revised baseline GPCD to use 2018 water use minus estimated plumbing code savings.																				
C	KAUFMAN	6273 Doster WSC	1,287	1,322	1,350	1,361	1,376	1,384	68	4,75	5	5.26	5.26	5.26	5.26	5.26	197	201	205	209	213</																																													

WUG Info				TWDB Draft Projections - 1.0 migration scenario																												Revisions by RWPG																		EA Recommended Projections																		TWDB Review						
Region	County	EntityId	EntityName	P2030	P2040	P2050	P2060	P2070	P2080	GPCD	WE2030	WE2040	WE2050	WE2060	WE2070	WE2080	D2030	D2040	D2050	D2060	D2070	D2080	P2030	P2040	P2050	P2060	P2070	P2080	GPCD	WE2030	WE2040	WE2050	WE2060	WE2070	WE2080	D2030	D2040	D2050	D2060	D2070	D2080	RWPG Comment	Population 2030	Population 2040	Population 2050	Population 2060	Population 2070	Population 2080	Base GPCD	Water Efficiency Savings 2030	Water Efficiency Savings 2050	Water Efficiency Savings 2070	Water Efficiency Savings 2090	Municipal Demands 2030	Municipal Demands 2040	Municipal Demands 2050	Municipal Demands 2060	Municipal Demands 2070	Municipal Demands 2080	Pop Revision?	TWDB Action Population	GPCD Revision?	TWDB Action GPCD	We Revision?	TWDB Action WE	TWDB Review Recommendation to Board Consideration	Review Date							
D	BOWIE	6234	Burns Redbank WSC	2,314	2,458	2,611	2,774	2,947	3,131	115	3.95	4.64	4.64	4.64	4.64	4.64	288	304	323	343	364	387	2,344	2,490	2,644	2,810	2,985	3,171	103	3.95	4.64	4.64	4.64	4.64	260	274	291	310	329	349	Requested revision based on population adjustment for demographic undercount and revised 10-year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	2,344	2,490	2,644	2,810	2,985	3,171	103	3.95	4.64	4.64	4.64	4.64	260	274	291	310	329	349	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended			Population - Region requested to revise the 1.0 migration scenario draft projections for Burns Redbank WSC with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/22/2023							
D	BOWIE	3151	Central Bowie County WSC	9,785	9,870	9,956	10,043	10,131	10,219	74	4.76	5.29	5.29	5.29	5.29	5.29	759	760	766	773	780	787	9,911	9,996	10,084	10,172	10,262	10,350	74	4.76	5.29	5.29	5.29	5.29	769	769	776	783	790	797	Requested revision based on population adjustment for demographic undercount.	9,911	9,996	10,084	10,172	10,262	10,350	74	4.76	5.29	5.29	5.29	5.29	769	769	776	783	790	797	Y	Recommended						Undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations.	8/22/2023						
D	BOWIE	430	County-Other, Bowie	13,653	13,350	13,020	12,483	11,932	11,367	108	4.90	5.48	5.48	5.48	5.48	5.48	1,577	1,533	1,495	1,434	1,370	1,305	11,252	7,227	7,227	7,227	7,227	7,227	108	4.90	5.48	5.48	5.48	5.48	1,299	830	830	830	830	830	Requested revision based on RWPG-specific adjustment to population projections submitted by the RWPG.	13,829	13,521	13,187	12,644	12,085	11,513	108	4.90	5.48	5.48	5.48	5.48	1,597	1,553	1,514	1,452	1,388	1,322	Y	Revised						The EA does not recommend this revision request since none of the other WUGs within the region request to increase the population projections with undercount adjustments in the EA. However, the EA recommends using the 1.0 migration scenario draft projections with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations for County-Other, Bowie.	9/15/2023						
D	BOWIE	699	De Kalb	1,380	1,371	1,360	1,341	1,321	1,302	155	5.16	5.77	5.77	5.77	5.77	5.77	232	229	227	224	221	218	1,398	1,389	1,378	1,358	1,338	1,315	175	5.16	5.77	5.77	5.77	5.77	266	263	261	257	254	250	Requested revision based on RWPG-specific adjustment to population projections submitted by the RWPG, and revised 10-year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	1,398	1,389	1,378	1,358	1,338	1,315	175	5.16	5.77	5.77	5.77	5.77	266	263	261	257	254	250	Y	Recommended						Undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations.	8/22/2023						
D	BOWIE	958	Hooks	2,604	2,587	2,562	2,523	2,483	2,443	83	4.78	5.33	5.33	5.33	5.33	5.33	228	225	223	220	216	213	3,173	3,303	3,303	3,303	3,303	3,303	112	4.78	5.33	5.33	5.33	5.33	381	395	395	395	395	395	Requested revision based on RWPG-specific adjustment to population projections submitted by the RWPG, and revised 10-year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	2,637	2,620	2,595	2,556	2,515	2,475	112	4.78	5.33	5.33	5.33	5.33	381	395	395	395	395	395	317	313	310	305	301	296	Y	Revised	Y	Recommended			Undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations.	9/15/2023	
D	BOWIE	1615	Macedonia Elyau MUD 1	8,340	8,285	8,205	8,080	7,953	7,824	60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	561	557	551	543	535	526	8,447	8,392	8,310	8,184	8,055	7,925	75	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	710	705	698	688	677	664	Requested revision based on population adjustment for demographic undercount and revised 10-year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	8,447	8,392	8,310	8,184	8,055	7,925	75	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	710	705	698	688	677	664	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended			Population - Region requested to revise the 1.0 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/22/2023							
D	BOWIE	1810	Maud	777	772	764	752	741	729	139	5.07	5.62	5.62	5.62	5.62	5.62	117	115	114	112	111	109	1,500	1,642	1,642	1,642	1,642	1,642	191	5.07	5.62	5.62	5.62	5.62	312	341	341	341	341	341	Requested revision based on RWPG-specific adjustment to population projections submitted by the RWPG, and revised 10-year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	787	782	774	761	750	738	191	5.07	5.62	5.62	5.62	5.62	312	341	341	341	341	341	164	162	161	158	156	153	Y	Revised	Y	Recommended			Supporting documentation is now 10 years old and the region/WUG has not provided updated documentation to support the revision request. The TWDB does not recommend the revision request. The TWDB recommends using the 1.0 migration scenario draft projections with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations.	9/15/2023	
D	BOWIE	2100	Nash	4,107	4,080	4,041	3,980	3,918	3,855	86	4.51	5.15	5.15	5.15	5.15	5.15	375	369	366	360	355	349	4,751	5,431	6,111	6,111	6,111	6,111	72	4.51	5.15	5.15	5.15	5.15	359	407	458	458	458	458	Requested revision based on RWPG-specific adjustment to population projections submitted by the RWPG, and revised 10-year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	4,160	4,133	4,093	4,031	3,968	3,905	72	4.51	5.15	5.15	5.15	5.15	359	407	458	458	458	458	314	309	306	302	297	292	Y	Revised	Y	Recommended			As explained on pages 10-11 of this revision request memo, the supporting documentation for Nash is the same that was submitted for the 2021 RWPG. The Census counts indicate the population of Nash has increased since the 2010 Census, which could be explained by annexation and development mentioned in an addendum dated Oct 12, 2017 (RWRD2 pdf page 264). However, the region/WUG did not provide new documentation to support the growth proposed in the revision request. The population of Bowie County increased slightly over time and the region's estimates indicate the population is decreasing, but the estimates since 2010 indicate the current population is decreasing. The TWDB does not recommend the revision request. The TWDB recommends using the 1.0 migration scenario draft projections with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations.	9/15/2023	
D	BOWIE	2108	New Boston	5,315	5,279	5,229	5,149	5,088	4,986	209	4.85	5.45	5.45	5.45	5.45	5.45	1,215	1,204	1,192	1,174	1,156	1,137	5,383	5,347	5,297	5,215	5,133	5,050	222	4.85	5.45	5.45	5.45	5.45	1,309	1,297	1,285	1,265	1,245	1,225	Requested revision based on RWPG-specific adjustment to population projections submitted by the RWPG, and revised 10-year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	5,383	5,347	5,297	5,215	5,133	5,050	222	4.85	5.45	5.45	5.45	5.45	1,309	1,297	1,285	1,265	1,245	1,225	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended			Population - Region requested to revise the 1.0 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/22/2023							
D	BOWIE	2257	Redwater	2,926	2,906	2,879	2,834	2,790	2,745	121	4.53	5.05	5.05	5.05	5.05	5.05	382	377	374	368	362	357	4,229	4,709	5,189	5,429	5,429	5,429	126	4.53	5.05	5.05	5.05	5.05	575	638	703	736	736																																			

D	DELTA	402 Cooper	2,051 2,042 2,030 2,004 1,978 1,952 197 4.66 5.16 5.16 5.16 5.16 442 439 436 431 425 419 2,067 2,058 2,045 2,039 1,993 1,967 205 4.66 5.16 5.16 464 461 458 452 446 446	446 Requested revision based on population adjustment for demographic undercount, and revised 10-year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	2,067 2,058 2,045 2,039 1,993 1,967 205 4.66 5.16 5.16 5.16 464 461 458 452 446 446	Y	Recommended		Population - Region used 1.0 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/18/2023
D	DELTA	471 County-Other, Delta	1,089 1,047 999 932 866 798 60 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 73 70 67 63 58 54 1,098 1,054 1,006 939 872 804 60 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 74 71 68 63 59 59	59 Requested revision based on population adjustment for demographic undercount.	1,098 1,054 1,006 939 872 804 60 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 74 71 68 63 59 59	Y	Recommended	Population - Region used 1.0 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations.	8/18/2023	
D	DELTA	6267 Delta County MUD	1,901 1,917 1,953 1,979 2,006 2,033 64 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 128 130 131 133 135 137 1,915 1,941 1,968 1,994 2,021 2,048 93 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 191 194 196 199 201 201	201 Requested revision based on population adjustment for demographic undercount, and revised 10-year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	1,915 1,941 1,968 1,994 2,021 2,048 93 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 191 194 196 199 201 201	Y	Recommended	Population - Region used 1.0 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/18/2023	
D	DELTA	2980 North Hunt SUD	203 202 200 199 195 192 60 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 14 14 13 13 13 13 204 203 201 200 196 193 130 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 30 30 29 29 29 29	29 Requested revision based on use of 0.5-migration scenario for the county, population adjustment for demographic undercount, and revised 10-year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	204 203 201 200 196 193 130 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 30 30 29 29 29 29	Y	Recommended	Population - Region used 0.5 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/18/2023	
D	FRANKLIN	6255 Cornersville WSC	33 35 38 41 44 47 118 4.51 5.11 5.11 5.11 5.11 4 4 5 5 6 6 33 35 39 43 47 52 98 4.51 5.11 5.11 5.11 3 4 4 4 4 4 5	5 Requested revision based on use of 0.5-migration scenario for the county, population adjustment for demographic undercount, and revised 10-year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	33 35 39 43 47 52 98 4.51 5.11 5.11 5.11 3 4 4 4 4 4 5	Y	Recommended	Population - Region used 0.5 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/21/2023	
D	FRANKLIN	491 County-Other, Franklin	336 327 317 308 300 291 167 5.34 6.12 6.12 6.12 6.12 61 59 57 56 54 52 342 335 328 326 325 323 167 5.34 6.12 6.12 6.12 62 60 59 59 59 59	59 Requested revision based on use of 0.5-migration scenario for the county, and population adjustment for demographic undercount.	342 335 328 326 325 323 167 5.34 6.12 6.12 6.12 62 60 59 59 59 59	Y	Recommended	Population - Region used 0.5 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations.	8/21/2023	
D	FRANKLIN	3157 Cypress Springs SUD	6,796 6,704 6,543 6,443 6,342 6,239 81 4.84 5.49 5.49 5.49 5.49 580 567 553 545 536 528 6,889 6,845 6,750 6,802 6,855 6,906 148 4.84 5.49 5.49 5.49 5.49 1,105 1,093 1,078 1,086 1,094 1,094	1,094 Requested revision based on population adjustment for demographic undercount, and revised 10-year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	6,889 6,845 6,750 6,802 6,855 6,906 148 4.84 5.49 5.49 5.49 5.49 1,105 1,093 1,078 1,086 1,094 1,094	Y	Recommended	Population - Region used 0.5 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/21/2023	
D	FRANKLIN	2088 Mount Vernon	2,411 2,379 2,323 2,287 2,250 2,213 176 5.48 6.35 6.35 6.35 6.35 461 452 441 435 428 421 2,444 2,429 2,397 2,415 2,432 2,449 181 5.48 6.35 6.35 6.35 481 475 469 472 476 476	476 Requested revision based on use of 0.5-migration scenario for the county, population adjustment for demographic undercount, and revised 10-year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	2,444 2,429 2,397 2,415 2,432 2,449 181 5.48 6.35 6.35 6.35 481 475 469 472 476 476	Y	Recommended	Population - Region used 0.5 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/21/2023	
D	FRANKLIN	2635 Winniboro	748 739 721 710 698 687 167 5.14 5.72 5.72 5.72 5.72 136 134 130 128 126 124 758 754 744 744 749 754 760 182 5.14 5.72 5.72 5.72 150 149 147 148 149 149	149 Requested revision based on use of 0.5-migration scenario for the county, population adjustment for demographic undercount, and revised 10-year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	758 754 744 744 749 754 760 182 5.14 5.72 5.72 5.72 150 149 147 148 149 149	Y	Recommended	Population - Region used 0.5 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/21/2023	
D	GREGG	3000 Chalk Hill SUD	20 20 20 19 19 18 79 4.43 5.06 5.06 5.06 5.06 2 2 2 2 2 1 20 20 21 20 20 19 79 4.43 5.06 5.06 5.06 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 Requested revision based on use of 0.5-migration scenario for the county, and population adjustment for demographic undercount.	20 20 21 20 20 19 79 4.43 5.06 5.06 5.06 2 2 2 2 2 2	Y	Recommended	Population - Region used 0.5 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations.	8/23/2023	
D	GREGG	365 Clarksville City	816 817 802 777 753 727 95 4.92 5.64 5.64 5.64 5.64 82 82 80 78 75 73 838 846 842 828 815 800 139 4.92 5.64 5.64 5.64 126 126 126 124 122 122	122 Requested revision based on use of 0.5-migration scenario for the county, population adjustment for demographic undercount, and revised 10-year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	838 846 842 828 815 800 139 4.92 5.64 5.64 5.64 126 126 126 124 122 122	Y	Recommended	Population - Region used 0.5 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/23/2023	
D	GREGG	503 County-Other, Gregg	4,355 4,250 3,964 3,599 3,221 2,842 117 5.36 6.26 6.26 6.26 6.26 545 527 492 446 400 353 4,471 4,402 4,158 3,833 3,496 3,128 117 5.36 6.26 6.26 6.26 559 546 516 475 432 382	382 Requested revision based on use of 0.5-migration scenario for the county, and population adjustment for demographic undercount.	4,471 4,402 4,158 3,833 3,496 3,128 117 5.36 6.26 6.26 6.26 559 546 516 475 432 382	Y	Recommended	Population - Region used 0.5 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region identified by the Region TWDB.	8/23/2023	
D	GREGG	3005 Cross Roads SUD	419 423 427 431 435 439 75 4.20 4.79 4.79 4.79 4.79 33 33 34 34 34 35 430 438 446 459 471 483 98 4.20 4.79 4.79 4.79 45 46 47 48 49	49 Requested revision based on use of 0.5-migration scenario for the county, population adjustment for demographic undercount, and revised baseline GPCD identified by the Region TWDB.	430 438 446 459 471 483 98 4.20 4.79 4.79 4.79 45 46 47 48 49	Y	Recommended	Population - Region used 0.5 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region revised baseline GPCD identified by the Region TWDB and Gregg with historical WUG data.	8/23/2023	
D	GREGG	732 East Mountain Water System	343 344 337 326 316 306 108 4.62 5.18 5.18 5.18 5.18 40 40 39 38 36 35 352 356 354 346 341 336 239 4.62 5.18 5.18 5.18 92 93 93 93 91 89	89 Requested revision based on use of 0.5-migration scenario for the county, population adjustment for demographic undercount, and revised 10-year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	352 356 354 346 341 336 239 4.62 5.18 5.18 5.18 92 93 93 93 91 89	Y	Recommended	Population - Region used 0.5 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/23/2023	
D	GREGG	754 Elderville WSC	4,781 4,788 4,691 4,547 4,402 4,255 60 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 321 322 315 306 296 286 4,908 4,958 4,923 4,843 4,762 4,683 96 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 528 533 529 521 512 512	512 Requested revision based on use of 0.5-migration scenario for the county, population adjustment for demographic undercount.	4,908 4,958 4,923 4,843 4,762 4,683 96 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 528 533 529 521 512 512	Y	Recommended	Population - Region used 0.5 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/23/2023	
D	GREGG	839 Gladewater	3,810 3,815 3,739 3,624 3,508 3,391 150 4.88 5.53 5.53 5.53 5.53 619 617 605 586 568 549 3,912 3,951 3,924 3,859 3,796 3,732 199 4.88 5.53 5.53 5.53 851 856 850 836 823 809	823 Requested revision based on use of 0.5-migration scenario for the county, population adjustment for demographic undercount, and revised 10-year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	3,912 3,951 3,924 3,859 3,796 3,732 199 4.88 5.53 5.53 5.53 851 856 850 836 823 809	Y	Recommended	Population - Region used 0.5 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/23/2023	
D	GREGG	6327 Glenwood WSC	111 111 109 105 102 99 90 4.54 5.09 5.09 5.09 5.09 11 11 10 10 10 9 114 115 114 112 111 109 113 4.54 5.09 5.09 5.09 14 14 14 14 14 13	13 Requested revision based on use of 0.5-migration scenario for the county, population adjustment for demographic undercount, and revised 10-year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	114 115 114 112 111 109 113 4.54 5.09 5.09 5.09 14 14 14 14 14 13	Y	Recommended	Population - Region used 0.5 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/23/2023	
D	GREGG	1263 Kilgore	10,419 10,433 10,229 9,917 9,603 9,285 194 5.07 5.94 5.94 5.94 5.94 2,205 2,198 2,155 2,089 2,023 1,956 10,696 10,804 10,735 10,562 10,389 10,219 271 5.07 5.94 5.94 5.94 3,186 3,208 3,187 3,136 3,085 3,085	3,085 Requested revision based on use of 0.5-migration scenario for the county, population adjustment for demographic undercount, and revised 10-year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	10,696 10,804 10,735 10,562 10,389 10,219 271 5.07 5.94 5.94 5.94 3,186 3,208 3,187 3,136 3,085 3,085	Y	Recommended	Population - Region used 0.5 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/23/2023	
D	GREGG	1320 Liberty City WSC	4,613 4,620 4,527 4,388 4,248 4,105 91 4.70 5.50 5.50 5.50 5.50 446 442 434 420 407 393 4,735 4,784 4,750 4,673 4,596 4,518 107 4.70 5.50 5.50 5.50 543 544 540 531 523 514	514 Requested						

D	HUNT	6521 Shady Grove SUD	1,710 2,179 2,777 3,539 4,510 5,748 85 4.54 5.03 5.03 5.03 5.03 154 195 249 317 404 515 1,732 2,207 2,813 3,585 4,569 5,822 94 4.54 5.03 5.03 5.03 174 220 280 357 455 500 1,732 2,207 2,813 3,585 4,569 5,822 94 4.54 5.03 5.03 5.03 174 220 280 357 455 580 Y Recommended Y Recommended	Population - Region used 1.0 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.
D	HUNT	6563 Texas A and M University Commerce	2,098 2,098 2,098 2,098 2,098 2,098 151 2.15 2.70 2.70 2.70 2.70 350 349 349 349 349 349 2,125 2,125 2,125 2,125 2,125 2,125 184 2.15 2.70 2.70 2.70 2.70 433 432 432 432 432 2,125 2,125 2,125 2,125 2,125 184 2.15 2.70 2.70 2.70 2.70 433 432 432 432 432 Y Recommended Y Recommended	Population - Region used 1.0 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.
D	HUNT	6591 West Leonard WSC	36 41 46 51 55 59 120 4.24 4.64 4.64 4.64 4.64 5 5 6 7 7 8 36 41 46 52 56 60 120 4.24 4.64 4.64 4.64 4.64 5 5 6 7 7 7 36 41 46 52 56 60 120 4.24 4.64 4.64 4.64 4.64 5 5 6 7 8 Y Recommended	Population - Region used 1.0 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3%) populations.
D	HUNT	2601 West Tawakoni	2,837 3,124 3,376 3,597 3,620 4,046 94 4.56 5.04 5.04 5.04 5.04 284 311 336 358 381 403 2,874 3,165 3,420 3,643 3,870 4,098 105 4.56 5.04 5.04 5.04 5.04 323 354 383 408 433 2,874 3,165 3,420 3,643 3,870 4,098 105 4.56 5.04 5.04 5.04 323 354 383 408 433 Y Recommended Y Recommended	Population - Region used 1.0 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.
D	HUNT	2638 Wolfe City	1,589 1,619 1,647 1,657 1,666 1,677 91 4.70 5.22 5.22 5.22 5.22 154 156 158 159 160 161 1,610 1,640 1,660 1,679 1,688 1,699 95 4.70 5.22 5.22 5.22 5.22 163 165 168 169 170 1,610 1,640 1,660 1,679 1,688 1,699 95 4.70 5.22 5.22 5.22 163 165 168 169 170 Y Recommended Y Recommended	Population - Region used 1.0 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.
D	LAMAR	264 Blossom	1,370 1,366 1,343 1,315 1,286 1,258 79 4.82 5.36 5.36 5.36 5.36 114 113 111 108 106 104 1,385 1,389 1,382 1,376 1,370 1,364 93 4.82 5.36 5.36 5.36 5.36 137 136 136 135 134 1,385 1,389 1,382 1,376 1,370 1,364 93 4.82 5.36 5.36 5.36 137 136 136 135 134 Y Recommended Y Recommended	Population - Region used 0.5 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.
D	LAMAR	6221 Bois D Arc MUD	16 16 16 16 16 16 105 4.53 5.05 5.05 5.05 5.05 2 2 2 2 2 2 16 16 16 16 16 16 105 4.53 5.05 5.05 5.05 5.05 2 2 2 2 2 2 16 16 16 16 16 16 105 4.53 5.05 5.05 5.05 5.05 2 2 2 2 2 2 Y Recommended	Population - Region used 0.5 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3%) populations.
D	LAMAR	550 County-Other, Lamar	2,663 2,652 2,610 2,554 2,498 2,441 139 5.86 6.58 6.58 6.58 6.58 397 393 387 379 371 362 2,693 2,697 2,686 2,672 2,660 2,647 139 5.86 6.58 6.58 6.58 6.58 402 400 398 396 395 2,693 2,697 2,686 2,672 2,660 2,647 139 5.86 6.58 6.58 6.58 6.58 402 400 398 396 395 Y Recommended	Population - Region used 0.5 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3%) populations.
D	LAMAR	84 Lamar County WSD	17,399 17,346 17,062 16,737 15,968 117 4.53 5.09 5.09 5.09 5.09 2,192 2,174 2,139 2,094 2,048 2,003 17,592 17,640 17,553 17,474 17,394 17,313 152 4.53 5.09 5.09 5.09 5.09 2,906 2,903 2,889 2,876 2,862 17,592 17,640 17,553 17,474 17,394 17,313 152 4.53 5.09 5.09 5.09 5.09 2,906 2,903 2,889 2,876 2,862 Y Recommended	Population - Region used 0.5 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.
D	LAMAR	115 Paris	26,544 26,464 26,044 25,487 24,925 24,356 101 4.99 5.69 5.69 5.69 5.69 2,855 2,825 2,780 2,721 2,661 2,600 26,838 26,913 26,793 26,666 26,537 26,409 128 4.99 5.69 5.69 5.69 5.69 3,698 3,687 3,671 3,653 3,636 26,838 26,913 26,793 26,666 26,537 26,409 128 4.99 5.69 5.69 5.69 5.69 3,698 3,687 3,671 3,653 3,636 Y Recommended	Population - Region used 0.5 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.
D	LAMAR	2259 Reno (Lamar)	2,724 2,716 2,672 2,615 2,558 2,500 148 4.67 5.31 5.31 5.31 5.31 437 434 427 418 409 400 2,754 2,762 2,749 2,736 2,723 2,711 135 4.67 5.31 5.31 5.31 5.31 402 401 399 397 396 2,754 2,762 2,749 2,736 2,723 2,711 135 4.67 5.31 5.31 5.31 5.31 402 401 399 397 396 Y Recommended	Population - Region used 0.5 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.
D	MARION	569 County-Other, Marion	1,557 1,343 1,053 874 679 475 61 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 105 90 71 59 46 32 1,566 1,361 1,079 920 739 537 61 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 105 91 73 62 50 1,566 1,361 1,079 920 739 537 61 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 105 91 73 62 Y Recommended	Population - Region used 0.5 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3%) populations.
D	MARION	3159 Diana SUD	505 420 353 287 234 189 78 4.45 4.96 4.96 4.96 4.96 42 34 29 23 19 15 507 425 362 302 255 214 90 4.45 4.96 4.96 4.96 4.96 40 34 29 24 50 507 425 362 302 255 214 90 4.45 4.96 4.96 4.96 4.96 40 34 29 24 50 Y Recommended	Population - Region used 0.5 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.
D	MARION	6274 EM CWSC	1,741 1,553 1,328 1,164 998 831 61 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 117 104 89 78 67 56 1,752 1,572 1,361 1,226 1,086 939 67 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 130 116 101 91 80 1,752 1,572 1,361 1,226 1,086 939 67 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 130 116 101 91 80 Y Recommended	Population - Region used 0.5 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.
D	MARION	6337 Harleton WSC	785 669 529 433 337 239 92 4.64 5.17 5.17 5.17 5.17 77 65 51 42 33 23 790 677 543 456 366 271 78 4.64 5.17 5.17 5.17 5.17 65 55 44 37 30 22 790 677 543 456 366 271 78 4.64 5.17 5.17 5.17 5.17 65 55 44 37 30 22 Y Recommended	Population - Region used 0.5 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.
D	MARION	1230 Jefferson	1,666 1,545 1,407 1,292 1,175 1,057 165 5.17 5.77 5.77 5.77 5.77 298 276 251 230 210 189 1,676 1,564 1,443 1,360 1,277 1,196 241 5.17 5.77 5.77 5.77 5.77 443 412 380 358 338 1,676 1,564 1,443 1,360 1,277 1,196 241 5.17 5.77 5.77 5.77 5.77 443 412 380 358 338 Y Recommended	Population - Region used 0.5 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.
D	MARION	6380 Kellyville-Berea WSC	972 945 916 878 840 801 74 4.91 5.52 5.52 5.52 5.52 75 72 70 67 64 61 977 956 939 914 913 906 119 4.91 5.52 5.52 5.52 5.52 125 122 119 117 116 977 956 939 914 913 906 119 4.91 5.52 5.52 5.52 5.52 125 122 119 117 116 Y Recommended	Population - Region used 0.5 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.
D	MARION	6424 Mims WSC	1,856 1,911 1,992 1,994 1,996 1,997 60 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 125 128 134 134 134 134 1,867 1,936 2,042 2,100 2,170 2,259 59 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 123 128 135 139 143 1,867 1,936 2,042 2,100 2,170 2,259 59 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 123 128 135 139 143 Y Recommended	Population - Region used 0.5 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.
D	MARION	2163 Ore City	108 138 177 196 215 234 107 4.56 5.11 5.11 5.11 5.11 12 16 20 22 25 27 109 139 181 207 235 265 128 4.56 5.11 5.11 5.11 5.11 15 19 25 28 32 109 139 181 207 235 265 128 4.56 5.11 5.11 5.11 5.11 15 19 25 28 32 Y Recommended	Population - Region used 0.5 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.
D	MORRIS	3146 Bi County WSC	1,371 1,215 1,042 919 798 679 92 4.51 5.09 5.09 5.09 5.09 134 118 101 89 78 66 1,420 1,292 1,143 1,046 949 848 81 4.51 5.09 5.09 5.09 5.09 122 110 97 89 81 1,420 1,292 1,143 1,046 949 848 81 4.51 5.09 5.09 5.09 5.09 122 110 97 89 81 Y Recommended	Population - Region used 0.5 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.
D	MORRIS	583 County-Other, Morris	2,184 2,105 2,013 1,919 1,820 1,717 109 5.01 5.87 5.87 5.87 5.87 254 243 233 222 210 198 2,263 2,239 2,209 2,186 2,164 2,143 109 5.01 5.87 5.87 5.87 5.87 264 259 255 253 250 2,263 2,239 2,209 2,186 2,164 2,143 109 5.01 5.87 5.87 5.87 5.87 264 259 255 253 250 Y Recommended	Population - Region used 0.5 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3%) populations.
D	MORRIS	690 Daingerfield	2,103 2,107 2,114 2,070 2,019 1,959 160 4.94 5.51 5.51 5.51 5.51 365 365 366 358 349 339 2,179 2,239 2,239 2,318 2,358 2,400 2,445 190 4.94 5.51 5.51 5.51 5.51 452 463 479 487 496 2,179 2,239 2,239 2,318 2,358 2,400 2,445 190 4.94 5.51 5.51 5.51 5.51 452 463 479 487 496 505 Y Recommended	Population - Region used 0.5 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.
D	MORRIS	6368 Holly Springs WSC	605 532 452 395 340 286 83 4.57 5.21 5.21 5.21 5.21 53 46 39 34 30 25 627 565 496 450 404 357 79 4.57 5.21 5.21	

D	VAN ZANDT	164 Ables Springs SUD		35 37 39 42 44 46 60 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 2 2 3 3 3 35 37 39 42 44 46 60 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 2 2 3 3 3	Requested revision based on population adjustment for demographic undercount.	35 37 39 42 44 46 60 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 2 2 3 3 3	Population - Region used 1 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/25/2023
D	VAN ZANDT	6220 Ben Wheeler WSC		2,814 3,212 3,591 3,998 4,409 4,823 76 4.47 4.93 4.93 4.93 4.93 4.93 225 256 286 318 351 384 2,836 3,237 3,620 4,029 4,444 4,861 96 4.47 4.93 4.93 4.93 4.93 291 330 369 411 453 496	Requested revision based on population adjustment for demographic undercount revised 10 year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	2,836 3,237 3,620 4,029 4,444 4,861 96 4.47 4.93 4.93 4.93 4.93 291 330 369 411 453 496	Population - Region used 1 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/25/2023
D	VAN ZANDT	247 Bethel Ash WSC		1,706 1,877 2,041 2,206 2,373 2,543 92 4.59 5.14 5.14 5.14 5.14 5.14 167 183 199 215 231 247 1,720 1,892 2,057 2,223 2,391 2,563 92 4.59 5.14 5.14 5.14 5.14 168 184 200 216 233 249	Requested revision based on population adjustment for demographic undercount.	1,720 1,892 2,057 2,223 2,391 2,563 92 4.59 5.14 5.14 5.14 5.14 168 184 200 216 233 249	Population - Region used 1 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/25/2023
D	VAN ZANDT	288 Canton		4,793 5,372 5,925 6,503 7,086 7,676 217 4.99 5.62 5.62 5.62 5.62 5.62 1,138 1,272 1,403 1,540 1,678 1,817 5,415 6,041 6,673 7,298 7,982 8,644 291 4.99 5.62 5.62 5.62 5.62 1,735 1,931 2,133 2,333 2,552 2,763	Requested revision based on WUG-specific adjustment to population projections submitted by the City of Canton, revised 10 year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	5,415 6,041 6,673 7,298 7,982 8,644 291 4.99 5.62 5.62 5.62 5.62 1,735 1,931 2,133 2,333 2,552 2,763	Population - The TWGB finds this approach reasonable because the Census indicates increasing population for Van Zandt and surrounding areas. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	9/6/2023
D	VAN ZANDT	6241 Carroll WSC		510 582 650 723 797 870 105 4.38 4.88 4.88 4.88 4.88 4.88 57 65 73 81 89 98 515 587 655 729 803 877 105 4.38 4.88 4.88 4.88 4.88 58 66 73 82 90 98	Requested revision based on population adjustment for demographic undercount.	515 587 655 729 803 877 105 4.38 4.88 4.88 4.88 4.88 58 66 73 82 90 98	Population - Region used 1 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/25/2023
D	VAN ZANDT	3154 Combined Consumers SUD		1,107 1,166 1,222 1,268 1,314 1,361 75 4.52 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 87 91 96 99 103 107 1,116 1,175 1,231 1,278 1,324 1,371 122 4.52 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 147 154 161 167 174 180	Requested revision based on population adjustment for demographic undercount revised 10 year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	1,116 1,175 1,231 1,278 1,324 1,371 122 4.52 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 147 154 161 167 174 180	Population - Region used 1 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/25/2023
D	VAN ZANDT	645 County-Other, Van Zandt		18,428 21,319 23,443 25,279 26,360 26,527 93 4.55 4.96 4.96 4.96 4.96 4.96 1,826 2,102 2,312 2,493 2,600 2,616 17,989 20,861 22,937 24,735 25,728 25,829 93 4.55 4.96 4.96 4.96 4.96 1,782 2,057 2,261 2,439 2,537 2,547	Requested revision to population projections to offset the City of Canton's request at the county level.	17,989 20,861 22,927 24,735 25,728 25,829 93 4.55 4.96 4.96 4.96 4.96 1,782 2,057 2,261 2,439 2,537 2,547	Population - As explained on page 33 of the requested memo, the Region request to revise to offset the City of Canton's request at the county level.	9/6/2023
D	VAN ZANDT	743 Edgewood		1,524 1,572 1,619 1,641 1,685 1,693 156 4.75 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 258 265 273 277 281 286 1,536 1,585 1,632 1,654 1,678 1,707 192 4.75 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 322 332 341 346 351 357	Requested revision based on population adjustment for demographic undercount revised 10 year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	1,536 1,585 1,632 1,654 1,678 1,707 192 4.75 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 322 332 341 346 351 357	Population - Region used 1 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/25/2023
D	VAN ZANDT	6280 Edom WSC		1,001 1,019 1,035 1,033 1,032 1,032 98 4.47 5.05 5.05 5.05 5.05 5.05 105 106 108 108 107 107 1,009 1,027 1,043 1,041 1,040 1,040 123 4.47 5.05 5.05 5.05 5.05 134 136 138 138 137 137	Requested revision based on population adjustment for demographic undercount revised 10 year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	1,009 1,027 1,043 1,041 1,040 1,040 123 4.47 5.05 5.05 5.05 5.05 134 136 138 138 137 137	Population - Region used 1 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/25/2023
D	VAN ZANDT	6321 Frutivale WSC		3,439 3,764 4,075 4,382 4,693 5,010 81 4.50 4.95 4.95 4.95 4.95 4.95 295 321 347 373 400 427 3,467 3,794 4,107 4,416 4,730 5,049 90 4.50 4.95 4.95 4.95 4.95 332 361 391 421 451 481	Requested revision based on population adjustment for demographic undercount revised 10 year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	3,467 3,794 4,107 4,416 4,730 5,049 90 4.50 4.95 4.95 4.95 4.95 332 361 391 421 451 481	Population - Region used 1 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/25/2023
D	VAN ZANDT	3012 Golden WSC		726 814 900 989 1,079 1,170 73 4.46 4.94 4.94 4.94 4.94 4.94 56 62 69 75 82 89 732 821 907 997 1,087 1,179 104 4.46 4.94 4.94 4.94 4.94 82 91 101 111 121 131	Requested revision based on population adjustment for demographic undercount revised 10 year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	732 821 907 997 1,087 1,179 104 4.46 4.94 4.94 4.94 4.94 82 91 101 111 121 131	Population - Region used 1 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/25/2023
D	VAN ZANDT	854 Grand Saline		3,378 3,441 3,502 3,501 3,505 3,513 103 4.74 5.27 5.27 5.27 5.27 5.27 372 377 383 383 384 385 3,404 3,469 3,530 3,529 3,533 3,541 127 4.74 5.27 5.27 5.27 5.27 466 473 481 481 482 483	Requested revision based on population adjustment for demographic undercount and revised 10 year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	3,404 3,469 3,530 3,529 3,533 3,541 127 4.74 5.27 5.27 5.27 5.27 466 473 481 481 482 483	Population - Region used 1 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/25/2023
D	VAN ZANDT	6403 Little Hope Moore WSC		1,467 1,532 1,594 1,638 1,684 1,731 90 4.81 5.33 5.33 5.33 5.33 5.33 140 145 151 155 160 164 1,478 1,545 1,607 1,651 1,698 1,745 85 4.81 5.33 5.33 5.33 5.33 133 138 143 147 152 156	Requested revision based on population adjustment for demographic undercount revised 10 year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	1,478 1,545 1,607 1,651 1,698 1,745 85 4.81 5.33 5.33 5.33 5.33 133 138 143 147 152 156	Population - Region used 1 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/25/2023
H	VAN ZANDT	1613 Mabank		328 368 407 448 490 531 178 4.07 4.72 4.72 4.72 4.72 4.72 64 71 79 87 95 103 330 371 410 451 493 536 178 4.07 4.72 4.72 4.72 4.72 64 72 80 88 96 98	Requested revision based on population adjustment for demographic undercount.	330 371 410 451 493 536 178 4.07 4.72 4.72 4.72 4.72 64 72 80 88 96 98	Population - Region used 1 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations.	8/25/2023
D	VAN ZANDT	1614 Marbee SUD		8,316 10,289 12,731 15,752 19,490 24,115 60 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 559 692 856 1,059 1,310 1,621 8,382 10,371 12,831 15,876 19,044 24,305 104 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 976 1,208 1,495 1,849 2,286 2,831	Requested revision based on population adjustment for demographic undercount revised 10 year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	8,382 10,371 12,831 15,876 19,044 24,305 104 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 976 1,208 1,495 1,849 2,286 2,831	Population - Region used 1 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/25/2023
D	VAN ZANDT	6446 Myrtle Springs WSC		3,349 4,127 4,870 5,718 6,567 7,420 66 4.26 4.71 4.71 4.71 4.71 4.71 232 283 334 393 451 509 3,375 4,159 4,908 5,763 6,619 7,479 77 4.26 4.71 4.71 4.71 4.71 275 337 397 467 536 606	Requested revision based on population adjustment for demographic undercount revised 10 year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	3,375 4,159 4,908 5,763 6,619 7,479 77 4.26 4.71 4.71 4.71 4.71 275 337 397 467 536 606	Population - Region used 1 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/25/2023
D	VAN ZANDT	6483 Pine Ridge WSC		348 446 540 649 757 867 105 3.97 4.45 4.45 4.45 4.45 4.45 39 50 61 73 85 98 350 449 545 654 763 874 114 3.97 4.45 4.45 4.45 4.45 43 55 67 80 94 107	Requested revision based on population adjustment for demographic undercount revised 10 year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	350 449 545 654 763 874 114 3.97 4.45 4.45 4.45 4.45 43 55 67 80 94 107	Population - Region used 1 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/25/2023
D	VAN ZANDT	6497 Pruitt Sandflat WSC		1,142 1,143 1,144 1,119 1,096 1,075 99 4.78 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 121 120 120 117 115 113 1,151 1,152 1,153 1,128 1,105 1,083 102 4.78 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 125 125 122 120 117 115	Requested revision based on population adjustment for demographic undercount revised 10 year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	1,151 1,152 1,153 1,128 1,105 1,083 102 4.78 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 125 125 122 120 117 115	Population - Region used 1 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/25/2023
D	VAN ZANDT	2982 R P M WSC		1,599 1,584 1,571 1,518 1,467 1,419 98 4.62 5.16 5.16 5.16 5.16 5.16 167 165 163 158 153 148 1,612 1,597 1,584 1,530 1,478 1,430 140 4.62 5.16 5.16 5.16 5.16 244 241 239 231 223 216	Requested revision based on population adjustment for demographic undercount revised 10 year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	1,612 1,597 1,584 1,530 1,478 1,430 140 4.62 5.16 5.16 5.16 5.16 244 241 239 231 223 216	Population - Region used 1 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/25/2023
D	VAN ZANDT	2380 South Tawakoni WSC		2,598 2,098 1,695 1,338 1,059 839 85 4.56 5.17 5.17 5.17 5.17 5.17 234 288 188 152 120 95 75 2,619 2,114 1,709 1,348 1,067 846 105 4.56 5.17 5.17 5.17 5.17 295 236 191 151 119 95	Requested revision based on population adjustment for demographic undercount revised 10 year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	2,619 2,114 1,709 1,348 1,067 846 105 4.56 5.17 5.17 5.17 5.17 295 236 191 151 119 95	Population - Region used 1 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max.	8/25/2023
D	VAN ZANDT	2561 Van		3,255 3,312 3,367 3,360 3,358 3,361 111 4.59 5.18 5.18 5.18 5.18 5.18 388 393 399 398 398 398 3,280 3,338 3,393 3,386 3,384 3,387 147 4.59 5.18 5.18 5.18 5.18 523 530 539 538 538 538	Requested revision based on population adjustment for demographic undercount revised 10 year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	3,280 3,338 3,393 3,386 3,384 3,387 147 4.59 5.18 5.18 5.18		

D	WOOD	3029 New Hope SUD																																									Population - As detailed by the Region on pages 33-34 of the revision request memo, Region D requested to increase the population by applying a 1.2% growth rate to the 2023 population projection, and to 2.74% by the SUD's general manager to get the 2030 population projection to 2,986. The decadal growth rates from the 1.0 scenario draft projection were used to calculate the 2040/2050 population projections. The region is offsetting the New Hope SUD population increases by decreasing the County. Other populations in the region using this approach reasonable because the Census and both migration scenarios indicate a increasing population for the County. Also, the revised populations for both SUDs decreased over the planning period. GPCD - Region used 2020-2020 historical max.																									
			1,805	1,794	1,787	1,722	1,659	1,599	117	4.60	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12	227	225	224	216	208	200	2,084	2,066	2,054	2,047	2,047	2,044	164	4.60	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12	533	528	526	507	488	471	Requested revision based on WUD-specific adjustment to population projections submitted by the New Hope SUD, and revised 10-year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	2,084	2,066	2,054	2,047	2,047	2,044	164	4.60	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12	533	528	526	507	488	471	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended		
D	WOOD	2237 Pritchett WSC	54	57	58	59	61	63	80	4.52	5.05	5.05	5.05	5.05	5.05	5	5	5	5	5	5	54	57	58	59	61	63	110	4.52	5.05	5.05	5.05	5.05	5.05	5.05	6	7	7	7	7	7	Requested revision based on population adjustment for demographic undercount and revised 10-year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	54	57	58	59	61	63	110	4.52	5.05	5.05	5.05	5.05	5.05	5.05	6	7	7	7	7	7	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Population - Region used 1.0 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2020-2020 historical max.	9/7/2023
D	WOOD	2243 Quitman	2,198	2,200	2,201	2,147	2,097	2,050	139	4.89	5.50	5.50	5.50	5.50	5.50	330	329	329	321	314	307	2,214	2,216	2,217	2,162	2,112	2,065	144	4.89	5.50	5.50	5.50	5.50	5.50	5.50	345	344	344	335	328	320	Requested revision based on population adjustment for demographic undercount and revised 10-year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	2,214	2,216	2,217	2,162	2,112	2,065	144	4.89	5.50	5.50	5.50	5.50	5.50	5.50	345	344	344	335	328	320	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Population - Region used 1.0 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2020-2020 historical max.	8/23/2023
D	WOOD	2247 Ramsey WSC	3,611	4,146	4,761	5,467	6,277	7,207	68	4.46	4.95	4.95	4.95	4.95	4.95	257	293	336	386	443	509	3,637	4,176	4,795	5,506	6,322	7,259	147	4.46	4.95	4.95	4.95	4.95	581	664	763	876	1,006	1,155	Requested revision based on population adjustment for demographic undercount and revised 10-year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	3,637	4,176	4,795	5,506	6,322	7,259	147	4.46	4.95	4.95	4.95	4.95	4.95	4.95	581	664	763	876	1,006	1,155	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Population - Region used 1.0 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2020-2020 historical max.	8/23/2023		
D	WOOD	2351 Sharon WSC	4,374	4,655	4,821	5,160	5,499	5,840	72	4.62	5.15	5.15	5.15	5.15	5.15	330	349	361	386	412	437	4,406	4,689	4,856	5,197	5,538	5,882	107	4.62	5.15	5.15	5.15	5.15	505	535	554	593	632	671	Requested revision based on population adjustment for demographic undercount and revised 10-year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	4,406	4,689	4,856	5,197	5,538	5,882	107	4.62	5.15	5.15	5.15	5.15	5.15	5.15	505	535	554	593	632	671	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Population - Region used 1.0 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2020-2020 historical max.	8/23/2023		
D	WOOD	6523 Shirley WSC	118	120	121	123	124	126	121	4.42	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	15	16	16	16	16	16	119	121	122	124	125	127	129	4.42	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	17	17	17	17	17	17	18	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Population - Region used 1.0 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2020-2020 historical max.	8/23/2023																				
D	WOOD	2635 Winsboro	2,560	2,646	2,696	2,768	2,841	2,917	167	5.14	5.72	5.72	5.72	5.72	5.72	464	478	487	500	513	527	2,579	2,665	2,715	2,768	2,861	2,938	182	5.14	5.72	5.72	5.72	5.72	511	526	536	551	565	580	Requested revision based on population adjustment for demographic undercount and revised 10-year maximum as baseline GPCD without reduction for PC savings from baseline.	2,579	2,665	2,715	2,768	2,861	2,938	182	5.14	5.72	5.72	5.72	5.72	5.72	5.72	511	526	536	551	565	580	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Population - Region used 1.0 scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations. GPCD - Region used 2020-2020 historical max.	8/23/2023		

WUG Info			TWDB Draft Projections																									Revisions by WUG												EA Recommended Projections												TWDB Review																		
Region	County	EntityId	EntityName	TWDB Draft Scenario (as selected by WUG)	P2030	P2040	P2050	P2060	P2070	P2080	GPCD	WE2030	WE2040	WE2050	WE2060	WE2070	WE2080	D2030	D2040	D2050	D2060	D2070	D2080	P2030	P2040	P2050	P2060	P2070	P2080	GPCD	WE2030	WE2040	WE2050	WE2060	WE2070	WE2080	D2030	D2040	D2050	D2060	D2070	D2080	RWPG Comment	Population	Population	Population	Population	Population	Population	Base GPCD	Water Efficiency Savings 2030	Municipal Demands	Pop Revision?	TWDB Action GPCD	TWDB Action GPCD	WE Revision?	TWDB Review Population	TWDB Action GPCD	WE Revision?	TWDB Comment (Executive Administrator Recommendation for Consideration)	Review Date									
E	BREWSTER	174	Agape	0.5 Scenario	6,966	6,556	6,123	5,773	5,428	5,089	286	4,96	5,56	5,56	5,56	5,56	5,56	2,193	2,059	1,923	1,813	1,705	1,599	7,129	6,859	6,615	6,418	6,219	6,010	383	4,96	5,56	5,56	5,56	5,56	5,56	3,019	2,900	2,799	2,713	2,629	2,549	No change due to undercount of hispanic and black population - redistributed population from County total to a max of % of population serviced by the WUG; Historical maximum GPCD (2010-2020); No change to PC savings	7,129	6,859	6,615	6,418	6,219	6,019	30	4,96	5,56	5,56	5,56	5,56	3,019	2,900	2,797	2,713	2,629	2,545	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	TWDB recommends Region E's request to increase county-level population using the undercount method to distribute to WUGs based on % of population in draft county projection. GPCD recommended based on historical GPCD.	8/31/2023			
E	BREWSTER	435	County-Other, Brewster	0.5 Scenario	1,809	1,839	2,143	2,309	2,465	2,610	138	5,32	5,95	5,95	5,95	5,95	5,95	269	287	317	342	365	386	1,851	1,781	1,718	1,667	1,615	1,563	147	5,32	5,95	5,95	5,95	5,95	5,95	294	281	271	263	255	255	No change due to undercount of hispanic and black population - redistributed population from County total to a min of % of population serviced by the WUG; Historical maximum GPCD (2010-2020); No change to PC savings	1,851	1,781	1,718	1,667	1,615	1,563	147	5,32	5,95	5,95	5,95	5,95	294	281	271	263	255	247	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	TWDB recommends Region E's request to increase county-level population using the undercount method to distribute to WUGs based on % of population in draft county projection. GPCD recommended based on historical GPCD.	8/31/2023			
E	BREWSTER	6385	Lajitas Municipal Services	0.5 Scenario	122	121	123	123	124	125	171	4,37	5,01	5,01	5,01	5,01	5,01	23	22	23	23	23	23	125	120	116	112	109	105	1745	4,37	5,01	5,01	5,01	5,01	5,01	243	234	226	219	212	212	No change due to undercount of hispanic and black population - redistributed population from County total to a min of % of population serviced by the WUG; Historical maximum GPCD (2010-2020); No change to PC savings	125	120	116	112	109	105	1745	4,37	5,01	5,01	5,01	5,01	244	234	226	218	212	205	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	The maximum GPCD (2010-2020); No change to undercount of hispanic and black population - redistributed population from County total to a min of % of population serviced by the WUG; Historical maximum GPCD (2010-2020); No change to PC savings	8/31/2023			
E	BREWSTER	6408	Marathon Water Supply and Sewer Service	0.5 Scenario	365	362	362	363	363	362	250	5,08	5,75	5,75	5,75	5,75	5,75	100	99	99	99	99	99	374	359	347	336	326	315	282	5,08	5,75	5,75	5,75	5,75	5,75	116	111	107	104	101	101	No change due to undercount of hispanic and black population - redistributed population from County total to a min of % of population serviced by the WUG; Historical maximum GPCD (2010-2020); No change to PC savings	374	359	347	336	326	315	282	5,08	5,75	5,75	5,75	5,75	116	111	107	104	101	97	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	The maximum historical GPCD (2010-2020); No change to undercount of hispanic and black population - redistributed population from County total to a min of % of population serviced by the WUG; Historical maximum GPCD (2010-2020); No change to PC savings	8/31/2023			
E	BREWSTER	10084	Study Butte Terlingua Water System	0.5 Scenario	530	442	335	247	162	81	415	5,01	5,42	5,42	5,42	5,42	5,42	243	203	154	113	113	74	37	542	522	503	488	473	458	566	5,01	5,42	5,42	5,42	5,42	5,42	341	328	316	307	297	297	No change due to undercount of hispanic and black population - redistributed population from County total to a min of % of population serviced by the WUG; Historical maximum GPCD (2010-2020); No change to PC savings	542	522	503	488	473	458	566	5,01	5,42	5,42	5,42	5,42	341	328	316	306	297	288	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	The maximum historical GPCD (2010-2020); No change to undercount of hispanic and black population - redistributed population from County total to a min of % of population serviced by the WUG; Historical maximum GPCD (2010-2020); No change to PC savings	8/31/2023		
E	CULBERSON	466	County-Other, Culberson	0.5 Scenario	273	248	225	206	187	168	155	5,54	7,10	7,10	7,10	7,10	7,10	45	41	37	34	31	28	249	231	216	202	188	174	164	5,54	7,1	7,1	7,1	7,1	7,1	48	44	40	36	33	33	No migration scenario; 2021 Regional Water Plan GPCD; No change to PC savings	249	231	216	202	188	174	164	5,54	7,1	7,1	7,1	7,1	44	41	38	36	33	31	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	No migration scenario; 2021 Regional Water Plan GPCD; No change to PC savings	8/31/2023			
E	CULBERSON	2563	Van Horn	0.5 Scenario	1,812	1,679	1,579	1,482	1,384	1,285	256	4,75	5,30	5,30	5,30	5,30	5,30	510	471	443	416	389	365	2,312	2,179	2,079	1,982	1,884	1,785	336	4,75	5,3	5,3	5,3	5,3	5,3	858	807	770	734	698	661	No change due to request of WUG; Historical maximum GPCD (2010-2020); No change to PC savings	2,312	2,179	2,079	1,982	1,884	1,785	336	4,75	5,3	5,3	5,3	5,3	858	807	770	734	698	661	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	TWDB recommends Region E's request to increase county-level population using the undercount method to distribute to WUGs based on % of population in draft county projection. GPCD recommended based on historical GPCD.	8/31/2023			
E	EL PASO	191	Anthony	0.5 Scenario	3,875	4,164	4,293	4,261	4,217	4,191	164	4,56	5,21	5,21	5,21	5,21	5,21	692	741	764	758	752	745	4,108	4,280	4,369	4,406	4,442	4,479	191	4,56	5,21	5,21	5,21	5,21	858	891	909	908	921	921	No change due to request of WUG; Historical maximum GPCD (2010-2020); No change to PC savings; Projections from 2030 - 2050 utilize the undercount analysis due to migration scenario	4,108	4,280	4,369	4,406	4,442	4,479	191	4,56	5,21	5,21	5,21	5,21	858	891	909	917	924	932	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	The maximum historical GPCD (2010-2020); No change to undercount of hispanic and black population - redistributed population from County total to a max of % of population serviced by the WUG; Historical maximum GPCD (2010-2020); No change to PC savings	8/31/2023				
E	EL PASO	482	County-Other, El Paso	0.5 Scenario	2,336	1,841	1,678	2,035	2,406	2,788	155	7,17	8,47	8,47	8,47	8,47	8,47	387	302	275	334	395	458	2,148	2,238	2,285	2,304	2,323	2,343	206	7,17	8,47	8,47	8,47	8,47	8,47	478	495	506	510	514	514	No change due to undercount of hispanic and black population - redistributed population from County total to an average of % of population serviced by each WUG; Historical maximum GPCD (2010-2020); No change to PC savings	2,148	2,238	2,285	2,304	2,323	2,343	206	7,17	8,47	8,47	8,47	8,47	495	506	510	514	518	518	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	TWDB recommends Region E's request to increase county-level population using the undercount method to distribute to WUGs based on % of population in draft county projection. GPCD recommended based on historical GPCD.	8/31/2023			
E	EL PASO	6278	East Montana Water System	0.5 Scenario	13,200	15,642	16,604	15,726	14,814	13,865	110	3,70	4,10	4,10	4,10	4,10	4,10	1,572	1,856	1,970	1,865	1,757	1,645	14,756	15,376	15,896	15,827	15,959	16,092	160	3,7	4,1	4,1	4,1	4,1	4,1	2,583	2,685	2,744	2,764	2,787	2,811	No change due to request of WUG; Historical maximum GPCD (2010-2020); No change to PC savings	14,756	15,376	15,896	15,827	15,959	16,092	160	3,7	4,1	4,1	4,1	4,1	2,583	2,685	2,741	2,764	2,787	2,810	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	The maximum historical GPCD (2010-2020); No change to undercount of hispanic and black population - redistributed population from County total to an average of % of population serviced by each WUG; Historical maximum GPCD (2010-2020); No change to PC savings	8/31/2023			
E	EL PASO	2987	El Paso County Tonillo WID	0.5 Scenario	3,347	3,321	3,332	3,452	3,573	3,699	91	4,33	4,89	4,89	4,89																																																							

F	PEcos	2189	Pecos County WCID 1	0.5	2,126	2,389	2,525	2,373	2,189	1,968	114	4,53	5,05	5,05	5,05	5,05	261	292	308	290	267	240	2,126	2,389	2,525	2,373	2,189	1,968	250	4,53	5,05	5,05	5,05	5,05	585	655	693	651	601	540	Max 2015 to 2019 GPCD update	2,126	2,389	2,525	2,373	2,189	1,968	250	4,53	5,05	5,05	5,05	5,05	585	655	693	651	601	540	Y	Recommended	Region requested to revise the baseline GPCD using the maximum from 2015 to 2019	
F	UPTON	2250	Rankin	0.5	740	768	790	821	855	896	289	4,67	5,20	5,20	5,20	5,20	236	244	251	261	272	285	740	768	790	821	855	896	318	4,67	5,20	5,20	5,20	5,20	260	269	277	288	300	314	Max 2015 to 2019 GPCD update	740	768	790	821	855	896	318	4,67	5,20	5,20	5,20	5,20	260	269	277	288	300	314	Y	Recommended	Region requested to revise the baseline GPCD using the maximum from 2015 to 2019	
F	MCCULLOCH	2266	Richland SUD	0.5	603	569	542	523	510	508	210	4,79	5,33	5,33	5,33	5,33	139	130	124	120	117	116	603	569	542	523	510	508	470	4,79	5,33	5,33	5,33	5,33	314	296	282	272	265	264	Max 2015 to 2019 GPCD update	603	569	542	523	510	508	470	4,79	5,33	5,33	5,33	5,33	314	296	282	272	265	264	Y	Recommended	Region requested to revise the baseline GPCD using the maximum from 2015 to 2019	
F	COKER	2282	Robert Lee	1.0	999	1,066	1,136	1,246	1,366	1,498	252	5,01	5,48	5,48	5,48	5,48	276	294	314	344	377	414	999	1,066	1,136	1,246	1,366	1,498	252	5,01	5,48	5,48	5,48	5,48	344	377	414	No changes	999	1,066	1,136	1,246	1,366	1,498	252	5,01	5,48	5,48	5,48	5,48	344	377	414	No changes	Y	Recommended	Region requested to revise the baseline GPCD using the maximum from 2015 to 2019						
F	GOLDMAN	2284	Ward	0.5	11,619	11,877	12,060	12,327	12,471	136	4,71	5,34	5,34	5,34	5,34	1,709	1,738	1,765	1,804	1,825	11,619	11,877	12,060	12,327	12,471	136	4,71	5,34	5,34	5,34	5,34	1,709	1,738	1,765	1,804	1,825	1,825	No changes	11,619	11,877	12,060	12,327	12,471	136	4,71	5,34	5,34	5,34	5,34	1,709	1,738	1,765	1,804	1,825	1,825	Y	Recommended	Region requested to revise the baseline GPCD using the maximum from 2015 to 2019					
F	SCURRY	2370	Snyder	0.5	11,619	11,877	12,060	12,327	12,471	136	4,71	5,34	5,34	5,34	5,34	1,709	1,738	1,765	1,804	1,825	11,619	11,877	12,060	12,327	12,471	136	4,71	5,34	5,34	5,34	5,34	1,709	1,738	1,765	1,804	1,825	1,825	No changes	11,619	11,877	12,060	12,327	12,471	136	4,71	5,34	5,34	5,34	5,34	1,709	1,738	1,765	1,804	1,825	1,825	Y	Recommended	Region requested to revise the baseline GPCD using the maximum from 2015 to 2019					
F	SUTTON	2374	Sonora	0.5	2,169	1,991	1,803	1,663	1,514	1,358	334	4,81	5,38	5,38	5,38	5,38	800	733	664	612	557	500	2,169	1,991	1,803	1,663	1,514	1,358	436	4,81	5,38	5,38	5,38	5,38	1,048	960	870	803	730	655	Max 2015 to 2019 GPCD update	2,169	1,991	1,803	1,663	1,514	1,358	436	4,81	5,38	5,38	5,38	5,38	1,048	960	870	803	730	655	Y	Recommended	Region requested to revise the baseline GPCD using the maximum from 2015 to 2019	
F	MARTIN	2400	Stanton	1.0	2,724	2,996	3,118	3,666	4,051	4,509	172	4,52	5,04	5,04	5,04	5,04	2,724	2,996	3,118	3,666	4,051	4,509	172	4,52	5,04	5,04	5,04	5,04	2,61	686	759	843	943	943	No changes	2,724	2,996	3,118	3,666	4,051	4,509	172	4,52	5,04	5,04	5,04	5,04	2,61	686	759	843	943	943	Y	Recommended	Region requested to revise the baseline GPCD using the maximum from 2015 to 2019							
F	STERLING	2487	Sterling City	1.0	1,425	1,918	2,542	3,362	4,269	5,274	262	4,38	4,81	4,81	4,81	4,81	411	553	621	686	759	843	1,425	1,918	2,542	3,362	4,269	5,274	262	4,38	4,81	4,81	4,81	4,81	411	553	621	686	759	843	No changes	1,425	1,918	2,542	3,362	4,269	5,274	262	4,38	4,81	4,81	4,81	4,81	411	553	621	686	759	843	Y	Recommended	Region requested to revise the baseline GPCD using the maximum from 2015 to 2019	
F	WINKLER	2634	Wink	1.0	794	804	805	808	812	816	303	4,81	5,41	5,41	5,41	5,41	265	268	268	269	271	272	794	804	805	808	812	816	388	4,81	5,41	5,41	5,41	5,41	341	345	345	346	348	350	Max 2015 to 2019 GPCD update	794	804	805	808	812	816	388	4,81	5,41	5,41	5,41	5,41	341	345	345	346	348	350	Y	Recommended	Region requested to revise the baseline GPCD using the maximum from 2015 to 2019	
F	RUNNELS	2637	Winters	0.5	2,367	2,267	2,126	2,010	1,873	1,712	74	4,75	5,34	5,34	5,34	5,34	184	174	164	155	144	132	2,367	2,267	2,126	2,010	1,873	1,712	140	4,75	5,34	5,34	5,34	5,34	359	342	321	303	283	258	Max 2015 to 2019 GPCD update	2,367	2,267	2,126	2,010	1,873	1,712	140	4,75	5,34	5,34	5,34	5,34	359	342	321	303	283	258	Y	Recommended	Region requested to revise the baseline GPCD using the maximum from 2015 to 2019	
F	BROWN	2656	Zephyr WSC	1.0	4,044	4,112	4,118	4,131	4,146	4,162	74	4,63	5,15	5,15	5,15	5,15	314	317	318	319	320	321	4,044	4,112	4,118	4,131	4,146	4,162	131	4,63	5,15	5,15	5,15	5,15	572	580	581	582	584	587	Max 2015 to 2019 GPCD update	4,044	4,112	4,118	4,131	4,146	4,162	131	4,63	5,15	5,15	5,15	5,15	572	580	581	582	584	587	Y	Recommended	Region requested to revise the baseline GPCD using the maximum from 2015 to 2019	
F	COKE	2781	Bronce	1.0	911	972	1,037	1,138	1,248	1,369	226	4,87	5,34	5,34	5,34	5,34	226	240	256	281	308	338	911	972	1,037	1,138	1,248	1,369	200	288	318	340	383	420	Max 2015 to 2019 GPCD update	911	972	1,037	1,138	1,248	1,369	200	288	318	340	383	420	911	972	1,037	1,138	1,248	1,369	200	288	318	340	383	420	Y	Recommended	Region requested to revise the baseline GPCD using the maximum from 2015 to 2019	
F	ECTOR	3076	Greater Gardeendale WSC	0.5	3,053	3,551	4,003	4,334	4,678	5,037	75	4,21	4,79	4,79	4,79	4,79	242	279	315	341	368	396	3,053	3,551	4,003	4,334	4,678	5,037	75	4,21	4,79	4,79	4,79	4,79	347	368	396	No changes	3,053	3,551	4,003	4,334	4,678	5,037	75	4,21	4,79	4,79	4,79	4,79	347	368	396	No changes	Y	Recommended	Region requested to revise the baseline GPCD using the maximum from 2015 to 2019						
F	MIDLAND	3076	Greater Gardeendale WSC	0.5	1,910	2,354	2,788	3,109	3,437	3,775	75	4,21	4,79	4,79	4,79	4,79	151	185	219	245	270	297	1,910	2,354	2,788	3,109	3,437	3,775	75	4,21	4,79	4,79	4,79	4,79	151	185	219	245	270	297	No changes	1,910	2,354	2,788	3,109	3,437	3,775	75	4,21	4,79	4,79	4,79	4,79	151	185	219	245	270	297	Y	Recommended	Region requested to revise the baseline GPCD using the maximum from 2015 to 2019	
F	BROWN	3152	Coleman County SUD	1.0	127	129	180	180	181	181	112	4,91	5,51	5,51	5,51	5,51	15	15	16	16	16	16	127	129	180	180	181	181	31	31	34	34	34	34	Max 2015 to 2019 GPCD update	127	129	180	180	181	181	31	31	34	34	34	34	127	129	180	180	181	181	31	31	34	34	34	34	Y	Recommended	Region requested to revise the baseline GPCD using the maximum from 2015 to 2019	
F	COLEMAN	3153	Coleman County SUD	0.5	2,514	2,371	2,240	2,142	2,051	1,959	112	4,91	5,51	5,51	5,51	5,51	302	283	267	256	245	234	2,514	2,371	2,240	2,142	2,051	1,959	236	4,91	5,51	5,51	5,51	5,51	551	530	506	Max 2015 to 2019 GPCD update	2,514	2,371	2,240	2,142	2,051	1,959	236	4,91	5,51	5,51	5,51	5,51	551	530	506	Y	Recommended	Region requested to revise the baseline GPCD using the maximum from 2015 to 2019							
F	RUNNELS	3153	Coleman County SUD	0.5	94	88	79	71	63	53	112	4,91	5,51	5,51	5,51	5,51	11	10	9	8	8	6	94	88	79	71	63	53	236	4,91	5,51	5,51	5,51	5,51	24	23	20	18	16	14	<th>Y</th> <td>Recommended</td> <td>Region requested to revise the baseline GPCD using the maximum from 2015 to 2019</td>	Y	Recommended	Region requested to revise the baseline GPCD using the maximum from 2015 to 2019																			
F	TOM GREEN	3155	Concho Rural Water	1.0	7,562	8,518	9,366	9,366	11,137	12,142	78	4,44	4,94	4,94	4,94	4,94	623	697	766	836	911	994	7,562	8,518	9,366	9,366	11,137	12,142	116	4,44	4,94	4,94	4,94	4,94	945	1,060	1,165	1,271	1,385	1,511	Max 2015 to 2019 GPCD update	7,562	8,518	9,366	9,366	11,137	12,142	116	4,44	4,94	4,94	4,94	4,94	945	1,060	1,165	1,271	1,385	1,511	Y	Recommended	Region requested to revise the baseline GPCD using the maximum from 2015 to 2019	
F	MIDLAND	6198	Airline Mobile Home Park Ltd	0.5	1,829	2,086	2,342	2,530	2,727	2,930	92	4,37	4,87	4,87	4,87	4,87	180	204	229	247	266	286	1,829	2,086	2,342	2,530	2,727	2,930	139	4,37	4,87	4,87	4,87	4,87	260	440	440	440	440	440	Max 2015 to 2019 GPCD update	1,829	2,086	2,342	2,530	2,727	2,930	139	4,37	4,87	4,87	4,87	4,87	260	440	440	440	440	440	440	Y	Recommended	Region requested to revise the baseline GPCD using the maximum from 2015 to 2019
F	REEVES	6210	Balmorhea	1.0	391	440	487	517	550	587	352	4,86	5,36	5,36	5,36	5,36	152	171	189	201	214	228	391	440	487	517	550	587	428	4,86	5,36	5,36	5,36	5,36	185	208	211	245	260	278	Max 2015 to 2019 GPCD update	391	440	487	517	550	587	428	4,86	5,36	5,3												

G	LEE	1318	Lexington	1,394	1,414	1,393	1,366	1,335	1,302	160	4,77	5.34	5.34	5.34	5.34	5.34	242	245	241	237	231	226	1,951	1,979	1,950	1,912	1,869	1,823	177	4,77	5.34	5.34	5.34	5.34	5.34	376	381	375	368	359	351	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	The requested 2030 population in reasonable compared to WUS historical connection growth and Census PRHH rates and requested growth rates are reasonable compared to WUS historical connection growth and growth rates. GPCD recommended based on 2020 water use for the WUG.	9/18/2023																											
G	WILLIAMSON	1321	Liberty Hill	6,772	9,121	12,484	16,154	20,286	24,936	96	4,00	4.45	4.45	4.45	4.45	4.45	446	935	1,280	1,657	2,080	2,557	6,367	9,260	12,675	16,400	20,596	25,316	111	4,06	4,45	4,45	4,45	4,45	4,45	763	1,105	1,513	1,957	2,458	3,021	Based on requested adjustment for demographic undercut and based on requested modification to baseline GPCD.	1,951	1,979	1,950	1,912	1,869	1,823	177	4,77	5.34	5.34	5.34	5.34	5.34	376	381	375	368	359	351	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Population adjusted for demographic undercut. GPCD recommended based on 2020 water use for the WUG.	9/1/2023							
G	BELL	1329	Bell County WCD 2	1,758	1,862	1,941	1,984	2,033	2,090	122	4,36	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.89	489	232	244	255	260	267	274	1,796	1,802	1,883	2,027	2,077	2,135	175	4,36	4,89	4,89	4,89	4,89	4,89	343	362	378	386	396	407	Based on requested adjustment for demographic undercut and based on requested modification to baseline GPCD.	1,796	1,802	1,983	2,027	2,077	2,135	175	4,36	4,89	4,89	4,89	4,89	4,89	343	362	378	386	396	407	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Population adjusted for demographic undercut. GPCD recommended based on 2011 water use for the WUG.	9/1/2023						
G	LAMPASAS	1591	Cortx Utilities Texas Inc	2,653	2,749	2,762	2,730	2,694	2,654	144	4,68	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.25	414	427	429	424	419	412	7,252	7,514	7,550	7,463	7,365	7,256	170	4,68	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.25	1,343	1,387	1,393	1,377	1,359	1,339	Based on WUG-specific requests for revisions to population and requested adjustments for demographic undercut and based on requested modification to baseline GPCD.	5,553	5,754	5,781	5,714	5,639	5,555	170	4,68	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.25	1,028	1,062	1,067	1,054	1,041	1,025	Y	Revised	Y	Recommended	Region C provided current number of meters plus level of development to estimate the number of connections by 2030. A 3.5 PRHH multiplier was applied to estimate 2030 population, which is not recommended. Instead, a county-specific PRHH multiplier from the U.S. Census Bureau was applied to estimate 2030 population and the TWDB projected growth rate, as requested by Region C, were applied to project 2040-2080. GPCD recommended based on 2020 water use for the WUG.	8/30/2023							
G	WASHINGTON	1591	Cortx Utilities Texas Inc	3,752	3,870	3,992	4,117	4,246	4,370	144	4,68	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.25	586	601	620	640	660	681	4,918	5,073	5,233	5,397	5,566	5,740	170	4,68	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.25	911	936	966	996	1,027	1,059	Based on WUG-specific requests for revisions to population and requested adjustments for demographic undercut and based on requested modification to baseline GPCD.	3,372	3,478	3,588	3,700	3,816	3,936	170	4,68	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.25	624	642	662	683	704	726	Y	Revised	Y	Recommended	Region C provided current number of meters plus level of development to estimate the number of connections by 2030. A 3.5 PRHH multiplier was applied to estimate 2030 population, which is not recommended. Instead, a county-specific PRHH multiplier from the U.S. Census Bureau was applied to estimate 2030 population and the TWDB projected growth rate, as requested by Region C, were applied to project 2040-2080. GPCD recommended based on 2020 water use for the WUG.	8/30/2023							
G	MCLENNAN	1597	Lorena	2,810	2,949	3,069	3,176	3,299	3,441	146	4,63	5.34	5.34	5.34	5.34	5.34	445	465	484	500	520	542	2,063	2,004	2,126	2,236	2,361	2,506	171	4,63	5.34	5.34	5.34	5.34	5.34	557	580	600	624	651	681	Based on requested adjustment for demographic undercut and based on requested modification to baseline GPCD.	2,863	3,004	3,126	3,236	3,361	3,506	171	4,63	5.34	5.34	5.34	5.34	5.34	557	580	600	624	651	681	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Population adjusted for demographic undercut. GPCD recommended based on 2020 water use for the WUG.	9/1/2023							
G	WILLIAMSON	1797	Marville WSC	8,108	8,193	8,268	8,371	8,471	8,573	140	4,68	5.35	5.35	5.35	5.35	5.35	1,229	1,236	1,247	1,263	1,278	1,293	8,232	8,318	8,395	8,499	8,600	8,703	140	4,68	5.35	5.35	5.35	5.35	5.35	1,248	1,255	1,266	1,282	1,297	1,313	Based on requested O-5 migration scenario and requested adjustment for demographic undercut and based on requested modification to baseline GPCD.	8,320	8,318	8,395	8,499	8,600	8,703	140	4,68	5.35	5.35	5.35	5.35	5.35	1,248	1,255	1,266	1,282	1,297	1,313	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Population adjusted for demographic undercut. GPCD recommended based on historical population and net use for the baseline WUG.	9/1/2023							
G	FALLS	1801	Marlin	4,356	3,971	3,620	3,300	3,009	2,743	245	4,62	5.17	5.17	5.17	5.17	5.17	1,173	1,067	972	887	808	737	4,571	4,317	4,104	3,924	3,839	3,890	267	4,62	5.17	5.17	5.17	5.17	5.17	1,343	1,266	1,204	1,151	1,126	1,141	Based on requested adjustment for demographic undercut and based on requested modification to baseline GPCD.	4,571	4,317	4,104	3,924	3,839	3,890	267	4,62	5.17	5.17	5.17	5.17	5.17	1,343	1,266	1,204	1,151	1,126	1,141	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Population adjusted for demographic undercut. GPCD recommended based on 2027 water use for the WUG.	9/1/2023							
G	MCLENNAN	1802	Mart	1,765	1,662	1,576	1,434	1,282	1,118	133	4,78	5.45	5.45	5.45	5.45	5.45	253	237	225	205	183	160	1,788	1,693	1,606	1,461	1,306	1,139	233	4,78	5.45	5.45	5.45	5.45	5.45	460	432	409	372	333	290	Based on requested modification to baseline GPCD.	1,798	1,693	1,606	1,461	1,306	1,139	233	4,78	5.45	5.45	5.45	5.45	5.45	460	432	409	372	333	290	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Population adjusted for demographic undercut. GPCD recommended based on 2027 water use for the WUG.	9/1/2023							
G	MCLENNAN	1816	McGregor	5,717	6,038	6,316	6,576	6,874	7,215	138	4,78	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40	451	480	513	531	531	531	531	223	216	208	202	193	183	1,758	1,716	1,652	1,594	1,528	1,455	145	4,72	5.31	5.31	5.31	5.31	5.31	276	269	258	249	238	228	Based on WUG-specific requests for revisions to population and requested adjustments for demographic undercut and based on requested modification to baseline GPCD.	5,961	10,520	11,005	11,458	11,977	12,573	238	4,78	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40	5.40	2,602	2,741	2,867	2,985	3,121	3,276	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Region requested to revise the 2030 population projections based on an estimate of planned housing development and projected new industrial jobs. The region population is projected to increase by 2030, currently under development and planned future development. Because the regional population required in 2030 is higher than the potential population for current and planned housing development, the requested recommended draft growth rates will be applied to projected subsequent decades. GPCD recommended based on 2016 water use for the WUG.	9/18/2023
G	BOSQUE	1829	Meridian	1,739	1,698	1,634	1,577	1,512	1,439	119	4,72	5.31	5.31	5.31	5.31	5.31	223	216	208	202	193	183	1,758	1,716	1,652	1,594	1,528	1,455	145	4,72	5.31	5.31	5.31	5.31	5.31	276	269	258	249	238	228	Based on requested adjustment for demographic undercut and based on requested modification to baseline GPCD.	1,758	1,																														

H	Fort Bend	6311	Fort Bend County MUD 46	1.0 Scenario	2,649 3,345 3,345 3,345 3,345 3,345 231 4.61 5.26 5.26 5.26 5.26 5.26 675 850 850 850 850 850 2,479 2,712 2,895 2,983 2,990 2,998 232 4.61 5.26 5.26 5.26 5.26 5.26 631 689 793 758 759 761	RWPG proposes HCG-FB03 2023 JPRR as basis for population projection.	2,479 2,712 2,895 2,983 2,990 2,998 232 4.61 5.26 5.26 5.26 5.26 5.26 631 689 793 758 759 761 Y Recommended	TWOB recommends Region H's request to use own study (2023 JPRR) to project population.	8/29/2023
H	Fort Bend	6302	Fort Bend County MUD 149	1.0 Scenario	5,528 5,528 5,528 5,528 5,528 5,528 91 3.04 3.64 3.64 3.64 3.64 3.64 545 541 541 541 541 541 5,158 5,173 5,321 5,332 5,354 5,448 218 3.04 3.64 3.64 3.64 3.64 3.64 1,242 1,242 1,278 1,280 1,286 1,308	RWPG proposes HCG-FB03 2023 JPRR as basis for population projection. Adjust the baseline to reference to the updated TWOB estimate for year 2025 per capita use, the second highest value. The full dataset shows high variability in per capita usage, possibly due to rapid population growth.	5,158 5,173 5,321 5,332 5,354 5,448 280 3.04 3.64 3.64 3.64 3.64 3.64 1,600 1,601 1,647 1,651 1,657 1,668 Y Recommended	TWOB recommends Region H's request to use own study (2023 JPRR) to project population. The projected GPCD from the regions description of their request nor aligns with the TWOB population estimate.	8/29/2023
H	Fort Bend	6508	Royal Valley Utilities	1.0 Scenario	3,000 3,000 3,000 3,000 3,000 3,000 280 3.31 3.98 3.98 3.98 3.98 3.98 930 928 928 928 928 928 2,900 3,214 3,350 3,811 3,899 4,092 280 3.31 3.98 3.98 3.98 3.98 3.98 899 994 1,036 1,178 1,206 1,240	RWPG proposes HCG-FB03 2023 JPRR as basis for population projection.	2,900 3,214 3,350 3,811 3,899 4,092 280 3.31 3.98 3.98 3.98 3.98 3.98 899 994 1,036 1,178 1,206 1,245 Y Recommended	TWOB recommends Region H's request to use own study (2023 JPRR) to project population.	8/29/2023
H	Fort Bend	6382	Kendleton	1.0 Scenario	358 454 569 695 833 985 285 5.38 5.98 5.98 5.98 5.98 5.98 112 142 178 217 260 308 287 1,573 1,573 1,573 1,573 1,643 1,687 285 5.38 5.98 5.98 5.98 5.98 5.98 90 492 492 492 514 527	RWPG proposes HCG-FB03 2023 JPRR as basis for population projection.	287 1,573 1,573 1,573 1,643 1,687 285 5.38 5.98 5.98 5.98 5.98 5.98 90 492 492 492 514 527 Y Recommended	TWOB recommends Region H's request to use own study (2023 JPRR) to project population.	8/29/2023
H	Fort Bend	6558	TDCI Jester Units	1.0 Scenario	2,177 2,177 2,177 2,177 2,177 2,177 326 4.37 5.03 5.03 5.03 5.03 5.03 784 783 783 783 783 783 1,521 1,521 1,521 1,521 1,521 1,521 326 4.37 5.03 5.03 5.03 5.03 5.03 548 547 547 547 547 547	RWPG proposes HCG-FB03 2023 JPRR with adjustments made to the baseline for population projection. Updating population projection to reflect the impact of L231 equal to TWOB historical estimate through 2019 and reasonable for estimated capacity of 547.	1,521 1,521 1,521 1,521 1,521 1,521 326 4.37 5.03 5.03 5.03 5.03 5.03 548 547 547 547 547 547 Y Recommended	TWOB recommends Region H's request to use own study (2023 JPRR) to project population with adjustments to the projected capacity based on historical counts and recent trends.	8/29/2023
H	Fort Bend	6299	Fort Bend County MUD 115	1.0 Scenario	1,851 2,333 2,333 2,333 2,333 2,333 425 5.09 5.92 5.92 5.92 5.92 5.92 872 1,095 1,095 1,095 1,095 1,095 1,489 1,506 1,652 1,681 1,738 1,777 425 5.09 5.92 5.92 5.92 5.92 5.92 700 707 775 789 816 834	RWPG proposes HCG-FB03 2023 JPRR as basis for population projection.	1,489 1,506 1,652 1,681 1,738 1,777 425 5.09 5.92 5.92 5.92 5.92 5.92 700 707 775 789 816 834 Y Recommended	TWOB recommends Region H's request to use own study (2023 JPRR) to project population.	8/29/2023
H	Fort Bend	6316	Fort Bend County WCID 3	1.0 Scenario	792 1,001 1,255 1,255 1,255 1,255 526 4.66 5.26 5.26 5.26 5.26 5.26 463 584 732 732 732 732 617 618 618 618 668 700 526 4,66 5.26 5.26 5.26 5.26 5.26 360 360 360 360 360 360 408	RWPG proposes HCG-FB03 2023 JPRR as basis for population projection.	617 618 618 618 668 700 526 4,66 5.26 5.26 5.26 5.26 5.26 360 360 360 360 360 360 408 Y Recommended	TWOB recommends Region H's request to use own study (2023 JPRR) to project population.	8/29/2023
H	Fort Bend	3143	Fort Bend County MUD 81	1.0 Scenario	2,832 3,585 4,498 5,493 6,584 7,781 515 4.75 5.29 5.29 5.29 5.29 5.29 1,682 2,127 2,669 3,259 3,907 4,617 2,568 2,568 3,747 4,602 5,069 5,396 515 4.75 5.29 5.29 5.29 5.29 5.29 1,625 1,524 2,223 2,731 3,008 3,202	RWPG proposes HCG-FB03 2023 JPRR as basis for population projection.	2,568 2,568 3,747 4,602 5,069 5,396 515 4.75 5.29 5.29 5.29 5.29 5.29 1,525 1,524 2,223 2,731 3,008 3,202 Y Recommended	TWOB recommends Region H's request to use own study (2023 JPRR) to project population.	8/29/2023
H	Galveston	210	Baciff MUD	1.0 Scenario	9,206 10,416 11,580 12,630 13,782 15,047 67 4.34 4.84 4.84 4.84 4.84 4.84 646 725 806 879 960 1,048 9,095 9,397 9,574 9,703 9,722 9,834 75 4.34 4.84 4.84 4.84 4.84 4.84 720 739 752 763 768 773	RWPG proposes HCG-FB03 2023 JPRR as basis for population projection. Adjust the baseline to reference to the updated TWOB estimate for year 2015 per capita use. The draft baseline underestimates demand relative to recent historic usage. Note that some recent years display higher per capita than 2015, but may be artifacts of uncertainty in population.	9,095 9,397 9,574 9,703 9,722 9,834 75 4.34 4.84 4.84 4.84 4.84 4.84 720 739 752 763 768 773 Y Recommended	TWOB recommends Region H's request to use own study (2023 JPRR) to project population. GPCD recommended based on 2011 GPCD minus annualized PC savings.	8/29/2023
H	Galveston	6217	Bayview MUD	1.0 Scenario	1,390 1,260 1,135 1,007 869 720 78 4.40 4.98 4.98 4.98 4.98 4.98 115 103 93 82 71 59 1,458 1,546 1,600 1,633 1,655 1,675 78 4.40 4.98 4.98 4.98 4.98 4.98 120 126 131 134 135	RWPG proposes HCG-FB03 2023 JPRR as basis for population projection.	1,458 1,546 1,600 1,633 1,655 1,675 78 4.40 4.98 4.98 4.98 4.98 4.98 120 126 131 134 135 Y Recommended	TWOB recommends Region H's request to use own study (2023 JPRR) to project population.	8/29/2023
H	Galveston	59	Galveston County WCID 1	1.0 Scenario	27,220 29,656 31,997 34,058 36,329 38,830 100 4.19 4.72 4.72 4.72 4.72 4.72 2,921 3,165 3,415 3,635 3,877 4,144 26,453 27,401 27,883 28,220 28,472 28,677 100 4.19 4.72 4.72 4.72 4.72 4.72 2,839 2,924 2,976 3,012 3,039 3,061	RWPG proposes HCG-FB03 2023 JPRR as basis for population projection. Adjust the baseline to reference to the updated TWOB estimate for year 2015 per capita use. The draft baseline underestimates demand relative to recent historic usage. Note that some recent years display higher per capita than 2015, but may be artifacts of uncertainty in population.	26,453 27,401 27,883 28,220 28,472 28,677 100 4.19 4.72 4.72 4.72 4.72 4.72 2,839 2,924 2,976 3,012 3,039 3,061 Y Recommended	TWOB recommends Region H's request to use own study (2023 JPRR) to project population.	8/29/2023
H	Galveston	950	Irtochuk	1.0 Scenario	7,349 7,523 7,785 7,981 8,222 8,482 99 4.59 5.22 5.22 5.22 5.22 5.22 767 790 818 839 864 891 7,403 7,630 7,646 7,684 7,748 7,800 105 4.59 5.22 5.22 5.22 5.22 5.22 833 854 855 859 866 872	RWPG proposes HCG-FB03 2023 JPRR as basis for population projection. Adjust the baseline to reference to the updated TWOB estimate for year 2015 per capita use. The draft baseline underestimates demand relative to recent historic usage. Note that some recent years display higher per capita than 2015, but may be artifacts of uncertainty in population.	7,403 7,630 7,646 7,684 7,748 7,800 105 4.59 5.22 5.22 5.22 5.22 5.22 833 854 855 859 866 872 Y Recommended	TWOB recommends Region H's request to use own study (2023 JPRR) to project population. GPCD recommended based on 2011 GPCD minus annualized PC savings.	8/29/2023
H	Galveston	2332	Galveston County WCID 8	1.0 Scenario	3,409 2,825 2,340 1,927 1,588 1,309 95 4.61 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 345 284 235 194 160 132 4,276 4,378 4,446 4,465 4,476 4,488 114 4.61 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 524 533 541 544 545 547	RWPG proposes HCG-FB03 2023 JPRR as basis for population projection. Adjust the baseline to reference to the updated TWOB estimate for year 2015 per capita use. The prior estimated year 2015 per capita use is lower than the TWOB estimate, which results in an overestimate of demand relative to recent historic usage. Note that some recent years display higher per capita than 2015, but may be artifacts of uncertainty in population.	4,276 4,378 4,446 4,465 4,476 4,488 114 4.61 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 524 533 541 544 545 547 Y Recommended	TWOB recommends Region H's request to use own study (2023 JPRR) to project population. GPCD recommended based on 2011 GPCD minus annualized PC savings.	8/29/2023
H	Galveston	1399	League City	1.0 Scenario	134,775 162,244 188,718 213,036 239,645 268,776 120 4.35 4.89 4.89 4.89 4.89 4.89 17,459 20,920 24,333 27,469 30,900 34,656 119,635 125,140 128,569 130,498 131,855 133,126 120 4.35 4.89 4.89 4.89 4.89 4.89 16,136 16,578 16,826 17,001 17,165	RWPG proposes HCG-FB03 2023 JPRR as basis for population projection.	119,635 125,140 128,569 130,498 131,855 133,126 120 4.35 4.89 4.89 4.89 4.89 4.89 16,136 16,578 16,826 17,001 17,165 Y Recommended	TWOB recommends Region H's request to use own study (2023 JPRR) to project population.	8/29/2023
H	Galveston	2516	Texas City	1.0 Scenario	59,328 66,687 71,378 79,563 86,358 93,820 124 4.61 5.24 5.24 5.24 5.24 5.24 7,934 8,845 9,761 10,384 11,488 12,481 57,263 59,723 61,462 62,597 63,368 64,047 124 4.61 5.24 5.24 5.24 5.24 5.24 7,658 7,945 8,176 8,327 8,430 8,520	RWPG proposes HCG-FB03 2023 JPRR as basis for population projection. Adjust the baseline to reference to the updated TWOB estimate for year 2015 per capita use. The year 2011 underestimate of demand relative to recent historic usage. Separate analysis suggests 2011 values should be higher.	57,263 59,723 61,462 62,597 63,368 64,047 124 4.61 5.24 5.24 5.24 5.24 5.24 7,658 7,945 8,176 8,327 8,430 8,520 Y Recommended	TWOB recommends Region H's request to use own study (2023 JPRR) to project population. GPCD recommended based on 2011 GPCD minus annualized PC savings.	8/29/2023
H	Galveston	1281	La Marque	1.0 Scenario	20,011 23,067 26,009 28,682 31,613 34,826 140 4.52 5.02 5.02 5.02 5.02 5.02 3,037 3,488 3,932 4,317 4,780 5,266 18,972 20,245 20,868 21,292 21,555 21,794 184 4,52 5.02 5.02 5.02 5.02 5.02 3,814 4,050 4,184 4,267 4,321 4,369	RWPG proposes HCG-FB03 2023 JPRR as basis for population projection. Adjust the baseline			

H	Montgomery	6580 Valley Ranch MUD 1	10 Scenario	3,583 3,583 3,583 3,583 3,583 3,583 101 4,05 4,59 4,59 4,59 4,59 4,59 389 387 387 387 387 3,322 3,849 4,145 4,280 4,413 4,554 101 4,05 4,59 4,59 4,59 4,59 4,59 361 416 448 462 477 492	RWPG proposes HCSO-FBSD 2023 JPRP as basic for population projection.	3,322 3,849 4,145 4,280 4,413 4,554 101 4,05 4,59 4,59 4,59 4,59 4,59 361 416 448 462 477 492 Y Recommended	TWOB recommends Region H's request to use own study (2023 JPRP) to project population.	8/29/2023
H	Montgomery	6249 Chateau Woods MUD	10 Scenario	2,900 3,646 3,646 3,646 3,646 3,646 102 4,07 4,52 4,52 4,52 4,52 4,52 318 398 398 398 398 3,099 4,100 4,166 4,277 4,332 4,451 102 4,07 4,52 4,52 4,52 4,52 4,52 340 448 455 467 473 486	RWPG proposes HCSO-FBSD 2023 JPRP as basic for population projection.	3,099 4,100 4,166 4,277 4,332 4,451 102 4,07 4,52 4,52 4,52 4,52 4,52 340 448 455 467 473 486 Y Recommended	TWOB recommends Region H's request to use own study (2023 JPRP) to project population.	8/29/2023
H	Montgomery	6436 Montgomery County MUD 98	10 Scenario	2,782 2,782 2,782 2,782 2,782 2,782 102 3,93 4,44 4,44 4,44 4,44 4,44 306 304 304 304 304 2,437 2,502 2,576 2,654 2,734 2,816 102 3,93 4,44 4,44 4,44 4,44 4,44 268 273 282 290 299 308	RWPG proposes HCSO-FBSD 2023 JPRP as basic for population projection.	2,437 2,502 2,576 2,654 2,734 2,816 102 3,93 4,44 4,44 4,44 4,44 4,44 268 273 282 290 299 308 Y Recommended	TWOB recommends Region H's request to use own study (2023 JPRP) to project population.	8/29/2023
H	Montgomery	2641 Wood Branch Village	10 Scenario	1,569 1,974 2,444 2,942 3,487 4,085 69 4,72 5,06 5,06 5,06 5,06 5,06 113 141 175 211 250 293 1,664 2,122 2,699 3,599 3,706 3,813 103 4,72 5,06 5,06 5,06 5,06 5,06 183 233 296 395 407 418	RWPG proposes HCSO-FBSD 2023 JPRP as basic for population projection. Adjust the baseline to reference the updated TWOB estimate for year 2023 per capita use. The draft baseline underestimates demand relative to recent historic usage.	1,664 2,122 2,699 3,599 3,706 3,813 103 4,72 5,06 5,06 5,06 5,06 5,06 183 233 296 395 407 418 Y Recommended Y Recommended	TWOB recommends Region H's request to use own study (2023 JPRP) to project population.	8/29/2023
H	Montgomery	6387 Lake Conroe Hills MUD	10 Scenario	1,847 2,323 2,877 3,463 4,104 4,809 105 4,24 4,70 4,70 4,70 4,70 4,70 208 261 323 389 461 540 1,709 2,014 2,083 2,153 2,224 2,298 105 4,24 4,70 4,70 4,70 4,70 4,70 193 226 234 242 250 258	RWPG proposes HCSO-FBSD 2023 JPRP as basic for population projection.	1,709 2,014 2,083 2,153 2,224 2,298 105 4,24 4,70 4,70 4,70 4,70 4,70 193 226 234 242 250 258 Y Recommended	TWOB recommends Region H's request to use own study (2023 JPRP) to project population.	8/29/2023
H	Montgomery	581 County Other, Montgomery	10 Scenario	181,252 222,879 263,314 285,260 282,070 238,519 110 3,84 4,31 4,31 4,31 4,31 4,31 21,553 26,386 31,173 33,771 33,394 28,238 211,193 281,172 351,167 406,478 447,894 476,103 110 3,84 4,31 4,31 4,31 4,31 4,31 25,114 33,287 41,645 48,172 53,025 56,365	RWPG proposes HCSO-FBSD 2023 JPRP as basic for population projection. Adjust the baseline to reference the updated TWOB estimate for year 2023 per capita use. The draft baseline underestimates demand relative to recent historic usage.	211,193 281,172 351,167 406,478 447,894 476,103 110 3,84 4,31 4,31 4,31 4,31 4,31 25,114 33,287 41,645 48,172 53,025 56,365 Y Recommended	TWOB recommends Region H's request to use own study (2023 JPRP) to project population. GPCD recommended based on 2013 GPCD minus annualized PC savings.	8/29/2023
H	Montgomery	2391 Spring Creek UD	10 Scenario	10,989 11,823 17,115 20,597 24,417 28,608 79 4,82 5,45 5,45 5,45 5,45 5,45 913 1,139 1,410 1,697 2,012 2,307 10,112 10,432 10,792 11,128 11,468 11,789 112 4,82 5,45 5,45 5,45 5,45 5,45 1,214 1,245 1,288 1,328 1,369 1,407	RWPG proposes HCSO-FBSD 2023 JPRP as basic for population projection.	10,112 10,432 10,792 11,128 11,468 11,789 112 4,82 5,45 5,45 5,45 5,45 5,45 1,214 1,245 1,288 1,328 1,369 1,407 Y Recommended Y Recommended	TWOB recommends Region H's request to use own study (2023 JPRP) to project population.	8/29/2023
H	Montgomery	10122 East Montgomery County MUD 6	10 Scenario	2,991 3,763 4,659 5,607 6,647 7,788 117 3,05 3,49 3,49 3,49 3,49 3,49 382 478 592 713 845 990 2,159 2,777 2,588 3,167 3,622 3,561 117 3,05 3,49 3,49 3,49 3,49 3,49 276 290 329 403 461 453	RWPG proposes HCSO-FBSD 2023 JPRP as basic for population projection. Revised population projection based on WUG data. Adjust the baseline to reference the updated TWOB estimate for year 2023 per capita use. The draft baseline underestimates demand relative to recent historic usage.	2,159 2,777 2,588 3,167 3,622 3,561 117 3,05 3,49 3,49 3,49 3,49 3,49 276 290 329 403 461 453 Y Recommended	TWOB recommends Region H's request to use own study (2023 JPRP) to project population plus an adjusted projection to account for an incorrect population from two sources. GPCD recommended based on 2013 GPCD minus annualized PC savings.	8/29/2023
H	Montgomery	2381 Southern Montgomery County MUD	10 Scenario	13,714 17,242 21,338 25,671 30,425 35,640 103 4,79 5,44 5,44 5,44 5,44 5,44 1,509 1,884 2,332 2,805 3,325 3,895 9,795 10,240 10,800 10,336 10,626 10,837 118 4,79 5,44 5,44 5,44 5,44 5,44 1,242 1,291 1,299 1,303 1,340 1,366	RWPG proposes HCSO-FBSD 2023 JPRP as basic for population projection. Adjust the baseline to reference the updated TWOB estimate for year 2023 per capita use. The draft baseline underestimates demand relative to recent historic usage.	9,795 10,240 10,800 10,336 10,626 10,837 118 4,79 5,44 5,44 5,44 5,44 5,44 1,242 1,291 1,299 1,303 1,340 1,366 Y Recommended Y Recommended	TWOB recommends Region H's request to use own study (2023 JPRP) to project population plus an adjusted projection to account for an incorrect population from two sources. GPCD recommended based on 2013 GPCD minus annualized PC savings.	8/29/2023
H	Montgomery	6367 HWY SUD	10 Scenario	8,838 11,116 11,116 11,116 11,116 11,116 119 4,67 5,18 5,18 5,18 5,18 5,18 1,132 1,417 1,417 1,417 1,417 1,417 7,402 8,713 9,986 10,760 11,369 11,851 119 4,67 5,18 5,18 5,18 5,18 5,18 948 1,111 1,273 1,372 1,449 1,511	RWPG proposes HCSO-FBSD 2023 JPRP as basic for population projection.	7,402 8,713 9,986 10,760 11,369 11,851 119 4,67 5,18 5,18 5,18 5,18 5,18 948 1,111 1,273 1,372 1,449 1,511 Y Recommended	TWOB recommends Region H's request to use own study (2023 JPRP) to project population.	8/29/2023
H	Montgomery	10148 Wood Trace MUD 1	10 Scenario	1,939 2,439 3,019 3,634 4,307 5,046 123 2,69 3,12 3,12 3,12 3,12 3,12 261 328 405 488 578 678 1,568 1,607 1,656 1,759 1,850 1,919 123 2,69 3,12 3,12 3,12 3,12 211 216 222 236 248	RWPG proposes HCSO-FBSD 2023 JPRP as basic for population projection. Adjust the baseline to reference the updated TWOB estimate for year 2023 per capita use. The draft baseline underestimates demand relative to recent historic usage.	1,568 1,607 1,656 1,759 1,850 1,919 123 2,69 3,12 3,12 3,12 3,12 3,12 211 216 222 236 248 Y Recommended	TWOB recommends Region H's request to use own study (2023 JPRP) to project population. GPCD recommended based on 2013 GPCD minus annualized PC savings.	8/29/2023
H	Montgomery	2623 Willis	10 Scenario	6,846 8,603 10,644 12,803 15,171 17,770 112 4,79 5,37 5,37 5,37 5,37 5,37 822 1,028 1,771 1,529 1,812 2,122 6,593 7,061 7,519 7,913 8,252 8,507 125 4,79 5,37 5,37 5,37 5,37 5,37 888 946 1,008 1,060 1,106 1,140	RWPG proposes HCSO-FBSD 2023 JPRP as basic for population projection. Adjust the baseline to reference the updated TWOB estimate for year 2023 per capita use. The draft baseline underestimates demand relative to recent historic usage.	6,593 7,061 7,519 7,913 8,252 8,507 125 4,79 5,37 5,37 5,37 5,37 5,37 888 946 1,008 1,060 1,106 1,140 Y Recommended Y Recommended	TWOB recommends Region H's request to use own study (2023 JPRP) to project population.	8/29/2023
H	Montgomery	6349 Harris-Montgomery Counties MUD 386	10 Scenario	3,046 3,046 3,046 3,046 3,046 3,046 127 3,88 4,42 4,42 4,42 4,42 4,42 420 418 418 418 418 2,710 2,818 2,822 2,831 2,853 3,027 127 3,88 4,42 4,42 4,42 4,42 4,42 374 387 387 389 392 416	RWPG proposes HCSO-FBSD 2023 JPRP as basic for population projection.	2,710 2,818 2,822 2,831 2,853 3,027 127 3,88 4,42 4,42 4,42 4,42 4,42 374 387 387 389 392 416 Y Recommended	TWOB recommends Region H's request to use own study (2023 JPRP) to project population.	8/29/2023
H	Montgomery	10139 Montgomery County MUD 94	10 Scenario	6,364 8,007 9,913 11,931 14,143 16,570 127 3,82 4,39 4,39 4,39 4,39 4,39 878 1,100 1,361 1,639 1,942 2,276 5,779 6,252 6,476 6,706 6,938 7,180 127 3,82 4,39 4,39 4,39 4,39 4,39 797 859 889 921 953 986	RWPG proposes HCSO-FBSD 2023 JPRP as basic for population projection. Adjust the baseline to reference the updated TWOB estimate for year 2023 per capita use. The draft baseline underestimates demand relative to recent historic usage.	5,779 6,252 6,476 6,706 6,938 7,180 127 3,82 4,39 4,39 4,39 4,39 4,39 797 859 889 921 953 986 Y Recommended	TWOB recommends Region H's request to use own study (2023 JPRP) to project population.	8/29/2023
H	Montgomery	3019 Kings Manor MUD	10 Scenario	2,781 2,781 2,781 2,781 2,781 2,781 105 4,34 5,09 5,09 5,09 5,09 5,09 314 311 311 311 311 2,954 3,014 3,082 3,154 3,226 3,304 128 4,34 5,09 5,09 5,09 5,09 5,09 409 415 424 434 444 455	RWPG proposes HCSO-FBSD 2023 JPRP as basic for population projection. Adjust the baseline to reference the updated TWOB estimate for year 2023 per capita use. The draft baseline underestimates demand relative to recent historic usage.	2,954 3,014 3,082 3,154 3,226 3,304 128 4,34 5,09 5,09 5,09 5,09 5,09 409 415 424 434 444 455 Y Recommended	TWOB recommends Region H's request to use own study (2023 JPRP) to project population.	8/29/2023
H	Montgomery	2294 Roman Forest Consolidated MUD	10 Scenario	1,939 2,439 3,019 3,634 4,307 5,046 128 4,48 4,84 4,84 4,84 4,84 4,84 268 336 416 501 594 695 1,607 2,178 2,763 3,564 3,940 3,958 128 4,48 4,84 4,84 4,84 4,84 4,84 222 300 381 492 544 546	RWPG proposes HCSO-FBSD 2023 JPRP as basic for population projection.	1,607 2,178 2,763 3,564 3,940 3,958 128 4,48 4,84 4,84 4,84 4,84 4,84 222 300 381 492 544 546 Y Recommended	TWOB recommends Region H's request to use own study (2023 JPRP) to project population.	8/29/2023
H	Montgomery	10134 Montgomery County MUD 126	10 Scenario	2,119 2,665 3,300 3,972 4,708 5,516 100 3,13 3,56 3,56 3,56 3,56				

WUG Info				TWDB Draft Projections																Revisions by RWPG																EA Recommended Projections																TWDB Review																																	
Region	County	EntityId	EntityName	TWDB Draft Scenario (as selected by RWPG)				P2030	P2040	P2050	P2060	P2070	P2080	GPCD	WE2030	WE2040	WE2050	WE2060	WE2070	WE2080	D2030	D2040	D2050	D2060	D2070	D2080	P2030	P2040	P2050	P2060	P2070	P2080	GPCD	WE2030	WE2040	WE2050	WE2060	WE2070	WE2080	D2030	D2040	D2050	D2060	D2070	D2080	RWPG Comment	Population 2030	Population 2040	Population 2050	Population 2060	Population 2070	Population 2080	Base GPCD	Water Efficiency Savings 2030	Water Efficiency Savings 2040	Water Efficiency Savings 2050	Water Efficiency Savings 2060	Water Efficiency Savings 2070	Water Efficiency Savings 2080	Municipal Demands 2030	Municipal Demands 2040	Municipal Demands 2050	Municipal Demands 2060	Municipal Demands 2070	Municipal Demands 2080	Pop Revision?	TWDB Action GPCD	WE Revision?																	
1	ANDERSON	2970	Four Pines WSC	1.0 Scenario	3,351	3,311	3,319	3,287	3,226	3,223	84	4,61	5,25	5,25	5,25	5,25	5,25	298	296	293	299	287	284	3,351	3,351	3,319	3,287	3,223	84	4,61	5,25	5,25	5,25	5,25	298	296	293	299	287	284		3,351	3,351	3,319	3,287	3,223	84	4,61	5,25	5,25	5,25	5,25	298	296	293	299	287	284	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Y	9/6/2023																	
1	ANDERSON	244	Berryville	1.0 Scenario	15	15	15	15	15	15	14	97	4.79	5.26	5.26	5.26	5.26	5.26	2	2	2	2	1	15	15	15	15	15	14	121	4.79	5.26	5.26	5.26	5.26	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	15	15	15	15	15	14	121	4.79	5.26	5.26	5.26	5.26	2	2	2	2	2	2	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Y	9/6/2023															
1	ANDERSON	6326	Iowea WSC	1.0 Scenario	2,823	2,819	2,782	2,747	2,711	2,677	107	4,22	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	325	323	318	314	310	306	2,823	2,819	2,782	2,747	2,711	2,677	107	4,22	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	325	323	318	314	310	306		2,823	2,819	2,782	2,747	2,711	2,677	107	4,22	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	325	323	318	314	310	306	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Y	9/6/2023													
1	ANDERSON	6449	Wardell	1.0 Scenario	1,282	1,279	1,276	1,273	1,271	1,268	148	4.86	5.26	5.26	5.26	5.26	5.26	150	149	147	147	146	145	1,282	1,279	1,276	1,273	1,271	1,268	148	4.86	5.26	5.26	5.26	5.26	5.26	150	149	147	147	146	145		1,282	1,279	1,276	1,273	1,271	1,268	148	4.86	5.26	5.26	5.26	5.26	5.26	150	149	147	147	146	145	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Y	9/6/2023													
1	ANDERSON	4122	Country Other	1.0 Scenario	1,585	4,423	4,283	4,038	3,794	3,476	5,746	5,726	5,726	5,726	5,726	5,726	5,726	620	593	574	542	505	460	1,585	4,423	4,283	4,038	3,794	3,476	5,746	5,726	5,726	5,726	5,726	5,726	5,726	620	593	574	542	505	460		1,585	4,423	4,283	4,038	3,794	3,476	5,746	5,726	5,726	5,726	5,726	5,726	5,726	620	593	574	542	505	460	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Y	9/6/2023													
1	ANDERSON	6453	Nowood WSC	1.0 Scenario	977	976	964	952	940	927	142	5.04	5.62	5.62	5.62	5.62	5.62	150	149	147	147	146	145	977	976	964	952	940	927	142	5.04	5.62	5.62	5.62	5.62	5.62	150	149	147	147	146	145		977	976	964	952	940	927	142	5.04	5.62	5.62	5.62	5.62	5.62	150	149	147	147	146	145	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Y	9/6/2023													
1	ANDERSON	758	Ehrah	1.0 Scenario	1,796	1,795	1,794	1,792	1,792	1,791	156	4.68	5.30	5.30	5.30	5.30	5.30	304	299	296	292	289	284	1,796	1,795	1,794	1,792	1,792	1,791	156	4.68	5.30	5.30	5.30	5.30	5.30	304	299	296	292	289	284		1,796	1,795	1,794	1,792	1,792	1,791	156	4.68	5.30	5.30	5.30	5.30	5.30	304	299	296	292	289	284	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Y	9/6/2023													
1	ANDERSON	6556	TCI Coffey Michael	1.0 Scenario	5,755	5,755	5,755	5,755	5,755	5,755	543	4.92	5.55	5.55	5.55	5.55	5.55	3,469	3,465	3,465	3,465	3,465	3,465	5,755	5,755	5,755	5,755	5,755	5,755	543	4.92	5.55	5.55	5.55	5.55	5.55	3,469	3,465	3,465	3,465	3,465	3,465	5,755	5,755	5,755	5,755	5,755	5,755		5,755	5,755	5,755	5,755	5,755	5,755	543	4.92	5.55	5.55	5.55	5.55	5.55	3,469	3,465	3,465	3,465	3,465	3,465	5,755	5,755	5,755	5,755	5,755	5,755		Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Y	9/6/2023
1	ANDERSON	304	Brushy Creek WSC	1.0 Scenario	2,812	2,808	2,771	2,736	2,701	2,666	77	4.60	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12	228	226	223	220	217	215	2,812	2,808	2,771	2,736	2,701	2,666	77	4.60	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12	228	226	223	220	217	215		2,812	2,808	2,771	2,																																						

WUG Info				TWDB Draft Projections - based on 0.5 scenario																Revisions by RWPG																EA Recommended Projections																TWDB Review																
Region	County	EntityId	EntityName	P2030	P2040	P2050	P2060	P2070	P2080	GPCD	WE2030	WE2040	WE2050	WE2060	WE2070	WE2080	D2030	D2040	D2050	D2060	D2070	D2080	P2030	P2040	P2050	P2060	P2070	P2080	GPCD	WE2030	WE2040	WE2050	WE2060	WE2070	WE2080	D2030	D2040	D2050	D2060	D2070	D2080	RWPG Comment	Population n 2030	Population n 2040	Population n 2050	Population n 2060	Population n 2070	Population n 2080	Base GPCD	Water Efficiency Savings 2030	Water Efficiency Savings 2040	Water Efficiency Savings 2050	Water Efficiency Savings 2060	Water Efficiency Savings 2070	Water Efficiency Savings 2080	Municipal Demands 2030	Municipal Demands 2040	Municipal Demands 2050	Municipal Demands 2060	Municipal Demands 2070	Municipal Demands 2080	Pop Revision?	TWDB Action GPCD	WE Revision?	TWDB Action GPCD	WE Revision?	TWDB Comment (Executive Administrator recommendation to Board Consideration)	Review Date
J	BANDERA	217	Bandera	1,575	1,695	1,858	2,015	2,200	2,422	164	5.01	5.66	5.66	5.66	5.66	5.66	280	301	330	357	390	430	1,949	1,988	2,028	2,069	2,111	2,152	174	5.01	5.66	5.66	5.66	369	375	382	390	398	406	Population derived from 1.0 migration scenario + 5% undercount of Hispanic population + 3.3% undercount of Black population	1,949	1,988	2,028	2,069	2,111	2,152	164	5.01	5.66	5.66	5.66	347	353	360	367	374	382	Y	Recommended	Y	Not Recommended	Population - The TWDB recommends Region J's request to increase county-level population using the 1.0 migration scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations as explained on pages 13-15 of the revision memo. The county-level undercount population was then redistributed by the Region to the WUG-level using the average percentage of the population serviced from each WUG throughout the planning period. GPCD - The draft baseline GPCD of 164, was revised to 174. The TWDB recommends Region J's request to increase county-level population using the 1.0 migration scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations as explained on pages 13-15 of the revision memo. The county-level undercount population was then redistributed by the Region to the WUG-level using the average percentage of the population serviced from each WUG throughout the planning period. GPCD - Region used 2021 RWP GPCD as shown on page 19.	8/17/2023					
J	BANDERA	421	County-Other, Bandera	18,975	18,921	18,765	18,570	18,265	17,805	94	4.83	5.34	5.34	5.34	5.34	5.34	1,895	1,879	1,864	1,844	1,814	1,768	18,492	18,865	19,245	19,634	20,026	20,422	102	4.83	5.34	5.34	5.34	2,013	2,043	2,084	2,126	2,168	2,211	Population derived from 1.0 migration scenario + 5% undercount of Hispanic population + 3.3% undercount of Black population	18,492	18,865	19,245	19,634	20,026	20,422	102	4.83	5.34	5.34	5.34	2,013	2,043	2,084	2,126	2,168	2,211	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Population - The TWDB recommends Region J's request to increase county-level population using the 1.0 migration scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations as explained on pages 13-15 of the revision memo. The county-level undercount population was then redistributed by the Region to the WUG-level using the average percentage of the population serviced from each WUG throughout the planning period. GPCD - Region used 2021 RWP GPCD as shown on page 19.	8/16/2023					
J	BANDERA	6211	Bandera County FWSD 1	510	656	863	1,117	1,455	1,913	186	4.58	4.97	4.97	4.97	4.97	4.97	104	133	175	227	295	388	1,074	1,095	1,117	1,140	1,163	1,186	289	4.58	4.97	4.97	4.97	342	348	356	363	370	377	Population derived from 1.0 migration scenario + 5% undercount of Hispanic population + 3.3% undercount of Black population	1,074	1,095	1,117	1,140	1,163	1,186	289	4.58	4.97	4.97	4.97	342	348	355	363	370	377	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Population - The TWDB recommends Region J's request to increase county-level population using the 1.0 migration scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations as explained on pages 3-4 of the revision memo. The county-level undercount population was then redistributed by the Region to the WUG-level using the average percentage of the population serviced from each WUG throughout the planning period. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max as shown on page 19.	8/16/2023					
J	EDWARDS	480	County-Other, Edwards	491	392	312	265	219	171	99	4.80	5.48	5.48	5.48	5.48	5.48	52	41	33	28	23	18	501	401	321	273	225	177	108	4.8	5.48	5.48	5.48	5.48	58	46	37	31	26	20	Population derived from 0.5 migration scenario + 5% undercount of Hispanic population + 3.3% undercount of Black population	501	401	321	273	225	177	108	4.8	5.48	5.48	5.48	58	46	37	31	26	20	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Population - The TWDB recommends Region J's request to increase county-level population using the 0.5 migration scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations as explained on pages 7-8 of the revision memo. The county-level undercount population was then redistributed by the Region to the WUG-level using the average percentage of the population serviced from each WUG throughout the planning period. GPCD - Region used 2021 RWP GPCD as shown on page 19.	8/16/2023				
J	EDWARDS	2289	Rocksprings	645	517	416	355	292	230	211	4.80	5.34	5.34	5.34	5.34	5.34	149	119	96	82	67	53	666	533	427	364	300	235	239	4.8	5.34	5.34	5.34	175	140	112	95	78	62	Population derived from 0.5 migration scenario + 5% undercount of Hispanic population + 3.3% undercount of Black population	666	533	427	364	300	235	239	4.8	5.34	5.34	5.34	175	140	112	95	79	62	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	Population - The TWDB recommends Region J's request to increase county-level population using the 0.5 migration scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations as explained on pages 7-8 of the revision memo. The county-level undercount population was then redistributed by the Region to the WUG-level using the average percentage of the population serviced from each WUG throughout the planning period. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max as shown on page 19.	8/16/2023					
J	KERR	544	County-Other, Kerr	24,934	22,926	20,302	18,405	16,171	13,473	76	4.78	5.36	5.36	5.36	5.36	5.36	1,989	1,814	1,606	1,456	1,280	1,066	21,157	22,125	22,807	23,899	24,909	26,050	150	4.78	5.36	5.36	5.36	3,442	3,585	3,695	3,872	4,056	4,221	Baseline GPCD revised to 150 on the request of the waterers GCD. Note: Region requested revisions to the population projections based on the 1.0 migration scenario and GPCD as shown on pages 7-9 and 19 of the revision memo.	20,501	21,149	22,100	23,158	24,224	25,244	150	4.78	5.36	5.36	5.36	3,473	3,581	3,752	3,925	4,090	4,261	Y	Revised	Y	Recommended	Population - Region J requested to increase county-level population using the 1.0 migration scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations as explained on pages 7-8 of the revision memo; however, the submitted revisions incorrectly calculated the undercount. The GPCD provided by Kerrville GPCD as shown on pages 7 and 19.	8/17/2023					
J	KERR	1261	Kerrville	24,968	26,922	29,403	32,325	35,590	39,326	178	5.17	5.78	5.78	5.78	5.78	5.78	4,834	5,194	5,672	6,236	6,866	7,586	34,087	35,646	36,745	38,503	40,276	41,972	217	5.17	5.78	5.78	5.78	8,088	8,434	8,694	9,110	9,529	9,930	Draft projections approved by Kerrville. Note: Region requested revisions to the population projections based on the 1.0 migration scenario and GPCD as shown on pages 7-9 and 19 of the revision memo.	33,038	34,549	35,614	37,318	39,037	40,680	217	5.17	5.78	5.78	5.78	7,839	8,174	8,426	8,829	9,236	9,625	Y	Revised	Y	Recommended	Population - Region J requested to increase county-level population using the 1.0 migration scenario with undercount adjustments for Hispanic (5%) and Black (3.3%) populations as explained on pages 7-8 of the revision memo; however, the submitted revisions incorrectly calculated the undercount. The TWDB recommends the corrected calculations and recommends the county-level undercount population redistributed to the WUG-level using the average percentage of the population serviced from each WUG throughout the planning period. GPCD - Region used 2010-2020 historical max as shown on page 19.	8/17/2023					
J	KERR	3022	Kerrville South Water	3,440	3,400	3,366	3,393	3,423	3,456	111	4.70	5.26	5.26	5.26	5.26	5.26	410	403	399	402	405	409	3,714	3,884	4,003	4,195	4,388	4,573	115	4.7	5.26	5.26	5.26	471	490	506	530	554	577	Draft projections approved by Kerrville. Note: Region requested revisions to the population projections based on the 1.0 migration scenario and GPCD as shown on pages 7-9 and 19 of the revision memo.	3,600	3																										

J	REAL	6395	Leakey		227	177	144	118	103	101	122	5.14	5.79	5.79	5.79	5.79	30	23	19	15	13	13	210	179	153	133	113	92	611	5.14	5.79	5.79	5.79	5.79	143	121	104	90	76	63	Population projected using cumulative growth rate data based on 2010-2021 water use surveys.	210	179	153	133	113	92	611	5.14	5.79	5.79	5.79	5.79	143	121	104	90	77	62	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	9/1/2023
J	VALVERDE 38	Del Rio Utilities Commission			25,243	25,631	25,941	26,014	26,075	26,121	250	4.59	5.15	5.15	5.15	5.15	6,939	7,030	7,115	7,135	7,152	7,164	35,932	36,018	36,105	36,191	36,278	36,365	327	4.59	5.15	5.15	5.15	5.15	12,977	12,985	13,016	13,048	13,079	13,110	Population projected using cumulative growth rate data based on 2010-2021 water use surveys.	35,932	36,018	36,105	36,191	36,278	36,365	327	4.59	5.15	5.15	5.15	5.15	12,977	13,017	13,048	13,079	13,110	Y	Recommended	Y	Recommended	8/31/2023	
J	VALVERDE 644	County-Other, Val Verde			21,484	21,850	22,167	22,328	22,503	22,695	117	4.55	5.22	5.22	5.22	5.22	2,706	2,736	2,776	2,796	2,818	2,842	22,507	22,850	23,151	23,260	23,370	23,480	126	4.55	5.22	5.22	5.22	5.22	3,062	3,093	3,132	3,147	3,162	3,177	Draft projections approved by RWPG. Note: Region requested revisions to the population projections and GPCD as shown in pages 16-18 of the revision memo.	17,639	17,915	18,144	18,229	18,315	18,402	126	4.55	5.22	5.22	5.22	5.22	2,400	2,424	2,455	2,466	2,478	2,490	Y	Revised	Y	Recommended	8/31/2023
J	VALVERDE 1307	Lahuin Air Force Base			1,574	1,574	1,574	1,574	1,574	1,574	516	5.50	6.34	6.34	6.34	6.34	900	899	899	899	899	899	4,010	4,010	4,010	4,010	4,010	4,010	533	5.5	6.34	6.34	6.34	6.34	2,360	2,366	2,366	2,366	2,366	2,366	Population projected using cumulative growth rate data based on 2010-2021 water use surveys.	1,640	1,640	1,640	1,640	1,640	1,640	533	5.5	6.34	6.34	6.34	6.34	969	967	967	967	967	Y	Revised	Y	Recommended	8/28/2023	

The RWPG requested to use the 1.0 migration scenario, which is recommended by the TWDB.			The RWPG requested to use the 1.0 migration scenario, which is recommended by the TWDB.			The RWPG requested to use the 1.0 migration scenario, which is recommended by the TWDB.			The RWPG requested to use the 1.0 migration scenario, which is recommended by the TWDB.			The RWPG requested to use the 1.0 migration scenario, which is recommended by the TWDB.			The RWPG requested to use the 1.0 migration scenario, which is recommended by the TWDB.			The RWPG requested to use the 1.0 migration scenario, which is recommended by the TWDB.			The RWPG requested to use the 1.0 migration scenario, which is recommended by the TWDB.																									
O	LUBBOCK	2112 New Deal	1.0 scenario	602	565	531	493	459	426	117	4.64	5.31	5.31	5.31	5.31	5.31	76	71	66	62	57	53	602	565	531	493	459	426	117	4.64	5.31	5.31	5.31	5.31	5.31	76	71	66	62	57	53	Y	Recommended	The RWPG requested to use the 1.0 migration scenario, which is recommended by the TWDB.		
O	LUBBOCK	2251 Ransom Canyon	1.0 scenario	1,048	1,121	1,196	1,288	1,386	1,493	257	4.97	5.44	5.44	5.44	5.44	5.44	296	316	337	363	391	423	1,048	1,121	1,196	1,288	1,386	1,493	257	4.97	5.44	5.44	5.44	5.44	5.44	296	316	337	363	391	423	Y	Recommended	The RWPG requested to use the 1.0 migration scenario, which is recommended by the TWDB.		
O	LUBBOCK	2349 Shallowater	1.0 scenario	3,294	3,688	4,086	4,596	5,142	5,727	135	4.49	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	482	537	595	669	749	834	3,294	3,688	4,086	4,596	5,142	5,727	135	4.49	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	482	537	595	669	749	834	Y	Recommended	The RWPG requested to use the 1.0 migration scenario, which is recommended by the TWDB.		
O	LUBBOCK	2365 Slaton	1.0 scenario	5,665	5,396	5,146	4,849	4,571	4,311	109	4.78	5.39	5.39	5.39	5.39	5.39	661	626	597	563	531	500	5,665	5,396	5,146	4,849	4,571	4,311	109	4.78	5.39	5.39	5.39	5.39	5.39	661	626	597	563	531	500	Y	Recommended	The RWPG requested to use the 1.0 migration scenario, which is recommended by the TWDB.		
O	LUBBOCK	2639 Wolferton	1.0 scenario	6,889	8,651	10,426	12,780	15,289	17,963	150	4.22	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70	1,125	1,408	1,697	2,080	2,488	2,924	16,487	25,847	31,863	34,157	35,898	36,975	150	4.22	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70	2,692	4,207	5,186	5,559	5,843	6,018	Y	Recommended	The RWPG requested to use the 1.0 migration scenario and requested additional population based upon third-party studies concerning growth projections for Tarrant ISD and an impact study concerning possible fee adjustments within the city of Wolferton. Additionally, the migration scenario was partially offset by decreases in Lubbock County C-O where possible.		
O	LYNN	564 County-Other, Lynn	0.5 scenario	2,661	2,605	2,551	2,469	2,385	2,297	104	4.48	5.03	5.03	5.03	5.03	5.03	297	289	283	274	264	255	2,661	2,605	2,551	2,469	2,385	2,297	104	4.48	5.03	5.03	5.03	5.03	5.03	297	289	283	274	264	255	Y	Recommended	The RWPG requested to use the 0.5 scenario, which is recommended by the TWDB.		
O	LYNN	2144 O'Donnell	0.5 scenario	571	559	547	531	512	493	124	4.84	5.41	5.41	5.41	5.41	5.41	76	74	73	71	68	65	571	559	547	531	512	493	124	4.84	5.41	5.41	5.41	5.41	5.41	76	74	73	71	68	65	Y	Recommended	The RWPG requested to use the 0.5 scenario, which is recommended by the TWDB.		
O	LYNN	2505 Tahoka Public Water System	0.5 scenario	2,268	2,223	2,180	2,114	2,046	1,975	151	4.78	5.32	5.32	5.32	5.32	5.32	371	363	356	345	334	322	2,268	2,223	2,180	2,114	2,046	1,975	151	4.78	5.32	5.32	5.32	5.32	5.32	371	363	356	345	334	322	Y	Recommended	The RWPG requested to use the 0.5 scenario, which is recommended by the TWDB.		
O	MOTLEY	584 County-Other, Motley	0.5 scenario	506	469	440	437	434	431	161	4.94	5.48	5.48	5.48	5.48	5.48	88	82	77	76	76	75	506	469	440	437	434	431	161	4.94	5.48	5.48	5.48	5.48	5.48	88	82	77	76	76	75	Y	Recommended	The RWPG requested to use the 0.5 scenario, which is recommended by the TWDB.		
O	MOTLEY	1808 Matador	0.5 scenario	471	436	410	407	404	401	312	4.83	5.37	5.37	5.37	5.37	5.37	162	150	141	140	139	138	471	436	410	407	404	401	312	4.83	5.37	5.37	5.37	5.37	5.37	162	150	141	140	139	138	Y	Recommended	The RWPG requested to use the 0.5 scenario, which is recommended by the TWDB.		
O	MOTLEY	6505 Red River Authority of Texas	0.5 scenario	8	6	6	6	6	6	220	4.85	5.46	5.46	5.46	5.46	5.46	2	1	1	1	1	1	8	6	6	6	220	4.85	5.46	5.46	5.46	5.46	5.46	2	1	1	1	1	1	Y	Recommended	The RWPG requested to use the 0.5 scenario, which is recommended by the TWDB.				
O	PARMER	274 Bovina	0.5 scenario	1,466	1,331	1,134	931	693	409	161	4.63	5.22	5.22	5.22	5.22	5.22	257	232	198	162	121	71	1,466	1,331	1,134	931	693	409	161	4.63	5.22	5.22	5.22	5.22	5.22	257	232	198	162	121	71	Y	Recommended	The RWPG requested to use the 0.5 scenario, which is recommended by the TWDB.		
O	PARMER	596 County-Other, Farmer	0.5 scenario	2,536	2,188	1,732	1,241	679	13	175	4.73	5.59	5.59	5.59	5.59	5.59	484	415	329	235	129	2	2,536	2,188	1,732	1,241	679	13	175	4.73	5.59	5.59	5.59	5.59	5.59	484	415	329	235	129	2	Y	Recommended	The RWPG requested to use the 0.5 scenario, which is recommended by the TWDB.		
O	PARMER	775 Farwell	0.5 scenario	1,546	1,698	1,858	2,035	2,247	2,511	234	4.76	5.37	5.37	5.37	5.37	5.37	397	435	476	521	575	643	1,546	1,698	1,858	2,035	2,247	2,511	234	4.76	5.37	5.37	5.37	5.37	5.37	397	435	476	521	575	643	Y	Recommended	The RWPG requested to use the 0.5 scenario, which is recommended by the TWDB.		
O	PARMER	818 Fronia	0.5 scenario	4,261	4,504	4,747	5,003	5,319	5,722	162	4.52	5.13	5.13	5.13	5.13	5.13	752	791	834	879	995	1,005	4,261	4,504	4,747	5,003	5,319	5,722	162	4.52	5.13	5.13	5.13	5.13	5.13	752	791	834	879	995	1,005	Y	Recommended	The RWPG requested to use the 0.5 scenario, which is recommended by the TWDB.		
O	SWISHER	630 County-Other, Swisher	0.5 scenario	2,420	2,375	2,326	2,282	2,236	2,191	118	4.76	5.36	5.36	5.36	5.36	5.36	307	300	293	288	282	276	2,420	2,375	2,326	2,282	2,236	2,191	118	4.76	5.36	5.36	5.36	5.36	5.36	307	300	293	288	282	276	Y	Recommended	The RWPG requested to use the 0.5 scenario, which is recommended by the TWDB.		
O	SWISHER	881 Happy	0.5 scenario	488	473	454	437	419	401	137	4.77	5.32	5.32	5.32	5.32	5.32	72	70	67	64	62	59	488	473	454	437	419	401	137	4.77	5.32	5.32	5.32	5.32	5.32	72	70	67	64	62	59	Y	Recommended	The RWPG requested to use the 0.5 scenario, which is recommended by the TWDB.		
O	SWISHER	2550 Tulla	0.5 scenario	3,779	3,610	3,292	3,205	3,011	2,805	3,295	3,205	4.68	5.22	5.22	5.22	5.22	5.22	652	612	584	552	519	482	3,779	3,610	3,292	3,205	3,011	2,805	3,295	3,205	4.68	5.22	5.22	5.22	5.22	5.22	652	612	584	552	519	482	Y	Recommended	The RWPG requested to use the 0.5 scenario, which is recommended by the TWDB.
O	TERRY	298 Brownfield	0.5 scenario	8,861	9,026	9,158	9,336	9,548	9,802	144	4.68	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.25	1,383	1,403	1,423	1,451	1,484	1,523	8,861	9,026	9,158	9,336	9,548	9,802	144	4.68	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.25	5.25	1,383	1,403	1,423	1,451	1,484	1,523	Y	Recommended	The RWPG requested to use the 0.5 scenario, which is recommended by the TWDB.		
O	TERRY	634 County-Other, Terry	0.5 scenario	3,047	3,048	2,903	2,677	2,415	2,109	111	4.50	5.16	5.16	5.16	5.16	5.16	363	344	317	286	250	3,047	3,048	2,903	2,677	2,415	2,109	111	4.50	5.16	5.16	5.16	5.16	5.16	363	344	317	286	250	3,047	Y	Recommended	The RWPG requested to use the 0.5 scenario, which is recommended by the TWDB.			
O	YOAKUM	662 County-Other, Yoakum	0.5 scenario	1,531	1,582	1,638	1,733	1,841	1,959	110	4.84	5.64	5.64	5.64	5.64	5.64	180	185	191	203	215	229	1,531	1,582	1,638	1,733	1,841	1,959	110	4.84	5.64	5.64	5.64	5.64	5.64	180	185	191	203	215	229	Y	Recommended	The RWPG requested to use the 0.5 scenario, which is recommended by the TWDB.		
O	YOAKUM	708 Denver City	0.5 scenario	5,034	5,265	5,470	5,646	5,826	6,020	251	4.55	5.18	5.18	5.18	5.18	5.18	1,390	1,450	1,506	1,605	1,658	1,708	5,034	5,265	5,470	5,646	5,826	6,020	251	4.55	5.18	5.18	5.18	5.18	5.18	1,390	1,450	1,506	1,605	1,658	1,708	Y	Recommended	The RWPG requested to use the 0.5 scenario, which is recommended by the TWDB.		
O	YOAKUM	2026 Plains	0.5 scenario	1,341	1,424	1,488	1,482	1,468	1,445	231	4.54	5.13	5.13	5.13	5.13	5.13	513	510	494	464	445	421	1,341	1,424	1,488	1,482	1,468	1,445	231	4.54	5.13	5.13	5.13	5.13	5.13	513	510	494	464	445	421	Y	Recommended	The RWPG requested to use the 0.5 scenario, which is recommended by the TWDB.		

Region	County	EA Recommended Population Projections						EA Recommended Municipal Demand Projections (acft)					
		P2030	P2040	P2050	P2060	P2070	P2080	D2030	D2040	D2050	D2060	D2070	D2080
A	ARMSTRONG	1,819	1,789	1,773	1,760	1,747	1,734	410	402	399	396	393	390
A	CARSON	5,555	5,311	5,037	4,718	4,400	4,083	1,183	1,139	1,096	1,044	995	946
A	CHILDRESS	6,721	6,736	6,638	6,563	6,488	6,413	1,677	1,681	1,656	1,636	1,614	1,592
A	COLLINGSWORTH	2,498	2,426	2,290	2,182	2,074	1,966	552	536	505	481	458	434
A	DALLAM	7,353	7,734	8,086	8,289	8,491	8,693	2,002	2,110	2,218	2,314	2,413	2,515
A	DONLEY	3,070	2,893	2,683	2,560	2,437	2,314	524	491	456	436	415	393
A	GRAY	21,243	20,982	20,339	19,553	18,769	17,986	3,933	3,865	3,750	3,614	3,482	3,352
A	HALL	2,622	2,478	2,290	2,131	1,972	1,813	527	497	455	422	389	357
A	HANSFORD	5,333	5,448	5,483	5,571	5,659	5,747	1,368	1,394	1,403	1,426	1,447	1,470
A	HARTLEY	5,377	5,350	5,298	5,224	5,150	5,076	1,442	1,439	1,439	1,441	1,444	1,449
A	HEMPHILL	3,273	3,268	3,154	3,087	3,020	2,953	719	714	688	673	658	642
A	HUTCHINSON	20,414	19,914	19,076	18,157	17,241	16,326	5,914	5,671	5,401	5,132	4,886	4,665
A	LIPSCOMB	2,903	2,763	2,584	2,435	2,286	2,137	786	745	695	655	614	573
A	MOORE	21,383	21,482	21,392	20,870	20,350	19,831	4,520	4,525	4,507	4,398	4,290	4,182
A	OCHILTREE	10,458	10,999	11,341	11,664	11,986	12,307	2,737	2,869	2,959	3,042	3,127	3,210
A	OLDHAM	1,708	1,559	1,371	1,168	966	764	487	443	388	330	271	213
A	POTTER	118,628	118,038	115,217	110,549	105,894	101,248	25,499	25,277	24,656	23,634	22,611	21,585
A	RANDALL	159,318	179,106	197,748	215,738	233,780	251,857	33,308	37,267	41,102	44,806	48,528	52,257
A	ROBERTS	778	748	725	720	715	710	213	204	199	196	195	193
A	SHERMAN	2,677	2,623	2,537	2,436	2,335	2,234	768	751	726	697	668	639
A	WHEELER	4,854	4,719	4,545	4,408	4,271	4,134	972	941	908	881	853	826
B	ARCHER	8,363	7,911	7,433	6,996	6,575	6,169	1,370	1,291	1,214	1,139	1,070	1,005
B	BAYLOR	3,407	3,311	3,267	3,221	3,177	3,135	638	619	614	606	599	593
B	CLAY	9,851	9,182	8,430	7,773	7,140	6,529	1,585	1,462	1,332	1,219	1,109	1,003
B	COTTLE	1,333	1,250	1,193	1,159	1,126	1,094	296	277	263	256	250	243
B	FOARD	991	901	834	784	736	690	163	147	137	129	122	115
B	HARDEMAN	3,404	3,205	3,029	2,875	2,726	2,582	542	508	480	455	432	410
B	KING	253	253	261	269	277	285	62	62	63	66	68	69
B	MONTAGUE	23,138	25,913	28,688	31,463	34,236	37,012	3,555	3,948	4,355	4,760	5,168	5,575
B	WICHITA	131,847	130,415	126,938	122,441	118,105	113,924	20,347	20,035	19,503	18,810	18,141	17,497
B	WILBARGER	12,996	12,650	12,087	11,553	11,038	10,541	2,135	2,068	1,977	1,889	1,805	1,724
B	YOUNG	3,533	3,535	3,501	3,507	3,513	3,519	554	550	546	546	547	549
C	COLLIN	1,418,872	1,764,402	2,126,310	2,351,305	2,505,630	2,612,777	302,809	364,010	432,644	474,677	500,084	518,589
C	COOKE	44,200	45,693	46,466	47,694	49,742	51,732	6,441	6,637	6,751	6,923	7,209	7,486
C	DALLAS	2,744,243	2,899,298	3,045,184	3,162,467	3,277,308	3,372,187	553,384	581,955	609,651	632,389	654,626	673,940
C	DENTON	1,229,659	1,498,214	1,772,935	1,998,120	2,244,614	2,456,768	230,466	277,448	324,113	360,284	400,044	435,176
C	ELLIS	241,747	290,486	346,554	397,716	455,844	513,797	46,238	55,761	66,855	76,781	87,634	98,630
C	FANNIN	40,069	44,955	53,396	62,521	74,244	84,502	5,314	5,983	7,237	8,598	10,353	11,884
C	FREESTONE	19,057	18,648	18,067	17,514	16,905	16,234	2,847	2,770	2,682	2,583	2,478	2,369
C	GRAYSON	169,780	200,021	231,274	257,654	292,518	317,713	32,673	38,417	44,111	49,215	55,817	60,395
C	HENDERSON	65,669	71,460	78,514	84,827	92,129	97,538	10,112	10,990	12,234	13,321	14,594	15,487
C	JACK	8,214	7,957	7,770	7,740	7,859	7,787	1,276	1,237	1,229	1,244	1,289	1,296
C	KAUFMAN	209,309	257,499	335,063	431,671	542,246	627,644	29,170	35,289	45,524	58,162	72,710	83,379
C	NAVARRO	57,263	61,718	65,957	70,146	75,206	80,385	9,815	10,525	11,205	11,852	12,616	13,417
C	PARKER	190,921	254,388	340,869	442,691	566,315	675,719	29,505	38,134	50,118	64,174	81,050	96,055

C	ROCKWALL	155,987	214,364	280,320	340,099	378,980	403,891	28,096	37,964	49,734	60,137	66,468	70,642
C	TARRANT	2,446,041	2,749,019	2,878,997	3,093,389	3,272,494	3,438,106	476,863	534,431	561,636	607,270	641,681	673,770
C	WISE	92,085	125,921	176,629	234,863	311,934	369,816	13,853	18,233	25,078	32,836	43,127	51,036
D	BOWIE	94,952	94,456	93,769	92,482	91,181	89,866	13,907	13,762	13,652	13,453	13,253	13,047
D	CAMP	12,874	13,015	13,053	13,162	13,269	13,378	1,583	1,593	1,597	1,610	1,624	1,638
D	CASS	27,472	26,187	24,777	23,650	22,525	21,400	3,458	3,280	3,103	2,960	2,819	2,677
D	DELTA	5,284	5,256	5,220	5,152	5,082	5,012	759	756	751	743	735	726
D	FRANKLIN	10,466	10,398	10,258	10,335	10,413	10,490	1,801	1,781	1,757	1,769	1,783	1,794
D	GREGG	126,860	128,531	129,120	128,404	127,669	126,995	32,717	33,054	33,240	33,085	32,923	32,780
D	HARRISON	71,617	73,196	73,568	73,623	73,688	73,681	11,673	11,867	11,930	11,944	11,963	11,958
D	HOPKINS	42,832	44,267	45,327	46,304	47,242	48,242	7,187	7,385	7,563	7,722	7,873	8,033
D	HUNT	141,169	154,138	167,439	176,811	183,183	193,165	31,193	34,290	36,849	38,764	40,313	42,320
D	LAMAR	51,278	51,417	51,179	50,940	50,700	50,460	7,547	7,529	7,495	7,459	7,425	7,390
D	MARION	9,244	8,630	7,950	7,495	7,041	6,587	1,055	983	911	862	812	763
D	MORRIS	12,076	11,775	11,342	11,042	10,718	10,342	1,649	1,613	1,568	1,538	1,506	1,467
D	RAINS	13,570	14,398	15,177	16,172	17,133	18,137	2,351	2,458	2,572	2,697	2,819	2,944
D	RED RIVER	10,868	10,029	9,214	8,548	7,882	7,216	1,830	1,677	1,529	1,411	1,292	1,169
D	SMITH	48,406	51,319	53,377	54,771	56,186	57,610	9,200	9,779	10,215	10,523	10,838	11,155
D	TITUS	36,045	38,565	40,257	41,949	43,552	45,080	6,499	6,815	7,035	7,251	7,457	7,657
D	UPSHUR	42,212	42,590	42,433	41,825	41,214	40,591	5,623	5,641	5,611	5,522	5,430	5,336
D	VAN ZANDT	67,646	75,479	82,956	90,698	98,528	106,444	9,238	10,207	11,181	12,181	13,218	14,273
D	WOOD	48,562	50,809	52,132	54,488	56,874	59,285	7,319	7,636	7,859	8,217	8,587	8,968
E	BREWSTER	10,021	9,641	9,299	9,021	8,742	8,460	4,014	3,854	3,717	3,604	3,494	3,382
E	CULBERSON	2,561	2,410	2,295	2,184	2,072	1,959	902	848	808	770	731	692
E	EL PASO	999,348	1,033,407	1,051,976	1,063,828	1,075,857	1,088,063	155,088	159,668	162,541	164,364	166,213	168,089
E	HUDSPETH	3,157	2,851	2,621	2,413	2,204	1,993	790	711	655	601	550	497
E	JEFF DAVIS	1,776	1,495	1,205	901	598	297	412	346	278	209	138	69
E	PRESIDIO	5,441	4,740	4,260	3,844	3,425	3,003	1,517	1,317	1,185	1,069	953	834
E	TERRELL	629	511	441	370	299	228	150	121	105	88	71	54
F	ANDREWS	22,997	28,993	35,825	42,717	50,229	58,417	5,317	6,584	8,043	9,516	11,120	12,868
F	BORDEN	608	603	601	607	614	622	241	249	265	289	319	358
F	BROWN	39,717	40,383	40,459	40,599	40,752	40,919	6,704	6,760	6,774	6,797	6,822	6,850
F	COKE	3,454	3,690	3,932	4,317	4,737	5,195	703	749	799	876	961	1,055
F	COLEMAN	7,087	6,424	5,759	5,254	4,724	4,168	1,513	1,368	1,230	1,124	1,016	896
F	CONCHO	3,905	3,810	3,718	3,629	3,536	3,438	981	958	938	918	901	885
F	CRANE	5,027	5,493	5,887	6,205	6,552	6,930	1,366	1,428	1,477	1,513	1,553	1,597
F	CROCKETT	2,845	2,633	2,409	2,250	2,083	1,908	1,061	981	898	839	778	714
F	ECTOR	185,779	207,148	225,963	239,926	254,560	269,935	30,413	34,002	37,635	39,953	42,346	44,823
F	GLASSCOCK	1,049	985	946	869	788	703	123	114	110	101	92	82
F	HOWARD	36,259	37,313	37,885	37,115	36,276	35,361	7,951	8,153	8,276	8,112	7,932	7,737
F	IRION	1,429	1,357	1,332	1,279	1,223	1,164	168	159	156	150	144	136
F	KIMBLE	4,063	3,821	3,650	3,625	3,599	3,572	737	701	678	675	672	671
F	LOVING	64	64	64	64	64	64	8	7	7	7	7	7
F	MARTIN	5,543	5,896	6,311	6,530	6,769	7,030	870	927	1,000	1,048	1,101	1,162
F	MASON	3,821	3,708	3,666	3,661	3,656	3,651	903	913	932	934	936	938
F	MCCULLOCH	7,430	7,136	6,817	6,638	6,450	6,253	1,830	1,753	1,679	1,636	1,595	1,557
F	MENARD	1,767	1,637	1,524	1,496	1,467	1,437	333	308	286	282	276	271

F	MIDLAND	192,470	216,809	241,697	259,762	278,739	298,635	30,582	34,387	38,392	41,326	44,414	47,661
F	MITCHELL	10,837	11,020	11,250	11,361	11,474	11,594	2,500	2,518	2,534	2,555	2,578	2,603
F	PECOS	15,637	16,195	16,587	16,933	17,296	17,677	5,323	5,419	5,518	5,717	5,941	6,186
F	REAGAN	3,490	3,592	3,633	3,641	3,649	3,657	827	848	858	860	861	864
F	REEVES	16,015	17,702	19,284	20,384	21,583	22,890	5,390	5,990	6,564	6,954	7,378	7,843
F	RUNNELS	9,842	9,786	9,662	9,620	9,576	9,530	1,548	1,533	1,517	1,512	1,507	1,503
F	SCHLEICHER	2,107	1,806	1,522	1,291	1,049	795	555	480	410	352	290	224
F	SCURRY	17,450	18,006	18,344	18,517	18,699	18,890	2,426	2,485	2,530	2,555	2,581	2,608
F	STERLING	1,704	2,226	2,923	3,824	4,806	5,876	443	588	776	1,022	1,291	1,588
F	SUTTON	3,067	2,778	2,482	2,266	2,039	1,801	1,169	1,065	961	883	800	714
F	TOM GREEN	132,573	145,445	156,800	168,070	180,354	193,744	21,788	23,719	25,508	27,290	29,239	31,371
F	UPTON	3,349	3,475	3,550	3,627	3,708	3,793	1,053	1,088	1,118	1,158	1,203	1,256
F	WARD	12,954	14,666	16,450	18,013	19,717	21,574	3,935	4,443	4,985	5,458	5,975	6,537
F	WINKLER	8,646	9,744	10,757	11,653	12,630	13,695	2,626	2,954	3,259	3,530	3,826	4,149
G	BELL	455,602	517,098	572,150	620,149	663,105	705,165	84,208	94,922	104,667	112,768	120,064	127,301
G	BOSQUE	18,435	17,995	17,314	16,699	16,005	15,227	3,465	3,413	3,336	3,272	3,195	3,112
G	BRAZOS	295,869	338,100	395,519	463,510	518,345	586,922	62,231	69,949	80,685	93,411	103,426	115,958
G	BURLESON	18,331	18,458	18,364	18,239	18,099	17,941	3,267	3,282	3,272	3,257	3,239	3,220
G	CALLAHAN	14,313	14,288	14,162	13,993	13,805	13,591	1,668	1,664	1,655	1,646	1,630	1,615
G	COMANCHE	13,650	13,388	12,989	12,839	12,685	12,521	1,476	1,440	1,399	1,384	1,368	1,351
G	CORYELL	102,255	119,380	129,986	136,289	138,273	135,513	17,806	20,054	21,517	22,388	22,709	22,426
G	EASTLAND	17,747	17,307	16,722	16,295	15,846	15,375	2,596	2,514	2,445	2,384	2,333	2,288
G	ERATH	47,887	51,776	56,458	62,536	69,371	77,057	6,736	7,266	7,941	8,817	9,799	10,901
G	FALLS	17,666	17,283	16,570	15,859	15,100	14,398	3,359	3,336	3,251	3,167	3,097	3,083
G	FISHER	3,559	3,431	3,334	3,285	3,234	3,181	582	559	543	536	527	517
G	GRIMES	32,170	34,151	35,798	37,171	38,714	40,449	4,974	5,225	5,454	5,654	5,890	6,169
G	HAMILTON	8,266	8,149	7,991	7,882	7,757	7,618	1,227	1,207	1,189	1,174	1,154	1,135
G	HASKELL	5,400	5,297	5,132	5,079	5,021	4,962	888	868	842	835	825	816
G	HILL	46,771	48,161	49,044	49,978	51,031	52,211	10,230	10,501	10,695	10,899	11,128	11,387
G	HOOD	71,371	80,060	88,872	98,410	109,133	121,190	9,958	11,108	12,323	13,638	15,112	16,766
G	JOHNSON	231,653	273,990	309,329	340,834	375,965	414,989	39,335	46,494	53,353	59,482	66,254	73,665
G	JONES	19,496	18,840	18,129	17,383	16,596	15,769	2,903	2,760	2,623	2,479	2,327	2,161
G	KENT	737	740	751	776	805	836	126	125	128	132	137	141
G	KNOX	3,308	3,286	3,228	3,167	3,102	3,035	633	630	622	614	604	599
G	LAMPASAS	26,849	29,179	30,723	31,867	32,215	31,747	4,887	5,254	5,503	5,678	5,726	5,641
G	LEE	19,238	19,517	19,238	18,877	18,470	18,013	3,112	3,150	3,113	3,066	3,011	2,950
G	LIMESTONE	22,107	21,497	20,686	19,935	19,148	18,320	3,251	3,150	3,032	2,922	2,805	2,685
G	MCLENNAN	287,633	311,844	332,636	354,573	379,236	406,963	66,668	71,692	76,293	81,180	86,677	92,826
G	MILAM	32,069	71,975	101,603	146,282	145,944	145,590	6,027	10,706	14,166	19,409	19,331	19,250
G	NOLAN	14,864	14,710	14,455	14,160	13,848	13,522	2,311	2,279	2,244	2,205	2,164	2,124
G	PALO PINTO	31,380	32,333	33,120	33,986	33,902	33,810	6,542	6,711	6,857	7,027	7,008	6,987
G	ROBERTSON	17,453	16,916	16,304	15,594	14,885	14,174	2,962	2,866	2,770	2,654	2,541	2,427
G	SHACKELFORD	2,954	2,772	2,583	2,428	2,264	2,093	709	654	600	559	515	464
G	SOMERVELL	9,813	10,140	10,276	10,206	10,126	10,037	2,256	2,326	2,356	2,340	2,322	2,302
G	STEPHENSON	9,044	8,818	8,514	8,326	8,132	7,929	1,614	1,573	1,522	1,493	1,460	1,428
G	STONEWALL	1,128	1,060	967	911	853	791	296	277	254	239	224	207
G	TAYLOR	159,430	172,398	183,559	195,300	208,498	223,334	30,303	32,647	34,744	36,916	39,347	42,079

G	THROCKMORTON	1,293	1,197	1,113	1,054	994	931	256	235	219	207	196	183
G	WASHINGTON	35,858	35,986	35,606	35,254	34,930	34,637	6,908	6,938	6,886	6,853	6,824	6,799
G	WILLIAMSON	921,903	1,283,155	1,585,326	1,838,434	2,130,726	2,426,093	153,172	213,765	265,117	308,049	357,545	406,545
G	YOUNG	14,657	14,665	14,522	14,549	14,575	14,604	3,392	3,368	3,286	3,280	3,271	3,259
H	Austin	31,300	32,379	33,366	33,805	34,125	34,449	4,933	5,082	5,256	5,336	5,394	5,448
H	Brazoria	403,497	431,420	451,031	462,189	471,475	477,538	62,519	66,279	69,076	70,743	72,106	72,953
H	Chambers	60,631	79,788	102,555	127,668	154,853	185,792	10,809	13,954	16,735	20,697	25,005	29,924
H	Fort Bend	1,025,010	1,239,696	1,431,122	1,584,937	1,738,819	1,879,698	191,123	224,135	253,676	277,468	300,755	322,318
H	Galveston	377,403	392,019	401,517	407,589	411,701	415,342	62,736	64,570	66,018	66,939	67,572	68,139
H	Harris	5,193,657	5,392,541	5,547,593	5,621,183	5,671,911	5,720,523	879,205	907,154	933,273	945,467	952,348	959,868
H	Leon	14,943	14,118	13,293	12,738	12,162	11,564	2,165	2,060	1,975	1,913	1,849	1,793
H	Liberty	115,074	144,265	176,682	209,923	243,006	278,364	16,358	20,088	24,237	28,446	32,566	36,964
H	Madison	13,618	13,805	13,876	13,996	14,121	14,251	3,118	3,141	3,154	3,175	3,197	3,220
H	Montgomery	759,919	913,804	1,063,722	1,187,174	1,277,864	1,355,552	123,789	145,338	166,417	183,614	196,240	208,627
H	POLK	49,128	53,052	54,988	57,102	59,421	61,965	6,640	7,151	7,412	7,701	8,016	8,363
H	San Jacinto	28,041	27,821	27,068	26,335	25,531	24,649	3,237	3,197	3,111	3,027	2,934	2,834
H	Trinity	10,118	9,471	8,857	8,449	8,026	7,587	1,154	1,076	1,006	960	913	862
H	Walker	91,068	97,641	108,926	122,073	136,496	152,319	15,274	16,290	18,118	20,230	22,554	25,104
H	Waller	71,599	85,525	101,637	119,998	139,204	158,434	10,165	12,594	14,960	17,501	20,084	22,521
I	ANDERSON	59,147	59,243	58,964	58,619	58,279	57,944	15,438	15,409	15,329	15,248	15,168	15,085
I	ANGELINA	88,634	90,179	90,902	91,791	92,671	93,542	11,518	11,666	11,760	11,875	11,987	12,103
I	CHEROKEE	50,217	49,789	48,968	48,043	47,127	46,220	8,173	8,124	8,056	7,980	7,912	7,847
I	HARDIN	67,850	75,133	81,452	79,574	77,719	75,894	7,154	7,834	8,454	8,249	8,047	7,849
I	HENDERSON	25,474	26,404	26,918	27,503	28,080	28,649	3,150	3,326	3,463	3,642	3,846	4,083
I	HOUSTON	21,221	20,385	19,547	19,032	18,522	18,017	4,339	4,181	4,035	3,946	3,858	3,772
I	JASPER	31,617	30,090	28,222	26,537	24,869	23,217	4,692	4,456	4,196	3,961	3,733	3,511
I	JEFFERSON	260,350	262,787	262,035	258,655	255,308	251,994	59,071	59,619	59,762	58,985	58,217	57,458
I	NACOGDOCHES	69,121	71,271	73,210	76,305	79,370	82,405	13,225	13,584	13,950	14,536	15,114	15,686
I	NEWTON	11,193	10,105	8,921	7,830	6,750	5,681	1,459	1,311	1,160	1,017	875	739
I	ORANGE	87,065	88,479	88,819	87,583	86,365	85,164	11,103	11,220	11,264	11,113	10,971	10,838
I	PANOLA	21,909	21,174	20,156	19,357	18,566	17,783	3,655	3,555	3,447	3,341	3,242	3,147
I	POLK	9,173	9,905	10,267	10,662	11,051	11,434	1,060	1,138	1,182	1,226	1,270	1,316
I	RUSK	51,024	49,735	47,635	45,260	42,908	40,579	8,717	8,483	8,180	7,848	7,524	7,215
I	SABINE	9,225	8,415	7,671	7,226	6,785	6,348	1,444	1,316	1,203	1,135	1,067	1,001
I	SAN AUGUSTINE	7,322	6,728	6,204	5,805	5,410	5,019	1,367	1,292	1,235	1,184	1,138	1,104
I	SHELBY	23,697	23,320	22,721	22,141	21,567	20,999	4,882	4,743	4,582	4,435	4,297	4,162
I	SMITH	210,383	229,453	248,636	259,642	271,158	283,249	50,135	55,098	60,412	63,445	66,620	69,949
I	Trinity	2,945	2,757	2,578	2,460	2,343	2,227	316	289	261	242	223	204
I	TYLER	18,808	17,694	16,657	15,861	15,073	14,293	3,142	2,986	2,858	2,768	2,683	2,604
J	BANDERA	21,515	21,948	22,390	22,843	23,300	23,760	2,702	2,744	2,799	2,856	2,912	2,970
J	EDWARDS	1,167	934	748	637	525	412	233	186	149	126	105	82
J	KERR	57,139	59,752	61,594	64,542	67,514	70,356	11,631	12,122	12,497	13,094	13,698	14,275
J	KINNEY	2,951	2,794	2,693	2,630	2,568	2,504	1,323	1,251	1,206	1,177	1,150	1,121
J	REAL	2,485	2,114	1,804	1,569	1,330	1,091	503	425	363	315	268	219
J	VAL VERDE	55,211	55,573	55,889	56,060	56,233	56,407	16,346	16,376	16,439	16,481	16,524	16,567
K	BASTROP	120,901	150,018	184,520	223,711	268,126	318,461	19,160	23,658	29,089	35,281	42,435	50,636
K	BLANCO	11,851	11,951	11,731	11,518	11,277	11,004	1,620	1,632	1,609	1,589	1,565	1,537

K	BURNET	55,262	60,627	65,257	70,323	76,064	82,570	11,227	12,647	13,522	14,481	15,565	16,772
K	COLORADO	19,985	19,396	18,742	18,145	17,468	16,701	3,214	3,129	3,046	2,967	2,878	2,773
K	FAYETTE	24,270	23,782	23,237	23,121	22,990	22,842	3,799	3,720	3,651	3,642	3,633	3,621
K	GILLESPIE	28,366	29,831	31,307	33,419	35,813	38,526	5,016	5,202	5,411	5,705	6,039	6,419
K	HAYS	95,467	137,717	193,353	268,868	354,449	451,437	17,856	25,314	35,046	47,767	61,814	77,741
K	LLANO	23,089	23,892	24,399	25,729	27,236	28,944	4,361	4,507	4,608	4,871	5,170	5,507
K	MATAGORDA	35,212	34,061	32,705	31,115	29,313	27,271	4,511	4,374	4,240	4,083	3,904	3,698
K	MILLS	4,177	3,870	3,550	3,350	3,140	2,919	951	910	869	843	814	783
K	SAN SABA	5,439	5,159	4,906	4,736	4,557	4,369	1,703	1,639	1,587	1,551	1,519	1,491
K	TRAVIS	1,655,086	1,969,741	2,230,906	2,474,606	2,720,449	2,985,821	285,882	338,313	384,281	427,734	470,613	516,889
K	WHARTON	25,098	24,970	24,550	24,030	23,441	22,773	3,720	3,683	3,608	3,522	3,426	3,316
K	WILLIAMSON	104,339	136,312	174,024	215,276	262,027	315,010	17,167	22,459	28,864	35,844	43,716	52,546
L	ATASCOSA	53,324	57,374	61,473	64,960	68,952	73,522	7,991	8,519	9,106	9,635	10,234	10,928
L	BEXAR	2,555,076	2,951,404	3,222,978	3,470,641	3,699,975	3,945,495	314,613	346,284	367,375	383,939	397,644	417,418
L	CALDWELL	67,191	83,988	100,497	116,808	134,861	151,345	8,142	9,942	11,767	13,559	15,558	17,435
L	CALHOUN	19,449	18,619	17,599	16,571	15,483	14,332	2,628	2,494	2,350	2,205	2,050	1,885
L	COMAL	259,280	350,779	447,841	584,380	756,273	953,073	44,596	60,354	78,502	104,249	134,706	169,705
L	DEWITT	19,716	19,687	19,565	19,482	19,394	19,301	3,882	3,862	3,837	3,820	3,800	3,780
L	DIMMIT	8,175	7,818	7,383	6,983	6,560	6,112	1,771	1,688	1,601	1,518	1,435	1,353
L	FRIO	19,512	20,540	21,269	21,643	22,071	22,561	3,612	3,946	4,188	4,254	4,328	4,412
L	GOLIAD	6,803	6,648	6,559	6,454	6,334	6,197	919	897	886	874	860	844
L	GONZALES	19,716	19,697	19,399	19,064	18,710	18,335	4,516	4,498	4,431	4,355	4,273	4,189
L	GUADALUPE	292,903	385,703	462,052	542,643	634,587	739,503	40,540	53,479	64,271	75,643	88,616	103,419
L	HAYS	336,064	500,806	683,104	877,560	1,051,675	1,240,694	38,311	55,452	73,919	94,573	113,374	134,777
L	KARNES	15,357	16,052	16,739	17,527	18,429	19,462	3,560	3,714	3,879	4,066	4,284	4,529
L	KENDALL	56,306	70,896	89,665	111,448	136,387	164,940	9,389	12,243	15,646	19,544	23,982	29,057
L	LA SALLE	6,723	6,766	6,690	6,529	6,359	6,179	1,517	1,509	1,505	1,499	1,503	1,521
L	MEDINA	60,936	79,204	83,631	87,079	90,594	92,654	8,650	10,573	11,010	11,372	11,730	11,958
L	REFUGIO	6,489	6,243	5,992	5,799	5,595	5,379	983	944	912	888	865	847
L	UVALDE	24,967	24,478	23,759	22,944	22,080	21,167	5,320	5,193	5,033	4,857	4,668	4,469
L	VICTORIA	93,954	96,082	96,608	96,168	95,664	95,087	19,521	19,832	19,920	19,830	19,728	19,608
L	WILSON	55,858	61,941	67,968	73,304	79,413	86,407	8,292	9,112	9,969	10,735	11,616	12,623
L	ZAVALA	9,480	9,232	8,858	8,472	8,064	7,632	1,998	1,941	1,862	1,780	1,695	1,605
M	CAMERON	453,325	465,039	469,300	468,071	466,828	465,573	74,074	75,432	75,918	75,549	75,213	74,904
M	HIDALGO	975,403	1,041,413	1,084,465	1,107,185	1,130,153	1,153,373	157,628	168,725	176,995	180,732	184,515	188,335
M	JIM HOGG	4,676	4,622	4,508	4,391	4,273	4,154	613	603	587	573	556	541
M	MAVERICK	62,424	66,814	70,294	72,996	75,728	78,490	10,083	10,753	11,316	11,762	12,211	12,663
M	STARR	70,499	75,394	79,002	81,275	83,573	85,896	11,107	11,793	12,337	12,700	13,066	13,438
M	WEBB	292,999	304,635	308,179	305,094	301,977	298,824	44,739	46,312	46,870	46,399	45,924	45,442
M	WILLACY	19,933	19,647	19,083	18,366	17,641	16,908	2,494	2,477	2,443	2,403	2,368	2,340
M	ZAPATA	14,075	14,288	14,295	14,158	14,019	13,878	2,487	2,508	2,504	2,476	2,449	2,422
N	ARANSAS	24,415	24,299	23,708	23,195	22,691	22,196	3,914	3,882	3,788	3,706	3,625	3,547
N	BEE	31,363	31,563	31,337	31,030	30,725	30,422	6,007	6,070	6,107	6,148	6,201	6,267
N	BROOKS	6,895	6,702	6,493	6,256	6,020	5,785	1,475	1,441	1,418	1,397	1,386	1,389
N	DUVAL	9,261	8,828	8,436	8,108	7,782	7,458	1,593	1,520	1,458	1,408	1,359	1,318
N	JIM WELLS	38,692	38,400	37,573	36,430	35,294	34,164	6,829	6,824	6,764	6,668	6,589	6,531
N	KENEDY	336	306	283	266	249	232	175	160	148	139	130	121

N	KLEBERG	33,923	34,901	36,068	37,772	39,466	41,151	5,021	5,144	5,316	5,564	5,809	6,049
N	LIVE OAK	11,093	10,740	10,499	10,473	10,447	10,421	1,631	1,575	1,538	1,528	1,516	1,508
N	MCMULLEN	546	511	493	455	417	379	73	68	65	60	55	51
N	NUECES	364,690	371,130	371,485	369,261	367,050	364,851	70,750	71,714	71,782	71,359	70,933	70,508
N	SAN PATRICIO	71,973	74,569	75,816	75,578	75,344	75,114	10,349	10,682	10,889	10,911	10,938	10,970
O	BAILEY	6,996	7,153	7,155	7,179	7,204	7,230	1,240	1,264	1,274	1,290	1,312	1,342
O	BRISCOE	1,301	1,203	1,134	1,054	971	885	307	283	267	249	229	208
O	CASTRO	7,198	7,024	6,799	6,625	6,444	6,255	1,383	1,352	1,314	1,289	1,263	1,238
O	COCHRAN	2,384	2,233	2,082	1,942	1,796	1,644	616	574	535	498	458	419
O	CROSBY	4,762	4,433	4,037	3,663	3,273	2,867	705	655	596	540	484	423
O	DAWSON	12,342	12,302	12,210	12,024	11,830	11,628	2,294	2,275	2,253	2,213	2,172	2,127
O	DEAF SMITH	19,367	19,492	19,289	18,823	18,337	17,831	3,830	3,877	3,886	3,860	3,846	3,852
O	DICKENS	1,592	1,483	1,328	1,181	1,028	869	236	218	196	175	152	128
O	FLOYD	5,043	4,758	4,470	4,212	3,943	3,663	745	702	664	629	593	556
O	GAINES	25,154	30,014	34,831	39,552	44,611	50,032	4,516	5,158	5,803	6,401	7,051	7,754
O	GARZA	5,660	5,501	5,250	4,905	4,546	4,172	712	688	657	614	569	522
O	HALE	33,015	32,465	31,253	29,960	29,000	28,102	5,678	5,607	5,479	5,340	5,194	5,067
O	HOCKLEY	21,758	21,831	21,558	21,281	20,992	20,691	3,278	3,270	3,225	3,180	3,131	3,082
O	LAMB	12,846	12,761	12,522	12,265	11,997	11,718	2,046	2,020	1,986	1,950	1,915	1,882
O	LUBBOCK	361,834	401,911	442,502	494,185	549,570	608,921	61,251	68,027	75,006	83,690	92,971	102,884
O	LYNN	5,500	5,387	5,278	5,114	4,943	4,765	744	726	712	690	666	642
O	MOTLEY	985	911	856	850	844	838	252	233	219	217	216	214
O	PARMER	9,809	9,721	9,471	9,210	8,938	8,655	1,890	1,873	1,837	1,797	1,760	1,721
O	SWISHER	6,687	6,458	6,172	5,924	5,666	5,397	1,032	992	944	904	863	818
O	TERRY	11,908	12,074	12,061	12,013	11,963	11,911	1,746	1,764	1,767	1,768	1,770	1,773
O	YOAKUM	7,906	8,271	8,596	8,861	9,137	9,424	1,910	1,995	2,073	2,133	2,191	2,253
P	JACKSON	15,769	16,762	17,634	18,376	19,143	19,935	1,892	2,001	2,105	2,193	2,286	2,381
P	LAVACA	21,419	22,796	24,127	25,631	27,185	28,790	3,461	3,664	3,875	4,116	4,364	4,620
P	WHARTON	16,611	16,782	16,764	16,735	16,705	16,674	2,866	2,884	2,884	2,881	2,878	2,876
TEXAS		34,243,764	38,478,446	42,228,326	45,660,162	49,027,720	52,319,248	5,979,446	6,633,513	7,237,480	7,782,963	8,305,101	8,825,472

October 2023

This workbook consists of TWDB review of the Regional Water Planning Groups' (RWPG) submitted revision requests for the non-municipal water demand projections for Board consideration for adoption, and ultimate use in the 2026 Regional Water Plans and 2027 State Water Plan.

Each tab compares the initial TWDB draft projections to the RWPGs revision requests and Executive Administrator recommendations for Board consideration. Each revision request includes a summary of TWDB's response leading to development of the Executive Administrator recommendations for the Board.

The Regional Totals tab summarizes the EA recommended demands by region and water demand category.

WUG Info		Historical Water Use Estimates					2021 Regional Water Plan Projections					2026 DRAFT Regional Water Plan Projections					RWPG Revision Requests								TWDB EA Recommended Projections for the 2026 RWPs						Region Requested?	TWDB Action	TWDB Comment (Executive Administrator recommendation for Board Consideration)	Review Date		
Region	County	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070	2080	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070	2080	RWPG Comment	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070	2080					
A	ARMSTRONG	4,483	6,812	4,846	5,709	5,909	6,244	6,244	6,244	6,244	6,244	6,244	5,552	5,552	5,552	5,552	5,552	5,552	6,324	6,324	6,324	6,324	6,324	6,324		6,324	6,324	6,324	6,324	6,324	6,324	Y	Recommended	Requested to use a 10-year average (2010-2019) as the baseline	7/14/2023	
A	CARSON	79,673	104,202	91,707	111,205	113,558	87,289	87,289	87,289	87,289	87,289	87,289	100,069	100,069	100,069	100,069	100,069	100,069	97,933	97,933	97,933	97,933	97,933	97,933		97,933	97,933	97,933	97,933	97,933	97,933	Y	Recommended	Requested to use a 10-year average (2010-2019) as the baseline	7/14/2023	
A	CHILDRESS	11,541	15,090	14,086	15,032	14,986	14,142	14,142	14,142	14,142	14,142	14,142	14,147	14,147	14,147	14,147	14,147	14,147	14,971	14,971	14,971	14,971	14,971	14,971		14,971	14,971	14,971	14,971	14,971	14,971	Y	Recommended	Requested to use a 10-year average (2010-2019) as the baseline	7/14/2023	
A	COLLINGSWORTH	37,685	53,144	46,943	46,428	45,612	47,471	42,542	39,713	38,215	33,451	45,962	45,458	39,717	40,152	47,022	49,594	49,594	48,069	42,856	43,325	50,738		49,594	49,594	48,069	42,856	43,325	50,738		Recommended	Requested to use a 10-year average (2010-2019) as the baseline	7/14/2023			
A	DALLAM	290,694	338,797	282,807	334,818	304,196	343,830	343,830	286,928	228,243	174,217	174,217	310,271	310,271	275,752	246,145	224,100	207,519	340,629	340,629	302,733	270,229	246,027	227,823		340,629	340,629	302,733	270,229	246,027	227,823		Recommended	Requested to use a 10-year average (2010-2019) as the baseline, and incorporated North Plains GCD data and GMA 1 Update	7/14/2023	
A	DONLEY	25,696	29,946	31,146	32,891	32,592	30,910	30,910	30,910	30,910	30,910	30,910	30,454	30,454	30,454	30,454	30,454	30,454	32,440	32,440	32,440	32,440	32,440	32,440		32,440	32,440	32,440	32,440	32,440	32,440		Recommended	Requested to use a 10-year average (2010-2019) as the baseline	7/14/2023	
A	GRAY	28,143	41,766	38,871	40,832	41,399	32,289	32,289	32,289	32,289	32,289	32,289	38,202	38,202	38,202	38,202	38,202	38,202	36,952	36,952	36,952	36,952	36,952	36,952		36,952	36,952	36,952	36,952	36,952	36,952		Recommended	Requested to use a 10-year average (2010-2019) as the baseline	7/14/2023	
A	HALL	27,513	35,129	32,232	30,374	32,042	31,792	31,792	31,792	31,792	31,792	31,792	31,458	31,458	34,707	37,636	36,441	31,963	33,325	33,325	36,767	39,870	38,604	33,860		33,325	33,325	36,767	39,870	38,604	33,860		Recommended	Requested to use a 10-year average (2010-2019) as the baseline	7/14/2023	
A	HANSFORD	146,249	168,461	177,426	190,628	170,748	171,900	171,900	171,900	171,900	171,900	171,900	170,702	170,702	170,702	170,702	170,702	170,702	175,686	175,686	175,686	175,686	175,686	175,686		175,686	175,686	175,686	175,686	175,686	175,686		Recommended	Requested to use a 10-year average (2010-2019) as the baseline and incorporate North Plains GCD data	7/14/2023	
A	HARTLEY	331,111	392,870	358,826	413,057	351,380	406,990	406,990	345,197	283,865	226,681	226,681	369,449	369,449	326,879	296,129	270,134	248,842	399,114	399,114	353,126	319,907	291,824	268,823		399,114	399,114	353,126	319,907	291,824	268,823		Recommended	Requested to use a 10-year average (2010-2019) as the baseline, and incorporated North Plains GCD data and GMA 1 Update	7/14/2023	
A	HEMPHILL	3,079	5,691	5,542	5,916	5,273	5,679	5,679	5,679	5,679	5,679	5,679	5,100	5,100	5,100	5,100	5,100	5,100	5,877	5,877	5,877	5,877	5,877	5,877		5,877	5,877	5,877	5,877	5,877	5,877		Recommended	Requested to use a 10-year average (2010-2019) as the baseline	7/14/2023	
A	HUTCHINSON	51,454	64,479	59,078	66,058	70,546	59,910	59,910	59,910	59,910	59,910	59,910	62,323	62,323	62,323	62,323	62,323	62,323	61,866	61,866	61,866	61,866	61,866	61,866		61,866	61,866	61,866	61,866	61,866	61,866		Recommended	Requested to use a 10-year average (2010-2019) as the baseline and incorporate North Plains GCD data	7/14/2023	
A	LIPSCOMB	35,362	42,592	44,658	44,302	44,520	40,870	40,870	40,870	40,870	40,870	40,870	42,287	42,287	42,287	42,287	42,287	42,287	43,349	43,349	43,349	43,349	43,349	43,349		43,349	43,349	43,349	43,349	43,349	43,349		Recommended	Requested to use a 10-year average (2010-2019) as the baseline and incorporate North Plains GCD data	7/14/2023	
A	MOORE	150,520	185,683	159,036	185,841	173,163	200,550	200,550	171,892	136,086	102,919	102,919	170,858	170,858	158,244	141,384	122,185	106,186	191,322	191,322	177,197	158,318	136,819	118,904		191,322	191,322	177,197	158,318	136,819	118,904		Recommended	Requested to use a 10-year average (2010-2019) as the baseline, and incorporated North Plains GCD data and GMA 1 Update	7/14/2023	
A	OCHILTREE	76,211	80,565	75,052	89,999	85,779	84,460	84,460	84,460	84,460	84,460	84,460	81,521	81,521	81,521	81,521	81,521	81,521	86,683	86,683	86,683	86,683	86,683	86,683		86,683	86,683	86,683	86,683	86,683	86,683		Recommended	Requested to use a 10-year average (2010-2019) as the baseline and incorporate North Plains GCD data	7/14/2023	
A	OLDHAM	3,375	5,224	4,422	5,062	5,478	4,721	4,721	4,721	4,721	4,721	4,721	4,721	4,721	4,721	4,721	4,721	4,721	4,721	5,040	5,040	5,040	5,040	5,040	5,040		5,040	5,040	5,040	5,040	5,040	5,040		Recommended	Requested to use a 10-year average (2010-2019) as the baseline	7/14/2023
A	POTTER	1,815	3,184	2,441	4,048	4,719	3,176	3,176	3,176	3,176	3,176	3,176	3,241	3,241	3,241	3,241	3,241	3,241	3,472	3,472	3,472	3,472	3,472	3,472		3,472	3,472	3,472	3,472	3,472	3,472		Recommended	Requested to use a 10-year average (2010-2019) as the baseline	7/14/2023	
A	RANDALL	6,053	17,709	14,111	14,960	14,227	17,720	17,720	17,720	17,720	17,720	17,720	17,720	17,720	17,720	17,720	17,720	17,720	17,744	17,744	17,744	17,744	17,744	17,744		17,442	17,442	17,442	17,442	17,442	17,442		Recommended	Requested to use a 10-year average (2010-2019) as the baseline	7/14/2023	
A	ROBERTS	7,065	9,545	8,904	10,875	11,638	8,543	8,543	8,543	8,543	8,543	8,543	9,605	9,605	9,605	9,605	9,605	9,605	9,564	9,564	9,564	9,564	9,564	9,564		9,564	9,564	9,564	9,564	9,564	9,564		Recommended	Requested to use a 10-year average (2010-2019) as the baseline</td		

D	BOWIE	6,447	9,302	10,980	11,940	10,139	10,373	10,373	10,373	10,373	9,762	9,762	9,762	9,762	9,762	10,067	10,067	10,067	10,067	10,067	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	10,067	10,067	10,067	10,067	10,067	Y	Recommended		7/13/2023	
D	CAMP	0	0	0	14	11	0	0	0	0	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	No revisions requested	5	5	5	5	5				7/13/2023	
D	CASS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0				7/13/2023	
D	DELTA	4,766	2,704	2,299	2,617	2,858	2,396	2,396	2,396	2,396	2,396	2,396	2,396	2,396	2,396	3,049	3,049	3,049	3,049	3,049	No revisions requested	3,049	3,049	3,049	3,049	3,049				7/13/2023	
D	FRANKLIN	80	99	165	210	135	103	103	103	103	138	138	138	138	138	138	138	138	138	138	No revisions requested	138	138	138	138	138				7/13/2023	
D	GREGG	25	28	28	28	25	40	40	40	40	27	27	27	27	27	33	33	33	33	33	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	33	33	33	33	33	Y	Recommended		7/13/2023	
D	HARRISON	404	404	439	480	370	701	701	701	701	419	419	419	419	419	560	560	560	560	560	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	560	560	560	560	560	Y	Recommended		7/13/2023	
D	HOPKINS	2,716	2,591	1,991	3,588	4,367	4,769	4,769	4,769	4,769	3,051	3,051	3,051	3,051	3,051	3,910	3,910	3,910	3,910	3,910	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	3,910	3,910	3,910	3,910	3,910	Y	Recommended		7/13/2023	
D	HUNT	201	232	235	381	335	355	355	355	355	277	277	277	277	277	316	316	316	316	316	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	316	316	316	316	316	Y	Recommended		7/13/2023	
D	LAMAR	6,681	7,632	7,721	9,673	8,777	10,126	10,126	10,126	10,126	8,095	8,095	8,095	8,095	8,095	8,095	8,095	8,095	8,095	8,095	No revisions requested	8,095	8,095	8,095	8,095	8,095				7/13/2023	
D	MARION	7	0	0	8	8	12	12	12	12	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	No revisions requested	5	5	5	5	5				7/13/2023	
D	MORRIS	8	8	9	10	16	11	11	11	11	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	No revisions requested	10	10	10	10	10				7/13/2023	
D	RAINS	40	63	63	67	46	65	65	65	65	56	56	56	56	56	60	60	60	60	60	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	60	60	60	60	60	Y	Recommended		7/13/2023	
D	RED RIVER	4,089	2,932	3,532	4,166	3,773	3,867	3,867	3,867	3,867	3,698	3,698	3,698	3,698	3,698	3,783	3,783	3,783	3,783	3,783	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	3,783	3,783	3,783	3,783	3,783	Y	Recommended		7/13/2023	
D	SMITH	284	318	301	314	274	324	324	324	324	298	298	298	298	298	311	311	311	311	311	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	311	311	311	311	311	Y	Recommended		7/13/2023	
D	TITUS	1,250	1,125	1,170	1,220	1,197	1,053	1,053	1,053	1,053	1,192	1,192	1,192	1,192	1,192	1,192	1,192	1,192	1,192	1,192	No revisions requested	1,192	1,192	1,192	1,192	1,192				7/13/2023	
D	UPSHUR	185	112	88	142	53	170	170	170	170	116	116	116	116	116	143	143	143	143	143	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	143	143	143	143	143	Y	Recommended		7/13/2023	
D	VAN ZANDT	528	58	39	461	469	500	500	500	500	311	311	311	311	311	406	406	406	406	406	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	406	406	406	406	406	Y	Recommended		7/13/2023	
D	WOOD	487	512	522	593	509	489	489	489	489	489	489	489	489	489	525	525	525	525	525	No revisions requested	525	525	525	525	525				7/13/2023	
E	BREWSTER	1,974	1,574	1,083	1,659	1,456	2,006	2,006	2,006	2,006	2,006	1,549	1,549	1,549	1,549	1,549	1,974	1,974	1,974	1,974	1,974		1,974	1,974	1,974	1,974	1,974	Y	Recommended		4/17/2023
E	CULBERSON	45,681	35,373	42,123	35,766	36,784	37,863	37,863	37,863	37,863	37,863	39,145	39,145	39,145	39,145	39,145	55,482	55,482	55,482	55,482	55,482		55,482	55,482	55,482	55,482	55,482	Y	Recommended		4/17/2023
E	EL PASO	155,563	157,003	170,885	165,323	150,278	149,570	149,570	149,570	149,570	149,570	149,570	149,570	149,570	149,570	159,810	159,810	159,810	159,810	159,810	193,990	193,990	193,990	193,990	193,990	RWPG has requested to use the max. annual historical water use estimate (2011-2020)				4/17/2023	
E	HUDSPETH	91,508	77,589	95,597	92,401	98,914	115,542	115,542	115,542	115,542	115,542	115,542	115,542	115,542	115,542	115,542	115,542	115,542	115,542	115,542	115,542	193,990	193,990	193,990	193,990	193,990	Y	Recommended		4/17/2023	
E	JEFF DAVIS	740	705	861	608	605	665	665	665	665	665	665	665	665	665	704	704	704	704	704	1,225	1,225	1,225	1,225	1,225	Y	Recommended		4/17/2023		
E	PRESIDIO	3,004	3,571	3,129	2,984	2,227	4,006	4,006	4,006	4,006	4,006	4,006	4,006	4,006	4,006	2,983	2,983	2,983	2,983	2,983	7,350	7,350	7,350	7,350	7,350	Y	Recommended		4/17/2023		
E	TERRELL	845	956	585	423	751	751	751	751	751	646	646	646	646	646	956	956	956	956	956	956	956	956	956	956	956	Y	Recommended		4/17/2023	
F	ANDREWS	14,613	16,536	14,244	13,984	14,431	20,365	20,365	20,365	20,365	20,365	14,762	14,762	14,762	14,762	14,762	17,563	17,563	17,563	17,563	17,563		17,563	17,563	17,563	17,563	17,563	Y	Recommended		7/10/2023
F	BORDEN	1,898	2,214	1,816	2,225	2,053	2,949	2,949	2,949	2,949	2,949	2,041	2,041	2,041	2,041	2,041	2,495	2,495	2,495	2,495	2,495		2,495	2,495	2,495	2,495	2,495	Y	Recommended		7/10/2023
F	BROWN	7,592	6,622	6,306	8,985	6,713	8,125	8,125	8,125	8,125	8,125	7,244	7,244	7,244	7,244	7,244	7,684	7,684	7,684	7,684	7,684		7,684	7,684	7,684	7,684	7,684	Y	Recommended		7/10/2023
F	COKE	429	511	572	563	647	689	689	689	689	689	544	544	544	544	544	617	617													

G	BRAZOS	18,294	32,912	36,870	41,835	32,057	39,243	39,243	39,243	39,243	39,243	32,394	32,394	32,394	32,394	32,394	35,818	35,818	35,818	35,818	35,818	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	35,818	35,818	35,818	35,818	35,818	Y	Recommended		7/7/2023			
G	BURLESON	12,662	15,902	21,464	22,433	14,700	26,804	26,804	26,804	26,804	26,804	17,432	17,432	17,432	17,432	17,432	22,118	22,118	22,118	22,118	22,118	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	22,118	22,118	22,118	22,118	22,118	Y	Recommended		7/7/2023			
G	CALLAHAN	282	223	244	261	308	781	781	781	781	781	264	264	264	264	264	522	522	522	522	522	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	522	522	522	522	522	Y	Recommended		7/7/2023			
G	COMANCHE	21,186	23,473	27,626	29,400	29,684	32,117	32,117	32,117	32,117	32,117	26,274	26,274	26,274	26,274	26,274	26,274	26,274	26,274	26,274	26,274	No revisions requested	26,274	26,274	26,274	26,274	26,274	26,274		7/7/2023				
G	CORYELL	361	218	364	367	403	310	310	310	310	310	343	343	343	343	343	343	343	343	343	343	No revisions requested	343	343	343	343	343	343		7/7/2023				
G	EASTLAND	3,261	3,162	3,728	4,444	4,180	5,031	5,031	5,031	5,031	5,031	3,755	3,755	3,755	3,755	3,755	4,393	4,393	4,393	4,393	4,393	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	4,393	4,393	4,393	4,393	4,393	4,393	Y	Recommended		7/7/2023		
G	ERATH	6,138	6,390	7,132	7,550	7,504	7,026	7,026	7,026	7,026	7,026	6,943	6,943	6,943	6,943	6,943	6,985	6,985	6,985	6,985	6,985	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	6,985	6,985	6,985	6,985	6,985	6,985	Y	Recommended		7/7/2023		
G	FALLS	5,792	5,458	7,073	8,585	7,810	7,448	7,448	7,448	7,448	7,448	6,944	6,944	6,950	6,956	6,963	6,944	6,944	6,950	6,956	6,963	No revisions requested	6,944	6,944	6,950	6,956	6,963	6,963		7/7/2023				
G	FISHER	3,571	2,965	3,543	4,722	4,685	4,680	4,680	4,680	4,680	4,680	3,897	3,897	3,897	3,897	3,897	4,289	4,289	4,289	4,289	4,289	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	4,289	4,289	4,289	4,289	4,289	4,289	Y	Recommended		7/7/2023		
G	GRIMES	345	376	399	1,971	443	668	668	668	668	668	707	707	707	707	707	707	707	707	707	707	No revisions requested	707	707	707	707	707	707		7/7/2023				
G	HAMILTON	394	909	1,288	1,905	1,246	694	694	694	694	694	1,148	1,148	1,148	1,148	1,148	1,148	1,148	1,148	1,148	1,148	No revisions requested	1,148	1,148	1,148	1,148	1,148	1,148		7/7/2023				
G	HASKELL	39,275	40,872	45,057	39,051	42,101	58,239	58,239	56,022	56,188	57,281	57,281	41,271	41,271	41,271	41,271	41,271	49,755	49,755	49,755	49,755	49,755	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	49,755	49,755	49,755	49,755	49,755	49,755	Y	Recommended		7/7/2023	
G	HILL	1,464	946	1,053	825	704	1,750	1,750	1,750	1,750	1,750	998	998	998	998	998	1,374	1,374	1,374	1,374	1,374	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	1,374	1,374	1,374	1,374	1,374	1,374	Y	Recommended		7/7/2023		
G	HOOD	7,199	6,291	7,599	6,275	5,386	9,049	9,049	9,049	9,049	9,049	6,550	6,550	6,550	6,550	6,550	7,800	7,800	7,800	7,800	7,800	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	7,800	7,800	7,800	7,800	7,800	7,800	Y	Recommended		7/7/2023		
G	JOHNSON	525	552	612	593	305	566	566	566	566	566	517	517	517	517	517	542	542	542	542	542	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	542	542	542	542	542	542	Y	Recommended		7/7/2023		
G	JONES	2,524	2,464	2,261	3,207	2,415	2,829	2,829	2,829	2,829	2,829	2,829	2,574	2,574	2,574	2,574	2,574	2,702	2,702	2,702	2,702	2,702	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	2,702	2,702	2,702	2,702	2,702	2,702	Y	Recommended		7/7/2023	
G	KENT	630	758	756	865	861	1,081	1,081	1,081	1,081	1,081	774	774	774	774	774	927	927	927	927	927	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	927	927	927	927	927	927	Y	Recommended		7/7/2023		
G	KNOX	28,967	28,460	34,970	28,631	29,368	43,982	43,982	39,874	38,078	40,413	40,413	30,079	30,079	30,387	33,014	30,830	30,664	37,031	37,031	37,031	37,031	37,031	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	37,031	37,031	37,031	37,031	37,031	37,031	Y	Recommended		7/7/2023
G	LAMPASAS	384	660	195	734	544	538	538	538	538	538	503	503	503	503	503	521	521	521	521	521	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	521	521	521	521	521	521	Y	Recommended		7/7/2023		
G	LEE	519	519	692	674	1,142	1,168	1,168	1,168	1,168	1,168	709	709	709	709	709	939	939	939	939	939	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	939	939	939	939	939	939	Y	Recommended		7/7/2023		
G	LIMESTONE	7	0	0	9	23	7	7	7	7	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	No revisions requested	8	8	8	8	8	8		7/7/2023					
G	MCLENNAN	4,901	4,287	5,034	8,212	3,176	4,962	4,962	4,962	4,962	4,962	5,122	5,122	5,122	5,122	5,122	5,122	5,122	5,122	5,122	No revisions requested	5,122	5,122	5,122	5,122	5,122	5,122		7/7/2023					
G	MILAM	5,265	4,414	5,516	5,368	5,045	6,502	6,502	6,502	6,502	6,502	5,122	5,122	5,122	5,122	5,122	5,812	5,812	5,812	5,812	5,812	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	5,812	5,812	5,812	5,812	5,812	5,812	Y	Recommended		7/7/2023		
G	NOLAN	11,043	12,238	14,076	14,120	13,263	11,564	11,564</																										

G	TAYLOR	1,562	1,533	875	1,065	1,046	1,635	1,635	1,635	1,635	1,241	1,241	1,241	1,241	1,241	1,426	1,426	1,426	1,426	1,426	1,426	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	1,426	1,426	1,426	1,426	1,426	Y	Recommended		7/7/2023	
G	THROCKMORTON	45	40	50	50	50	157	157	157	157	47	47	47	47	47	71	71	71	71	71	71	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	71	71	71	71	71	Y	Recommended		7/7/2023	
G	WASHINGTON	167	200	200	200	200	309	309	309	309	193	193	193	193	193	251	251	251	251	251	251	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	251	251	251	251	251	Y	Recommended		7/7/2023	
G	WILLIAMSON	263	521	563	285	364	333	333	333	333	399	399	399	399	399	399	399	399	399	399	399	No revisions requested	399	399	399	399	399				7/7/2023	
G	YOUNG	617	644	654	657	669	493	493	493	493	648	648	648	648	648	648	648	648	648	648	648	No revisions requested	648	648	648	648	648				7/7/2023	
H	AUSTIN	4,951	4,894	5,793	5,951	5,778	6,007	6,007	6,007	6,007	5,473	5,473	5,473	5,473	5,473	6,007	6,007	6,007	6,007	6,007	6,007	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	6,007	6,007	6,007	6,007	6,007	Y	Recommended		6/27/2023	
H	BRAZORIA	52,764	67,574	36,848	44,998	50,408	90,575	90,575	90,575	90,575	50,518	50,518	50,518	50,518	50,518	90,575	90,575	90,575	90,575	90,575	90,575	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	90,575	90,575	90,575	90,575	90,575	Y	Recommended		6/27/2023	
H	CHAMBERS	85,767	83,298	97,091	77,790	48,548	128,320	128,320	128,320	128,320	128,320	128,320	128,320	128,320	128,320	128,320	128,320	128,320	128,320	128,320	128,320	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	128,320	128,320	128,320	128,320	128,320	Y	Recommended		6/27/2023	
H	FORT BEND	24,753	24,811	23,898	20,789	18,398	30,600	30,600	30,600	30,600	22,530	22,530	22,530	22,530	22,530	30,600	30,600	30,600	30,600	30,600	30,600	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	30,600	30,600	30,600	30,600	30,600	Y	Recommended		6/27/2023	
H	GALVESTON	3,156	4,874	8,118	7,697	7,271	5,105	5,105	5,105	5,105	6,223	6,223	6,223	6,223	6,223	8,118	8,118	8,118	8,118	8,118	8,118	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	8,118	8,118	8,118	8,118	8,118	Y	Recommended		6/27/2023	
H	HARRIS	9,440	4,317	6,394	6,470	5,677	9,440	9,440	9,440	9,440	6,460	6,460	6,460	6,460	6,460	9,440	9,440	9,440	9,440	9,440	9,440	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	9,440	9,440	9,440	9,440	9,440	Y	Recommended		6/27/2023	
H	LEON	127	321	403	502	304	492	492	492	492	331	331	331	331	331	502	502	502	502	502	502	Recommended adjustment to second-highest annual use from 2010-2020.	502	502	502	502	502	Y	Recommended		6/27/2023	
H	LIBERTY	14,161	13,353	12,200	20,342	15,587	43,200	43,200	43,200	43,200	43,200	15,129	15,129	15,129	15,129	15,129	43,200	43,200	43,200	43,200	43,200	43,200	Request ensures demands are not biased by short-term limitations such as drought curtailments or by outliers in water use.	43,200	43,200	43,200	43,200	43,200	Y	Recommended		6/27/2023
H	MADISON	101	98	174	265	253	122	122	122	122	122	178	178	178	178	178	253	253	253	253	253	253	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	253	253	253	253	253	Y	Recommended		6/27/2023
H	MONTGOMERY	2,779	2,417	3,663	3,692	3,481	5,642	5,642	5,642	5,642	5,642	3,206	3,206	3,206	3,206	3,206	5,642	5,642	5,642	5,642	5,642	5,642	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	5,642	5,642	5,642	5,642	5,642	Y	Recommended		6/27/2023
H	POLK	97	83	119	89	107	332	332	332	332	332	99	99	99	99	99	332	332	332	332	332	332	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	332	332	332	332	332	Y	Recommended		6/27/2023
H	SAN JACINTO	68	73	85	77	93	148	148	148	148	148	79	79	79	79	79	148	148	148	148	148	148	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	148	148	148	148	148	Y	Recommended		6/27/2023
H	TRINITY	229	22	32	27	32	275	275	275	275	275	68	68	68	68	68	275	275	275	275	275	275	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	275	275	275	275	275	Y	Recommended		6/27/2023
H	WALKER	231	248	320	359	337	560	560	560	560	299	299	299	299	299	560	560	560	560	560	560	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	560	560	560	560	560	Y	Recommended		6/27/2023	
H	WALLER	9,023	12,396	11,942	10,352	12,222	22,044	22,044	22,044	22,044	22,044	11,187	11,187	11,187	11,187	11,187	22,044	22,044	22,044	22,044	22,044	22,044	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	22,044	22,044	22,044	22,044	22,044	Y	Recommended		6/27/2023
I	ANDERSON	675	720	800	1,416	912	657	657	657	657	657	905	905	905	905	905	905	905	905	905	905	905	905	905	905	905	905	905	Y	Recommended	RWPG requested to use the greater 5-year average between 2010-2014 and 2015-2019	8/1/2023
I	ANGELINA	110	189	151	189	97	779	779	779	779	779	147	147	147	147	147	779	779	779	779	779	779	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	779	779	779	779	779	Y	Recommended	RWPG requested to use the greater 5-year average between 2010-2014 and 2015-2019	8/1/2023
I	CHEROKEE	498	403	399	386	309	451	451	451	451	451	399	399	399	399	399	451	451	451	451	451	451	Recommended as a more conservative estimate based on greater average use over 2010-2019 period.	451	451	451	451	451	Y	Recommended	RWPG requested to use the greater 5-year average between 2010-2014 and 2015-2019	8/1/2023
I	HARDIN	131	170	176	238	183	989	989	989	989	989	180	180	180	180	180	989	989	989	989	989	98										

N	DUVAL	1,584	2,339	2,375	1,820	1,961	4,042	4,042	4,042	4,042	4,042	4,042	2,016	2,016	2,016	2,016	2,016	2,016	2,016	2,016	2,016	No revisions requested	2,016	2,016	2,016	2,016	2,016			8/22/2023					
N	JIM WELLS	1,528	1,286	1,769	1,895	1,849	1,913	1,913	1,913	1,913	1,913	1,913	1,665	1,665	1,665	1,665	1,665	1,665	1,665	1,665	1,665	No revisions requested	1,665	1,665	1,665	1,665	1,665			8/22/2023					
N	KENEDY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			8/22/2023						
N	KLEBERG	55	138	83	220	210	850	850	850	850	850	850	141	141	141	141	141	141	141	141	141	No revisions requested	141	141	141	141	141			8/22/2023					
N	LIVE OAK	959	1,064	696	678	825	1,630	1,630	1,630	1,630	1,630	1,630	844	844	844	844	844	844	844	844	844	No revisions requested	844	844	844	844	844			8/22/2023					
N	MCMULLEN	0	0	0	0	120	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	No revisions requested	24	24	24	24	24			8/22/2023					
N	NUECES	347	493	440	750	765	1,540	1,540	1,540	1,540	1,540	1,540	559	559	559	559	559	559	559	559	559	No revisions requested	559	559	559	559	559			8/22/2023					
N	SAN PATRICIO	6,364	5,689	5,876	5,794	3,764	14,645	14,645	14,645	14,645	14,645	14,645	5,497	5,497	5,497	5,497	5,497	5,497	5,497	5,497	5,497	No revisions requested	5,497	5,497	5,497	5,497	5,497			8/22/2023					
O	BAILEY	55,099	64,900	51,749	82,260	69,176	88,108	88,108	72,000	63,505	58,659	55,616	64,633	64,633	56,440	51,651	48,632	46,583	58,170	47,535	37,454	27,373	24,677	23,508	58,170	47,535	37,454	27,373	24,677	23,508	Y	Recommended	7/27/2023		
O	BRISCOE	16,556	21,919	19,721	19,852	20,955	26,417	26,417	20,687	17,833	16,225	15,231	19,801	19,801	16,803	15,047	13,946	13,219	17,821	13,955	10,638	7,320	6,219	5,492	17,821	13,955	10,638	7,320	6,219	5,492	Y	Recommended	7/27/2023		
O	CASTRO	246,305	327,847	300,845	285,079	243,053	379,863	379,863	300,493	253,018	232,579	222,898	280,626	280,626	231,751	205,883	193,243	186,505	252,563	199,792	111,939	24,087	11,447	4,709	252,563	199,792	111,939	24,087	11,447	4,709	Y	Recommended	7/27/2023		
O	COCHRAN	74,529	85,102	85,019	98,020	108,091	99,449	99,449	84,800	75,704	68,156	62,972	90,152	90,152	82,322	76,618	71,689	68,093	81,137	69,185	58,077	46,968	42,039	38,443	81,137	69,185	58,077	46,968	42,039	38,443	Y	Recommended	7/27/2023		
O	CROSBY	37,793	102,190	61,432	58,769	74,772	107,583	107,583	85,141	73,840	67,695	66,991	66,991	66,991	66,991	66,991	66,991	66,991	60,292	60,292	49,031	37,771	30,463	25,891	60,292	60,292	49,031	37,771	30,463	25,891	Y	Recommended	7/27/2023		
O	DAWSON	54,957	65,309	84,763	81,176	81,626	106,312	106,312	91,799	84,126	79,443	73,566	73,566	73,566	73,566	73,566	73,566	73,566	66,209	66,209	66,209	62,701	59,384	66,209	66,209	66,209	62,701	59,384	66,209	66,209	62,701	59,384	Y	Recommended	7/27/2023
O	DEAF SMITH	109,294	187,255	144,399	163,566	167,259	210,016	210,016	162,701	138,274	125,446	118,219	154,355	154,355	128,905	114,321	105,729	100,441	138,920	107,622	71,017	34,412	25,820	20,532	138,920	107,622	71,017	34,412	25,820	20,532	Y	Recommended	7/27/2023		
O	DICKENS	7,917	8,011	7,175	7,287	7,345	9,039	9,039	9,039	9,039	9,039	9,039	7,547	7,547	7,547	7,547	7,547	7,547	7,547	7,547	7,547	7,547	7,547	7,547	7,547	7,547	7,547	7,547	7,547	No revisions requested	7/19/2023				
O	FLOYD	82,220	122,844	79,311	122,255	107,812	128,837	128,837	102,500	88,789	80,896	76,235	102,902	102,902	90,120	81,970	77,047	73,802	92,612	73,680	57,123	40,567	35,644	32,399	92,612	73,680	57,123	40,567	35,644	32,399	Y	Recommended	7/27/2023		
O	GAINES	312,119	325,473	305,058	274,923	294,757	362,482	362,482	328,442	306,787	291,887	282,438	302,466	302,466	284,212	271,717	262,846	256,663	272,219	192,147	137,639	128,768	122,585	272,219	246,656	192,147	137,639	128,768	122,585	Y	Recommended	7/27/2023			
O	GARZA	8,984	11,801	10,730	11,997	11,482	10,353	10,353	10,353	10,353	10,353	10,353	10,999	10,999	10,999	10,999	9,899	9,899	9,899	9,899	9,899	9,899	9,899	9,899	9,899	9,899	9,899	9,899	9,899	9,899	9,899	Y	Recommended	7/27/2023	
O	HALE	204,414	289,821	183,388	250,247	237,551	310,542	310,542	266,277	244,333	233,354	227,568	233,075	233,075	211,265	199,109	192,275	188,265	209,768	179,867	102,409	24,951	18,147	14,107	209,768	179,867	102,409	24,951	18,147	14,107	Y	Recommended	7/27/2023		
O	HOCKLEY	115,163	137,475	99,050	129,386	141,539	131,866	131,866	97,749	83,766	77,166	73,589	124,558	124,558	109,179	101,754	97,655	95,173	112,102	83,099	67,305	51,512	47,413	44,931	112,102	83,099	67,305	51,512	47,413	44,931	Y	Recommended	7/27/2023		
O	LAMB	169,848	224,861	147,282	208,374	187,087	259,451	259,451	218,589	203,951	194,185	187,495	187,495	169,906	161,881	157,686	155,243	168,746	142,169	83,500	24,830	20,958	19,645	168,746	142,169	83,500	24,830	20,958	19,645	Y	Recommended	7/27/2023			
O	LUBBOCK	177,279</																																	

D	VAN ZANDT	1,631	1,664	1,915	1,960	1,987	1,889	1,889	1,889	1,889	1,889	1,839	1,839	1,839	1,934	1,934	1,934	1,934	1,934	1,934	1,934	1,934	1,934	Y	Recommended	7/14/2023	
D	WOOD	1,666	1,711	1,433	1,467	1,492	3,224	3,224	3,224	3,224	3,224	1,554	1,554	1,554	1,670	1,670	1,670	1,670	1,670	1,670	1,670	1,670	1,670	Y	Recommended		
E	BREWSTER	307	313	477	495	495	347	347	347	347	347	417	417	417	495	495	495	495	495	495	495	495	495	495	Y	Recommended	4/17/2023
E	CULBERSON	290	294	258	268	268	270	270	270	270	270	276	276	276	294	294	294	294	294	294	294	294	294	294	Y	Recommended	4/17/2023
E	EL PASO	179	177	126	132	132	171	171	171	171	171	149	149	149	194	194	194	194	194	194	194	194	194	194	Y	Recommended	4/17/2023
E	HUDSPETH	379	394	288	297	302	437	437	437	437	437	332	332	332	533	533	533	533	533	533	533	533	533	533	Y	Recommended	TWDB recommends RWPG request to use actual reported water use that reflects drought conditions.
E	JEFF DAVIS	308	314	481	495	495	397	397	397	397	397	419	419	419	503	503	503	503	503	503	503	503	503	503	Y	Recommended	
E	PRESIDIO	256	259	476	492	492	328	328	328	328	328	395	395	395	492	492	492	492	492	492	492	492	492	492	Y	Recommended	
E	TERRELL	97	97	163	167	168	151	151	151	151	151	138	138	138	183	183	183	183	183	183	183	183	183	183	Y	Recommended	
F	ANDREWS	187	191	200	210	210	210	210	210	210	210	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200			7/10/2023	
F	BORDEN	127	130	303	316	321	175	175	175	175	175	239	239	239	239	239	239	239	239	239	239	239	239			7/10/2023	
F	BROWN	934	961	962	999	1,003	1,119	1,119	1,119	1,119	1,119	972	972	972	972	972	972	972	972	972	972	972	972			7/10/2023	
F	COKE	245	247	272	281	281	306	306	306	306	306	265	265	265	265	265	265	265	265	265	265	265	265			7/10/2023	
F	COLEMAN	643	648	787	814	814	705	705	705	705	705	741	741	741	741	741	741	741	741	741	741	741	741			7/10/2023	
F	CONCHO	346	350	552	574	574	382	382	382	382	382	479	479	479	479	479	479	479	479	479	479	479	479			7/10/2023	
F	CRANE	64	65	56	58	58	72	72	72	72	72	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60			7/10/2023	
F	CROCKETT	489	489	521	536	536	527	527	527	527	527	514	514	514	514	514	514	514	514	514	514	514	514			7/10/2023	
F	ECTOR	101	102	162	168	199	199	199	199	199	199	140	140	140	140	140	140	140	140	140	140	140	140			7/10/2023	
F	GLASSCOCK	112	113	116	120	120	147	147	147	147	147	116	116	116	116	116	116	116	116	116	116	116	116			7/10/2023	
F	HOWARD	213	221	184	189	189	229	229	229	229	229	199	199	199	199	199	199	199	199	199	199	199	199			7/10/2023	
F	IRION	202	204	264	271	271	232	232	232	232	232	242	242	242	242	242	242	242	242	242	242	242	242			7/10/2023	
F	KIMBLE	254	256	334	346	347	320	320	320	320	320	307	307	307	307	307	307	307	307	307	307	307	307			7/10/2023	
F	LOVING	33	35	44	45	45	32	32	32	32	32	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40			7/10/2023	
F	MARTIN	83	84	66	70	70	119	119	119	119	119	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75			7/10/2023	
F	MASON	674	687	674	702	702	714	714	714	714	714	688	688	688	688	688	688	688	688	688	688	688	688			7/10/2023	
F	MCCULLOCH	496	498	574	596	596	651	651	651	651	651	552	552	552	552	552	552	552	552	552	552	552	552			7/10/2023	
F	MENARD	299	304	315	327	328	294	294	294	294	294	315	315	315	315	315	315	315	315	315	315	315	315			7/10/2023	
F	MIDLAND	242	248	131	139	139	243	243	243	243	243	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180			7/10/2023	
F	MITCHELL	347	354	288	300	299	376	376	376	376	376	318	318	318	318	318	318	318	318	318	318	318	318			7/10/2023	
F	PECOS	643	646	576	591	591	687	687	687	687	687	609	609	609	609	609	609	609	609	609	609	609	609			7/10/2023	
F	REAGAN	149	150	381	396	396	183	183	183	183	183	294	294	294	294	294	294	294	294	294	294	294	294			7/10/2023	
F	REEVES	460	468	201	208	208	368	368	368	368	368	309	309	309	309	309	309	309	309	309	309	309	309			7/10/2023	
F	RUNNELS	576	580	732	752	756	705	705	705	705	705	679	679	679	679	679	679	679	679	679	679	679	679			7/10/2023	
F	SCHLEICHER	353	355																								

H	SAN JACINTO	343	345	265	274	274	413	413	413	413	300	300	300	300	300	345	345	345	345	345	345	345	345	345	345	Y	Recommended		6/27/2023					
H	TRINITY	147	149	162	166	166	201	201	201	201	158	158	158	158	158	169	169	169	169	169	169	169	169	169	169	Y	Recommended		6/27/2023					
H	WALKER	766	780	721	746	743	753	753	753	753	751	751	751	751	751	780	780	780	780	780	780	780	780	780	780	Y	Recommended		6/27/2023					
H	WALLER	1,158	1,186	888	912	912	1,179	1,179	1,179	1,179	1,011	1,011	1,011	1,011	1,011	1,186	1,186	1,186	1,186	1,186	1,186	1,186	1,186	1,186	1,186	1,186	Y	Recommended		6/27/2023				
I	ANDERSON	1,161	1,179	1,282	1,318	1,321	1,026	1,026	1,026	1,026	1,252	1,252	1,252	1,252	1,252	1,321	1,321	1,321	1,321	1,321	1,321	1,321	1,321	1,321	1,321	Y	Recommended	RWPG requested to use max historical use from 2015-2019 and the same growth trend.	8/1/2023					
I	ANGELINA	630	644	661	674	684	1,028	1,028	1,028	1,028	659	659	659	659	659	684	684	684	684	684	684	684	684	684	684	Y	Recommended	RWPG requested to use max historical use from 2015-2019 and the same growth trend.	8/1/2023					
I	CHEROKEE	1,047	1,077	1,192	1,219	1,231	1,874	1,874	1,874	1,874	1,153	1,153	1,153	1,153	1,153	1,231	1,231	1,231	1,231	1,231	1,231	1,231	1,231	1,231	Y	Recommended	RWPG requested to use max historical use from 2015-2019 and the same growth trend.	8/1/2023						
I	HARDIN	200	201	160	164	164	198	198	198	198	178	178	178	178	178	201	201	201	201	201	201	201	201	201	201	Y	Recommended	RWPG requested to use max historical use from 2015-2019 and the same growth trend.	8/1/2023					
I	HENDERSON	439	448	420	432	432	1,006	1,006	1,006	1,006	2,866	2,866	2,866	2,866	2,866	3,179	3,179	3,179	3,179	3,179	3,179	3,179	3,179	3,179	Y	Recommended	RWPG requested to use max historical use from 2015-2019 and the same growth trend.	8/3/2023						
I	HOUSTON	1,508	1,526	1,396	1,436	1,440	1,564	1,707	1,860	2,027	2,208	2,439	1,595	1,738	1,894	2,063	2,279	2,279	1,666	1,815	1,978	2,154	2,380	2,380	Max Use by County from 2015-2019	1,666	1,815	1,978	2,154	2,380	Y	Recommended	RWPG requested to use max historical use from 2015-2019 and the same growth trend.	8/1/2023
I	JASPER	471	535	515	526	396	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	489	489	489	489	10,273	10,273	10,273	10,273	10,273	10,273	10,273	10,273	10,273	10,273	Y	Recommended	RWPG requested to use max historical use from 2015-2019 and add 10,000 acft/year from LNVA contract for John D Parker East Texas Fish Hatchery (removed surveyed historical use for this facility)	8/1/2023				
I	JEFFERSON	783	799	647	669	669	837	837	837	837	713	713	713	713	713	799	799	799	799	799	799	799	799	799	799	Y	Recommended	RWPG requested to use max historical use from 2015-2019 and the same growth trend.	8/1/2023					
I	NACOGDOCHES	2,441	2,514	2,281	2,316	2,373	9,693	10,122	10,619	11,195	11,854	12,836	2,491	2,613	2,755	2,917	3,159	12,625	12,754	12,904	13,074	13,329	13,329	Max Use by County from 2015-2019; Additional 10,000 AF/YR; identified TPWD contract	2,625	2,754	2,904	3,074	3,329	3,329	Y	Revised	RWPG requested to use max historical use from 2015-2019 and add 10,000 acft/year from LNVA contract for TPWD. However, TWDB staff determined that these demands were primarily for environmental flows and not livestock demands. TWDB staff confirmed with the RWPG technical consultant that this demand should not be included in the revision request, and therefore recommends removing the water demand associated to this facility.	8/1/2023
I	NEWTON	112	114	86	89	89	168	168	168	168	168	168	98	98	98	98	114	114	114	114	114	114	114	114	114	114	Y	Recommended	RWPG requested to use max historical use from 2015-2019 and the same growth trend.	8/1/2023				
I	ORANGE	187	187	184	187	187	255	255	255	255	255	255	186	186	186	186	187	187	187	187	187	187	187	187	187	187	Y	Recommended	RWPG requested to use max historical use from 2015-2019 and the same growth trend.	8/1/2023				
I	PANOLA	1,108	1,142	1,012	1,032	1,049	2,652	2,652	2,652	2,652	2,652	2,652	1,069	1,069	1,069	1,069	1,142	1,142	1,142	1,142	1,142	1,142	1,142	1,142	1,142	Y	Recommended	RWPG requested to use max historical use from 2015-2019 and the same growth trend.	8/1/2023					
I	POLK	113	114	91	93	93	174	174	174	174	174	174	101	101	101	101	114	114	114	114	114	114	114	114	114	114	Y	Recommended	RWPG requested to use max historical use from 2015-2019 and the same growth trend.	8/1/2023				
I	RUSK	1,243	1,298	1,170	1,193	1,207	1,660	1,683	1,714	1,745	1,777	1,777	1,239	1,262	1,285	1,309	1,309	1,316	1,340	1,364	1,389	1,389	1,389	Max Use by County from 2015-2019	1,316	1,340	1,364	1,389	1,389	Y	Recommended	RWPG requested to use max historical use from 2015-2019 and the same growth trend.	8/1/2023	
I	SABINE	131	134	227	236	237	129	176	231	294	363	363	263	345	439	542	542	323	424	540	667	667	667	Max Use by County from 2015-2019	323	424	540	667	667	Y	Recommended	RWPG requested to use max historical use from 2015-2019 and the same growth trend.	8/1/2023	
I	SAN AUGUSTINE	466	481	455	466	475	2,004	2,219	2,465	2,751	3,066	3,066	519	577	644	718	718	533	592	660	736	736	736	Max Use by County from 2015-2019	533	592	660	736	736	Y	Recommended	RWPG requested to use max historical use from 2015-2019 and the same growth trend.	8/1/2023	
I	SHELBY	2,537	2,619	2,682	2,738	2,802	11,858	14,128	16,891	20,263	24,373	24,373	3,188	3,811	4,572	5,499	5,499	3,338	3,991	4,788	5,759	5,759	5,759	Max Use by County from 2015-2019	3,338	3,991	4,788	5,759	5,759	Y	Recommended	RWPG requested to use max historical use from 2015-2019 and the same growth trend.	8/1/2023	
I	SMITH	496	500	450	464	464	580	580	580	580	475	475	475	475	475	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	Y	Recommended	RWPG requested to use max historical use from 2015-2019 and the same growth trend.	8/1/2023					
I	TRINITY	166	169	182	187	187	202	202	202	202	178	178	178	178	178	187	187	187	187	187	187	187	187	187	187	Y	Recommended	RWPG requested to use max historical use from 2015-2019 and the same growth trend.	8/1/2023					
I	TYLER	234	237	260	268	268	249	249	249	249	249	249	253	253	253	253	268	268	268	268	268	268	268	268	268	268	Y	Recommended	RWPG requested to use max historical use from 2015-2019 and the same growth trend.	8/1/2023				
J	BANDERA	231	235	195	204	204	243	243	243	243	214	214	214	214	214	297</td																		

P	JACKSON	784	809	626	651	651	1,882	1,882	1,882	1,882	1,882	1,882	704	704	704	704	704	1,371	1,371	1,371	1,371	1,371	1,371		1,371	1,371	1,371	1,371	1,371	Y	Recommended	Region comfortable with overall methodology, with the exception of the water use coefficient of 15 gals/ head for fed/other cattle. Region has concerns this does not sufficiently account for the number of pregnant/lactating cattle and their increased water needs, as well as the hot, humid temps in the region.	3/31/2023
P	LAVACA	1,962	2,035	1,829	1,877	1,883	3,763	3,763	3,763	3,763	3,763	3,763	1,917	1,917	1,917	1,917	1,917	3,545	3,545	3,545	3,545	3,545	3,545		3,545	3,545	3,545	3,545	3,545	Y	Recommended	Based on using 30 gphd instead of 15 gphd for Fed & Other Cattle, and applying to TWDB provided inventory of animals for 2015-2019.	3/31/2023
P	WHARTON	264	267	246	253	253	834	834	834	834	834	834	257	257	257	257	257	503	503	503	503	503	503		503	503	503	503	503	Y	Recommended	Requesting to use 30 gals/ head for fed/other cattle in all 3 counties. The request is recommended.	3/31/2023

WUG Info		Historical Water Use Estimates					2021 Regional Water Plan Projections					2026 DRAFT Regional Water Plan Projections					RWPG Revision Requests					TWDB EA Recommended Projections for the 2026 RWPs					Region Requested?	TWDB Action	TWDB Comment (Executive Administrator recommendation for Board Consideration)		Review Date				
Region	County	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070	2080	RWPG Comment	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070	2080										
A	ARMSTRONG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0				7/13/2023						
A	CARSON	1,152	1,175	1,366	1,292	694	1,055	1,136	1,136	1,136	1,497	1,552	1,609	1,669	1,731	1,795	1,497	1,552	1,609	1,669	1,731	1,795	No revisions requested	1,497	1,552	1,609	1,669	1,731	1,795				7/13/2023		
A	CHILDRESS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0				7/13/2023						
A	COLLINGSWORTH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0				7/13/2023						
A	DALLAM	50	45	45	42	42	6	6	6	6	55	57	59	61	63	65	1,333	1,382	1,433	1,488	1,541	1,594	Inclusion of existing Hilmar Cheese Factory	1,333	1,382	1,433	1,488	1,541	1,594	Y	Recommended	Recommend the inclusion of the additional facility.	7/13/2023		
A	DONLEY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0				7/13/2023						
A	GRAY	282	263	305	284	317	459	502	502	502	347	360	373	387	401	416	347	360	373	387	401	416	No revisions requested	347	360	373	387	401	416				7/13/2023		
A	HALL	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	No revisions requested	1	1	1	1	1	1				7/13/2023		
A	HANSFORD	328	262	217	207	71	285	321	321	321	359	372	386	400	415	430	359	372	386	400	415	430	No revisions requested	359	372	386	400	415	430				7/13/2023		
A	HARTLEY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0				7/13/2023						
A	HEMPHILL	1	1	0	0	0	5	6	6	6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	No revisions requested	1	1	1	1	1	1				7/13/2023		
A	HILLMAN	16,529	13,000	12,450	12,224	12,29	29,368	31,121	31,355	31,355	31,355	18,224	18,204	19,24	20,333	21,209	21,286	18,224	18,204	19,24	20,333	21,209	21,286	No revisions requested	18,224	18,204	19,24	20,333	21,209	21,286				7/13/2023	
A	HORNCOMB	932	314	577	646	603	1,033	400	400	400	400	724	724	763	719	748	789	818	818	No revisions requested	708	734	763	809	818	849				7/13/2023					
A	MOORE	9,075	9,242	8,326	9,277	9,282	9,277	9,629	9,629	9,629	10,185	10,562	10,953	11,358	11,778	12,214	11,339	11,553	11,978	12,421	12,801	13,254	New dairy	11,139	11,553	11,978	12,421	12,801	13,254	Y	Recommended	New cheese processing plant	7/13/2023		
A	OCHILTREE	30	31	30	28	3	36	41	41	41	34	35	36	37	38	39	34	35	36	37	38	39	No revisions requested	34	35	36	37	38	39				7/13/2023		
A	OLDHAM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0				7/13/2023						
A	POTTER	7,248	8,268	7,643	7,229	7,286	7,896	8,740	8,740	8,740	8,740	9,066	9,401	9,749	10,110	10,484	10,872	11,609	12,039	12,484	12,946	13,425	13,92	Producer Owned Beef and CMVR facilities	11,609	12,039	12,484	12,946	13,425	13,92	Y	Recommended	(Producer owned beef): New beef processing facility. CMVR (critical minerals recovery) new facility	7/13/2023	
A	RANDALL	590	622	619	645	678	621	716	716	716	744	772	801	831	862	894	1,236	1,282	1,329	1,378	1,429	1,481	Caviness meat processing and Cacique Cheese factory	1,236	1,282	1,329	1,378	1,429	1,481	Y	Recommended	Caviness water use formerly in Mun use. Expansion of that facility as well. Cacique is new food processing facility.	7/13/2023		
A	ROBERTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0				7/13/2023						
A	SHERMAN	1	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	No revisions requested	2	2	2	2	2	2				7/13/2023						
A	WHEELER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0				7/13/2023							
B	ARCHER	1	1	1	1	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	No revisions requested	1	1	1	1	1	1				7/18/2023							
B	BAYLOR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0				7/18/2023							
B	CLAY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0				7/18/2023							
B	COTTLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0				7/18/2023							
B	FOARD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0				7/18/2023							
B	HARDEMAN	205	204	196	200	203	440	483	483	483	225	233	242	251	260	270	225	233	242	251	260	270	No revisions requested	225	233	242	251	260	270				7/18/2023		
B	HORN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0				7/18/2023							
B	MONTAGUE	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0				7/18/2023							
B	WICHITA	615	639	765	793	789	1,025	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100	No revisions requested	880	913	947	982	1,018	1,056	Plans for a new beverage facility and packaging facility within the County.	1,148	1,184	1,223	1,261	1,299	1,337	Y	Recommended	Region requested increased water demand for six counties to better capture current and future manufacturing growth for known new facilities and projected expansions expected to be operating within the next two to five years.	7/19/2023
B	WILBARGER	801	742	811	1,013	848	958	1,048	1,048	1,048	1,110	1,151	1,194	1,238	1,284	1,332	1,110	1,151	1,194	1,238	1,284	1,332	No revisions requested	1,110	1,151	1,194	1,238	1,284	1,332				7/18/2023		
B	YOUNG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0				7/18/2023							
C	COLLIN	2,235	3,101	3,307	4,444	4,399	2,246	2,602	2,602	2,602	4,952	5,135	5,325	5,522	5,726	5,938	8,623	8,942	9,273	9,616	9,972	10,34	Plans for new electronic facilities within the County.	8,623	8,942	9,273	9,616	9,972	10,34	Y	Recommended	Region requested increased water demand for six counties to better capture current and future manufacturing growth for known new facilities and projected expansions expected to be operating within the County.	7/19/2023		
C	COOKE	83	51	73	45	36	116	128	128	128	128	128	128	128	128	128	128	No revisions requested	139	144	149	155	161	167				7/19/2023							
C	DALLAS	16,422	16,884	17,660	15,633	14,915	21,834	23,073	23,073	23,073	20,954	21,729	22,593	23,367	23,367	23,367	21,497	22,292	23,117	23,972	24,858	25,777	No revisions requested	21,497	22,292	23,117	23,972	24,858	25,777	Y	Recommended	Plans for a new beverage facility and packaging facility within the County.	7/19/2023		
C	DENTON	352	355	337	428	400	374	440	440	440	440	600	627	650	674	699	725	605	627	650	674	699	725	No revisions requested	505	627	650	674	699	725				7/19/2023	
C	ELLIS	4,558	4,742	4,415	5,081	4,510	5,414	6,549	6,549	6,549	6,549	6,549	6,549	6,549	6,549	6,549	6,549	No revisions requested	5,660	6,869	6,869	6,869	6,869	6,869	For five of these counties, a new baseline is calculated by adding expected water use for the new facilities applied to the TWDB baseline. Then the new water use is for known new facilities and projected expansions expected to be operating within the next two to five years.	7/19/2023									
C	FANNIN	946	910	969	997	1,058	946	1,109	1,109	1,109	1,109	1,177	1,221	1,266	1,313	1,362	1,412	1,177	1,221	1,266	1,313	1,362	1,412	No revisions requested	5	5	5	5	5	5				7/19/2023	
C	FREESTONE	976	639	696	716	711	894	1,062	1,062	1,062	1,086	1,126	1,168	1,211	1,256	1,302	1,354	1,394	1,434	1,474	1,514	1,554	Plans for a new recycling facility within the County.	1,634	1,694	1,757	1,822	1,889	1,959	Y	Recommended	Rate is applied to the TWDB baseline for 2030, and for 2040-2080, the statewide manufacturing proxy of 0.37% is applied. For Grayson County, the 0.96% growth rate is applied to the TWDB baseline for 2030, and for 2040-2080, the statewide manufacturing proxy of 0.37% is applied. The demands for each county are adjusted to incorporate the projected demand for the new facilities. The request is recommended.	7/19/2023		
C	GREGG	39	43	49	56	66	87	103	103	103	85	88	91	94	97	101	85	88	91	94	97	101	No revisions requested	85	88	91	94	97	101				7/19/2023		
C	HARRISON	11,400	11,418	11,514	11,339	11,054	24,736	27,940	27,940	27,940	14,175	15,137	15,13																						

G	LEE	7	6	8	7	9	7	8	8	8	8	10	10	10	10	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	Y	Recommended		8/21/2023				
G	LIMESTONE	28	23	23	25	23	321	377	377	377	377	31	32	33	34	35	36	253	262	272	282	292	301				8/21/2023				
G	MCLENNAN	3,284	3,830	4,062	3,918	4,100	4,792	7,458	7,458	7,458	7,458	4,568	4,737	4,912	5,094	5,282	5,477	5,745	5,959	6,181	6,411	6,649	6,896	Y	Recommended		8/21/2023				
G	MILAM	0	0	0	0	0	12	13	13	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			8/21/2023				
G	NOLAN	352	455	439	418	427	448	528	528	528	528	500	519	538	558	579	600	539	559	580	601	624	641				8/21/2023				
G	PALO PINTO	13	4	3	3	3	11	13	13	13	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	28	29	30	31	32	31	Y	Recommended		8/21/2023				
G	ROBERTSON	40	35	35	37	39	51	51	51	51	51	44	46	48	50	52	54	60	62	64	66	68	71	Y	Recommended		8/21/2023				
G	SHACKELFORD	0	0	0	0	0	13	13	13	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			8/21/2023				
G	SOMERVELL	4	3	3	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	Y	Recommended		8/21/2023				
G	STEPHENS	4	2	7	5	5	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	Y	Recommended		8/21/2023				
G	STONEWALL	0	0	0	0	0	58	58	58	58	58	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			8/21/2023				
G	TAYLOR	498	519	492	462	507	585	671	671	671	671	596	618	641	665	690	716	720	747	775	804	834	861	Y	Recommended		8/21/2023				
G	THROCKMORTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			8/21/2023				
G	WASHINGTON	438	246	281	235	253	577	583	583	583	583	487	505	524	543	563	584	696	722	749	777	806	831	Y	Recommended		8/21/2023				
G	WILLIAMSON	265	328	739	732	708	812	963	963	963	963	843	874	906	940	975	1,011	973	1,009	1,047	1,086	1,126	1,161				8/21/2023				
G	YOUNG	18	31	50	64	83	36	44	44	44	44	91	94	97	101	105	109	98	102	106	110	114	111	Y	Recommended		8/21/2023				
H	AUSTIN	14	14	6	6	5	106	114	114	114	114	15	16	17	18	19	20	15	16	17	18	19	20	Y	Recommended	The region requested to incorporate the 2020 historical net use. This resulted in the same demand as the draft projections.	7/5/2023				
H	BRAZORIA	150,446	170,767	144,917	183,168	216,723	198,410	232,153	232,153	232,153	232,153	238,644	247,474	256,631	266,126	275,973	286,184	238,541	247,367	256,520	266,011	275,853	286,060	Y	Recommended	The region requested to incorporate the 2020 historical net use. The max year of 2019 remained the same, however the projections slightly changed due to net use calculations from the WUS.	7/5/2023				
H	CHAMBERS	20,251	19,913	20,013	24,321	23,329	20,182	23,519	23,519	23,519	23,519	26,832	27,825	28,855	29,923	31,030	32,178	35,938	37,268	38,647	40,077	41,560	43,098	Y	Recommended	The region requested to incorporate the 2020 historical net use which changed the draft projections.	7/5/2023				
H	FORT BEND	2,344	2,351	2,244	3,690	3,704	5,403	5,941	5,941	5,941	5,941	4,180	4,335	4,495	4,661	4,833	5,012	4,180	4,335	4,495	4,661	4,833	5,012	Y	Recommended	The region requested to incorporate the 2020 historical net use. This resulted in the same demand as the draft projections.	7/5/2023				
H	GALVESTON	40,905	40,752	42,195	38,180	37,020	55,104	64,333	64,333	64,333	64,333	46,363	48,078	49,857	51,702	53,615	55,599	46,363	48,078	49,857	51,702	53,615	55,599	Y	Recommended	The region requested to incorporate the 2020 historical net use. This resulted in the same demand as the draft projections.	7/5/2023				
H	HARRIS	284,263	291,795	282,336	306,986	284,604	311,627	364,350	364,350	364,350	364,350	351,701	364,714	378,208	392,202	406,713	373,983	393,828	415,735	426,838	438,352	450,291	Y	Recommended	The region requested to incorporate 2020 historical water use, add two ocean facilities historical water use, and add projected water use from a facility expansion.	7/5/2023					
H	LEON	582	669	663	762	748	846	1,069	1,069	1,069	1,069	835	866	898	931	965	1,001	940	975	1,011	1,048	1,087	1,122	Y	Recommended	The region requested to incorporate the 2020 historical net use which changed the draft projections.	7/5/2023				
H	LIBERTY	166	155	118	210	216	245	289	289	289	289	239	248	257	267	277	287	239	248	257	267	277	281	Y	Recommended	The region requested to incorporate the 2020 historical net use. This resulted in the same demand as the draft projections.	7/5/2023				
H	MADISON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Y	Recommended	The region requested to incorporate the 2020 historical net use. This resulted in the same demand as the draft projections.	7/5/2023				
H	MONTGOMERY	795	683	1,323	1,845	1,840	2,135	2,413	2,413	2,413	2,413	2,120	2,198	2,279	2,363	2,450	2,541	2,120	2,198	2,279	2,363	2,450	2,541	Y	Recommended	The region requested to incorporate the 2020 historical net use. The result was the same demand as the draft projections.	7/5/2023				
H	POLK	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	7	7	7	7	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	Y	Recommended	The region requested to incorporate the 2020 historical net use which changed the draft projections.	7/5/2023				
H	SAN JACINTO	8	8	6	6	6	9	10	10	10	10	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	Y	Recommended	The region requested to incorporate the 2020 historical net use. This resulted in the same demand as the draft projections.	7/5/2023				
H	TRINITY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Y	Recommended	The region requested to incorporate the 2020 historical net use. This resulted in the same demand as the draft projections.	7/5/2023				
H	WALKER	229	245	205	67	53	249	303	303	303	277	287	298	309	320	332	277	287	298	309	320	331	Y	Recommended	The region requested to incorporate the 2020 historical net use. The result was the same demand as the draft projections.	7/5/2023					
H	WALLER	122	124	123	136	130	134	136	136	136	136	158	164	170	176	183	190	158	164	170	176	183	190	Y	Recommended	The region requested to incorporate the 2020 historical net use. This resulted in the same demand as the draft projections.	7/5/2023				
I	ANDERSON	724	1,537	1,405	1,300	1,307	0	0	0	0	0	1,686	1,748	1,813	1,880	1,950	2,022	1,686	1,748	1,813	1,880	1,950	2,022	No revisions requested	1,686	1,748	1,813	1,880	1,950	2,022	8/3/2023
I	ANGELINA	4,636	5,012	2,730	2,380	2,285	3,658	3,878	3,878	3,878	3,878	5,518	5,723	5,934	6,154	6,382	6,618	5,612	5,819	6,034	6,258	6,489	6,729	Y	Recommended	Region requested an addition of a new facility	8/3/2023				
I	CHEROKEE	59	56	61	63	63	115	129	129	129	129	82	85	88	91	94	97	82	85	88	91	94	97	No revisions requested	82	85	88	91	94	97	8/3/2023
I	HARDIN	30	42	35	51	37	40	45	45	45	45	64	66	68	71	74	77	64	66	68	71	74	77	No revisions requested	64	66	68	71	74	77	8/3/2023
I	HENDERSON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0	8/3/2023
I	HOUSTON	158	148	183	181	133	169	232	232	232	232	201	208	216	224	232	241	201	208	216	224	232	241	No revisions requested	201	208	216	224	232	241	8/3/2023

N	MCMULLEN	269	200	270	34	5	219	249	249	249	249	249	296	307	318	330	342	355	34	34	34	34	34	Local input to reduce McMullen County to 2018 use for Year 2030 and hold constant through Year 2080. Region N approved January 26, 2023.	34	34	34	34	34	Y	Recommended	TWDB staff reviewed historical WUS records and confirmed several plants are no longer operational.	8/22/2023		
N	NUECES	34,792	33,693	38,343	39,733	38,402	45,411	50,363	50,363	50,363	50,363	50,363	43,644	45,259	46,934	48,673	50,472	52,339	50,363	50,363	50,363	50,363	50,472	The City of Corpus Christi is expecting Nueces County manufacturing to be aligned with 2021 Regional Water Plan projections, as several projects previously projected in the last planning effort were delayed. No changes to 2070-2080. Region N approved May 18, 2023.	50,363	50,363	50,363	50,363	50,472	52,339	Y	Recommended	TWDB staff reviewed the 2021RWP revision request and confirmed previously proposed plants are still proposed.	8/22/2023	
N	SAN PATRICIO	9,144	9,377	10,238	41,810	15,670	38,841	43,223	43,223	43,223	43,223	43,223	45,888	47,586	49,347	51,173	53,066	55,029	56,986	59,835	62,827	65,968	69,267	72,737	San Patricio Municipal Water District currently has contracted water supplies with San Patricio County manufacturers that total 56,986 acft. 0.5% annual increase representative of future growth plans. Region N approved May 18, 2023.	60,705	60,710	60,715	60,720	60,726	60,732	Y	Revised	TWDB staff reviewed SPMWD plants vs WUS records and identified 4 plants which were not included in the request. TWDB staff also discovered one SPMWD plant originally requested in SEP should be included in manufacturing. Recommended projections comprise the non-SPMWD plants calculated projection based on existing methodology with the SPMWD estimates added in each decade.	8/22/2023
D	BAILEY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/18/2023			
D	BRISCOE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/18/2023			
D	CASTRO	58	56	61	22	53	61	66	66	66	66	66	66	67	69	72	75	78	81	67	69	72	75	78	81	No revisions requested	67	69	72	75	78	81	0	7/18/2023	
D	COCHRAN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/18/2023			
D	CROSBY	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	3	3	3	3	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	No revisions requested	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7/18/2023			
D	DAVISON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/18/2023			
D	DEAF SMITH	1,037	1,088	1,134	1,366	1,348	1,002	1,107	1,107	1,107	1,107	1,107	1,498	1,553	1,610	1,670	1,732	1,796	1,498	1,553	1,610	1,670	1,732	1,796	No revisions requested	1,498	1,553	1,610	1,670	1,732	1,796	0	7/18/2023		
D	DICKENS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/18/2023			
D	FLOYD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/18/2023			
D	GAINES	350	324	326	346	470	1,512	1,587	1,587	1,587	1,587	1,587	515	534	534	574	595	617	512	534	554	574	595	617	No revisions requested	515	534	554	574	595	617	0	7/18/2023		
D	GARZA	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/18/2023			
D	HALE	622	644	617	625	667	4,383	5,076	5,076	5,076	5,076	5,076	731	758	786	815	845	876	731	758	786	815	845	876	No revisions requested	731	758	786	815	845	876	0	7/18/2023		
D	HOCKLEY	1,124	574	470	290	30	576	691	691	691	691	691	1,232	1,278	1,325	1,374	1,425	1,478	1,232	1,278	1,325	1,374	1,425	1,478	No revisions requested	1,232	1,278	1,325	1,374	1,425	1,478	0	7/18/2023		
D	LAMB	363	0	1	1	2	207	907	940	940	940	940	998	413	426	444	460	477	598	413	426	444	460	477	No revisions requested	598	413	426	444	460	477	0	7/18/2023		
D	LUBBRICK	940	1,046	1,044	917	968	856	1,011	1,011	1,011	1,011	1,011	1,174	1,217	1,262	1,309	1,357	1,407	1,174	1,217	1,262	1,309	1,357	1,407	No revisions requested	1,174	1,217	1,262	1,309	1,357	1,407	0	7/18/2023		
D	LYNN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/18/2023			
D	MOTLEY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/18/2023			
D	PARMER	1,643	1,829	1,961	1,968	1,991	1,666	1,841	1,841	1,841	1,841	1,841	2,184	2,265	2,349	2,436	2,526	2,619	2,184	2,265	2,349	2,436	2,526	2,619	No revisions requested	2,184	2,265	2,349	2,436	2,526	2,619	0	7/18/2023		
D	SWISHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/18/2023			
D	TERRY	24	27	21	25	25	15	17	17	17	17	17	30	31	32	33	34	35	30	31	32	33	34	35	No revisions requested	30	31	32	33	34	35	0	7/18/2023		
D	YOAKUM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/18/2023			
P	JACKSON	535	519	838	771	858	10,924	11,005	11,005	11,005	11,005	11,005	940	975	1,011	1,048	1,087	1,127	15,218	16,325	16,361	16,398	16,437	16,477	Based on contract amendment between LNRA and Formosa Plastics for 10,400 ac-ft of water for use in Jackson County, plus additional contract amendment for water from Lake Texana Yield Enhancement Project when it comes online in 2030 - 3878 AFY in 2030 and 4950 AFY in 2040-2080. These two amendment volumes are added to the draft 2025 numbers. Contract Amendments are attached.	15,218	16,325	16,361	16,398	16,437	16,477	Y	Recommended	Region is requesting that 10,400 ac-ft included in the last planning cycle be included in the new projections. In addition, another contract amendment with the same manufacturing customer was executed in 2018 adding 3,878 ac-ft to the demand in 2030 and 4,950 ac-ft to the demand for 2040-2080. These revisions only apply to Jackson County. The contract amendments were provided by the region. The request is recommended.	4/4/2023
P	LAVACA	480	471	468	481	427	563	625	625	625	625	625	548	568	589	611	634	548	568	589	611	634	634	No revisions requested	528	548	568	589	611	634	0	4/4/2023			
P	WHARTON	0	0	26	17	17	34	34	34	34	34	34	35	36	37	38	33	34	35	36	37	38	38	No revisions requested	33	34	35	36	37	38	0	4/4/2023			

WUG Info		Historical Water Use Estimates					2021 Regional Water Plan Projections					2026 DRAFT Regional Water Plan Projections					RWPG Revision Requests					TWDB EA Recommended Projections for the 2026 RWPs					Region Requested?	TWDB Action	TWDB Comment (Executive Administrator recommendation for Board Consideration)		Review Date				
Region	County	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070	2080	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070	2080	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070	2080	Region Requested?	TWDB Action	TWDB Comment (Executive Administrator recommendation for Board Consideration)		Review Date
A	ARMSTRONG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/13/2023			
A	CARSON	0	0	2	0	0	14	14	14	14	14	14	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	7/13/2023				
A	CHILDRESS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/13/2023			
A	COLLINGSWORTH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/13/2023			
A	DALLAM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/13/2023			
A	DONLEY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/13/2023			
A	GRAY	0	0	0	0	0	75	74	67	60	53	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/13/2023				
A	HALL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/13/2023			
A	HANSFORD	8	32	62	100	20	577	904	602	309	16	1	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	93	7/13/2023					
A	HARTELEY	2	0	0	52	0	7	7	6	5	4	3	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	85	7/13/2023					
A	HEMPHILL	790	428	772	955	409	2,314	1,763	1,244	732	223	68	1,555	1,555	1,555	1,555	1,555	1,555	1,555	1,555	1,555	1,555	1,555	1,555	1,555	1,555	1,555	1,555	1,555	7/13/2023					
A	HUTCHINSON	94	86	154	102	86	184	231	170	113	56	34	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	7/13/2023						
A	LIPSCOMB	268	216	274	340	106	1,098	758	446	142	21	3	1,018	1,018	1,018	1,018	1,018	1,018	1,018	1,018	1,018	1,018	1,018	1,018	1,018	1,018	1,018	1,018	1,018	7/13/2023					
A	MOORE	20	2	4	4	7	16	16	15	15	15	33	33	33	33	33	33	33	33	33	33	33	33	33	33	33	33	33	7/13/2023						
A	OCHILTREE	388	122	240	442	329	824	853	503	161	23	3	797	797	797	797	797	797	797	797	797	797	797	797	797	797	797	797	7/13/2023						
A	OLDHAM	56	38	38	123	345	475	563	639	671	737	808	416	416	416	416	416	416	416	416	416	416	416	416	416	416	416	416	416	7/13/2023					
A	POTTER	296	123	390	263	385	941	1,149	1,341	1,453	1,631	1,831	763	812	861	914	969	1,027	763	812	861	914	969	1,027	763	812	861	914	969	1,027	7/13/2023				
A	RANDALL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/13/2023				
A	ROBERTS	292	40	154	122	80	1,502	1,041	611	189	20	2	684	684	684	684	684	684	684	684	684	684	684	684	684	684	684	684	684	684	7/13/2023				
A	SHERMAN	6	0	3	2	0	35	207	151	98	44	20	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7/13/2023					
A	WHEELER	301	223	450	321	47	3,268	2,329	1,413	503	139	119	4,156	4,156	4,157	4,157	4,158	4,158	4,156	4,156	4,157	4,157	4,158	4,158	4,156	4,156	4,157	4,157	4,158	4,158	7/13/2023				
B	ARCHER	0	34	0	0	21	405	483	344	279	213	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7/17/2023					
B	BAYLOR	0	0	0	0	0	14	14	13	13	13	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Y	Recommended	County water use is sporadic and the value slightly less than average of years with water use was requested by the region and is comparable to the draft.		7/17/2023		
B	CLAY	15	1	0	1	8	613	786	584	471	357	357	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	7/17/2023					
B	COTTLE	0	3	0	0	0	41	41	38	34	31	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	7/17/2023					
B	FOARD	0	0	0	0	0	12	12	12	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/17/2023					
B	HARDEMAN	0	17	11	16	0	17	17	18	18	18	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	7/17/2023					
B	KING	1	46	0	0	0	380	331	289	251	219	219	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	7/17/2023					
B	MONTAGUE	347	34	0	0	0	3,639	2,577	1,606	691	777	777	6	6	6	6	6	6	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	Y	Recommended	Montague County has estimated mining water use in 2021 indicating that sporadic mining excavations are ongoing. 2016 water use was requested by the region.		7/17/2023			
B	WICHITA	15	45	1	3	4	62	61	55	49	44	44	9	9	9	9	9	9	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	Y	Recommended	Highest annual use 2010-2019 was requested by the region.		7/17/2023			
B	WILBARGER	19	34	1	0	0	20	20	19	19	18	18	32	32	32	32	32	32	32	32	32	32	32	32	32	32	32	32	7/17/2023						
B	YOUNG	0	0</																																

F	BORDEN	87	178	1,162	2,158	1,964	679	927	784	494	244	121	3,374	3,374	3,374	4	4	3,374	3,374	3,093	2,531	1,785	1,125	Y	Recommended	The 2022 BEG Study used Total Recoverable Resources method and current drilling rates to draft the projections. The RWPG requested to revise to slope the decline of drilling as Midland Basin resources are mined, rather than steep drop off after 2060.	7/16/2023						
F	BROWN	0	0	0	0	0	943	948	951	952	948	944	0	0	0	0	0	560	560	560	560	560	560	Y	Recommended	New plant (Vulcan Materials) with contract for 560 acft/year	7/16/2023						
F	COKE	1	39	15	22	40	488	482	430	376	328	286	106	106	106	106	106	106	106	106	106	106	106				7/16/2023						
F	COLEMAN	0	0	0	0	0	108	107	97	86	77	69	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				7/16/2023						
F	CONCHO	0	0	0	0	0	480	474	422	367	320	279	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				7/16/2023						
F	CRANE	141	43	47	603	1,336	617	840	861	692	531	407	3,071	3,279	3,475	3,640	3,194	3,306	3,071	3,279	3,475	3,640	3,194	3,306	No revisions requested	3,071	3,279	3,475	3,640	3,194	3,306		7/16/2023
F	CROCKETT	1,946	1,549	2,496	1,059	145	4,500	4,500	3,100	1,700	500	200	6,046	6,046	6,046	6,046	6,046	6,046	6,046	6,046	5,542	4,535	3,199	2,015	Y	Recommended	The 2022 BEG Study used Total Recoverable Resources method and current drilling rates to draft the projections. The RWPG requested to revise to slope the decline of drilling as Midland Basin resources are mined, rather than steep drop off after 2060.	7/16/2023					
F	ECTOR	518	387	620	1,153	1,477	1,977	2,164	1,926	1,574	1,272	1,076	2,061	2,061	2,062	2,062	34	34	2061	2061	1889	1546	1091	687	Y	Recommended	The 2022 BEG Study used Total Recoverable Resources method and current drilling rates to draft the projections. The RWPG requested to revise to slope the decline of drilling as Midland Basin resources are mined, rather than steep drop off after 2060.	7/16/2023					
F	GLASSCOCK	4,599	3,852	9,612	11,794	13,700	5,900	5,900	4,500	3,200	2,100	1,500	13,854	13,854	13,854	13,854	11	11	13,854	13,854	12,700	10,391	7,331	4,618	Y	Recommended	The 2022 BEG Study used Total Recoverable Resources method and current drilling rates to draft the projections. The RWPG requested to revise to slope the decline of drilling as Midland Basin resources are mined, rather than steep drop off after 2060.	7/16/2023					
F	HOWARD	3,047	4,894	14,632	18,939	19,887	3,400	3,400	2,400	1,400	600	300	12,340	12,340	12,340	12,340	20	20	12,340	12,340	11,312	9,255	6,530	4,113	Y	Recommended	The 2022 BEG Study used Total Recoverable Resources method and current drilling rates to draft the projections. The RWPG requested to revise to slope the decline of drilling as Midland Basin resources are mined, rather than steep drop off after 2060.	7/16/2023					
F	IRION	2,368	1,606	2,317	4,906	5,438	4,600	4,600	3,300	2,000	1,000	500	10,662	10,662	10,662	10,662	13	13	10,662	10,662	9,774	7,997	5,642	3,554	Y	Recommended	The 2022 BEG Study used Total Recoverable Resources method and current drilling rates to draft the projections. The RWPG requested to revise to slope the decline of drilling as Midland Basin resources are mined, rather than steep drop off after 2060.	7/16/2023					
F	KIMBLE	0	0	0	0	0	19	19	19	19	19	19	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				7/16/2023					
F	LOVING	4,403	5,948	12,970	16,010	16,963	7,500	7,500	6,600	5,400	4,300	3,400	12,002	12,002	12,002	12,002	12,002	12,002	12,002	12,002	12,002	12,002	12,002	12,002	No revisions requested	12,002	12,002	12,002	12,002	12,002	12,002		7/16/2023
F	MARTIN	6,663	6,629	17,475	24,172	29,302	7,200	7,200	5,400	3,500	1,900	1,000	16,590	16,590	16,590	16,590	11	11	16,590	16,590	15,208	12,443	8,779	5,530	Y	Recommended	The 2022 BEG Study used Total Recoverable Resources method and current drilling rates to draft the projections. The RWPG requested to revise to slope the decline of drilling as Midland Basin resources are mined, rather than steep drop off after 2060.	7/16/2023					
F	MASON	116	187	177	176	176	1,023	941	708	568	460	372	176	176	176	176	176	176	176	176	176	176	176	176				7/16/2023					
F	MCCULLOCH	4,290	5,047	1,972	1,987	88	8,927	8,347	6,641	5,627	4,836	4,201	673	675	682	684	685	685	673	675	682	684	685	685				7/16/2023					
F	MENARD	0	0	0	0	0	1,086	1,071	952	827	717	622	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0		7/16/2023
F	MIDLAND	10,838	17,959	28,872	39,202	39,571	10,600	10,600	8,200	5,500	3,300	2,300	14,703	14,704	14,704	14,704	13	14	14,703	14,704	13,479	11,028	7,781	4,901	Y	Recommended	The 2022 BEG Study used Total Recoverable Resources method and current drilling rates to draft the projections. The RWPG requested to revise to slope the decline of drilling as Midland Basin resources are mined, rather than steep drop off after 2060.	7/16/2023					
F	MITCHELL	10	0	1	2	0	593	738	632	493	375	290	368	368	368	368	2	2	368	368	337	276	195	123	Y	Recommended	The 2022 BEG Study used Total Recoverable Resources method and current drilling rates to draft the projections. The RWPG requested to revise to slope the decline of drilling as Midland Basin resources are mined, rather than steep drop off after 2060.	7/16/2023					
F	PECOS	945	1,235	5,017	7,772	12,561	7,700	7,700	6,200	4,800	3,700	16,152	16,152	16,152	16,152	16,152	16,152	16,152	16,152	16,152	16,152	16,152	16,152				7/16/2023						
F	REAGAN	7,150	5,368	13,294	16,440	15,661	10,600	10,600	7,700	4,400	1,700	600	19,823	19,823	19,823	19,823	5	5	19,823	19,823	18,171	14,867	10,490	6,608	Y	Recommended	The 2022 BEG Study used Total Recoverable Resources method and current drilling rates to draft the projections. The RWPG requested to revise to slope the decline of drilling as Midland Basin resources are mined, rather than steep drop off after 2060.	7/16/2023					
F	REEVES	6,856	7,791	28,240	39,999	40,577	12,600	12,600	12,100	9,900	7,800	6,200	34,986	34,986	34,986	34,986	34,986	34,986	34,986	34,986	34,986	34,986	34,986				7/16/2023						
F	RUNNELS	0	6	0	0	0	272	269	240	210	184	161	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0		7/16/2023
F	SCHLEICHER	55	10	0	0	0	621	732	562	392	241	148	3,529	3,529	3,529	3,529	2	2	3,529	3,529	3,235	2,647	1,867	1,176	Y	Recommended	The 2022 BEG Study used Total Recoverable Resources method and current drilling rates to draft the projections. The RWPG requested to revise to slope the decline of drilling as Midland Basin resources are mined, rather than steep drop off after 2060.	7/16/2023					
F	SCURRY	22	909	902	1,057	987	280	456	483	363	246	167	306	306	306	306	8	8	306	306	281	230	162	102	Y	Recommended	The 2022 BEG Study used Total Recoverable Resources method and current drilling rates to draft the projections. The RWPG requested to revise to slope the decline of drilling as Midland Basin resources are mined, rather than steep drop off after 2060.	7/16/2023					
F	STERLING	12	7	6	84	4	780	953	812	522	270	140	3,047	3,047	3,047	3,047	3	3	3,047	3,047	2,793	2,285	1,612	1,016	Y	Recommended	The 2022 BEG Study used Total Recoverable Resources method and current drilling rates to draft the projections. The RWPG requested to revise to slope the decline of drilling as Midland Basin resources are mined, rather than steep drop off after 2060.	7/16/2023					
F	SUTTON																																

F	TOM GREEN	0	1	15	0	27	1,056	1,080	1,119	1,112	1,134	1,156	990	990	990	5	6	990	990	908	743	524	330	Y	Recommended	The 2022 BEG Study used Total Recoverable Resources method and current drilling rates to draft the projections. The RWPG requested to revise to slope the decline of drilling as Midland Basin resources are mined, rather than steep drop off after 2060.	7/16/2023						
F	UPTON	6,142	7,566	12,412	13,447	14,305	7,200	7,200	5,700	3,800	2,300	1,600	15,851	15,851	15,851	6	6	15,851	15,851	14,530	11,888	8,388	5,284	Y	Recommended	The 2022 BEG Study used Total Recoverable Resources method and current drilling rates to draft the projections. The RWPG requested to revise to slope the decline of drilling as Midland Basin resources are mined, rather than steep drop off after 2060.	7/16/2023						
F	WARD	669	1,292	5,525	9,800	8,366	1,900	1,900	1,700	1,300	900	600	8,170	8,232	8,282	8,321	8,351	8,370	8,370	No revisions requested	8,170	8,232	8,282	8,321	8,351	8,370			7/16/2023				
F	WINKLER	347	813	2,122	5,370	8,772	787	1,169	991	756	531	373	13,048	13,711	14,418	14,996	15,912	13,048	13,711	14,418	14,996	15,912	15,912					7/16/2023					
G	BELL	10	11	11	10	321	3,242	3,980	4,599	5,349	6,105	6,968	393	444	493	544	642	393	444	493	544	642	642	No revisions requested	393	444	493	544	594	642		7/10/2023	
G	BOSQUE	0	0	0	0	0	1,972	2,071	1,892	1,872	1,833	1,821	884	921	944	959	968	971	884	921	944	959	968	971	No revisions requested	884	921	944	959	968	971		7/10/2023
G	BRAZOS	1,522	351	329	640	2,396	1,088	1,610	1,433	1,144	923	814	2,670	2,698	2,725	2,741	2,765	2,799	2,670	2,698	2,725	2,741	2,765	2,799	No revisions requested	2,670	2,698	2,725	2,741	2,765	2,799		7/10/2023
G	BURLESON	2,802	861	5,350	7,226	5,164	995	1,923	1,512	1,100	686	428	5,569	5,569	5,569	5,569	5,569	5,569	5,569	5,569	5,569	5,569	5,569	No revisions requested	5,569	5,569	5,569	5,569	5,569	5,569		7/10/2023	
G	CALLAHAN	0	0	0	0	0	228	227	214	201	190	180	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	No revisions requested	2	2	2	2	2	2		7/10/2023	
G	COMANCHE	0	0	0	0	16	444	525	363	276	188	128	94	96	99	102	104	107	94	96	99	102	104	107	No revisions requested	94	96	99	102	104	107		7/10/2023
G	CORYELL	0	0	0	0	0	1,510	1,072	491	363	398	437	3	4	4	5	5	3	4	4	4	5	5	No revisions requested	3	4	4	4	5	5		7/10/2023	
G	EASTLAND	34	127	284	131	83	1,164	1,173	929	714	518	432	321	322	322	322	322	321	322	322	322	322	322	No revisions requested	321	322	322	322	322	322		7/10/2023	
G	ERATH	0	0	0	0	4	505	536	376	304	232	177	15	16	17	18	19	20	15	16	17	18	19	20	No revisions requested	15	16	17	18	19	20		7/10/2023
G	FALLS	0	0	0	0	0	225	246	259	286	307	331	30	30	29	30	31	32	30	30	31	32	30	31	No revisions requested	30	30	31	31	32	32		7/10/2023
G	FISHER	0	0	0	0	0	407	402	359	313	273	238	106	106	106	106	106	106	106	106	106	106	106	106	No revisions requested	106	106	106	106	106	106		7/10/2023
G	GRIMES	21	39	0	0	101	323	602	471	340	209	128	228	228	228	228	228	228	228	228	228	228	228	No revisions requested	228	228	228	228	228	228		7/10/2023	
G	HAMILTON	0	0	0	0	0	393	236	101	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0		7/10/2023	
G	HASKELL	0	0	0	0	0	93	92	83	74	66	59	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	No revisions requested	4	4	4	4	4	4		7/10/2023	
G	HILL	2	2	2	2	2	1,534	1,190	775	403	436	472	99	103	107	110	112	114	99	103	107	110	112	114	No revisions requested	99	103	107	110	112	114		7/10/2023
G	HOOD	131	193	142	0	2,530	2,078	2,436	2,222	2,133	2,043	2,057	4,356	4,746	5,086	5,351	5,557	5,694	4,356	4,746	5,086	5,351	5,557	5,694	No revisions requested	4,356	4,746	5,086	5,351	5,557	5,694		7/10/2023
G	JOHNSON	110	9	150	12	30	4,126	2,788	1,515	1,013	1,161	1,336	193	185	206	229	254	279	193	185	206	229	254	279	No revisions requested	193	185	206	229	254	279		7/10/2023
G	JONES	0	0	0	0	470	239	234	218	199	183	169	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	No revisions requested	9	9	9	9	9	9		7/10/2023	
G	KENT	0	0	0	2	3	38	38	35	32	29	26	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	No revisions requested	15	15	15	15	15	15		7/10/2023	
G	KNOX	0	3	0	0	0	15	15	14	14	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0		7/10/2023	
G	LAMPSAS	37	37	46	28	36	198	221	241	261	286	313	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	No revisions requested	3	3	3	3	3	3		7/10/2023	
G	LEE	994	577	782	1,715	825	3,180	3,180	0	0	0	0	1,236	1,236	1,236	1,236	1,236	1,236	1,236	1,236	1,236	1,236	1,236	No revisions requested	1,236	1,236	1,236	1,236	1,236	1,236		7/10/2023	
G	LIMESTONE	1,729	1,410	1,662	1,753	2,194	10,317	9,925	9,865	10,339	10,805	11,425	3,519	3,624	3,738	3,831	2,914	2,985	3,519	3,624	3,738	3,831	2,914	2,985	No revisions requested	3,519	3,624	3,738	3,831	2,914	2,985		7/10/2023
G	MCLENNAN	0	0	1	2	326	2,538	3,000	3,060	3,508	3,832	4,216	363																				

I	TYLER	0	3	43	1	37	160	198	150	103	55	29	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	No revisions requested	42	42	42	42	42			8/1/2023				
J	BANDERA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	3	3	3	2	2	3	3	3	No revisions requested	2	2	3	3	3			5/31/2023				
J	EDWARDS	0	0	0	0	0	1	89	89	89	89	89	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	No revisions requested	12	12	12	12	12			5/31/2023				
J	KERR	201	185	179	125	48	76	80	100	102	111	120	158	163	167	171	173	175	201	201	201	201	RWPG requests to use the max. annual historical water use estimate (2011-2020) for Kerr County.	201	201	201	201	201	Y	Recommended	RWPG requested to use actual reported water use that more accurately reflect dry years and periods of drought.	5/31/2023			
J	KINNEY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			5/31/2023				
J	REAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			5/31/2023				
J	VAL VERDE	0	0	0	0	88	190	249	259	223	192	171	97	105	114	122	129	137	97	105	114	122	129	No revisions requested	97	105	114	122	129	137		5/31/2023			
K	BASTROP	44	22	74	47	269	2,884	6,813	7,498	5,998	399	476	388	467	567	694	852	1,050	388	467	567	694	852	No revisions requested	388	467	567	694	852	1,050		8/9/2023			
K	BLANCO	0	0	0	0	4	5	5	5	5	9	9	10	10	10	9	9	10	10	10	10	10	No revisions requested	9	9	10	10	10	10		8/9/2023				
K	BURNET	50	88	88	86	195	4,490	5,412	6,379	7,255	8,263	9,412	408	460	510	554	593	625	1,029	1,245	1,427	1,602	1,755	1,887	Central Texas GCD proposed an additional 886 ac-ft/yr based on actual groundwater use (see below), added to the 143 ac-ft/yr from TWDB surface water use as 2030 starting point.	1,029	1,245	1,427	1,602	1,755	1,887	Y	Recommended	The Region presented GCD data to update mining baseline groundwater use (886acft) and carried over 143acft surface water from the supplemental data to reach a new baseline of 1,029acft. The baseline was applied in 2030 and 2025WP population projection growth rates for Burnet County were used to project further decades. The request is recommended.	8/9/2023
K	COLORADO	4,009	4,009	3,056	5,339	471	5,325	5,378	5,433	5,487	5,542	5,597	2,773	2,857	2,977	3,078	3,176	3,263	2,773	2,857	2,977	3,078	3,176	3,263	No revisions requested	2,773	2,857	2,977	3,078	3,176	3,263		8/9/2023		
K	FAYETTE	269	121	170	484	943	2,526	2,032	1,465	918	359	350	934	934	934	934	934	934	2	934	934	934	934	934	No revisions requested	934	934	934	934	934	2		8/9/2023		
K	GILLESPIE	5	4	6	8	16	4	4	4	4	4	4	19	20	21	23	24	25	19	20	21	23	24	25	No revisions requested	19	20	21	23	24	25		8/9/2023		
K	HAYS	300	264	345	303	301	845	1,075	1,361	1,445	1,654	1,893	115	139	161	194	230	269	959	983	1,005	1,038	1,074	1,113	Three quarries (Centex Materials, Industrial Asphalt, and Texas-Lehigh Cement) are estimated to use about 844 ac-ft/yr in addition to the demand projected by the TWDB for the full planning period.	959	983	1,005	1,038	1,074	1,113	Y	Recommended	Water use for three new and/or updated operations totaling 844 acft was added to each decade. TWDB confirmed these operations were not synonymous with surveyed facilities or additional demand was in addition to surveyed use. The request is recommended.	8/9/2023
K	LLANO	0	0	0	0	239	3	3	3	3	251	250	246	254	262	271	2,214	250	246	254	262	271	LCRA identified 1,963 ac-ft/yr for Collier Materials application added to the TWDB estimate of 251 ac-ft/yr.	2,214	250	246	254	262	271	Y	Recommended	The Region indicated that the LCRA has a short-term contract for additional mining operations through the 2030 decade and confirmed that the contracted water use is for a separate operation than those included in the BEG study baseline. The request is recommended.	8/9/2023		
K	MATAGORDA	1	0	1	0	0	96	100	75	55	35	22	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	No revisions requested	1	1	1	1	1	1		8/9/2023				
K	MILLS	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	4	4	4	4	108	111	115	120	124	130	108	111	115	120	124	130	No revisions requested	108	111	115	120	124	130		8/9/2023		
K	SAN SABA	0	0	0	0	0	1,088	1,093	944	900	864	838	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0		8/9/2023				
K	TRAVIS	0	0	0	0	0	71	3,502	4,108	4,762	5,374	6,046	6,817	551	622	676	722	772	830	551	622	676	722	772	830	No revisions requested	551	622	676	722	772	830		8/9/2023	
K	WHARTON	2	1	0	0	0	71	74	55	41	26	17	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	No revisions requested	2	2	2	2	2	2		8/9/2023				
K	WILLIAMSON	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	3	3	3	3	1,544	1,823	2,142	2,530	2,914	3,270	1,544	1,823	2,142	2,530	2,914	3,270	No revisions requested	1,544	1,823	2,142	2,530	2,914	3,270		8/9/2023		
L	ATACOSA	3,482	2,331	3,282	4,052	6,215	4,081	4,043	3,935	3,212	2,478	2,043	8,039	8,352	8,658	8,947	9,217	4,281	8,039	8,352	8,658	8,947	9,217	4,281	No revisions requested	8,039	8,352	8,658	8,947	9,217	4,281		7/10/2023		
L	BEXAR	6,730	7,810	7,579	6,957	4,171	7,820	8,740	9,533	10,404	11,399	12,502	7,634	8,366	9,072	9,724	10,322	10,851	7,634	8,366	9,072	9,724	10,322	10,851	No revisions requested	7,634	8,366	9,072	9,724	10,322	10,851		7/10/2023		
L	CALDWELL	0	0	0	0	0	123	98	72	46	20	9	352	352	352	352	352	352	2	352	352	352	352	352	352	No revisions requested	352	352	352	352	352	352		7/10/2023	
L	CALHOUN	0	0	0	0	0	52	55	41	30	19	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0		7/10/2023				
L	COMAL	3,282	6,990	6,040	5,259	6,022	8,600	9,996	11,340	12,513	13,982	15,628	12,013	14,130	16,264	18,386	20,432	22,314	12,013	14,130	16,264	18,386	20,432	22,314	No revisions requested	12,013	14,130	16,264	18,386	20,432	22,314		7/10/2023		
L	DEWITT	6,393	4,165	4,053	6,821	8,109	3,165	2,973	2,195	1,422	650	301	1,695	1,695	1,695	1,695	1,695	1,695	8	1,695	1,695	1,695	1,695												

O	GARZA	0	0	0	12	3	395	544	438	334	234	164	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	No revisions requested	19	19	19	19	19	19				7/18/2023
O	HALE	0	0	0	0	0	1,168	1,152	1,022	886	766	662	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	No revisions requested	1	1	1	1	1	1				7/18/2023
O	HOCKLEY	25	65	0	2	3	18	18	17	17	16	15	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	No revisions requested	69	69	69	69	69	69				7/18/2023
O	LAMB	0	0	0	0	0	586	579	513	445	385	333	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0				7/18/2023
O	LUBBOCK	3	0	0	0	0	6,354	6,425	5,913	5,302	4,763	4,314	19	19	19	20	20	20	19	19	No revisions requested	19	19	19	20	20	20				7/18/2023
O	LYNN	0	0	0	0	0	1,166	1,327	1,255	1,033	826	660	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	No revisions requested	15	15	15	15	15	15				7/18/2023
O	MOTLEY	0	0	0	0	0	240	213	205	198	179	161	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0				7/18/2023
O	PARMER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0				7/18/2023	
O	SWISHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0				7/18/2023	
O	TERRY	5	6	6	2	0	355	525	543	416	293	206	101	101	101	101	101	101	101	101	No revisions requested	101	101	101	101	101	101				7/18/2023
O	YOAKUM	65	316	403	482	453	1,300	1,334	1,147	957	783	641	746	746	746	746	746	746	746	No revisions requested	746	746	746	746	746	746				7/18/2023	
P	JACKSON	0	0	0	0	0	70	73	55	40	26	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0				3/28/2023	
P	LAVACA	1,023	373	1,035	1,982	3,812	2,544	1,860	1,416	977	537	297	2,665	2,665	2,665	2,665	2,665	2,665	2,665	No revisions requested	2,665	2,665	2,665	2,665	2,665	2,665				3/28/2023	
P	WHARTON	1	0	0	0	0	0	18	19	14	10	7	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0				3/28/2023	

WUG Info		Historical Water Use Estimates					2021 Regional Water Plan Projections					2026 DRAFT Regional Water Plan Projections					RWPG Revision Requests					TWDB EA Recommended Projections for the 2026 RWPs					Region Requested?	TWDB Action	TWDB Comment (Executive Administrator recommendation for Board Consideration)	Review Date
Region	County	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070	2080	2030	2040	2050	2060	2070	2080						
A	ARMSTRONG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/12/2023		
A	CARSON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/12/2023		
A	CHILDRESS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/12/2023		
A	COLLINGSWORTH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/12/2023		
A	DALLAM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/12/2023		
A	DONLEY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/12/2023		
A	GRAY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/12/2023		
A	HALL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/12/2023		
A	HANSFORD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/12/2023		
A	HARTLEY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/12/2023		
A	HEMPHILL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/12/2023		
A	HUTCHINSON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/12/2023		
A	LIPSCOMB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/12/2023		
A	MOORE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/12/2023		
A	OCHILTREE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/12/2023		
A	OLDHAM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/12/2023		
A	POTTER	11,918	9,401	10,151	11,207	12,790	18,554	18,554	18,554	18,554	18,554	18,554	12,790	12,790	12,790	12,790	12,790	12,790	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	Y	Recommended	Adjusted to better reflect Drought of Record conditions and meets Exhibit C criteria.	7/12/2023	
A	RANDALL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/12/2023		
A	ROBERTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/12/2023		
A	SHERMAN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/12/2023			
A	WHEELER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/12/2023			
B	ARCHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/18/2023			
B	BAYLOR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/18/2023			
B	CLAY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/18/2023			
B	COTTLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/18/2023			
B	FOARD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/18/2023			
B	HARDEMAN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/18/2023			
B	KING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/18/2023			
B	MONTAGUE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/18/2023			
B	WICHITA	7	6	1	20	16	31	31	31	31	31	31	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	7/18/2023				
B	WILBARGER	3,879	4,075	3,093	5,878	4,700	7,711	7,711	7,711	7,711	7,711	7,711	5,878	5,878	5,878	5,878	5,878	5,878	5,878	5,878	5,878	5,878	5,878	5,878	5,878	5,878	7/18/2023			
B	YOUNG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7/18/2023			
C	COLLIN	19	19	15	18	20	40	40	40	40	40	40	20	20	20	20	20	20	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	Y	Recommended	Region C requested using the highest water use over the past 10 years for each facility. The region adhered to the TWDB's methodology of holding subsequent demand projections constant for all planning decades. The request is recommended.	12/12/2022	
C	COOKE	4	5	6	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	6	6	6	6	6														

C	JACK	0	3,772	2,463	2,909	3,319	3,772	3,772	3,772	3,772	3,772	3,772	3,772	3,772	3,772	3,772	3,772	3,772	3,772	No revisions requested	3,772	3,772	3,772	3,772	3,772					12/12/2022	
C	KAUFMAN	9,793	9,308	9,053	971	20	9,793	9,793	9,793	9,793	9,793	9,793	9,793	9,793	9,793	9,793	9,793	9,793	9,793	No revisions requested	9,793	9,793	9,793	9,793	9,793					12/12/2022	
C	NAVARRO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0					12/12/2022	
C	PARKER	0	0	0	0	0	0	604	604	604	604	604	604	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0					12/12/2022	
C	ROCKWALL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0					12/12/2022	
C	TARRANT	945	875	400	918	3	1,157	4,948	4,948	4,948	4,948	4,948	945	945	945	945	945	945	1,157	4,249	4,249	4,249	4,249	4,249	4,249	4,249	4,249	Y	Recommended	Region C requested using the highest water use over the past 10 years for the existing facility. The region also requested adding a potential new facility not included in the draft projections. The demand is 2/3 of the consumptive amount and will begin in 2040. The region adhered to the TWDB's methodology of holding subsequent demand projections constant for all planning decades. The request is recommended.	12/12/2022
C	WISE	2,844	1,944	692	839	1,969	2,894	2,894	2,894	2,894	2,894	2,894	2,844	2,844	2,844	2,844	2,844	2,844	2,894	2,894	2,894	2,894	2,894	2,894	2,894	2,894	Y	Recommended	Region C requested using the highest water use over the past 10 years for each facility. The region adhered to the TWDB's methodology of holding subsequent demand projections constant for all planning decades. The request is recommended.	12/12/2022	
D	BOWIE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0					7/18/2023	
D	CAMP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0					7/18/2023	
D	CASS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0					7/18/2023	
D	DELTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0					7/18/2023	
D	FRANKLIN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0					7/18/2023	
D	GREGG	362	362	195	220	198	940	940	940	940	940	940	362	362	362	362	362	362	940	940	940	940	940	940	940	940	Y	Recommended		7/18/2023	
D	HARRISON	19,601	18,951	18,718	16,504	11,223	21,112	21,112	21,112	21,112	21,112	21,112	19,601	19,601	19,601	19,601	19,601	19,601	23,145	23,145	23,145	23,145	23,145	23,145	23,145	23,145	Y	Recommended	The region is requesting to use the highest county-aggregated water use over the extended 10-year period (2010-2019) to calculate the baseline for 6 of the region's 19 counties. The revised baselines for these counties will allow for a more conservative representation of steam-electric power generation water demands during drought conditions. The region provided	7/18/2023	
D	HOPKINS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0					7/18/2023	
D	HUNT	218	191	67	55	124	373	373	373	373	373	373	218	218	218	218	218	218	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	Y	Recommended	documentation to correct the Lone Star Power Plant (Morris County) water use for 2010 from 3,421 to 26 acre-feet, and the 2011 water use from 2 to 50 acre-feet. The region also provided documentation to correct the 2012 water use for the Pirkey facility (Harrison County) from 14,980 to 21,112 acre-feet.	7/18/2023	
D	LAMAR	5,511	4,708	4,515	5,706	5,538	5,511	5,511	5,511	5,511	5,511	5,511	5,706	5,706	5,706	5,706	5,706	5,706	23,145	23,145	23,145	23,145	23,145	23,145	23,145	23,145			7/18/2023		
D	MARION	2,310	1,992	914	1,394	1,898	4,257	4,257	4,257	4,257	4,257	4,257	2,310	2,310	2,310	2,310	2,310	2,310	4,257	4,257	4,257	4,257	4,257	4,257	4,257	4,257	Y	Recommended	Additionally, the region received information from Eastman (Harrison County) indicating that 90% of their water use is for manufacturing and the other 10% is for steam-electric power generation. All of their water use in the 2026 draft projections was being applied to steam-electric. The revisions now only include the 10% for steam-electric power. The requests are recommended.	7/18/2023	
D	MORRIS	6	5	1	6	1	50	50	50	50	50	50	6	6	6	6	6	6	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	Y	Recommended		7/18/2023	
D	RAINS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0					7/18/2023	
D	RED RIVER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0					7/18/2023	
D	SMITH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0					7/18/2023	
D	TITUS	37,160	38,735	36,851	12,280	6,834	61,931	61,931	61,931	61,931	61,931	61,931	14,645	14,645	14,645	14,645	14,645	14,645	29,541	29,541	29,541	29,541	29,541	29,541	29,541	29,541	Y	Recommended		7/18/2023	
D	UPSHUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0					7/18/2023	
D	VAN ZANDT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0					7/18/2023	
D	WOOD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0					7/18/2023	
E	BREWSTER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0					7/13/2023	
E	CULBERSON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0					7/13/2023	
F	EL PASO	6,804	6,613	6,379	7,704	7,868	10,545	10,545	10,545	10,545	8,880	8,880	8,880	8,880	8,880	8,880	8,880	8,880	8,880	No revisions requested	8,880	8,880	8,880	8,880	8,880					7/13/2023	
E	HUDSPETH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0					7/13/2023	
E	JEFF DAVIS	0	0	0	0</td																										

F	KIMBLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
F	LOVING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
F	MARTIN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
F	MASON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
F	MCCULLOCH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
F	MENARD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
F	MIDLAND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
F	MITCHELL	2,840	3,180	3,046	825	124	10,326	10,326	10,326	10,326	10,326	9,780	9,780	9,780	9,780	9,780	6,725	6,725	6,725	6,725	6,725	6,725	6,725	6,725	Y	Recommended	TWDB confirmed the retirement of the Morgan Creek Power plant steam generation units.	7/10/2023
F	PECOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
F	REAGAN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
F	REEVES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
F	RUNNELS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
F	SCHLEICHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
F	SCURRY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
F	STERLING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
F	SUTTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
F	TOM GREEN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
F	UPTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
F	WARD	39	40	21	21	43	2,502	2,502	2,502	2,502	2,502	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	No revisions requested	43	43	43	43	43			7/10/2023
F	WINKLER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
G	BELL	4,714	3,335	2,652	4,042	3,710	4,714	4,714	4,714	4,714	4,714	4,714	4,714	4,714	4,714	4,714	4,714	4,714	No revisions requested	4,714	4,714	4,714	4,714	4,714			7/10/2023	
G	BOSQUE	2,880	2,716	2,295	2,435	2,426	2,880	2,880	2,880	2,880	2,880	2,880	2,880	2,880	2,880	2,880	2,880	2,880	No revisions requested	2,880	2,880	2,880	2,880	2,880			7/10/2023	
G	BRAZOS	465	502	364	497	469	421	421	421	421	421	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	No revisions requested	600	600	600	600	600			7/10/2023	
G	BURLESON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
G	CALLAHAN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
G	COMANCHE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
G	CORYELL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
G	EASTLAND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
G	ERATH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
G	FALLS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
G	FISHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
G	GRIMES	10,537	9,101	8,732	8,482	3,780	15,016	15,016	15,016	15,016	15,016	3,780	3,780	3,780	3,780	3,780	4,703	4,703	4,703	4,703	4,703	4,703	4,703	4,703	Y	Recommended		7/10/2023
G	HAMILTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
G	HASKELL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
G	HILL	0	0	0	0	0	0	4,120	4,120	4,120	4,120	4,120	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023		
G	HOOD	1,990	1,844	2,235	1,489	1,883	17,709	17,709	17,709	17,709	17,709	2,235	2,235	2,235	2,235	2,235	3,151	3,151	3,151	3,151	3,151	3,151	3,151	3,151	Y	Recommended		7/10/2023
G	JOHNSON	883	679	590	743	1,283	1,915	1,915	1,915	1,915	1,915	1,283	1,283	1,283	1,283	1,283	1,915	1,915	1,915	1,915	1,915	1,915	1,915	1,915	Y	Recommended	Revisions acceptable per Exhibit C, Section 2.2.2.3 Steam-electric power generation water	7/10/2023
G	JONES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
G	KENT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
G	KNOX	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
G	LAMPASAS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
G	LEE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0			7/10/2023	
G	LIMESTONE	15,279	15,636																									

M	WILLACY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0			7/18/2023						
M	ZAPATA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0			7/18/2023						
N	ARANSAS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0			8/22/2023						
N	BEE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0			8/22/2023						
N	BROOKS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0			8/22/2023						
N	DUVAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0			8/22/2023						
N	JIM WELLS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0			8/22/2023						
N	KENEDY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0			8/22/2023						
N	KLEBERG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0			8/22/2023						
N	LIVE OAK	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0			8/22/2023						
N	MCMULLEN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0			8/22/2023						
N	NUECES	2,059	1,920	1,851	2,047	2,201	2,077	2,077	2,077	2,077	2,077	2,077	2,077	2,077	2,077	2,077	2,077	2,077	2,077	2,077	No revisions requested	2,201	2,201	2,201	2,201	2,201	2,201			8/22/2023						
N	SAN PATRICIO	0	0	0	0	0	1,919	1,919	1,919	1,919	1,919	1,919	1,919	1,919	1,919	1,919	1,919	1,919	1,919	6,161	6,161	6,161	6,161	6,161	6,161	San Patricio Municipal Water District currently has contracted water supplies with San Patricio County steam electric users that total 6,161 acft. They do not anticipate future increases at this time. Region N approved May 18, 2023.	2,576	2,576	2,576	2,576	2,576	2,576	Y	Revised	The Region provided supplemental information for two power facilities in San Patricio County. TWDB staff determined that one of the two facilities was a cogeneration plant with manufacturing NAICS and moved the requested water use for this facility to manufacturing projections. TWDB staff have revised the projection to reflect the water use requested for the second of the two plants plant based on the data provided.	8/22/2023
O	BAILEY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0			7/18/2023						
O	BRISCOE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0			7/18/2023						
O	CASTRO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0			7/18/2023						
O	COCHRAN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0			7/18/2023						
O	CROSBY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0			7/18/2023						
O	DAWSON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0			7/18/2023						
O	DEAF SMITH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0			7/18/2023						
O	DICKENS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0			7/18/2023						
O	FLOYD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0			7/18/2023						
O	GAINES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0			7/18/2023						
O	GARZA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0			7/18/2023						
O	HALE	0	5	2	2	29	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	29	29	29	29	29	42	42	42	42	42	42	Better reflect historical trends	29	29	29	29	29	29	Y	Not Recommended	Email 8/15/23 verified utility's plans to expand not approved by Golden Spread Elec Coop.	8/16/2023	
O	HOCKLEY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0			7/18/2023						
O	LAMB	11,763	10,534	9,050	8,676	6,755	13,450	13,450	13,450	13,450	13,450	13,450	13,450	11,763	11,763	11,763	11,763	11,763	5,789	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	Retirement of Plant and Polk Station in 2032	5,789	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	Y	Recommended	Retirement of a portion of generating capacity in 2032.	7/27/2023
O	LUBBOCK	3,246	2,797	1,779	4,151	4,114	5,694	5,694	5,694	5,694	5,694	5,694	5,694	4,151	4,151	4,151	4,151	4,151	2,909	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	Retirement of two units at Jones Station in 2034	2,909	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	Y	Recommended	Retirement of a portion of generating capacity in 2034, resulting in lower water use expected for replacement technology.	7/27/2023
O	LYNN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0			7/18/2023						
O	MOTLEY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0			7/18/2023						
O	PARMER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0			7/18/2023						
O	SWISHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0			7/18/2023						
O	TERRY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No revisions requested	0	0	0	0	0	0			7/18/2023						
O	YOAKUM	0	1,302</td																																	

